Does a Wii-based exercise program enhance balance control of independently functioning older adults? A systematic review
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Background: Exercise programs that challenge an individual’s balance have been shown to reduce the risk of falls among older adults. Virtual reality computer-based technology that provides the user with opportunities to interact with virtual objects is used extensively for entertainment. There is a growing interest in the potential of virtual reality-based interventions for balance training in older adults. This work comprises a systematic review of the literature to determine the effects of intervention programs utilizing the Nintendo Wii console on balance control and functional performance in independently functioning older adults.

Methods: Studies were obtained by searching the following databases: PubMed, CINAHL, PEDro, EMBASE, SPORTdiscus, and Google Scholar, followed by a hand search of bibliographic references of the included studies. Included were randomized controlled trials written in English in which Nintendo Wii Fit was used to enhance standing balance performance in older adults and compared with an alternative exercise treatment, placebo, or no treatment.

Results: Seven relevant studies were retrieved. The four studies examining the effect of Wii-based exercise compared with no exercise reported positive effects on at least one outcome measure related to balance performance in older adults. Studies comparing Wii-based training with alternative exercise programs generally indicated that the balance improvements achieved by Wii-based training are comparable with those achieved by other exercise programs.

Conclusion: The review indicates that Wii-based exercise programs may serve as an alternative to more conventional forms of exercise aimed at improving balance control. However, due to the great variability between studies in terms of the intervention protocols and outcome measures, as well as methodological limitations, definitive recommendations as to optimal treatment protocols and the potential of such an intervention as a safe and effective home-based treatment cannot be made at this point.
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Introduction

Approximately one third of individuals aged 65 years or older experience at least one fall a year,1,2 with over 40% of falls resulting in significant physical injury or death.3 Fall-related injuries, as well as fear of falling, contribute to reduced mobility, which curtails an individual’s participation in daily activities, leading ultimately to a decline in quality of life.3,4 With increased life expectancy in developed countries, fall prevention has become an urgent global health challenge.3

Causes of falls are multifaceted and their prevention requires a comprehensive, multidimensional treatment strategy.2,6–8 It is well documented that some of the risk factors for falls related to the neuromuscular system, such as impaired balance and gait as well as reduced muscle strength, can be modified by appropriate exercise...
programs. A systematic review aimed at establishing whether particular components of an exercise program are associated with a greater decrease in falls determined that larger relative effects on fall prevention are seen in programs that include exercises focusing on balance control. Thus, intervention programs incorporating exercises that challenge balance, such as Tai Chi exercises, have been shown to reduce the risk of falls among older adults. The intensity of the balance-focused exercise program and the need to adhere to it throughout one’s lifetime are crucial for successful fall prevention. Therefore, intervention programs must be designed to encourage exercise compliance by stimulating motivation and being easily accessible, while at the same time having no adverse effects.

Virtual reality (VR) computer-based technology provides the user with opportunities to interact with virtual objects and events that simulate the real world. By offering the user the opportunity to interact with a variety of engaging activities, some of which may be too risky when practiced in the real world, VR technology can encourage repetitive task-specific behavior graded to the competence level of the user, while providing the feedback necessary for effective motor learning. Thus, VR-based exercise can provide experiential, active learning opportunities that are fun, motivating, and challenging whilst being safe and ecologically valid. Due to its potential as a means to enhance motor and cognitive learning, VR technology is emerging as a rehabilitation tool for individuals with a variety of physical disabilities, including stroke, Parkinson’s disease, and cerebral palsy.

The use of VR technology has rapidly expanded in the last decade, with the introduction of off-the-shelf commercial consoles and games. Although these systems were developed for entertainment purposes, they have evolved as a means to encourage fitness exercise (termed exergames) in the general population. As commercial systems are much less expensive than custom-developed rehabilitation tools, off-the-shelf systems are also gaining popularity as treatment modalities for motor and cognitive rehabilitation of subjects with a variety of orthopedic and neurological impairments. Of the four best known commercial systems (Nintendo Wii, Dance Dance Revolution, PlayStation EyeToy, and Microsoft Kinect), Nintendo Wii is the most popular system applied for rehabilitation purposes. Thus, a recent systematic review of commercial systems used for rehabilitation of the upper limb following a stroke identified ten studies that utilized the Nintendo Wii and only two that used the PlayStation Eye Toy. In a similar systematic review regarding the use of VR in Parkinson’s disease, all six studies identified utilized the Nintendo Wii system. A recent audit by the National Stroke Foundation of Australia found that 84 of 111 (76%) metropolitan stroke rehabilitation hospitals purchased a Nintendo Wii console. Further, in a preliminary literature review, we did not identify any randomized controlled studies on the effects of VR-based interventions on balance control in older adults with commercial systems other than the Nintendo Wii.

The popularity of this system is probably due to the variety of video games enabled through both the Wii Remote (Wiimote) and the Wii Balance Board (Nintendo of America Inc., Redmond, WA, USA) peripheral devices. The Wiimote incorporates three-dimensional accelerometer technology that tracks arm movements and can be used, for example, to encourage players to mimic movements performed in real-life sports, such as swinging a tennis racquet. The Wii Balance Board is a platform device capable of monitoring changes in the individual’s center of pressure. It is employed in conjunction with Wii Fit software and uses an avatar to provide feedback regarding changes in one’s center of pressure throughout a variety of interactive games. Both peripheral devices can be used in games that challenge an individual’s balance capabilities, while providing appropriate visual and auditory feedback regarding balance performance.

Since the Wii gaming console was introduced to the market in 2006, there has been a growing interest in its potential for balance training in older adults. Whereas preliminary studies involved only single-case designs or single-group designs (with no control group), a number of studies of higher methodological quality have been published in the last few years. The primary objective of the present study was to systematically review randomized controlled trials that examined the effects of intervention programs utilizing the Nintendo Wii console on balance control in independently functioning older adults. The success of such interventions was reviewed in comparison with alternative exercise programs, as well as with no exercise intervention. The present review was written in accordance with the guidelines recommended by the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis) statement.

Methods

Search strategy
An online search of the PubMed (since 1966), CINAHL (since 1982), PEDro, EMBASE (since 1974), SPORTdiscus (since 1930), and Google Scholar databases was performed independently by two of the authors (YL, EK). The last full search was conducted in June 2014. The electronic search
was completed by a hand search of bibliographic references of the included studies.

The search terms used were: “virtual reality”, “video game”, “exergame”, and “Wii Fit”, crossed with “balance”, “postural control”, or “falls”. The search was restricted to the English language. The search was initially conducted by two of the authors independently (YL, EK) and finalized by the authors in collaboration. Duplicate publications were removed after all databases and reference lists were searched. The titles and abstracts of all identified articles were reviewed, with the full article reviewed whenever deemed necessary to finalize a decision about inclusion.

Study inclusion and exclusion criteria
The authors screened all selected citations independently. Study inclusion criteria were:

- Randomized controlled trials appearing in refereed journals.
- Trials in which a VR exergame based on Nintendo Wii was used to enhance standing balance performance in comparison with an alternative exercise treatment that did not involve VR or interactive computer gaming, or in comparison with a placebo treatment, or with no treatment at all. In cases where a conventional exercise program was added to the Wii-based intervention, the article was included only if the same conventional program was offered to the control group.
- Participants were adults aged 55 years or older living in the community or independently in retirement centers.
- Participants were referred to the VR intervention primarily to improve standing/walking balance control.
- Participants were without cognitive impairment and were able to ambulate independently with/out assistive devices, with/out a history of falls.
- A report of at least one outcome measure assessing standing/walking balance capabilities, fall incidence, or fall efficacy.

Exclusion criteria were:

- Studies designed to address populations with specific neurological (ie, stroke, Parkinson’s disease, and multiple sclerosis), metabolic (ie, diabetes), or musculoskeletal (ie, rheumatoid arthritis) deficits that might impair balance control.
- Studies with a PEDro score of ≤4.
- Studies with less than six participants in each intervention group.
- Studies in which no inferential statistics were reported.
- Studies reported in conference proceedings, posters, theses, or dissertations.

Data extraction
A data extraction form was developed by the reviewers that included the following details: number of male and female subjects in each treatment group; subjects’ mean age (standard deviation [SD]) per group; type of Wii peripherals and Wii games used; type of control group intervention; subjects’ residence, location of intervention; provision of supervision during intervention; number of treatments per week; number of treatment weeks; duration of each treatment; statistical analyses employed; outcome measures assessed; and statistically significant changes per outcome measure. Each author extracted the data independently, and differences between the reviewers in regard to the data summary were discussed and resolved in a face-to-face meeting.

Quality assessment
The methodological quality of the included studies was assessed in accordance with the PEDro classification scale, providing a score between 0 to 10.17 The PEDro ratings, which are provided by the Centre for Evidence-Based Physiotherapy at the George Institute for Global Health (http://ptwww.cchs.usyd.edu.au/), were used whenever available. Studies for which a PEDro score was not published were scored independently by the authors, with discrepancies discussed in a face-to-face meeting and resolved by agreement. Due to the nature of the studies, blindness of the subjects or the individuals providing the treatment was not possible; hence, the maximum possible score was 8. Studies were rated between excellent and poor on the basis of the PEDro score as follows: 8–7 (excellent), 6 (good), and 4–5 (fair).

Results
Study selection
Initial screening resulted in a total of 351 papers, of which 39 were considered relevant on the basis of their title and abstract. Full text review of these studies indicated that eight randomized controlled trials met all the inclusion criteria for review. Two of these articles were considered as a single study, since consultation with their primary author determined that they reported different outcome measures obtained from the same data set.38,39 Thus, the final review included seven randomized controlled trials. The selection process for the studies included in this systematic review is presented in Figure 1. Characteristics of the final seven studies retrieved are summarized in Table 1.
Electronic database search (Google Scholar, CINAHL, PubMed, PEDro), n=351

Article excluded on the basis of title or abstract, n=312

Article retrieved for further evaluation, n=39

Reason for exclusion, n=30:
- PEDro score less than 4, n=1
- Studies other than RCTs, n=14
- Wii was not used for balance training, n=6
- Studies with five or less participants in each intervention group, n=1
- Studies in which no inferential statistics were reported, n=1
- Hospitalized subjects or subjects with specific medical conditions, n=6
- <65 years old, n=1

Included RCTs, n=7 (presented in eight articles)

### Methodological quality

The methodological quality of the included papers according to the PEDro classification scale is presented in Table 2. The mean PEDro score was 5.57 (SD 0.78), with one study graded excellent (score 7/10), two good (score 6/10), and the rest fair (score 5/10).

Four items were scored positive in all studies: “eligibility criteria”, “random allocation”, “baseline comparability”, “point estimates and variability”, and “adequate follow-up”, while “between group comparison” was scored positive in most of the studies. In contrast, blindness of the participants or the therapists was impossible due to the nature of the studies, and only three studies maintained blindness of the assessor. Finally, “concealed allocation” and “intention to treat” were rated positive in only two studies.

### Characteristics of included studies

#### Subjects

The seven studies reviewed included 285 older adults, with 126 participating in VR-based training (Wii Fit) and 159 in control groups. The number of subjects per group ranged from nine to 30 subjects, with a mean of 14.5 (SD 7.13) and 14.18 (SD 6.03) subjects in the VR and control groups, respectively. Mean group ages ranged between 61.1 and 85.7 years, with a mean age of over 70.0 years reported in five studies.

#### VR intervention

The majority of the studies utilized the Wii Balance Board for the VR interaction, and one also used the Wiimote console. With the exception of one study that included two groups training with the Wii, only one group participated in VR-based training in each study.

A variety of 27 games were used in the different studies. The number of different games per study varied from one to nine, with a mean of 5.85 (SD 2.85) games per study. “Soccer heading”, “slalom ski”, and “tight rope walk” were the most popular games and were used in four studies. The instructions regarding the interaction time with each game and the sequence of the games within the intervention period were extremely variable, as was the degree of detail provided to the reader regarding these parameters.

All the VR interventions were carried out in a clinical setting, and with the exception of one study, the participants were supervised during the interaction by an attending clinician. In two studies, additional precautions were taken, either by placing a chair in front of the participant or by using a gait belt, to allow for external support as necessary. In all studies, except for one in which subjects practiced in pairs, the games were played on a one-to-one basis.

#### Control interventions

Only in one study the control group received a placebo treatment as the subjects were informed that special copolymer
shoe insoles may aid their balance. In two studies with one control group, no treatment was offered; in one study that had two control groups, one received no treatment and the second received an alternative exercise program. In three additional studies, the control groups received an alternative exercise program. The alternative exercises for the control group varied between studies.

Duration and number of treatments
The duration of the VR intervention ranged from 6 to 20 weeks, with a mean of 10.57 (SD 4.85) weeks. The total number of treatments ranged from 12 to 24, with a mean of 19.14 (SD 4.29). The length of treatment ranged from 35 to 90 minutes, with a mean of 57.85 (SD 17.76) minutes. Total treatment time ranged from 480 to 2,160 minutes, with a mean of 1,145.7 (SD 547.5) minutes.

Outcome measures
Assessments were carried out pre-intervention and immediately post-intervention in all the studies. An additional mid-term assessment was conducted in only one study. No study included follow-up assessments.

All of the studies employed at least one outcome measure that examined change in functional balance performance. The most frequently used measure was the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test, which was tested in all but one study that used unipedal stance time (eyes open and closed) and the Tinetti Balance test instead. While two studies did not include any additional functional tests, most studies incorporated additional clinical tests, such as the chair stand test, which is considered both a functional test and a general assessment of lower extremity strength. Other clinical tests included were the functional reach test, the Tinetti static test, the one-leg stance test, obstacle course completion, and the ten-step test. In addition to clinical balance tests, four studies incorporated instrumented measures of postural sway.

Since no follow-up tests were included, none of the studies demonstrated a treatment effect on fall frequency. However, two studies included questionnaires examining treatment effect on fall risk, and four used a questionnaire to evaluate the intervention effect on fear of falling, which has been shown to be related to activity limitations and fall risk.

Other performance measures examined were fitness and muscle strength. The six-minute walk test was used to examine treatment effect on activity endurance in two studies and one study incorporated a senior fitness test. Effect on muscle strength was evaluated in three studies. Finally, measures of cognitive performance and depression were tested in only one study.

Treatment effect
Due to methodological variability and insufficient data, the effects of Wii-based training could not be pooled for a meta-analysis. Thus, for example, while TUG was the most frequently used test, incorporated in all but one study, the distance walked in each direction was 3 meters in three studies and 8 feet in three studies. These differences, as well as insufficient data in two of the studies, along with different control interventions made it impossible to perform a meta-analysis.

All four studies comparing the VR group with no treatment or with a sham treatment indicated that VR training had a significant positive effect on the TUG test. Among the three studies that compared the Wii-based program with a different exercise program, Pluchino et al reported no significant improvement in TUG for either treatment group, similar improvements in both groups were noted by Reed-Jones et al and greater improvements in TUG were reported for the group receiving an alternative exercise program in the third study.

Significantly greater effects were noted for the VR group when compared with a control group in the chair stand test, the six-minute walk test, and the Tinetti static test. Somewhat less consistent results were obtained when comparing the VR intervention with an alternative intervention. While Pluchino et al reported no significant effect on performance measures in either treatment group for the forward reach test and the Tinetti static test, Reed-Jones et al reported comparable improvements in both the VR-based and non-VR-based interventions for the chair stand test, the six-minute walk test, the forward reach test, the obstacle course test, and the Tinetti static test, and Singh et al reported comparable results for the ten-step test. A positive effect on postural sway measures were noted in three of four studies. Finally, the results of the balance self-efficacy questionnaires indicated improved self-confidence following Wii-based training in two studies, no significant improvement in one, and comparable positive results when the effect of Wii-based training was compared with an alternative exercise program.

Discussion
The present systematic review was conducted to evaluate the effects of VR-based training with the Wii gaming console on
Table 1 Study characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Subjects (n) (Males/females) Age, years Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>CG intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jorgensen et al</td>
<td>VR: 28 (9/19) 75.9±5.7; CG: 30 (9/21) 73.7±6.1</td>
<td>Wii Balance Board Balance games: table tilt; slalom; ski; perfect 10; right</td>
<td>CG: placebo, copolymer shoe insoles, used throughout, told may assist with balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rope walk; penguin slide; Strength exercise: rowing squat.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maillot et al</td>
<td>VR: 16 73.47±4.1; CG: 16 73.47±3.0</td>
<td>WiiMote and Balance Board Treatment period divided into three. Specific game</td>
<td>CG: no treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sequences per period. Games were played in pairs; tennis; boxing; soccer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>headers; marbles; ski jump; ski slalom; hula hoop; trampoline; return to</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>serve tennis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pluchino et al</td>
<td>VR: 12 (4/8) 70.72±8.46; CG1: 14 (6/8) 78.4±4.5; CG2: 14 (5/9) 76.0±7.74</td>
<td>Wii Balance Board VR: 5/8 balance games daily for 10 minutes each (game</td>
<td>CG1: Tai Chi Sun-style group program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>choice detailed). Games: soccer heading; ski slalom; ski jumping; table</td>
<td>CG2: group balance exercise program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>tilt; penguin slide; snowbird slalom; tightrope walker; river bubble.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reed-Jones et al</td>
<td>49 (14/35) Age: 67.5±5.9</td>
<td>Wii Balance Board VR group: replace 15 minutes of cardiovascular exercise</td>
<td>All groups: program with cardiovascular, strength, balance, and flexibility exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>with 15 VR, include 15 agility exercises as in CG2. Game: dodging objects</td>
<td>CG1: total 35 minutes of cardiovascular exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>standing.</td>
<td>CG2: 15 minutes of agility exercise instead of cardiovascular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rendon et al</td>
<td>VR: 16 85.7±4.3; CG: 18 83.3±6.2 35% male</td>
<td>Wii Balance Board Games: lunges; single leg extension; twists.</td>
<td>No treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singh et al</td>
<td>VR: 18 61.1±3.7; CG: 18 64.0±5.9</td>
<td>Wii Balance Board Games: ski slalom; table tilt; penguin slide; soccer</td>
<td>Five balance tasks: single leg stance; free leg swing; moving objects and walking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>heading; tight rope walk; perfect 10; tilt city</td>
<td>forward, backward and sideways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Progression at self-determined pace.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toullette et al</td>
<td>VR1: 9 (4/5) Males 70.2±8.8; Females 73.8±9.2</td>
<td>Wii Balance Board Games: soccer heading; ski jumping; downhill skiing;</td>
<td>CG1: exercise program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males 75.1±5.5; Females 76.8±4.7; CG1: 9 (3/6)</td>
<td>yoga; game balls; tight rope walk.</td>
<td>CG2: no treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males 84.3±5.1; Females 84.2±9.7; CG2: 9 (4/5)</td>
<td>Males 69.6±9.10; Females 71.8±8.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Author’s confirmation regarding no supervision of Wii interaction, with no adverse effects.

Abbreviations: VR, virtual reality (experimental group); CG, control group; TUG, Timed Up and Go; NS, not significant; ABC, Activities-specific Balance Confidence Scale; LE, lower extremities; UE, upper extremities; COP, center of pressure; FES, Falls Efficacy Scale; 6MWT, six-minute walk test; RFD, rate of force development; SD, standard deviation; PPA, Physiological Profile Approach; WiiMote, Wii Remote.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>1. Dwelling</th>
<th>Treatment/week × weeks; duration</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>Statistically significant changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jorgensen et al³⁰</td>
<td>1. Community</td>
<td>VR: 2×10 weeks; 35±5 minutes</td>
<td>Leg strength</td>
<td>↑ VR &gt; CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Clinic</td>
<td></td>
<td>RFD</td>
<td>↑ VR &gt; CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Yes</td>
<td>+25 minutes LE strength exercise</td>
<td>COP velocity moment</td>
<td>No group difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TUG (3 meters)</td>
<td>↓ VR &gt; CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FES (short form)</td>
<td>↓ VR &gt; CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chair Stand Test</td>
<td>↑ VR &gt; CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maillot et al³²</td>
<td>1. Community</td>
<td>VR: 2×12 weeks; 60 minutes</td>
<td>Senior fitness test</td>
<td>CG no change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Clinic</td>
<td></td>
<td>TUG (8 feet)</td>
<td>↓ VR &gt; CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>LE and UE strength</td>
<td>↑ VR &gt; CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UE flexibility</td>
<td>↑ VR &gt; CG in three of four measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heart rate in 6MWT</td>
<td>↑ VR &gt; CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cognitive tasks</td>
<td>↑ VR &gt; CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Executive function</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Visuospatial speed</td>
<td>↑ VR &gt; CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pluchino et al³³</td>
<td>1. Community</td>
<td>All groups: 2×8 weeks; 60 minutes</td>
<td>FES</td>
<td>NS time, NS interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. All: clinic</td>
<td>(5 minutes warm-up, 5 minutes cool-down, 50 exercises)</td>
<td>TUG (3 meters)</td>
<td>NS time, NS interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. VR: no*</td>
<td></td>
<td>One leg stance</td>
<td>NS time, NS interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Functional reach</td>
<td>NS time, NS interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tinetti test</td>
<td>NS time, NS interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Static and dynamic postural sway</td>
<td>Comparable improvements in all groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reed-Jones et al³⁴</td>
<td>1. Community</td>
<td>All groups: 2×12 weeks</td>
<td>UE strength</td>
<td>↑ All groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. All: clinic</td>
<td>90 minutes</td>
<td>6MWT</td>
<td>VR = CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Forward reach</td>
<td>VR = CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TUG (8 feet)</td>
<td>VR = CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fitness tests</td>
<td>VR = CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Obstacle course completion time</td>
<td>VR &gt; CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Obstacle course collisions</td>
<td>VR &gt; CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rendon et al³¹</td>
<td>1. Retirement community</td>
<td>VR: 3×6 weeks; 60 minutes (8 minutes cycling warm-up and 8 minutes cool-down, 35–45 exercises)</td>
<td>ABC</td>
<td>↑ VR &gt; CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Clinic</td>
<td>CG: none</td>
<td>Geriatric Depression Scale</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Yes + gait belt + external support as needed</td>
<td>TUG (8 feet)</td>
<td>↓ VR &gt; CG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singh et al³⁵⁻³⁶⁻³⁹</td>
<td>1. Community</td>
<td>VR and CG: 2×6 weeks; 40 minutes (10 minutes warm-up and cool-down; 30 minutes of exercise)</td>
<td>Fall risk (PPA)</td>
<td>↑ Both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. VR and CG: clinic</td>
<td></td>
<td>ABC-6 (short version)</td>
<td>↑ Both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Postural sway</td>
<td>↑ Both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TUG (3 meters)</td>
<td>↓ Both groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ten-step test</td>
<td>↓ Both, VR &lt; CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unipedal stance</td>
<td>↑ Both groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toullotte et al³⁶</td>
<td>1. Retirement center</td>
<td>All treatment groups</td>
<td>Unipedal stance</td>
<td>↑ VR2; ↑ CG1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. All except CG2: clinic</td>
<td>1×20 weeks; 60 minutes VR1: only VR</td>
<td>Tinetti (static)</td>
<td>↓ VR1; ↓ VR2; ↓ CG1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Yes + chair in front</td>
<td>VR2: 30 minutes exercise and 30 minutes VR</td>
<td>Tinetti (dynamic)</td>
<td>↓ VR2; ↓ CG1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>COP position</td>
<td>↑ VR2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
balance control and functional performance in independently functioning older adults. The literature review revealed a rapidly growing interest in the potential of this system as an exercise modality for this population. Whereas only sporadic reports that were not well controlled appeared in the literature in the first few years immediately following the introduction of Wii (2006–2011), seven randomized controlled studies examining the effects of Wii-based interventions on balance control among older adults living in the community were published in the years 2012–2014. However, the tremendous methodological variability among studies, as well as some methodological limitations, made it impossible to conduct a comprehensive meta-analysis.

The four studies examining the effects of Wii-based exercise programs in comparison with no exercise indicate that Wii-based training may serve as a means to improve balance control and self-confidence among community-dwelling older adults. The studies comparing Wii-based training with alternative exercise programs further support this conclusion, as the balance improvements achieved with Wii-based training were comparable with those achieved with other exercise programs in several of the tested outcome measures. Nevertheless, this conclusion must be regarded with caution. While both groups demonstrated improvements in TUG in the study by Singh et al, greater gains were noted in the group that completed a conventional exercise program. In contrast, Pluchino et al showed comparable improvements only in measures of postural sway, with no change in functional balance performance in either treatment group. Finally, while Reed-Jones et al reported comparable improvements in balance performance measures in all treatment groups, it should be noted that the group receiving Wii-based training also participated in additional balance and strength exercises that may have led to the observed improvements. However, this study demonstrated that substituting 15 minutes of cardiovascular training with Wii-based training enhanced the subjects’ obstacle avoidance behavior. This may be related to improved visual processing and attention, which are targeted during Wii-based training. Thus, the advantage of VR-based training may contribute to processes not captured by the more standard performance measures examined in most studies. As the fall incidence in older adults has been shown to be related to their ability to maintain balance while performing cognitively demanding tasks (ie, dual tasking), future studies should further investigate the effects of VR-based training on these abilities and their relationship to fall prevention.

To be suitable as a home-based treatment modality, it is necessary to determine that older adults can use the Wii
system safely without supervision. Only one of the reviewed articles noted that the subjects performed the Wii-based intervention at their own convenience, albeit at the clinic, but apparently without supervision. Further, only one article addressed the issue of adverse effects, stating that none were reported. Thus, based on this systematic review, it is not possible to make a statement regarding the suitability of this intervention for independent use by older adults. To the best of our knowledge, only two non-randomized studies examined home usage of the Wii system for balance enhancement in older adults. Agmon et al in a pilot study of seven subjects with impaired balance, modified two of the four Wii games used in order to ensure the participants’ safety. Additionally, more than five 30-minute training sessions were necessary beyond the first week of use to ensure that the participants were confident with the activities. In a more recent study, a group of 21 elderly subjects with no balance impairments undertook unsupervised Wii-based balance training performed in pairs. During a six-week treatment period (30-minute interactions three times per week), two subjects ceased participation due to exacerbation of low back pain, which did not require medical treatment. No acute adverse events were reported during the VR interaction. The ability to use the Wii system independently in the home environment must be considered in future studies, taking into account the subjects’ ages as well as factors such as initial balance performance, comorbidities and cognitive states.

A variety of games were used in the different studies, of which the three most popular were the “soccer heading”, “slalom ski”, and “tight rope walk”. These games were probably chosen since they require adequate core muscle control and upper and lower limb muscle strength for rapid and accurate movements. The games challenged the subjects’ balance by requiring them to lean in different directions and to take sharp turns, thus shifting their center of mass to the limits of their base of support. The chosen games also appeared to encourage moderate to vigorous physical exertion. It is interesting to note, that in the pilot study noted above, Agmon et al reported safety concerns and muscle strains with the “basic step” and “soccer heading” games, respectively. Yet, “soccer heading” was employed in four of the seven studies included in the present review. Future studies are necessary to optimize the use of Wii-based balance training in terms of game choice and treatment intensity.

It has been repeatedly suggested that engaging in fun and challenging VR games may strongly affect an individual’s motivation to apply maximal effort and thus increase overall compliance with treatment. Although level of motivation and enjoyment were not documented in the reviewed articles, Wii-based interventions have been reported as enjoyable for balance training in nonimpaired elderly adults, as well as in individuals following traumatic brain injury. Further, one of the reviewed articles reported a very high level of adherence to the program and an interest in continued use of this modality at home. In addition to their effect on compliance, Wii-based games are well suited for home-based exercise programs, as they are relatively inexpensive, and do not require large spaces or additional peripheral equipment. These important attributes render this modality particularly appealing for older adults, who are expected to engage in exercise programs to counter the negative consequences of aging and a sedentary lifestyle, but may find it difficult to participate in formal exercise activities that entail time, place, and cost constraints.

In conclusion, the present review of seven randomized controlled trials indicates that engaging older adults living in the community in a Wii-based exercise program is feasible and may enhance their balance capabilities. Thus, such programs may serve as an alternative to more conventional forms of exercise aimed at improving balance control. However, studies vary greatly in terms of their methodological quality and the intervention protocols and outcome measures used. Better designed, randomized controlled studies, including larger group sizes, follow-up assessments, as well as more standardized protocols and outcome measures are necessary before definitive statements can be made regarding the potential of a Wii-based intervention as a safe and effective home-based treatment for community living, elderly individuals. Future studies should provide more detailed guidelines as to the optimal treatment and implementation protocols.
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