A randomized control trial to evaluate the effect of adjuvant selective laser trabeculoplasty versus medication alone in primary open-angle glaucoma: preliminary results
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Background: The objective of this study was to investigate the effects of adjuvant selective laser trabeculoplasty (SLT) versus medication alone on intraocular pressure (IOP) control, medication use, and quality of life in patients with primary open-angle glaucoma.

Methods: This prospective, randomized control study recruited 41 consecutive primary open-angle glaucoma subjects with medically-controlled IOP ≤21 mmHg. The SLT group (n=22) received a single 360-degree SLT treatment. The medication-only group (n=19) continued with their usual treatment regimen. In both groups, medication was titrated to maintain a target IOP defined as a 25% reduction from baseline IOP without medication, or <18 mmHg, whichever was lower. Outcomes, which were measured at baseline and at 6 months, included the Glaucoma Quality of Life-15 (GQL-15) and Comparison of Ophthalmic Medications for Tolerability (COMTOL) survey scores, IOP, and the number of antiglaucoma medicines.

Results: The baseline IOP was 15.8±2.7 mmHg and 14.5±2.5 mmHg in the SLT and medication-only groups, respectively (P=0.04). Both groups had a comparable number of baseline medication (P=0.2), GQL-15 (P=0.3) and COMTOL scores (P=0.7). At 6 months, the SLT group had a lower IOP (P=0.03) and required fewer medications compared with both baseline (P<0.0001) and with the medication-only group (P=0.02). There was no statistically significant difference in the 6-month GQL-15 or COMTOL score as compared to baseline (P≥0.4) or between the two treatment groups (P≥0.2).

Conclusion: A single session of adjuvant SLT provided further reductions in IOP and medication use, without substantial changes in quality of life or medication tolerability at 6 months.
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Introduction

Primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) is characterized by a progressive glaucomatous optic neuropathy. One of the most recognized risk factors associated with POAG is elevated intraocular pressure (IOP), ie, ≥21 mmHg. Accordingly, many patients require multiple antiglaucoma medications to regulate IOP. Although medication may provide adequate IOP control, it is associated with numerous side effects and may even compromise patients’ quality of life (QoL). Additionally, because antiglaucoma drops are somewhat inconvenient to use, treatment compliance is a significant issue.1

Selective laser trabeculoplasty (SLT) is a noninvasive laser procedure that can increase aqueous outflow by increasing the porosity of the trabecular meshwork from the upregulation of metalloproteinases, cytokines, and macrophages.2 SLT offers similar long-term IOP-lowering effects as medical therapy alone but has minimal adverse
effects. Moreover, because SLT does not cause collateral
damage to the surrounding tissue, it can be repeated. SLT
also has the potential to reduce antiglaucoma medication use,
which may potentially improve patients’ QoL.3

The Early Manifest Glaucoma Trial demonstrated that
there was no significant difference in health-related QoL
between patients treated with a topical beta-blocker plus
argon laser trabeculoplasty versus no treatment in newly-
diagnosed POAG patients.1 Additionally, the Collaborative
Initial Glaucoma Treatment Study showed no substantial dif-
fERENCE in QoL between those treated with medication versus
filtration surgery in newly-diagnosed POAG patients.6

Currently, published literature evaluating the role of
adjuvant SLT for POAG patients who are already on antiglu-
coma medication is somewhat limited. Consequently, the aim
of the study was to investigate the efficacy of adjuvant SLT
versus medication alone in terms of IOP control, medication
requirement and tolerability, and glaucoma-specific QoL in
patients with POAG.

Patients and methods
This was a prospective, randomized controlled study con-
ducted at a university hospital in Hong Kong, from June
2012 to March 2013. Ethics approval by the institutional
review board was obtained prior to study commencement,
and all patients were required to provide written informed
consent. The study was registered with the Clinical Trials
Register of the University of Hong Kong (HKCTR-1597)
and complied with the principles outlined in the Declaration
of Helsinki.7

The inclusion criteria consisted of consecutive subjects
aged ≥18 years, with preexisting bilateral POAG with IOP
medically-controlled to ≤21 mmHg. POAG was defined as
an open angle on gonioscopy, presenting IOP ≥21 mmHg,
and visual field loss on the Humphrey visual field analyzer
as per the Hodapp–Parrish–Anderson criteria,4 or retinal nerve
fiber layer thinning on optical coherence tomography. All
subjects were using at least one antiglaucoma medication in
each eye prior to study recruitment. The exclusion criteria
included those with secondary glaucomas, angle-closure
glaucomas, a follow-up history of less than 6 months, illit-
eracy, and previous laser or surgical procedure for glaucoma.
SLT was offered for patients with the aim of reducing the
number of antiglaucoma medications required.

In both groups, an individual target IOP was determined
as a 25% reduction in IOP from the first presentation (before
the use of antiglaucoma medication), as per the findings of the
Early Manifest Glaucoma Trial,9 or an IOP <18 mmHg as
per the Advanced Glaucoma Intervention Study,10 whichever
was lower.

Subjects were randomized into two groups (the SLT
group and the medication-only group) based on a computer-
generated random sequence. The SLT group received a single
SLT treatment via a Q-switched Nd:YAG laser (Ellex SoloTM;
Ellex Medical Pty. Ltd., Adelaide, Australia), with initial
energy of 0.8 mJ and titrated until bubble formation was
just visible. Treatment was delivered by a single glaucoma
specialist (JWYL), in a single burst mode to 360 degrees
of the trabecular meshwork. Both eyes were treated in the
same laser session. In all treated eyes, a drop of Alphagan P
(Allergan Inc., Waco, TX, USA) was instilled post-SLT, and
a dexamethasone 0.1% and neomycin 0.5% combination eye
drop (Dexoptic-N; Ashford Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai,
India) was used twice daily for 1 day, although treatment
was continued for several days if anterior chamber reaction
was seen 1 day post-SLT. Subjects returned for follow-up at
1 day, 1 week, and 1 month after SLT, and every 3 months
thereafter.

Subjects continued on the same pre-SLT antiglaucoma
eye drops post-SLT. At 1 month postoperatively, antiglu-
coma eye drops were titrated based on the individual clinical
response in order to reach their target IOP as detailed
above. The medication-only group continued their current
antiglaucoma eye drops with titrations made to their current
regimen to maintain their target IOP during follow-up every
3 months. In both groups, medications were added in the fol-
lowing sequence if the target IOP was not met: prostaglandin
analogues or beta-blockers, followed by alpha-adrenergic
agonist or topical carbonic anhydrase inhibitor, then pilo-
carpine. Likewise, if the IOP was well below the target
IOP, medications were removed in the following sequence:
pilocarpine, followed by alpha-adrenergic agonist or topical
carbonic anhydrase inhibitor, then prostaglandin analogues or
beta-blockers. Where required, fixed combination eye drops
were prescribed to simplify drug regimens and were counted
as two types of medication in the analysis. Medications were
changed either to improve IOP control or in cases of reported
drug intolerance.

Traditional Chinese translated versions of the Glaucoma
Quality of Life-15 (GQL-15) and the Comparison of Oph-
thalmic Medications for Tolerability (COMTOL) surveys
were completed by all subjects at baseline and at 6 months.
The GQL-15 questionnaire is a glaucoma-specific, writ-
ten questionnaire that assesses patients’ perceived visual
disability in 15 daily tasks. The tasks are categorized in
four areas of visual disability: 1) central and near vision,
2) peripheral vision, 3) dark adaptation and glare, and 4) outdoor mobility. A 5-point rating scale for the level of difficulty of each task totals a score from 0 to 75. A higher score signifies a poorer QoL (Table 1).

The COMTOL questionnaire is a consistent, reliable, and reproducible assessment of the local side effects of topical antiglaucoma medications.11–13 We simplified the original COMTOL by eliminating the subscales that further quantified the degree of severity and frequency of the side effects. Patients were simply asked to identify whether or not they had experienced any of the 15 local side effects from the COMTOL checklist to produce a “side effects score” from 0 to 15. A higher score signifies a greater intolerability to the medication (Table 2).

The questionnaires were translated from English to Chinese by an investigator who was fluent in both languages followed by a back translation by another investigator. To then ensure the accuracy of the translation, the translated questionnaire was tested on five POAG patients of varying sex and age. Patients were asked to complete the questionnaires in addition to offering their interpretation of the contents in the questionnaire and were invited to suggest alternative wording if necessary.

In addition to GQL-15 and COMTOL scores, the main outcome measures were IOP and the number of antiglaucoma medications used at baseline and 6 months. All IOPs were measured by a single observer using Goldmann applanation tonometry either on Tuesday afternoons or Thursday mornings, and patients were advised to return for follow-up during the same time periods to minimize diurnal variability. To limit bias, the observer attempted to record the IOP prior to studying the patient’s medical records.

### Statistical analysis

Statistical calculations were performed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). The Mann–Whitney U-test and the Wilcoxon signed rank test were used to compare differences in IOP, GQL-15, COMTOL, and number of antiglaucoma medications at baseline and 6 months between the SLT and the medication-only group. Based on the sample size of 41 patients, a 40% difference in the number of medications used at 6 months between the two treatment arms, and an alpha error of 0.05, the calculated power of this study was 86.4%. All means were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical significance was defined as P<0.05.

### Results

The study included 41 patients, 22 in the SLT group and 19 in the medical group. Demographic and baseline characteristics for both groups are described in Table 3.

The SLT group received 121.8±30.0 laser shots at a mean energy of 0.9±0.05 mJ. There were no complications from the laser procedure. At 1 day, 1 week, and 1 month post-SLT, IOPs were 11.9±2.5 mmHg, 13.6±3.3 mmHg, and 13.6±2.9 mmHg, respectively.

At 6 months, the IOP in the SLT group (13.4±2.3 mmHg) had reduced by 15.2% from baseline (P<0.0001) and was 7.6% lower than the medication-only group (P=0.03) (Table 4).

Compared to baseline, the overall IOP reduction was 40.9% in the SLT group and 41.9% in the medication-only group.

At 6 months, the SLT group required 34.8% fewer number of antiglaucoma medications compared to baseline (P<0.0001) and 40.0% fewer antiglaucoma eye drops compared to the medication-only group (Table 4). The most

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Does your vision give you any difficulty, even with glasses, with the following activities?</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>A little bit</th>
<th>Some</th>
<th>Quite a lot</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Do not perform for nonvisual reasons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading newspapers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking after dark</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeing at night</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking on uneven ground</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusting to bright lights</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusting to dim lights</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Going from light to dark room or vice versa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tripping over objects</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeing objects coming from the side</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossing the road</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking on steps/stairs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bumping into objects</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judging distance of foot to step/curb</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding dropped objects</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognizing faces</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
commonly used antiglaucoma medications were prostaglandin analogues and beta-blockers in both treatment arms at baseline and 6 months (Table 5).

The 6-month GQL-15 score was similar between the SLT (23.7±9.0) and the medication-only groups (28.0±12.5) (P=0.2), and there was no significant improvement from baseline scores in either group (P>0.4) (Table 4). The 6-month COMTOL score was also similar between the SLT (3.7±3.6) and medication-only group (4.7±4.4) (P=0.5). As per GQL-15, there was no significant improvement from baseline scores in either group (P>0.6) (Table 4).

Discussion
To the best of our knowledge, this is one of the first randomized control trials comparing QoL in POAG patients treated with adjuvant SLT versus medication alone. All subjects in our study had preexisting POAG that was medically controlled with antiglaucoma eye drops. The baseline IOP was significantly higher in the SLT group, with both groups requiring approximately two types of antiglaucoma eye drops. However, at 6 months, the SLT group had a 7.6% lower IOP and required 40.0% less medication compared to the medical group. Both the SLT (40.9%) and the medication-only group (41.9%) achieved a similar percentage of IOP reduction compared to their IOP on first presentation.

QoL questionnaires in glaucoma can be categorized into general health-related, vision-specific, or glaucoma-specific. Of the 18 different glaucoma-specific patient-reported QoL assessments available, the GQL-15 and the Vision Quality of Life Index are more favorable in terms of their content, validity, and reliability. The GQL-15 assesses four areas of visual disability: 1) central and near vision, 2) peripheral vision, 3) dark adaptation and glare, and 4) outdoor mobility. In both the SLT and medical group, dark adaptation was the area of greatest difficulty, namely the task of “seeing at night.” At baseline, both treatment groups had a similar GQL-15 score. At 6 months, the GQL-15 score was reduced by 6.3% in the SLT group and 3.7% in the medical group as compared to baseline although this difference was not statistically significant. Additionally, there was no significant difference between the two treatment groups at 6 months.

Given the statistically significant reduction in IOP and antiglaucoma eye drops in the SLT group, we had expected an improvement in QoL from baseline or at least in comparison with the medical group at 6 months, but this was not the case. This finding can be explained by the fact that the existing glaucoma-specific surveys including the GQL-15 may not be sensitive enough to fully account for the contributions of reduced antiglaucoma medication or lowered IOP in relation to QoL, especially when the drop in IOP occurs within a normal pressure range of ≥21 mmHg. It is also possible that the design of these glaucoma-specific surveys focus primarily on changes in vision, visual field, and dark adaptations, rather than on patient’s satisfaction with antiglaucoma drugs. Hence, we supplemented the GQL-15 with the COMTOL checklist to investigate the side effects and tolerability of antiglaucoma eye drops.

There is limited data in the literature reporting a direct association between the side effects of topical antiglaucoma medication and QoL. Nordmann et al reported that 62.4% of patients had at least one local side effect from topical antiglaucoma medications. Specifically, 25.4% had burning, 20.8% had blurring, and 20.2% had tearing after use, resulting in low treatment satisfaction and poorer QoL. Skalicky et al also reported that 59% of glaucoma patients had Ocular Surface Disease (OSD) due to benzalkonium chloride, the

Table 2 Comparison of ophthalmic medications for tolerability checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Side Effects</th>
<th>SLT group</th>
<th>Medical group</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burning</td>
<td>1.2±2.0</td>
<td>1.1±1.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blurred vision</td>
<td>2.8±3.0</td>
<td>2.5±3.1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tearing</td>
<td>2.6±1.1</td>
<td>2.4±1.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimming of vision</td>
<td>1.8±2.0</td>
<td>1.5±1.8</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge from eyes</td>
<td>3.0±3.1</td>
<td>2.8±2.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focusing</td>
<td>2.3±1.2</td>
<td>2.1±1.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>2.4±1.3</td>
<td>2.2±1.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redness</td>
<td>3.0±3.3</td>
<td>2.8±2.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itchy eyes</td>
<td>2.5±2.0</td>
<td>2.3±1.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry eyes</td>
<td>2.3±2.1</td>
<td>2.1±1.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trouble seeing at night</td>
<td>2.8±3.1</td>
<td>2.6±2.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unusual taste</td>
<td>2.5±2.1</td>
<td>2.4±2.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brow ache</td>
<td>2.4±2.0</td>
<td>2.3±1.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: COMTOL, Comparison of Ophthalmic Medications for Tolerability survey; F, female; GQL, Glaucoma Quality of Life questionnaire; IOP, intraocular pressure; M, male; SLT, selective laser trabeculoplasty.
preservative in eye drops, and that those with OSD had poorer glaucoma-specific QoL. The COMTOL checklist showed good-to-excellent internal consistency (0.73 to 0.98), reliability (0.76 to 0.94), and reproducibility (0.75 to 0.93) in the assessment of medication side effects.\textsuperscript{11} We found a similar pattern in the COMTOL score as with the GQL-15 score. There was an 11.9% reduction in the COMTOL score in the SLT group from baseline to 6 months and an increase of 2.2% in the medical group, but these changes were not statistically significant, nor was there a significant difference in the COMTOL scores between the two treatment groups at 6 months. With a 34.8% reduction in antiglaucoma medication use in the SLT group at 6 months compared to baseline and a 40.0% reduction in antiglaucoma medication use compared to the medication-only group at 6 months, we had expected a significant difference in the COMTOL score at 6 months for the SLT group. Our findings suggest that there may not be a direct association between medication side effects and glaucoma-specific QoL, and that patients’ perceived drug tolerability and local side effects may not be directly associated with the actual number of antiglaucoma medications used. A patient with intolerance to a single medication may be very dissatisfied, while a patient on multiple antiglaucoma medications may be satisfied with the treatment if they perceive the side effects to be tolerable.

Our study clearly has several limitations. First, the randomized nature of this study produced unequal group sizes. Ideally, there would be an equal number of patients in each group; however, the use of restricted randomization or forced equality methods could potentially compromise treatment assignments and selection bias.\textsuperscript{17} Second, the translation of the original English based questionnaires was inevitable in a population where Chinese is the predominant dialect. Every effort was made to ensure that the translation was coherent.

### Table 4 SLT versus medical group at baseline and 6 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SLT group (SLT + medication)</th>
<th>Medication-only group</th>
<th>P-value (SLT group versus medication-only group)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>IOP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>15.8±2.7 mmHg</td>
<td>14.5±2.5 mmHg</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>13.4±2.3 mmHg</td>
<td>14.5±2.2 mmHg</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from baseline</td>
<td>-15.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value (baseline vs 6 months)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001*</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of antiglaucoma medication</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>2.3±1.1 types</td>
<td>2.1±0.9 types</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>1.5±1.2 types</td>
<td>2.1±1.0 types</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from baseline</td>
<td>-34.8%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value (baseline vs 6 months)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001*</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GQL-15 score</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>25.3±12.7</td>
<td>29.1±12.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>23.7±9.0</td>
<td>28.0±12.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from baseline</td>
<td>-6.3%</td>
<td>-3.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value (baseline vs 6 months)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMTOL score</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>4.2±3.0</td>
<td>4.6±4.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>3.7±3.6</td>
<td>4.7±4.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from baseline</td>
<td>-11.9%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value (baseline vs 6 months)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Represents statistical significance.

**Abbreviations:** COMTOL, Comparison of Ophthalmic Medications for Tolerability survey; GQL, Glaucoma Quality of Life questionnaire; IOP, intraocular pressure; SLT, selective laser trabeculoplasty; vs, versus.

### Table 5 Types of antiglaucoma medication used in both treatment arms at baseline and 6 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Prostaglandin analogue (%)</th>
<th>β-blocker (%)</th>
<th>Alpha-agonist (%)</th>
<th>Topical carbonic anhydrase inhibitor (%)</th>
<th>Pilocarpine (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLT group</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication-only group</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6 months</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLT group</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication-only group</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** SLT, selective laser trabeculoplasty.
with the original questionnaire both linguistically and conceptually via direct and back translations. Third, while all patients had POAG, we did not account for the differences in angle pigmentation. A pigmented angle could have improved SLT response, although the variability in an ethnic Chinese population would be minimal. Fourth, we did not account for the variability in drug adherence, which can affect the duration of exposure of medication. Fifth, the SLT group had a higher baseline IOP than the medical group and regression to the mean could have contributed to the reduction of IOP in the SLT group at 6 months. However, even if the 6-month IOPs were similar in both groups, more importantly, the SLT group required 40% less antiglaucoma medication than the medication-only group. Lastly, the titration of antiglaucoma medication was necessary for the clinical management of patients. To reduce bias, a preset individual target IOP was defined prior to the study and a medication adjustment algorithm was followed by the investigator.

Overall, our findings suggest that adjuvant SLT in those with medically-controlled POAG can further lower IOP and reduce the antiglaucoma medication required. However, there was no significant difference in the glaucoma-specific QoL or treatment tolerability when compared to their pre-SLT state, or when compared to those receiving medication alone at 6 months after treatment.
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