Hemifield pattern electroretinogram in ocular hypertension: comparison with frequency doubling technology and optical coherence tomography to detect early optic neuropathy
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Background: To assess the sensitivity and specificity of hemifield pattern electroretinogram (HF-PERG) for detecting early retinal ganglion cell (RGC) damage in ocular hypertensive (OH) patients.

Methods: Fifty-two OH patients (mean age 56±9.6 years) with an intraocular pressure (IOP)>21 mmHg were assessed. All subjects underwent HF-PERG, optical coherence tomography (OCT), and frequency doubling technology (FDT) visual field.

Results: OH patients showed a significant increase of peak-time of the N95 (P=0.027) compared to controls. The amplitude of the N95 of the lower and upper HF-PERG showed significant differences (P=0.037 and P=0.023, respectively) between the two groups. A significant intraocular (P=0.006) and interocular (P=0.018) asymmetry of N95 amplitude was found. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis revealed a sensitivity of 93% for the N95 of the lower HF-PERG, whereas full-field pattern electroretinogram (PERG) N95 peak-time had a sensitivity of 88%. In OH patients, we found a thinning of OCT - retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL), especially in the superior and inferior quadrant, although not statistically significant, and a significantly higher FDT pattern standard deviation (FDT-PSD; P=0.001). In the OCT-RNFL inferior quadrant, a sensitivity of 82% was recorded. Finally, the sensitivity of the FDT-PSD was 92%.

Conclusion: Our study shows that HF-PERG is a very sensitive test for detecting early damage of the RGC.
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Introduction

Glaucoma is a chronic optic neuropathy characterized by progressive functional loss and death of retinal ganglion cells (RGCs), visual field (VF) defects, and the appearance of optic nerve head abnormalities.1

Although standard achromatic perimetry (SAP) is still the most used method to monitor VF damage in glaucoma patients, it is well accepted that there are limitations in the early detection of the disease. Indeed, SAP does not detect VF defects until approximately 30% of RGCs have been lost,2,3 because their receptive fields are considerably redundant in the coverage of a given location in the retina and may be stimulated in toto by a nonselective stimulus, as it happens for the white-on-white stimulus.4 Furthermore, changes in the appearance of the peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) may often precede optic disc damage and SAP VF loss.6,7
For all these reasons, newer and more sensitive diagnostic techniques to assess the RNFL and visual function have been introduced in an attempt to evaluate glaucomatous damage at an earlier stage of the disease and to monitor patients with suspected glaucoma.

Pattern electroretinograms (PERGs) work by recording the electrical response of the RGC network.\textsuperscript{8,9} Hemifield (HF) PERGs measure the electrical response in the upper and lower fields and may play a key diagnostic role by considering that VF defects in glaucoma usually occur first in the upper half of the field than the lower.

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) provides accurate quantitative analysis and reproducible measurements of RNFL thickness.\textsuperscript{10} Frequency doubling technology (FDT) perimetry may target selective RGCs, specifically the M cells of the magnocellular pathway,\textsuperscript{11} which represents 3%-5% of the entire RGC population and might be selectively damaged in early glaucoma.\textsuperscript{12}

The purpose of this study was to compare the sensitivity and specificity abilities of HF-PERG, FDT, and OCT-RNFL in a population of ocular hypertensive (OH) patients for detecting early glaucomatous damage.

**Materials and methods**

Fifty-two patients with ocular hypertension prospectively recruited as longitudinal study participants at the Glaucoma Unit of the S Orsola-Malpighi Hospital of Bologna, Italy, from September 2012 to April 2013 were included.

Participants were enrolled if they had no family history of glaucoma, a minimum best corrected visual acuity of 0.1 logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (LogMAR), open anterior angles, clear ocular media, untreated intraocular pressure (IOP) of more than 21 mmHg on at least three occasions with the Goldmann applanation tonometer in both eyes, normal optic nerve head with no signs of diffuse thinning or focal narrowing or notching of the neuroretinal rim, hemorrhage, cupping or visible alterations of the RNFL, and mean defect (MD) and pattern standard deviation (PSD) perimetric indices of less than 1.5 dB.

Patients were excluded if they had history of ocular surgery or trauma, secondary causes of elevated IOP (exfoliation syndrome, pigment dispersion, corticosteroid use, iridocyclitis), intraocular eye diseases, or if they were on systemic medications known to affect IOP or if they wore contact lenses.

All patients underwent full ophthalmologic assessment, including best corrected visual acuity, Goldmann applanation tonometry, gonioscopy, corneal radius curvature measurement with automated keratometry (RK; Canon Inc., Tokyo, Japan), corneal thickness evaluation with a Tomey SP3000 pachymeter (Tomey Corp., Nagoya, Japan), slit-lamp examination of the anterior segment, and dilated funduscopy of the optic nerve head and RNFL with a 78-D lens.

Participants were submitted to FDT, HF-PERG, and OCT-RNFL. All visual function tests were reliable (25% false positives, false negatives, fixation losses) and all RNFL images obtained were judged to be of acceptable quality by experienced operators.

The study was approved by the Local Ethical Committee of the University of Bologna and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Instrumentation**

**Pattern electroretinogram hemifield test**

For PERG recording, we followed the International Society for Clinical Electrophysiology of Vision (ISCEV) standard guidelines\textsuperscript{13} and used the RetimaxPlus system (CSO Instruments, Florence, Italy).

The patient sat in a chair at a distance of 114 cm from the television screen and fixed binocularly on a 6 mm spot at the center of the screen. The generated potential was measured with HK-LOOP ocular electrodes. The ground electrode was placed on the right ear lobe, and the interelectrode resistance was less than 3 kOhm. The monitor screen subtended a visual angle of 28.36°. The refraction of all subjects was corrected for the viewing distance. PERG stimulus was first presented as a full-screen black-and-white checkerboard pattern (contrast: 99%; spatial frequency: 49.2 minutes; temporal frequency: 2 Hz) and then only on the upper and lower part of the screen (contrast: 99%; spatial frequency: 46.3 minutes; temporal frequency: 2 Hz) in order to obtain three transient PERGs relative to the full, lower, and upper fields. The amplitude and peak time of P50 and N95 were measured according to the guidelines of ISCEV.\textsuperscript{13,14}

**Optical coherence tomography**

The exam was performed using a spectral domain (SD) OCT (Heidelberg Spectralis OCT; Heidelberg Engineering GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany).

For the RNFL assessment, the device allows 1,024 A-scan points from a 3.45 mm circle that is centered manually on the optic nerve head by a well-trained examiner and provides an acquisition rate of 40,000 A-scans per second at an axial resolution of 3.9 μm. The included software algorithm delineates the anterior and posterior margins of the RNFL.
The resulting parapapillary RNFL thickness was evaluated as average thickness and specifically in the temporal quadrant, temporal superior quadrant, nasal superior quadrant, nasal quadrant, nasal inferior quadrant, and temporal inferior quadrant.

Only images of high quality, with proper centering of the optic disc in the the OCT scan circle and accurate segmentation were selected for analysis.

Frequency doubling technology perimetry

FDT was performed using the FDT VF Instrument (Welch Allyn, Skaneateles Falls, NY, USA and Carl Zeiss, Meditec Inc., Dublin, CA, USA). A full-threshold C-30 program was used for each patient.

This test is based on the phenomenon of the frequency-doubling illusion.15-17

The device randomly presents target stimuli that consist of individual 10° squares, with 0.25 cycles per degree monochrome sine-wave sinusoidal pattern of vertical gray stripes that are temporally modulated at 25 Hz.

Contrast between the lighter and darker phases of the vertical stripes in each stimulus pattern is attenuated automatically by using a modified binary staircase procedure to detect thresholds for sensitivity across the VF.

For each stimulus, which is presented for a maximum duration of 720 milliseconds, observers respond by pressing a button when the stimulus is detected.

During the first 160 milliseconds, the stimulus contrast is gradually increased from 0 to that selected for the presentation. If it is not immediately observed, the stimulus remains at this selected contrast for 400 milliseconds and is then gradually decreased to 0 during the final 160 milliseconds. The interstimulus interval varies randomly up to 500 milliseconds and the target location is randomly selected for each presentation.

Targets are presented in one of 17 test areas located within the central 20° radius of the VF (threshold program C-20). With a shift in fixation point location, the range can be extended to 30° in the nasal step area (threshold program N-30).

None of the study subjects had previous experience with FDT. For this reason, it was performed three times within 28 days, and the results of the last examination were used for statistical analysis.

Statistical analysis

All data were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. The statistical analysis was performed using the MedCalc statistical program (v10.9.1; MedCalc Software, Ostend, Belgium). The Wilcoxon nonparametric test and Spearman’s correlation test were used, taking P<0.05 as statistically significant. Furthermore, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed to determine the diagnostic sensitivities and specificities of HF-PERG, OCT-RNFL, and FDT. Finally, a comparison between the ROC areas of the most sensitive indices for each examination was obtained by calculating the p-ROC value.

Results

A total of 104 eyes of 52 OH patients (male:female [M:F] =24:28, mean age 56±9.6 years) were examined and compared to 104 control eyes of 52 healthy subjects (M:F =27:25, mean age 54.8±10.4 years) with no eye or systemic disorders, IOP <21 mmHg, normal optic nerve head appearance, and VF indices <1.5 dB.

No significant differences in ocular characteristics between the two groups were found, with the exception of IOP (P<0.001; Table 1).

By assessing full-field PERG, we found that OH subjects had reduced amplitude of the P50-N95, but this data was not statistically significant (P=0.097), although the latency of N95 significantly increased (P=0.027). By evaluating the HF-PERG, a statistically significant difference between OH patients and controls as regards to the amplitudes of both the lower and upper field N95 was found (P=0.037 and P=0.023, respectively; Table 2). We also found statistically significant intraocular (−1.76±0.828 vs −0.675±0.405 μV; P=0.006) and interocular (−1.199±0.678 vs −0.408±0.281 μV; P=0.018) asymmetry of the N95 wave in 18% of OH patients when compared to healthy controls. After OCT and FDT measurements, OH patients showed RNFL thinning in the

Table 1 Demographic and ocular characteristics of OH patients and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>OH patients</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>54.8±10.4</td>
<td>56±9.6</td>
<td>0.431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP (mmHg)</td>
<td>16.7±1.5</td>
<td>24.56±1.3</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractive errors (diopters)</td>
<td>0.2±1.5</td>
<td>0.4±1.6</td>
<td>0.320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual acuity (LogMAR)</td>
<td>0.0±0.1</td>
<td>0.0±0.1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal radius (mm)</td>
<td>7.74±0.14</td>
<td>7.82±0.15</td>
<td>0.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal thickness (μm)</td>
<td>542±3.08</td>
<td>548±4.15</td>
<td>0.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/D area ratio</td>
<td>0.33±0.11</td>
<td>0.37±0.12</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP MD (dB)</td>
<td>0.28±1.1</td>
<td>0.31±1.2</td>
<td>0.670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP PSD (dB)</td>
<td>1.60±0.4</td>
<td>1.89±0.70</td>
<td>0.542</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Bold indicates a statistically significant P-value (P<0.05).

Abbreviations: C/D, cup/disc; IOP, intraocular pressure; LogMAR, logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution; MD, mean defect; OH, ocular hypertensive; PSD, pattern standard deviation; SAP, standard automated perimetry.
The asymmetry of OCT-RNFL sensitivity between the upper and lower sector could confirm the hypothesis that onset of early RNFL damage in the lower sector recognizes not only a metabolic suffering of RGC, but also simultaneous ischemic damage to the fibers due to increased resistance in the posterior ciliary arteries.24–27

FDT is a highly sensitive test that assesses the functionality of a subgroup of magnocellular ganglion cells that are selectively impaired in glaucoma patients as demonstrated by histopathological experimental studies28,29 as they are relatively more vulnerable to early damage.30
Table 5 Comparison of the area under ROC of OCT-RNFL inferior quadrant, FDT-PSD, PERG N95 peak-time, PERG N95 upper and lower HF, intraocular and interocular asymmetry of N95

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RNFL INF</th>
<th>FDT-PSD</th>
<th>PERG N95-P-T</th>
<th>PERG-HF N95L</th>
<th>PERG-HF N95U</th>
<th>Intra As N95</th>
<th>Inter As N95</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>AUC =0.614</td>
<td>AUC =0.944</td>
<td>AUC =0.750</td>
<td>AUC =0.953</td>
<td>AUC =0.738</td>
<td>AUC =0.884</td>
<td>AUC =0.792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>between areas</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.399</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>P=0.0004</td>
<td>P=0.065</td>
<td>P=0.001</td>
<td>P=0.001</td>
<td>P=0.018</td>
<td>P=0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC =0.944</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>P=0.0001</td>
<td>P=0.0006</td>
<td>P=0.779</td>
<td>P=0.004</td>
<td>P=0.169</td>
<td>P=0.0025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>between areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC =0.750</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>P=0.065</td>
<td>P=0.0006</td>
<td>P=0.871</td>
<td>P=0.001</td>
<td>P=0.045</td>
<td>P=0.517</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>between areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC =0.953</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>P=0.0001</td>
<td>P=0.0001</td>
<td>P=0.0001</td>
<td>P=0.0001</td>
<td>P=0.116</td>
<td>P=0.0022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>between areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC =0.738</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>P=0.108</td>
<td>P=0.004</td>
<td>P=0.871</td>
<td>P=0.0001</td>
<td>P=0.012</td>
<td>P=0.375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>between areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC =0.884</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>P=0.0002</td>
<td>P=0.169</td>
<td>P=0.045</td>
<td>P=0.116</td>
<td>P=0.012</td>
<td>P=0.083</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>between areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC =0.953</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>P=0.015</td>
<td>P=0.0025</td>
<td>P=0.517</td>
<td>P=0.0022</td>
<td>P=0.375</td>
<td>P=0.043</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>between areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Bold indicates the most significant data.

Abbreviations: AUC, area under curve; HF, hemifield; FDT, frequency doubling technology; Intra As, intraocular asymmetry; Inter As, interocular asymmetry; L, lower; OCT, optical coherence tomography; PSD, pattern standard deviation; PERG, pattern evoked electroretinogram; P-T, peak-time; RNFL INF, retinal nerve fiber layer inferior; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; U, upper.

Therefore, an alteration of FDT indices may be indicative of early glaucomatous damage much earlier than SAP in our OH subjects, with a sensitivity of 92% and a specificity of 86%.
loss is minimal. As regards to full-field transient PERG, a nonsignificant reduction in amplitude of N95 was found in OH eyes when compared to controls (7.16±2.99 vs 9.29±1.18 μV; P=0.097) and these data had a sensitivity of 56% and a specificity of 69%. This finding is not in agreement with previous studies; indeed, other authors found a reduction of the N95 amplitude in suspected glaucoma patients.49,52-54

This discrepancy is probably due to the use of the steadystate technique. Steady-state PERG only records the activity of the RGC ON-spiking pathway;54 thus, the reduction of the N95 amplitude as reported in glaucoma and suspected glaucoma patients, may depend on the high temporal frequency of stimuli that causes metabolic stress to the RGC, with consequent reduction of N95 amplitude.56,57 On the other hand, we observed a significant increase in N95 latency, which is the first sign of RGC, metabolic suffering, as detectable by the PERG-transient full-field technique, in accordance with Jafarzadehpour et al.49

By using transient HF-PERG, we showed a statistically significant reduction of the N95 amplitude of both the lower and upper fields in OH patients as compared to controls (3.25±1.31 vs 4.43±1.0 μV; P=0.037 and 4.04±1.22 vs 4.61±0.82 μV; P=0.023, respectively).

The percentages of sensitivity and specificity of lower and upper HF-PERG N95 were respectively 93% and 81% in the lower field, and 88% and 77% in the upper field, and were very similar to those of FDT-PSD.

Moreover, we found a statistically significant correlation between lower and upper HF-PERG with the corresponding RNFL thickness sectors. This finding confirms that the functional alteration of RGC is established before the anatomical damage.59

The main characteristic of the early damage caused by glaucoma is its asymmetry with respect to the horizontal meridian. For this reason, several methods of investigation have been developed in order to assess the asymmetry of the upper and lower sectors of the nerve fiber layer,50,61 of the neuroretinal rim,62,63 and macular thickness.64,65

Finally, we found not only an intraocular but also an interocular asymmetry of N95 amplitude in about 18% of OH patients.

This study has several limitations. First, we evaluated a small sample size to obtain the data. Secondly, the lack of a well-known gold standard exam for detecting early glaucomatous damage means that comparison of the different tests is difficult.

In conclusion, in our opinion, HF-PERG may be a useful exam for detecting early glaucomatosus damage as it provides additional information on the asymmetry of RGC activity through comparison of the upper and lower fields. However, a long-term follow-up study is required to better clarify the relationship between HF-PERG testing and pathological change of glaucomatous optic neuropathy in its very early stages.

Thus, a test battery consisting of HF-PERG and FDT may enhance the detection of early glaucomatous damage in OH patients, and could be useful to identify those patients who need ocular therapy to reduce IOP and to avoid the onset of VF defects.
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