Endothelial function testing and cardiovascular disease: focus on peripheral arterial tonometry
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Abstract: During recent decades, a number of methods have been developed to assess endothelial function, contributing to a better understanding of the pathophysiology of cardiovascular disease. Recently, the advent of noninvasive, reproducible techniques for assessment of endothelial function has opened novel possibilities of application in the clinical setting. Peripheral arterial tonometry is a relatively novel, user-friendly technique measuring finger pulse volume amplitude changes induced by reactive hyperemia following 5 minutes of ischemia in the upper limb. Current evidence indicates that this technique has the potential to significantly impact the field of cardiovascular research and prevention of cardiovascular disease. However, a number of methodological, pathophysiological, and clinical aspects still need to be clarified before widespread application of this promising technique. This review focuses on the current knowledge and future perspectives of peripheral arterial tonometry, in comparison with the most widely used noninvasive technique, ie, flow-mediated dilation.
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Introduction

The atherosclerotic process is the main mechanism leading to cardiovascular disease, which is the leading cause of mortality and morbidity worldwide.¹ For this reason, the pathophysiology of atherosclerosis, particularly the very early phases, including endothelial dysfunction, has attracted growing attention in recent decades.

In healthy individuals, the endothelium exerts a protective effect on the vasculature by the production of nitric oxide (NO), which derives from the transformation of L-arginine into citrulline by the constitutive endothelial enzyme NO synthase. NO is produced under the stimulus of agonists (acetylcholine, bradykinin, and others) acting on specific endothelial receptors, and of mechanical forces, namely shear stress.²,³ In pathological conditions, both endothelium-derived contracting factors (eg, thromboxane A2 and prostaglandin H2), which counteract the relaxing activity of NO, and reactive oxygen species, which cause breakdown of NO and reduce its availability,³ determine a proatherosclerotic change in vascular phenotype, causing vasoconstriction and promoting platelet aggregation, vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation and migration, and monocye adhesion.⁴

In recent years, different methods have been developed to assess endothelial function, contributing to a better understanding of the pathophysiology of cardiovascular disease. Recently, the advent of noninvasive, reproducible techniques for assessment of endothelial function has opened novel possibilities of application in the clinical setting, including the ability to detect atherosclerosis in an early stage, to improve stratification...
of cardiovascular risk, to estimate future risk of cardiovascular events, and to evaluate the anti-atherosclerotic effect of new interventions. Although measurements of endothelial function are not yet recommended by guidelines for cardiovascular prevention, recent studies using noninvasive approaches and improved standardization might lead to assessment of endothelial function becoming a routine evaluation for the prevention of cardiovascular disease. This review focuses on physiology, methodology, current applications, and future perspectives with regard to peripheral arterial tonometry (PAT), in comparison with the most widely used noninvasive technique, ie, so-called flow-mediated dilation (FMD).

Overview of endothelial function testing
A number of techniques have been developed to assess endothelial function in humans, including biochemical markers, genetic markers, and vascular reactivity tests. As already mentioned, endothelial dysfunction is the earliest stage of the atherosclerotic process, characterized mainly by reduced NO availability. In this early stage, no structural lesions are present. Further, NO has an extremely short half-life, making its direct evaluation very difficult. Thus, vascular reactivity tests have become the most common and reliable methods for assessment of endothelial function. Mirroring endothelial physiology, in vascular reactivity tests nonpharmacological or pharmacological stimuli for NO release, and thus for endothelium-dependent vasodilatation, are administered. The absence or reduction of physiological endothelium-dependent dilatation is then considered an index of endothelial dysfunction.

The first demonstration of endothelial dysfunction dates back to 1986, by infusion of acetylcholine in atherosclerotic coronary arteries. Evaluation of coronary epicardial and microvascular function has the advantage of being able to measure the endothelial response directly in this clinically important vascular bed, but of course is not widely applicable, being an invasive study requiring cannulation of the coronary arteries and intracoronary drug infusion.

Plethysmography of the forearm circulation is a semi-invasive technique and requires arterial cannulation, introduced for exploration of microvascular pathophysiological mechanisms in health and disease. Changes in forearm blood flow are measured by venous plethysmography in both arms before and after infusion of vasoactive substances into the brachial artery, with virtually no systemic effects.

Later on, less invasive techniques were developed, investigating peripheral regions such as the upper limbs as a surrogate for the coronary arteries. The most widely used technique is FMD. This is a noninvasive, ultrasound-based method, introduced in 1992. FMD is the percent age of change in brachial artery diameter in response to increased shear stress induced by reactive hyperemia. To measure FMD, a sphygmomanometer cuff placed around the forearm distal to the brachial artery is inflated and then released 5 minutes later. FMD has been studied widely in clinical research because it enables serial evaluation of young subjects, including children. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that FMD is sustained by NO, since it is inhibited by the intra-arterial administration of NO synthase inhibitors in invasive studies. Impaired FMD has been demonstrated in the presence of traditional cardiovascular risk factors and has been correlated with Framingham risk score. Several studies have shown that impaired FMD is an early indicator of atherosclerotic damage and is associated with target organ damage, including increased carotid intima media thickness and left ventricular hypertrophy. More importantly, it has been associated with major cardiovascular events not only in patients with atherosclerotic coronary disease or characterized as high-risk, but also in low-risk populations. Finally, several studies have demonstrated that FMD can be improved by modification of risk factors and use of drugs known to reduce cardiovascular risk in a much shorter time (a few months) than that required for other vascular endpoints, such as carotid intima media thickness, offering a potential reason for being included in clinical trials as a surrogate end point. Despite this growing evidence, large clinical trials are needed to demonstrate conclusively whether reversal of impaired FMD might herald a better prognosis in patients both with cardiovascular risk factors or with established cardiovascular disease. Further, several methodological challenges might limit the widespread application of this method in clinical studies and in daily practice. For this reason, simpler noninvasive techniques, such as PAT, might represent an appealing alternative.

Peripheral arterial tonometry
Methodological aspects
In the recent years, measurement of endothelial function using PAT has gained increasing attention. PAT technology was originally developed for measurement of the vascular changes associated with arousal during sleep and was then applied to vascular reactivity tests. PAT methodology will be described in direct comparison with FMD, with the main similarities and differences summarized in Table 1. Endothelial function testing using
PAT is based on the same physiological mechanisms as the FMD technique, inducing transient ischemia in the upper limb as a stimulus for reactive vasodilatation. Accordingly, a pressure cuff is placed around the arm and inflated above systolic pressure after a baseline recording; the cuff is then deflated after 5 minutes to induce reactive hyperemia in one arm. Unlike with FMD, the endpoint measured is finger arterial pulse volume amplitude (PVA), instead of brachial artery diameter (Figure 1). A main advantage of the system is that the contralateral arm serves as an internal control. The ratio between hyperemic and baseline PVA (PAT ratio) is normalized for the same ratio in the contralateral arm, thus obtaining the reactive hyperemia index (RHI), or its natural logarithm (lnRHI).²⁹

Reproducibility is a crucial issue when vascular reactivity tests are compared. In the past, technical complexity and low reproducibility were acknowledged as the main limitations of the FMD technique. For example, in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) study, the intraclass coefficient for FMD was 0.54, probably explaining the lack of predictive value demonstrated for FMD in this study.³² However, standardization of the technique allowed excellent reproducibility of FMD to be obtained. The coefficient of variation was 9.9% and 12.9% for two repeated measurements one hour and one month apart, respectively, in a cohort of 130 volunteers,³³ while in larger high-risk populations, it was 18.3% for two repeated measurements 3 months apart.³⁴

At variance with FMD, the PAT technique is operator-independent, and the pulse amplitude recordings are digitized and analyzed by an automated, proprietary algorithm. PAT operators require a very short training period and do not need specific certification. Surprisingly, this seems to confer no advantage in terms of reproducibility in comparison with FMD, at least when the latter is performed in agreement with standardized protocols. In a small study directly comparing the two techniques in 18 patients with coronary artery disease, the within-day variability was lower for FMD measurements than for PAT measurements (10% versus 18%.

Table 1 Comparison between PAT and FMD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PAT</th>
<th>FMD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District examined</td>
<td>Finger micro/macrocirculation</td>
<td>Brachial artery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulus</td>
<td>Upper limb ischemia</td>
<td>Upper limb ischemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endpoint variable</td>
<td>Pulse volume amplitude</td>
<td>Brachial artery diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noninvasiveness</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easiness</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operator independency</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproducibility</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automated analysis</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endothelium-independent vasodilation assessment</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO dependency</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation with CV factors</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predictive value for CV events</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement with treatment</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predictive value for changes</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

over time

Abbreviations: PAT, peripheral arterial tonometry; FMD, flow-mediated dilation; CV, cardiovascular; NO, nitric oxide.

Figure 1 Recording from a peripheral arterial tonometry test. The baseline period is highlighted in yellow box, and the hyperemic period is highlighted in green. A magnified detail of PVA is highlighted in red.

Abbreviation: PVA, pulse volume amplitude.
respectively; $P<0.05$), while the between-day variability was similar. Reproducibility studies performed in larger cohorts found coefficients of variation for within-day variability (0.5–4 hours) ranging from 15% to 22%, with lower interday reproducibility. Finally, the classical methodology in the vascular reactivity test includes evaluation of endothelium-independent vasodilation by administration of low-dose, sublingual nitrates in order to ascertain vascular smooth muscle function. To date, this test has not been included in PAT protocols, since only preliminary information obtained with a relatively high nitrate dose suggests a different time course for dilatation in the brachial artery and PVA. Nevertheless, at present, assessment of endothelium-independent vasodilation with the PAT technique is not possible, since results are provided changes in PAT signal as ratio an arm to contralateral one, whereas systemic administration of nitrates would provide a similar changes in the two arms leading to a ratio of approximately 1, independently of the clinical condition.

**Pathophysiologica aspects**

There is growing evidence that PAT can predict cardiovascular events, as discussed in the following chapter. For this reason, this test is currently reimbursed for patients with cardiovascular disease in the USA. However, its pathophysiological basis has not been extensively clarified as yet.

Indeed, PVA is likely to be a proxy for arterial distensibility and venous capacitance of the vascular digital district, so its changes conceivably represent vascular function. However, the digital vasculature is anatomically complex, consisting of a dual circulation of nutritive vessels and arteriovenous anastomoses. Resting vascular tone in the latter is primarily regulated by the sympathetic nervous system, while NO only plays a marginal role. In line with this observation, pharmacological inhibition of NO synthesis reduced PAT reactive hyperemia after upper cuff occlusion by less than 50%, whereas the FMD response in the brachial artery with lower cuff occlusion is almost completely inhibited by blockade of NO. Thus, augmentation of PVA after reactive hyperemia is a complex response, involving mechanisms that are both related and unrelated to the endothelium, with a limited specificity as a measure of NO availability.

Although a number of reports with conflicting results regarding the correlation between FMD and PAT have been published, the two largest cohorts from the general population ever studied using both techniques, ie, the Framingham heart study and the Gutenberg heart study, recruiting about 5,000 individuals each, both found that a modest correlation exists between FMD and PAT, which was weakened by adjustment for confounders. A smaller study, performing simultaneous PAT and brachial artery ultrasound measures with forearm occlusion in a cohort of 99 individuals with coronary artery disease (CAD) and 44 healthy volunteers, also investigated the correlation with measures of reactive hyperemia. Again, RHI was not correlated with FMD either in patients or in healthy volunteers, while a significant correlation was found with reactive hyperemia-induced increases in brachial artery flow velocity. Further, even at baseline, PVA and flow velocity were significantly associated independent of confounders.

There is growing evidence that measures such as blood flow or shear stress response after cuff deflation during FMD testing, which are markers of microvascular function rather than of NO availability, are independently associated with cardiovascular events, although conflicting results exist. In the Firefighters and Their Endothelium (FATE) study, which included 1,574 middle-aged apparently healthy men at low cardiovascular risk, hyperemic velocity in the brachial artery, but not FMD, was associated with future clinical events, independently of Framingham risk score. However, FMD of the brachial artery performed with upper arm occlusion is inhibited by pharmacological NO blockade by about 40%, which is comparable with that of shown in studies with PAT, whereas the FMD response in the brachial artery with lower cuff occlusion is almost completely inhibited by blockade of NO. Nevertheless, a meta-analysis of available studies suggested that FMD obtained with upper cuff occlusion has the same prognostic significance that FMD performed with lower cuff occlusion.

**Clinical significance**

Several lines of evidence reinforce the clinical relevance of endothelial function measured with the PAT technique. First, reductions in PAT measurements are correlated with coronary endothelial dysfunction. Further, the PAT ratio is reduced in patients with both with cardiovascular risk factors or with established cardiovascular disease. In 89 patients with suspected CAD undergoing exercise myocardial perfusion imaging, PAT, and FMD measures, the PAT ratio, as well as FMD, was reduced with increasing numbers of cardiovascular risk factors. Further, both measures were significantly lower in the presence of a positive provocative test. Interestingly, despite these similarities, the two measures seem to be differentially influenced by conventional cardiovascular risk factors. In the Framingham heart study, reduced FMD was associated with increasing age, higher...
systolic blood pressure, and higher body mass index (BMI), while a reduced PAT ratio was associated with higher BMI, lower systolic blood pressure, lipid profile and medications, diabetes, and smoking. Reduced hyperemic flow velocity was instead correlated with age, female gender, increased systolic blood pressure and decreased diastolic blood pressure, and a history of cardiovascular disease. Also, in the Gutenberg heart study, determinants of FMD were BMI, higher blood pressure, and lipid profile, while determinants of the PAT ratio were lower blood pressure, lipid profile, and BMI, and also included fasting glucose and prevalent cardiovascular disease. Thus, despite the use of different sites of cuff occlusion (forearm versus upper arm), and different statistical approaches, the two studies showed substantially similar results and concluded that the two measures possibly represent “two sides of the same coin”.

Thus far, few prospective studies (summarized in Table 2) have investigated the possible predictive role of PAT measures for cardiovascular events. In a cohort of 270 patients referred to a cardiology unit for chest pain but with negative stress testing or normal coronary angiography, an lnRHI of <0.40 was an independent predictor of cardiovascular events after a 7-year follow-up. Two prospective studies enrolled patients with heart failure and preserved left ventricular ejection, demonstrating that RHI can predict the onset of cardiovascular events and improve the C-statistic when compared with conventional risk stratification, and that lnRHI can predict heart failure-related adverse events. Similar findings were obtained in 528 patients at high risk, in whom RHI improved the net reclassification index and C-statistic when added to Framingham risk score, brain natriuretic peptide, and coronary angiography score. Finally, an independent predictive role for cardiovascular events was recently demonstrated in a cohort of patients with chronic kidney disease.

Thus, we can conclude that PAT measures have a significant predictive role for cardiovascular events in high-risk populations. Future studies should ascertain their role in low-risk patients and in the general population.

On the other hand, while a number of studies assessed the cross-sectional relationship between PAT, lifestyle and risk factors, only few studies are available for assessing the reversibility of impaired PAT measures. Nonpharmacological and pharmacological treatments were used to test the effects of antioxidant-rich foods, physical exercise, and anti-inflammatory drugs. Interestingly, treatments able to improve FMD, such as angiotensin receptor blockers, failed to improve RHI, so the question of the clinical interpretation of treatment-induced PAT changes and the use of this test as a surrogate endpoint in clinical trials, is still open. Further, at variance with FMD, the predictive role of changes in PAT over time is still unknown.

### Limitations and future perspectives
A number of issues need to be addressed in order to define the role of this new and promising technique in clinical research and clinical practice. With regard to methodology, the reasons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Follow-up duration</th>
<th>Clinical endpoint</th>
<th>Number of events</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rubinstein et al53</td>
<td>270 patients with suspected CAD</td>
<td>5.8 years</td>
<td>CV death, nonfatal MI, coronary revascularization or CV hospitalization</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Low RHI (&lt;0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akiyama et al54</td>
<td>321 patients with HFPEF</td>
<td>20 months</td>
<td>CV death, nonfatal MI, unstable angina pectoris, nonfatal ischemic stroke, coronary revascularization, hospitalization for HF</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Adj HR 1.79 (1.16–2.76), P=0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsue et al55</td>
<td>159 patients with HFPEF</td>
<td>300 days</td>
<td>HF-related death, hospitalization for HF</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.1 increase in RHI Adj HR 0.80 (0.67–0.94), P=0.007 Improvement in C-statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsuzawa et al56</td>
<td>528 patients with suspected CAD</td>
<td>2.8 years</td>
<td>CV death, nonfatal MI, unstable angina, nonfatal ischemic stroke, coronary revascularization, hospitalization for HF, nonfatal aortic disease, and peripheral arterial disease</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>For 0.1 increase in lnRHI Adj HR 0.56 (0.39–0.80) 0.1 increase in RHI Adj HR 0.76 (0.67–0.86), P&lt;0.0001. Improvement in C-statistic and net reclassification index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirata et al57</td>
<td>383 patients with chronic kidney disease</td>
<td>30 months</td>
<td>CV death, non-fatal MI, unstable angina, nonfatal ischemic stroke, coronary revascularization, hospitalization for HF</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Low RHI (&lt;0.525) Adj HR 2.70 (1.62–4.51), P=0.001. Improvement in C-statistic and net reclassification index</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** CAD, coronary artery disease; CV, cardiovascular; MI, myocardial infarction; HF, heart failure; HFPEF, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; RHI, reactive hyperemia index; adj, adjusted; HR, hazard ratio.
for suboptimal reproducibility should be investigated, as well as an improvement strategy. Further, it has to be ascertained whether PAT technology is also feasible for assessment of endothelium-independent vasodilation and by which approach. Future studies should explore the pathophysiology of PAT, including the anatomical and hemodynamic determinants of PVA and changes therein. In particular, whether RHI represents a measure of endothelial function rather than being a more general indicator of microvascular function should be clarified.

From the clinical point of view, the predictive role of PAT measures in the general population, as well as the predictive role of changes in PAT over time should be investigated. Finally, further studies are required to establish whether improvement in RHI after therapeutic interventions might be used as a surrogate endpoint for cardiovascular disease.

Conclusion

The current evidence indicates that PAT has the potential to significantly impact the field of cardiovascular research and prevention of cardiovascular disease. However, a number of methodological, pathophysiological, and clinical aspects still need to be clarified before application of this promising, user-friendly technique. In particular, its added or complementary value when compared with more established techniques should be defined before its use in the cardiovascular research setting. Further, more solid evidence is required before it can be used extensively in the clinical setting.
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