Evaluation of bactericidal activity of Hannon honey on slowly growing bacteria in the chemostat

Najib Sufya, Noora Matar, Rawanda Kaddura, Abdulaziz Zorgani

Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Tripoli, Tripoli, Libya

Abstract: There is renewed interest in the therapeutic use of honey, including use in the treatment of infected wounds and burn patients. In this study, we have assessed the antibacterial activity of Libyan floral Hannon honey on *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*, both known to infect wounds. The effects of four concentrations (5%–30%) of honey were compared with that of four antibiotics (ampicillin, tetracycline, polymyxin, and ciprofloxacin) on the growth of these bacteria at early log, mid log, and late log phases. It has been shown that *E. coli* and *S. aureus* are to some degree susceptible during mid log phase compared with late log phase, demonstrated by their complete resistance to antibiotics. Chemostat culture was used to investigate the effect of honey on *E. coli* grown at a steady state with specific growth rates between 0.1 to 0.5 hour\(^{-1}\). The rate of killing was distinctly clear during the two stages of growth monitored: there was a relatively moderate reduction at the slow growth phase (0.1 to 0.3 hour\(^{-1}\)), while a dramatic reduction was obtained at the fast growth phase (0.3 to 0.5 hour\(^{-1}\)), reaching a complete reduction at 0.5 hour\(^{-1}\). These results complement data using the cup-cut technique. The antibacterial effect of honey was concentration and time dependent, the bactericidal effect was indeed observed at low concentrations, it demonstrates that the honey has more impact on slow growing bacteria than antibiotics have. We suggest that more reduction could be achieved at higher concentrations of honey. These results may have important clinical implications, such as for the management of wound and burn patients.
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Introduction

Honey has been used for thousands of years as an empirical compound for the treatment of wounds and prevention of infection. Many studies have shown that honey strongly reduces bacterial colonization and accelerates wound healing compared with silver sulfadiazine treatment.\(^1\) The emergence of bacterial resistance to a wide range of antibiotics has led to a reevaluation of natural therapeutic agents including honey.\(^4\) Many studies have evaluated the therapeutic proprieties of manuka honey against a wide range of medically important bacteria.\(^5\)–\(^8\) However, honey is produced from many floral sources, and its antimicrobial activity varies markedly with its origin and processing.\(^9\)–\(^11\) This variation can be attributed to differences in the enzymatic action and in the presence of additional antimicrobial components, such as methylglyoxal derived from the floral sources.\(^12\)–\(^14\) The antibacterial activity of honey is highly complex due to the involvement of multiple compounds and due to the large variation in the concentrations of these compounds in honey.\(^15\)
Growth of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *S. aureus*, and *E. coli* is known to be inhibited by honey.\(^{16-19}\) It has been known that stationary phase where bacteria are slow growing exhibit general resistance to a variety of antimicrobial agents. On the other hand, exponentially growing bacteria exhibit a significant susceptibility to deployed bacteria. In this study, we investigated the ability of the local honey (Hannon) to inactivate *S. aureus* and *E. coli* isolates at different growth rates ranging from exponential to late exponential-stationary phase where the growth rate at the latter approaches zero (dormant, quiescent) compared with a variety of antimicrobials.

**Materials and methods**

**Honey**
The Hannon honey samples used in this study were obtained from commercial producers in Tripoli, Libya. Prior to assay, samples of honey were diluted with sterile phosphate buffer (0.01 M) to give final concentrations of (v/v) 5% (7 g/mL), 10% (14 g/mL), 15% (21 g/mL), 20% (28 g/mL), 25% (35 g/mL), and 30% (42 g/mL) honey; diluted samples were frozen until use.\(^{20}\) Experiments used Hannon honey that was autoclaved before dilution as well as nonautoclaved honey. All procedures were carried out under aseptic conditions.

**Bacteria**
Two bacteria common to wound infections were used in the antimicrobial assays, *E. coli* (ATCC C600) and *S. aureus* (ATCC 6538). Antibiotic disks used throughout this study were ampicillin 10 μg, ciprofloxacin 5 μg, tetracycline 30 μg, and polymixin 300 μg (Oxoid). Nutrient broth, nutrient agar, and Mueller Hinton broth and agar were used throughout the study (BDH, Poole, UK).

**Modes of bacterial growth**

**Batch culture**
Bacterial growth was maintained at both early and mid log exponential phases followed by late exponential phase. This was achieved by establishing the growth curve for both control organisms under fixed conditions. This was determined by observation of absorbance of aliquots from the bacterial cultures at 470 nm (1 cm path). Absorbance was measured using a spectrophotometer (PU 8675 Vis spectrophotometer; Philips). The late exponential phase, prior to entry into the stationary phase, was determined to be at \(A_{210nm} = 1.2\).

**Continuous culture**
Continuous culture using chemically defined medium was performed to extrapolate the effect of various antibiotics compared with that of Hannon honey on the *E. coli* isolate. This was conducted deploying small scale (60 mL) all glass chemostats using a simple salt medium with glycerol as the sole carbon source.\(^{21,22}\) Specific growth rates (from the fastest to the slowest) were achieved between 0.1 and 0.5 hour\(^{-1}\) at a steady state of at least seven volume changes as described by Gilbert and Brown.\(^{23}\) Specifically, 0.1 hour\(^{-1}\) was the target dilution rate to evaluate the ability of honey to inactivate slow growing (dormant) populations of *E. coli*.

**Antimicrobial susceptibility experiments**
Antimicrobial susceptibility experiments for honey were performed by starting with specific cfu \(\cdot\) mL\(^{-1}\). This was conducted by transferring 5 mL of the exponential and late exponential-stationary phase broth cultures (optical density 470 nm, \(A_{0.9}\), and 1.2, respectively) for both *E. coli* and *S. aureus* strains, or from the chemostat culture of *E. coli* at specific dilution rate to 15 mL sterile 0.9% w/v normal saline to place the bacteria at a concentration of \(1\times10^8\) cfu \(\cdot\) mL\(^{-1}\). A 1 mL sample was taken at 15 minute intervals up to 5 hours and then at 24 hours, where the serial dilutions were performed and the viable count calculated using the spread plate technique. Viable culture counts were performed by transferring 100 μL of each sample taken onto predried nutrient agar plates and then incubated for 24 hours prior to colony counting. Results were expressed as the log of survivors and the percentage log of survivors for Hannon honey against exposure time. These processes were used to set up the survival curve (dose-response curve). The Hannon honey dilution series was added to tubes with known number of bacteria (cfu \(\cdot\) mL\(^{-1}\)) and incubated overnight. The number of bacteria were then estimated in each test tube using the spread plate technique where the bacterial colony found on each plate is a function of the antimicrobial activity of the honey. All experiments were repeated twice, and the mean was used in our experiments. A control was performed for each experiment alongside the test samples. Antibiotic susceptibility tests were performed according to Kirby–Bauer Disc Diffusion method, and the antibiotic susceptibility/resistances were determined according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.\(^{24}\) In the case of honey, the cup-cut agar method was also performed, and data were compared and extrapolated.

**Results**
The effect of the four antibiotics tested on *E. coli* and *S. aureus* clearly varied depending on growth phase (Table 1). For *E. coli*, polymixin, tetracycline, and ciprofloxacin affected early log phase growth. During the mid log phase, an increased killing efficiency was demonstrated by polymixin and ciprofloxacin,
Table 1 The effect of a variety of antibiotics on bacterial growth at three different phases of *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth phases</th>
<th>Type of antibiotic</th>
<th>Zone of inhibition/mm <em>E. coli</em></th>
<th>Zone of inhibition/mm <em>S. aureus</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early log phase</td>
<td>Ampicillin 10 µg</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polymyxin 30 µg</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tetracycline 30 µg</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ciprofloxacin 5 µg</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid log phase</td>
<td>Ampicillin 10 µg</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polymyxin 30 µg</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tetracycline 30 µg</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ciprofloxacin 5 µg</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late log phase</td>
<td>Ampicillin 10 µg</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polymyxin 30 µg</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tetracycline 30 µg</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ciprofloxacin 5 µg</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14 mm (40%) and 34 mm (34%) respectively. However, ampicillin showed no activity during early and mid log phases. Late log phase populations were resistant to all antibiotics. Meanwhile, *S. aureus* resistance was more evident during all growth phases compared with *E. coli*. This was clearly obvious against all antibiotics examined, with the exception of ciprofloxacin as follows: 28 mm zone of inhibition observed at early log phase, while during mid log phase, it showed an enhanced activity by about 14% but no activity at late log phase.

Table 2 shows the antibacterial activity of Hannon honey on *E. coli* cultures in mid log and late log phases of growth. Sustained antibacterial activity on mid log phase was clearly displayed between the control and the test. At concentrations of 5%, 15%, and 30% (v/v) of the nonautoclaved honey, viable counts dramatically decreased from 41 to 2 colonies (95.1%). Further reduction of the population (97.6%) was obtained at 30% v/v. In the case of late log phase populations, Hannon honey showed evidence of antibacterial action. Although no killing of the population was exhibited at 5% where the number of colonies after exposure remained 300 cfu · mL⁻¹, a 72% reduction was achieved at 30% concentration of honey. The autoclaved honey samples showed similar results, with an increased magnitude of activity at 30% concentration of honey (data not shown). All bacterial numbers were noticed after 1 hour sampling time were further sampling time plates did not show any colony. Similar effects were noticed against *S. aureus* (data not shown).

Continuous culture results were derived from performing chemostat experiments of *E. coli* populations grown at a steady state with specific growth rates between 0.1 to 0.5 hour⁻¹. *E. coli* was exposed to Hannon honey at concentrations of 5%, 15%, and 30% (v/v). The effect of the honey was observed during all growth rates of *E. coli* (Figure 1). There was a relatively moderate reduction at the slow growth phase (0.1 to 0.3 hour⁻¹) but a dramatic reduction at the fast growth phase (0.3 to 0.5 hour⁻¹). The percentage of log survival reached a complete (zero survival) reduction at 0.5 hour⁻¹ when exposed to honey at a concentration of 30%.

**Discussion**

Emerging evidence from clinical studies suggests that honey is at least as effective as conventional antimicrobial therapy in healing wounds, particularly in very refractory cases, such as in individuals with diabetes, the elderly, and extensively burned patients; however, further clinical studies are necessary for robust statistical appraisal. To date, more than 80 different microbial species associated with wound infections have been shown to inhibit growth of pathogens including *S. aureus* in vitro. Hannon honey was also effective against *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* in vitro.

**Figure 1** The effect of various concentrations (v/v) of honey on *Escherichia coli* populations at various growth rates.

**Note:** ◆, 5% concentration; ▲, 15% concentration; ♦, 30% concentration.

Table 2 The effect of nonautoclaved honey on mid log and late log phase growth of *Escherichia coli*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration of honey (%)</th>
<th>Number of colonies</th>
<th>Mid log phase</th>
<th>Late log phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nonautoclaved</td>
<td>Nonautoclaved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of colonies %</td>
<td>Number of colonies %</td>
<td>Number of colonies %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

be inhibited by honey.\textsuperscript{38–31} The failure of antibiotic treatments to eliminate certain bacterial infections has become both more evident and better understood in the past several decades. In the current study, the use of low concentrations of natural honey had a high potency antibacterial activity especially for slow growing bacteria compared with antibiotics, which would be generally useful in a clinical situations.

The susceptibility of \textit{E. coli} and \textit{S. aureus} has been investigated compared with four types of antibiotics at three different stages of bacterial growth. The influence of growth phase on the resistance of these bacteria was exhibited at late stage of bacterial growth phase. It has been shown that \textit{E. coli} and \textit{S. aureus} are to some degree susceptible during mid log phase compared with late log phase. This was obvious against all antibiotics tested. The efficacy of ciprofloxacin was evident for both bacteria during early and mid log phases but declined during late log phase. On the other hand, ampicillin showed no activity at any stage. \textit{S. aureus} resistance was clearly demonstrated during all growth phases compared with \textit{E. coli}. However, during late log phase for both types of bacteria was equally demonstrated their complete resistance to antibiotics. These results correlate with early investigations that early and mid log phases are less resistant compared with late log phase cells.\textsuperscript{32,33}

Hannon honey, whether autoclaved or nonautoclaved, showed a sustained level of antibacterial activity during mid log phase. This was clearly displayed in the difference in bacterial count between the control and the test. At 5% v/v of the nonautoclaved honey, the number of bacteria was dramatically decreased (95.1%) from 41 colonies to 2 colonies. Further reduction of the population (97.6%) was obtained at 30% v/v, which was consistent with previous reports that found therapeutic manuka honey was 3.7% for \textit{E. coli} and 5.8%–10.8% for \textit{P. aeruginosa}. Similar results were shown to exhibit antibacterial activity following treatment with other honey types.\textsuperscript{10,11,34} Hannon honey has shown less antibacterial action during late log phase. Although no killing of the population was exhibited at 5% of honey, but it retained superior continuous bactericidal activity from 36.8% and reached 72% of bacterial population at the 30% concentration of honey. The autoclaved honey samples showed similar results, with an increased magnitude of activity from 10% to 30% concentration of honey (data not shown). A similar effect was noticed against \textit{S. aureus} (data not shown).

These results emphasize previous studies that showed that slowly growing bacteria (late log phase) are less susceptible to antibiotic action than those grown at optimum rates.\textsuperscript{35,36} This might be partially explained by phenotypic characteristic differences.\textsuperscript{37–39} In this study, no antibiotics had any effect on \textit{E. coli} and \textit{S. aureus} during late log phase compared to honey, a 72% reduction at the 30% concentration demonstrates that honey has a superior action on slow growing bacteria than antibiotics, and we suggest that more reduction could be achieved at a higher concentration of honey.

Chemostat is the only currently available method that allows bacterial growth rates to be controlled over a wide range under otherwise constant conditions. Chemostat cultures are therefore an invaluable tool in efforts to study the effects of reduced growth rates characteristic of bacteria growing in vivo. We have used chemostat cultures to investigate the effect of honey on bacterial growth rate on honey activity. The results presented herein show that the bactericidal activity of honey was exhibited on \textit{E. coli} populations and grown at a steady state with specific growth rates between 0.1 to 0.5 hour\textsuperscript{-1}. \textit{E. coli} was exposed to Hannon honey at concentrations of 5%, 15%, and 30% (v/v). The rate of killing was distinctively clear during the two stages of growth rate; there was a relatively moderate reduction at the slow growth phase (0.1 to 0.3 hour\textsuperscript{-1}), then there was dramatic reduction at the fast growth phase (0.3 to 0.5 hour\textsuperscript{-1}), the percentage of log survival reached a complete (zero survival) reduction at 0.5 hour\textsuperscript{-1} when exposed to honey at a concentration of 30%. These results complement the above data using the cup-cut technique.

Given the difficulty in treating infected chronic wounds due to multiresistant bacteria, honey is increasingly being used as a topical treatment for these wounds. There are several reports of its successful application in the treatment of chronic wound infections not responding to antibiotic therapy.\textsuperscript{40} In addition, honey could effectively complement standard antibiotics, especially in general wounds and in burn wound infections caused by \textit{E. coli}, \textit{Staphylococci}, \textit{Pseudomonas}, and \textit{Streptococci}.\textsuperscript{41–44}

**Conclusion**

In contrast to antibiotics, the antibacterial effect of Hannon honey was concentration and time dependent, the bactericidal effect was indeed observed at low concentration. The high antibacterial activity exerted by Hannon honey, and the possible benefits in clinical implications of bacterial infections, warrant further investigation.
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