Tardive dyskinesia in a South Asian population with first episode psychosis treated with antipsychotics
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Background: Tardive dyskinesia (TD) is a side effect of antipsychotic treatment that often only appears after months or years of treatment. A systematic review of randomized controlled trials lasting more than 1 year showed that second-generation antipsychotics (SGAs) were associated with an approximately fivefold lower risk of TD compared to haloperidol in patients with chronic schizophrenia. In contrast, there is little research on the risk of TD with other first-generation antipsychotics (FGAs), and this applies especially to their use in the treatment of patients with first episode psychosis (FEP).

Objectives: To determine the severity and point prevalence of TD in a naturalistic sample of patients with FEP in Pakistan treated with FGAs or SGAs.

Methods: This was an observational study. TD was assessed by trained clinicians using the Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale.

Results: In the total sample (number = 86) the mean age of patients was 26 years and the prevalence of TD (Schooler Kane criteria) was 29% with no significant difference between those treated with FGAs and SGAs (31% FGAs versus 26% SGAs; $P=0.805$). The Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale total score (items 1–7), a measure of the severity of TD, was significantly higher for patients treated with FGAs versus those treated with SGAs ($P=0.033$). Scores on specific items showed that this reflected higher scores for dyskinesia affecting the muscles of facial expression, as well as of the upper and lower limb, whereas scores did not differ significantly in other body areas.

Conclusion: FGAs were associated with greater severity, though not prevalence, of TD than SGAs. The study highlights the relatively high rate of TD in Asian FEP patients and the need for clinicians to monitor for this and other potential antipsychotic side effects during treatment.
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Introduction

First-generation antipsychotics (FGAs) were first introduced in the mid-20th century and they remain an important treatment option for many patients suffering from schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders, particularly in developing countries.1 Despite their efficacy in treating psychotic symptoms, FGAs are perceived by many clinicians to be associated with a relatively high risk of extrapyramidal side effects (EPS). Second-generation antipsychotics (SGAs) were specifically developed and marketed as having a lower risk of EPS.2 However, the Clinical Antipsychotic Trials of Intervention Effectiveness (CATIE) study3 showed no significant difference in the incidence of Parkinsonism, dystonia, akathisia, or tardive dyskinesia (TD) between patients treated...
with SGAs and the FGA perphenazine. This finding has been supported by other recent large trials, including the Cost Utility of the Latest Antipsychotics in Schizophrenia Study Band 1 (CUtLASS-1), which demonstrated no significant difference between the rates of emergent Parkinsonism, akathisia, or TD in patients treated with FGAs versus those treated with SGAs. It seems that the marketing of SGAs as medications with a relatively low EPS risk reflected over-generalization of the results of industry-sponsored randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that used haloperidol, often at a relatively high dose, as the FGA comparator. Haloperidol is a high-potency dopamine D2 receptor antagonist and has a high risk of causing EPS. In contrast, low-potency FGAs, such as chlorpromazine, have a much lower propensity to cause EPS. It has been argued that the division of antipsychotics into FGAs and SGAs is an unhelpful dichotomy, as these are “pseudo-classes”, each containing individual drugs that differ markedly in the relative risk of a wide range of side effects. Despite this, “FGA” and “SGA” remain widely used terms.

Surveys show that a clinician’s choice of antipsychotic still strongly favors SGAs, and this is supported by prescribing data. In addition, there have been criticisms of the newer research, including CATIE and CUtLASS, and it is possible that some clinicians may regard the evidence from these studies as unrepresentative, just as authors of these studies did of earlier research that was dominated by studies comparing SGAs to haloperidol.

Much of the data concerning the side effect profiles of FGAs and SGAs stem from research conducted on patients with chronic schizophrenia with relatively little data available from first episode psychosis (FEP) patients. A comprehensive meta-analysis by Leucht et al of 150 RCTs that compared FGAs to SGAs included only five trials of FEP patients. Sanger et al found that FEP patients are more likely to develop EPS, even though they are treated with lower mean doses of antipsychotics. A recent systematic review highlighted the vulnerability of patients with FEP to develop EPS when treated with haloperidol, even at a low dose, and reaffirmed guidelines that suggest that FEP patients should commence treatment with lower doses of antipsychotics than are used in patients with recurrent psychotic disorders. Some data suggest that Asian and Afro–Caribbean patients require lower doses of psychotropic drugs and are more prone to develop side effects than Caucasians. Both genetic and environmental factors may contribute. A review by Chaudhry et al suggested that although there was a strong reasoning towards genotype derived pharmacy, there are also known environmental factors that play a role in drug metabolism. These authors suggested that further research was needed to understand how treatments varied across ethnicities.

In this paper, we report a secondary analysis of baseline data from a previously reported RCT that recruited patients within 5 years of a diagnosis of schizophrenia, who were then randomized to placebo or minocycline in addition to continuing their existing antipsychotic, irrespective of whether it was an FGA or SGA. The primary study, funded by Stanley Medical Research Institute, recruited inpatients and outpatients from Brazil and Pakistan and followed them for up to 3 years. This secondary analysis only considered the baseline data from the participants recruited in Pakistan. The aim of the study was to determine the prevalence and severity of TD in a representative cohort of Asian patients with FEP. To the best of our knowledge, there are few comparable studies.

Methods
Inclusion and exclusion criteria
The inclusion and exclusion criteria are reflective of the primary RCT by Chaudhry et al (Table 1). Full details of the study design and methodology are given in the primary manuscript. In addition, for this secondary analysis, patients who were treated with a combination of an FGA and an SGA were excluded.

Participants
Patients were recruited from government- and charity-funded hospitals in two cities in Pakistan, Karachi and Rawalpindi. Patients were within their first 5 years of a diagnosis of schizophrenia, diagnosed using the structured clinical interview for the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition with schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, schizophreniform disorder, or psychosis not otherwise specified. The antipsychotics prescribed at baseline included haloperidol, flupentixol, fluphenazine, trifluoperazine, aripiprazole, risperidone, and olanzapine.

Outcome measures and statistical analysis
Research assistants rated TD using the Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale (AIMS) at baseline before patients commenced minocycline or placebo. All the research assistants were provided with training in the use of the AIMS at a university department. The AIMS is a widely used observed completed scale that allows the severity of TD to be assessed. Various criteria can be applied to the AIMS
to allow a diagnosis of TD, including the Schooler and Kane criteria, which were used in this study. Thus, participants who had one score of 3 (moderate) or two scores of 2 (mild) on AIMS items 1–7, covering observed movements, were deemed to have TD.

Mean scores and standard deviations for each of the seven individual bodily areas on the AIMS (items 1–7) plus the total score of items 1–7 (items that represent the overall severity of TD) were calculated for those treated with FGAs versus SGAs. Means and standard deviations were not the most appropriate measures for these ordinal data, but they are presented here because they provide a more sensitive descriptive statistic compared with the median, which is the more usual measure for ordinal data. However because the data were ordinal, the Mann–Whitney U nonparametric test of the difference between the two groups was conducted. The nonparametric test provides the mean rank, and it is the mean rank that was compared in the test. Calculations were carried out using IBM SPSS 20.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results
Patients were recruited from May 2006–April 2007. From the 114 Pakistani patients who consented to take part in the main RCT, 86 patients completed the AIMS – of whom, 55 were prescribed an FGA and 31 were prescribed an SGA (Figure 1). Although there was little difference in the two groups in terms of their age and years of education, there was a very relatively low number of female patients taking SGAs compared with FGAs (Table 2). A total of 94.7% of patients were from a middle-class or lower background.

All 86 patients included in the analysis were prescribed antipsychotics within the range recommended by the British National Formulary. In the FGA group, 26 patients were prescribed fluphenazine deaconate (mean dose: 56.5 mg/week), 23 patients were prescribed haloperidol

### Table 1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria in the minocycline study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Patients aged 18–65 years</td>
<td>1. Relevant medical illness (renal, hepatic, cardiac, serious dermatological disorders such as exfoliative dermatitis, systemic lupus erythematosus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. DSM-IV diagnosis of schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, psychosis not otherwise specified, or schizoaffective disorder</td>
<td>2. Prior history of intolerance to any tetracyclines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Within the first 5 years of diagnosis</td>
<td>3. Concomitant penicillin therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Competent and willing to give informed consent</td>
<td>4. Concomitant anticoagulant therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Stable on medication 4 weeks prior to baseline</td>
<td>5. Presence of seizure disorder, not including clozapine-induced seizures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Able to take oral medication</td>
<td>6. Currently taking valproic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. If female, willing to use adequate contraceptive precautions and to have monthly pregnancy tests</td>
<td>7. Any change of psychotropic medications within the previous 6 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. Diagnosis of substance abuse (except nicotine or caffeine), or dependence within the last 3 months according to DSM-IV criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9. Pregnant or breastfeeding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data from Chaudhry et al.

Abbreviation: DSM-IV, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition.
(mean dose: 11.2 mg/day), 13 patients were prescribed trifluoperazine (mean dose: 9.6 mg/day), and six patients received flupentixol deaconate (mean dose: 84 mg/week). Thirteen patients in the FGA group were prescribed more than one FGA simultaneously. In the SGA group, 18 patients were prescribed risperidone (mean dose: 4.2 mg/day), ten were prescribed olanzapine (mean dose: 15.6 mg/day), and three patients were prescribed aripiprazole (mean dose: 11.6 mg/day). A number of patients were taking other psychotropic medications including procyclidine (number [n] =43), benzhoux (n=13), carbamazepine (n=7), lithium (n=6), sodium valproate (n=5), clomipramine (n=3), and various benzodiazepines (n=21).

There was no statistically significant difference in the proportion of patients diagnosed with TD according to the Schoeller and Kane criteria, depending on the antipsychotic prescribed at baseline (31% FGAs versus 26% SGAs; P=0.805). However, patients prescribed SGAs scored lower on the sum of the first seven items on the AIMS, and they also had lower scores for abnormal movements in the muscles of facial expression and those of the upper and lower extremities (Table 3). When the sexes were separated, the results showed that the movements of facial expression were significantly worse (P=0.022) in male patients, but all other results showed no statistical difference between individual items.

At baseline, 37 patients also completed the Udvalg for Kliniske Undersøgelser side effect scale (UKU).25 The individual scores showed that patients on FGAs experienced statistically worse inner unrest/tension (P=0.013), whereas SGA patients had a significantly greater tendency to experience sweating (P=0.042).

### Discussion

Although Pakistan is the sixth largest country by population, very few studies of antipsychotic use in FEP patients have been conducted in this country, and none have compared the side effects of FGAs and SGAs. The strengths of the current study included the rating of TD using a standardized rating scale (AIMS), the diagnosis of TD using accepted operational criteria, and the assessment of a representative group of patients with FEP. The use of the AIMS allowed us to make comparisons to other studies that have used this instrument.26,27 In our sample, the mean age for those prescribed FGAs and SGAs was approximately 26 years and 25 years, respectively, and all patients were within 5 years of diagnosis of schizophrenia. The main weakness of the study is that the data were observational (ie, allocation to an FGA or SGA was not randomized). Consequently, we can only report an association between the baseline antipsychotic and TD; we cannot assume that the prevalence and/or severity of TD in those treated with FGAs versus SGAs is solely due to the antipsychotic “class” prescribed. Other factors that could

### Table 2 Demographics of 86 patients included in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Education (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGA (n=55)</td>
<td>20 (36%)</td>
<td>35 (64%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA (n=31)</td>
<td>25 (81%)</td>
<td>6 (19%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** SD, standard deviation; FGA, first-generation antipsychotic; n, number; SGA, second-generation antipsychotic.

### Table 3 Summary of scores for AIMS items 1–7 for patients treated with FGA or SGA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FGA scores (n=55)*</th>
<th>SGA scores (n=31)*</th>
<th>Mean rank</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean score</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean score</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Muscles of facial expression</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.821</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Lips and perioral area</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.738</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Jaw</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.547</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Tongue</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Upper body (extremity movements)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.902</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Lower body (extremity movements)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Trunk movements</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Severity of abnormal movements</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.031</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Incapacitation due to abnormal movements</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.726</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Patient awareness of abnormal movements</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of AIMS score for the first seven items only</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>4.373</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>5.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** *AIMS scores (none =0; minimal =1; mild =2; moderate =3; severe =4). *Mann–Whitney U nonparametric test of the difference between the two groups (mean ranks are compared in the test). **Abbreviations:** AIMS, Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale; FGA, first-generation antipsychotic; SGA, second-generation antipsychotic; n, number; SD, standard deviation.
influence our results include differences between the FGA and SGA groups in terms of patient sociodemographic variables, mean antipsychotic dose, the use of anticholinergic medication and benzodiazepines, the total duration of antipsychotic exposure, and the differences in prevalence of TD prior to the commencement of antipsychotic treatment. The dose ranges of all antipsychotics prescribed at baseline were within the ranges recommended by the British National Formulary.24

Our 29% prevalence rate for TD is lower than the 10.4% prevalence rate seen in the observational European SOHO (Schizophrenia Outpatient Health Outcome) study at baseline.28 The SOHO figure was based on the treating psychiatrist’s rating of TD being present, irrespective of its effect on patient functioning or quality of life.28 Variation between studies in the methodology used to detect and rate side effects can be a major problem when attempting to make comparisons about the rates of adverse effects between individual studies.29 The 29% prevalence rate for TD in our study is also higher than the 14% prevalence rate for TD seen at baseline in the United Kingdom CUtLASS band 1 study3 which, like our study, used the Scholer and Kane criteria. In summary, it seems that our sample of Asian FEP has a higher prevalence of TD than that seen in Caucasian patients with chronic schizophrenia.

We found that dyskinetic movements were more severe in those patients prescribed FGAs than those taking SGAs in specific body areas – namely, the upper and lower body extremities (P = 0.012 and P = 0.015, respectively), and the muscles of facial expression (P = 0.018). In addition, the overall severity of TD, based on the total AIMS score of the first seven items, was greater in patients prescribed FGAs than in those prescribed SGAs (P = 0.033). This could partly reflect the relatively higher mean dose of haloperidol (11.2 mg/day) and the fact that some patients received more than one FGA drug simultaneously. Although we observed a statistically significant difference in the severity of some individual AIMS items (Table 3), the mean AIMS scores for patients in both the FGA and SGA groups were between 0 and 1.2 on all of the individual movement items. This suggests that differences in abnormal movements, despite their statistical significance, may be of debatable clinical importance.

A total of 37 patients in our study completed the UKU at baseline. This 48-item scale encompasses a wide range of side effects. The individual scores showed that patients on FGAs experienced statistically worse inner unrest/tension (P = 0.013), whereas SGA patients had a significantly greater tendency to experience sweating (P = 0.042). Differences between other symptoms on the scale were not significant when the two drug groups were compared. The interpretation of these results is unclear given that only 37 patients completed the UKU. The UKU requires a large degree of time and patience on behalf of the patient and their clinician, and the lack of assessment in our patients is likely a reflection of the time taken to complete this side effect assessment.

The CATIE study3 found no significant differences in the rates of emergent EPS between SGAs and perphenazine. One of the criticisms of the CATIE study was the use of perphenazine as the FGA comparator.12 Perphenazine was chosen by the CATIE investigators, not to reflect clinical practice, but because they thought it could be used effectively at modest doses with a low risk of EPS.30 Moreover, consumer groups advised that haloperidol would be an unwise drug choice, as patients could be reluctant to enter the study.11 Patients with pre-existing TD were excluded from the perphenazine arm of the study. The CATIE finding that perphenazine caused the same rates of TD as the individual SGAs assessed31 cannot be generalized to all FGAs. A secondary analysis of the CUtLASS-1 study4 found that the SGA cohort did not differ to the FGA cohort in terms of the rate of emergent and resolved EPS. The CUtLASS-1 study included only a small proportion of patients who were prescribed haloperidol. In contrast, sulpiride (probably the most atypical FGA) was the most widely used FGA in CUtLASS-1. The CATIE and CUtLASS-1 studies do not contradict evidence that lower rates of TD are found in patients taking SGAs compared to patients prescribed haloperidol.31 Our finding of no difference in the prevalence of TD between patients prescribed FGAs and SGAs is consistent with the EPS results in CATIE and CUtLASS-1. However, once again we highlight that, unlike CATIE and CUtLASS-1, our study is nonrandomized.

The severity and clinical course of TD vary markedly between individuals.32,33 TD is thought to reflect dopaminergic overactivity,34 which is paradoxical given that all effective antipsychotics are dopamine receptor antagonists. It has been suggested that this paradox is due to dopamine receptor antagonism caused by antipsychotics, resulting in a compensatory state of “super-sensitivity” in the postsynaptic dopamine receptor.35,36 Chakos et al37 additionally suggested that TD reflected a disease-related vulnerability, and that factors including neurodevelopmental structural changes may have a role to play. We cannot ascertain how many of the patients in our sample had TD prior to commencement with the antipsychotic medication. Prevalence rates as high as 53% have been reported for spontaneous TD in FEP patients.38

Antipsychotic use is not the sole risk factor for the development of TD.37,39,40 Higher antipsychotic dose; more
severe negative, depressive, and Parkinsonian symptoms; older age; and poor response to the treatment of FEP have all been reported as risk factors for the development of TD. The mean age of the patients in our antipsychotics study was similar between those patients taking FGAs and those taking SGAs (Table 1) and is unlikely to have contributed to the differences found in TD between the two groups. Morgenstern and Glazener found “non-white patients” were at higher risk of TD. Most, but not all, previous studies report that women have a greater risk of TD than men.41

Thirteen patients in our sample were taking two FGAs simultaneously. The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (2014) guidelines42 for the management of schizophrenia suggest that patients should not be prescribed regular combined antipsychotic medication, except for short periods (for example, when changing medication). The cross-sectional nature of our data means that it is not possible to comment on the reasons for the coprescribing, and it may have been clinically appropriate (for example, as part of a cross-taper during antipsychotic switching, or a small dose of oral antipsychotic may have been added temporarily to a patient on a long-acting antipsychotic injection to control breakthrough psychotic symptoms).

Conclusion
As far as we are aware, this is the first observational study conducted in Pakistan to compare the prevalence of TD in patients prescribed FGAs and SGAs. We found a high prevalence for TD (29%), but this did not differ between those treated with an FGA and an SGA, though there was some evidence of greater TD severity associated with FGA use. As this is an observational study, we cannot conclude that the results are causally related to the index antipsychotic, and the contribution of spontaneous dyskinesia is uncertain. Nevertheless, our results are consistent with those of the CATIE and CUtLASS-1 studies, which reported no difference in the incidence of TD in patients taking FGAs and SGAs. With an increasing focus on patient-centered care, it is important that psychiatrists and other members of the multidisciplinary team are familiar with the occurrence and management of medication-related side effects.
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