Coexistence of primary myelofibrosis and chronic lymphocytic leukemia
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Abstract: Coexistence of two hematologic malignancies in one patient is generally a rare phenomenon. The topic of this article is the coincidence of primary myelofibrosis and chronic lymphocytic leukemia, which has been reported up to now in only 16 patients. In summary, simultaneous detection of both diseases was notable in half of the patients at presentation. In the case of a subsequent diagnosis of both disorders, primary myelofibrosis preceded the lymphoproliferative disease in the majority of patients. The clinical course seems to be more benign than for each disorder itself. A substantial proportion of patients did not require any treatment at all. Knowledge about the pathogenetic mechanisms, treatment approaches, and prognosis of these patients is limited.
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Introduction

Recently, a case report on a patient with coincidence of primary myelofibrosis (PMF) and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) was published by our group. At the beginning of this article, we present a brief summary and an update of this patient, followed by a review of the current literature.

A 61-year-old woman with transfusion-dependent normochromic, normocytic anemia (hemoglobin 6.0 g/dL) was admitted to our department. The white blood cell count and platelets were within the reference interval. Differential blood count and smear revealed leukoerythroblastosis, anisocytosis, and poikilocytosis, indicating the presence of a myelofibrosis. Additionally, lymphocytosis with typical lymphocytes and some Gumprecht shadows were present.

Immunophenotyping confirmed the presence of a clone positive for CD5, CD19, and CD45. Bone marrow histology showed a hypercellular marrow with atypical megakaryopoiesis and fibrosis classified as World Health Organization grade 2. In addition to that, a small lymphocytic infiltrate accounting for 5% of the marrow volume was described. All these findings together were consistent with the coexistence of a myeloproliferative neoplasm and a lymphoproliferative neoplasm. Cytogenetic analysis revealed a normal karyotype, and JAK2 V617F could not be detected on a molecular level. Splenomegaly with a diameter of 17 cm on ultrasound was present at diagnosis.

Anemia with a hemoglobin level of 6.0 g/dL at diagnosis was considered to be caused by the myelofibrosis and not to be associated with the incipient lymphoproliferative disorder. Due to transfusion dependency, treatment was indicated and lenalidomide was started. At 6 months of treatment, hemoglobin levels increased to 8.5 g/dL and
the patient became transfusion-independent. Spleen size decreased to 15 cm after 3 months of therapy. Differential blood count was normal after 9 months. Lymphocytosis disappeared after 24 months of treatment. After a follow-up period of 6 years, the patient remained transfusion-free with a hemoglobin level of 11.8 g/dL. White blood cells and platelets were within the reference interval. Spleen size decreased further to 13 cm. Bone marrow examination still showed a hypercellular marrow, with persistent World Health Organization grade 2 fibrosis, while lymphocytic infiltration disappeared. The patient is currently completely asymptomatic, with an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 0.1

Chronic lymphocytic leukemia

CLL is the most common type of leukemia in developed countries. It is characterized by the presence of monoclonal lymphocytes revealing expression of B-cell associated antigens (CD19, CD20, and CD23), the T-cell associated antigen CD5, and low levels of surface antigens by flow cytometry. Additionally, an absolute B-lymphocyte count ≥5,000/µL in the peripheral blood is required for establishing a diagnosis of CLL.2 The course of patients with CLL is highly variable, and survival times from initial diagnosis range from 2 to 20 years. Median survival is approximately 10 years. Diagnosis of CLL is often made at an early asymptomatic stage (RAI 0, Binet A). These patients should be monitored without treatment until they show evidence of disease progression. Patients presenting with an intermediate or higher risk disease according to the modified RAI classification or with a Binet B or C stage usually have a benefit from initiating therapeutic interventions.3,5 First-line treatment for fit patients with normal renal function and no relevant comorbidities consists of rituximab, fludarabine, and cyclophosphamide.6 Alternatively, a combination of rituximab and bendamustine can be used, especially for patients with uncontrolled autoimmune hemolysis or impaired renal function.7 Patients with impaired renal function and/or relevant comorbidities can be treated with bendamustine or chlorambucil, although chlorambucil should be reserved for patients with comorbidities. Combination of either agent with rituximab produces higher response rates.8 Phase III studies with older patients and comorbidities are ongoing. Patients exhibiting a deletion 17p13 or a p53 mutation show lower response rates, a shorter duration of response, and a shorter overall survival after treatment with chemotherapy. Selected patients in this group (younger age, no comorbidities) should receive alternative treatment options, such as alemtuzumab and allogeneic stem cell transplantation.9,9

These patients should be preferably treated within clinical trials. Lenalidomide alone or in combination with mainly rituximab showed efficacy in patients with CLL. Toxic side effects such as tumor lysis syndrome and tumor flare reaction make the management of treatment with lenalidomide in CLL different from that in other hematologic malignancies.10 The incidence of secondary malignancies in patients with CLL is higher compared with the general population, but the exact reason for that remains unknown.11,12 Immunodeficiency caused by multiple abnormalities within the immune system is regarded as a factor contributing to the emergence of secondary hematologic or solid neoplasms in patients with CLL.13

Primary myelofibrosis

PMF is a myeloproliferative neoplasm characterized by bone marrow fibrosis, splenomegaly, and progressive anemia.14,15 Patients are compromised by symptoms such as fatigue, dyspnea, early satiety, abdominal pain, and constitutional symptoms. Myelofibrosis is also a very heterogeneous disease, with a median survival time ranging from 16 to 185 months.16 Prognostic risk factors are age >65 years, hemoglobin <10 g/dL, white blood cell count >25 G/L, and circulating blasts >1%. Beside these risk factors, the prognosis of patients with PMF is strongly dependent on the presence of cytogenetic abnormalities, transfusion dependency, platelets <100 G/L, and the presence of other comorbidities. These risk factors were used to establish prognostic scoring systems such as the International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS),17 the Dynamic IPSS (DIPPS),18 and the DIPPS plus.16 The only curative treatment option available for patients with PMF is allogeneic stem cell transplantation. However, as the majority of patients are not in the transplant age group at the time of diagnosis, allogeneic stem cell transplantation is only an option for a very limited number of PMF patients defined by an expected survival time <5 years, transfusion dependency, increased risk of leukemic transformation, and the availability of a suitable donor.19 For symptomatic splenomegaly, radiation and splenectomy can be tried. Cytoreductive treatment with hydroxyurea and management of anemia with red blood cell transfusions, erythropoiesis-stimulating agents, androgens, danazol, corticosteroids, and immunomodulatory agents are additional treatment options with limited activity.20 Immunomodulatory agents show response rates for anemia of approximately 20% throughout all clinical trials.21–24

Reduction of bone marrow fibrosis could not be demonstrated. Until recently, apart from allogeneic stem cell transplantation, no other therapy was able to influence
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Coincidence of PMF and CLL

Coincidence of PMF and CLL in one patient is a rare phenomenon. Up to now only 16 cases (summarized in Table 1) have been described in the literature, with the first one published in 1957.1,31–39 Due to low numbers of patients, a potential bias in the following interpretation of data cannot be ruled out. Half of these patients developed both entities simultaneously, whereas in six cases the myeloproliferative neoplasm preceded the lymphoproliferative disorder. CLL as the underlying disease was described only in two cases. A male predominance is remarkable, with 13 male patients out of these 16 cases, and only three being female. The median age of this population was 66 (range 45–77) years. The median time from diagnosis of the first entity until emergence of the second disease was 37.5 (range 24–156) months. Five of eight patients with a sequential evolution of both entities did not have any prior cytostatic therapy. Therefore, a chemotherapy-induced genesis can be excluded in these patients. Eight of 16 patients had no need for treatment at all, which might indicate a more indolent clinical disease course in these cases. A follow-up of 16 years for the patient reported by Böhm et al showed stable disease under cytostatic chemotherapy.31 The patient from our group has been asymptomatic and transfusion-independent on a low dose of lenalidomide for the last 6 years. This observation of a more indolent course than one would expect for each disorder itself was also found by an Italian group reporting on 46 patients with coexisting CLL and various myeloproliferative neoplasms, such as chronic myeloid leukemia, polycythemia vera, essential thrombocythemia, PMF, or myeloproliferative/myelodysplastic syndromes. Only nine of these 46 patients experienced a progression of CLL, and only six patients required treatment at all. Twenty patients were treated with chemotherapy for their myeloproliferative neoplasms without influencing the course of CLL. A clinical indolent course, especially of the lymphoproliferative disorder was observed.39

The pathogenetic mechanisms underlying the association between PMF and CLL are unknown. The rare cases with development of myelofibrosis subsequent to previously treated CLL might have been induced by a leukemogenic effect of the administered chemotherapy (usually containing alkylating agents). In the majority of

Table 1: Cases of PMF and CLL in the literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient number</th>
<th>M/F</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Primary diagnosis</th>
<th>Following diagnosis</th>
<th>Latency (months)</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>PMF</td>
<td>CLL</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>Hydroxyurea, steroids, chlorambucil</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>CLL</td>
<td>PMF</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>CLL</td>
<td>PMF</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>RBC transfusions</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>PMF</td>
<td>CLL</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>PMF</td>
<td>Simultaneously</td>
<td>Steroids</td>
<td>Chlorambucil</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>PMF</td>
<td>Simultaneously</td>
<td>Steroids, Oncovin®, cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>PMF</td>
<td>CLL</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>PMF</td>
<td>CLL</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>RBC transfusions</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>PMF</td>
<td>Simultaneously</td>
<td>Lenalidomide</td>
<td>Lenalidomide</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>PMF</td>
<td>Simultaneously</td>
<td>Steroids</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>PMF</td>
<td>Simultaneously</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>PMF</td>
<td>Simultaneously</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>PMF</td>
<td>Simultaneously</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>CLL</td>
<td>PMF</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>PMF</td>
<td>Simultaneously</td>
<td>Rituximab, steroids</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>PMF</td>
<td>CLL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; M, male; F, female; PMF, primary myelofibrosis; RBC, red blood cell; NA, not applicable.
cases, PMF was the primary disease as mentioned above. The incidence of malignancies secondary to myeloproliferative neoplasms is not known. As the majority of patients did not need any treatment at all, the influence of chemotherapy on development of a secondary lymphoproliferative disorder seems to be unlikely. Both PMF and CLL are diseases with the highest prevalence in elderly people, regardless of occurrence alone or in combination. So a true coincidence of two distinct and independent diseases might be the case. The estimated low probability of a coincidental appearance would explain the very low number of cases reported in the literature.40 Proliferation of two unrelated cell lines driven by a common stimulus is another potential explanation for the coexistence of PMF and CLL. Impaired T-cell immunity commonly observed in CLL patients might predispose to the emergence of a second malignant clone.13 Proliferation of a lymphocytic and a myelogenous cell line from one common pluripotent stem cell is the hypothesis favored by the majority of authors. The presence of a cytogenetic or a molecular marker in both the lymphocytic and myelogenous cell line would support this hypothesis. Carulli et al described a chromosomal aberration (18p+) in a patient with CLL following a diagnosis of polycythemia vera. This cytogenetic abnormality (18p+) was demonstrated in bone marrow cells as well as in peripheral lymphocytes.41 Until now, no common marker has been identified for PMF and CLL. Some similarities in pathogenetic mechanisms might be seen with mixed-phenotype acute leukemia. In approximately 2%–5% of patients with acute leukemia, the lineage origin remains ambiguous. Myeloid, B-cell, and T-cell lineages are involved in triphenotypic leukemia. These types of leukemia are also thought to derive from transformation of one hematopoietic stem cell with the capability of differentiating along both myeloid and lymphoid lineages. In contrast with patients having a combination of a chronic myeloproliferative and lymphoproliferative neoplasm, those with mixed-phenotype acute leukemia have a poor prognosis and probably need more intensive treatment.42

As indicated by the retrospective analysis from the Italian group neither the myeloproliferative neoplasm nor the lymphoproliferative disorder is associated with a more aggressive course when occurring together, which seems also to be valid for the cohort of 16 patients with coexisting PMF and CLL summarized in this article.19 Recommendations for treatment cannot be given due to the heterogeneity and limited number of patients.

Summary
The coexistence of two different hematologic malignancies in one patient is generally a rare phenomenon. Concurrent diagnosis of PMF and CLL has so far been described in only 16 patients. Little is known about the pathogenetic mechanisms, treatment approaches, and prognosis of these patients. Taking all published reports together, PMF is the initially present disorder in the majority of cases. Treatment for either disease was needed less often than expected in the patients of this cohort. A clinically indolent course is remarkable in a substantial proportion of patients. The reason for that is unknown.
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