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Eluting antibiotic bone graft substitutes for the treatment of osteomyelitis in long bones. A review: evidence for their use?
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Abstract: Despite continuous advances in surgical procedures and antibiotic therapies, osteomyelitis still remains one of the most devastating complications in trauma and orthopedic surgery. Treatment of osteomyelitis represents a severe and challenging problem, even if the treatment of acute cases with systemic antibiotics has good success rates. Chronic cases need a consequent resection of dead or infected bone in addition to an improved systemic and local antibiotic therapy. The gold standard therapy is the implantation of polymethylmethacrylate as spacer and local antibiotic carrier. Besides other drawbacks, nonbiodegradable polymethylmethacrylate implants need to be removed. This led to an ongoing search for a biodegradable antibiotic bone graft substitute for the treatment of osteomyelitis to avoid secondary removal. The number of clinically available products grows, but still, the available number of peer-reviewed clinical trials to prove their efficiency is limited. This review gives an overview of the current available literature.
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Introduction

Infections remain a serious problem in trauma and orthopedic surgery. Especially, the therapy of osteomyelitis represents an ambitious and challenging problem. Healthy adult bone is extremely resistant to infection. This is the reason why osteomyelitis often occurs in patients with predisposing factors such as diabetes, immunosuppression, or peripheral arterial disease. While in adults, osteomyelitis often occurs after traumatic infections or in combination with predisposing factors; in children, osteomyelitis follows in most cases after a hematogenous spread of the infection and without any predisposing, underlying illnesses.

Prolonged bone infections are characterized as chronic osteomyelitis. Reaching a chronic status, the infection often requires a radical surgical resection, and this leads in many cases to a large loss of bone and soft tissue. Inadequate resection of infected tissue increases the reinfection rate.

Beside the surgical treatment with resection and debridement of the infected bone, an early antibiogram-specific therapy for osteomyelitis is necessary. A side effect of chronic bone infections is the impairment of the local vascularity. This causes serious problems in ensuring effective local antibiotic doses after parental or oral drug substitution. The local implantation of an antimicrobial agent represents an easy method to reach higher local antibiotic concentrations. Polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) has been successfully used as a local antibiotic carrier for the treatment of osteomyelitis over the last decades. But due to the fact that PMMA has to be removed...
during the treatment process, can be responsible for thermal necrosis, and might lead to antibiotic resistance formation, researchers are looking for a replacement.

The use of a biodegradable bone graft substitute as an antibiotic carrier would avoid surgical removal of the implant, reduce systemic side effects, and shorten the period of treatment.3

**Literature search**

A systematic literature search was carried out on the PubMed database, examining the entire available literature. The following search strings were used: “osteomyelitis bone graft substitutes” and “osteomyelitis antibiotic bone grafts.” 285 papers were detected. The results were supplemented by a hand search. Only articles addressing orthopedic trauma and reconstruction surgery were reviewed. After screening the abstracts, a full-text evaluation was carried out. The bibliography of the collected papers was searched to find as many related publications as possible. Only English language publications were included in the review process. At the end, 84 papers could be analyzed and integrated into the review process.

**Pathology of osteomyelitis**

Osteomyelitis represents an inflammatory and progressive bacterial infection of bone. In the modern world, it was first described in 1852 by the French surgeon Édouard Chassaignac in a case of a 2-year-old child.4 But already in the 17th century BC, osteomyelitis was specified in the Egyptian Edwin Smith Papyrus – probably the oldest trauma text in human history.3

Cases of osteomyelitis of long bones occur most often after accidents, surgeries, or after combat traumas.6,7 In adults, hematogenous bacteremia most frequently leads to cases of vertebral osteomyelitis.

The tibia is the bone with the most documented infections in the literature. Today *Staphylococcus aureus* is the most commonly attested bacteria for inflammatory infection of bone, followed by *Pseudomonas* and *Enterobacteriaceae*.3,8 Patients present with swelling, erythema, and pain over the infected area, accompanied by chills and fever.9 Chronic osteomyelitis can additionally lead to prolonged or failed wound healing and requires a detailed medical, antibiotic, and surgical history. For diagnosis, blood cultures, needle or open bone biopsies are necessary.

Osteomyelitis can be classified using two different major systems: the Cierny-Mader classification and the Waldvogel classification system.10,11 The original staging system, the Waldvogel classification, presented in 1970, is an etiologic system based on the pathogenesis of the infection, differentiating between three categories: hematogenous, contiguous focus, and osteomyelitis associated with vascular insufficiency.11

The Cierny-Mader classification, reported in 2003, categorizes osteomyelitis according to the anatomy of the infected bone and to the physiology of the host. This classification allows the development of comprehensive treatment guidelines and prognoses for each stage.10

Different factors are responsible for the loss of bone during an osteomyelitis infection. In addition to an initial trauma, the surgical resection of the infected bone evokes bone defects that make bone grafting or the use of bone graft substitutes necessary. Furthermore, osteolytic cytokines and osteonecrosis factors released by the bacteria damage the bone.12 The progressive infection of the bone and the surrounding tissue results in an intense inflammatory response, causing local thrombosis and weakening of the blood supply. This provokes an undersupply of oxygen and shortage of nutrition of the bone and the surrounding tissue, resulting in bone infarcts with abscess and sequestrum formation.8 Furthermore, the incoming amount of the antibiotic is limited and ends in a poor antibiotic concentration, especially in the infected bone itself.

In these poor blood supported areas, bacteria can multiply, almost without meeting any resistance. Given time, the bacterial microorganisms are able to form biofilms that add additional protection against antibodies and the antibiotic therapy.13 Biofilm formation results in a need for ten to 100 times increased antibiotic concentrations to fight bacteria.14,15

All these factors together and in different combinations lead to the formation of necrotic bone and sequestrum. For adequate treatment of chronic osteomyelitis in combination with biofilm appearance, a complete implant removal and a radical surgical debridement is necessary. To ensure mechanical stability of the defect after removal of the implant, an overlapping external fixation is necessary.16 The implantation of a new local implant into the infection is not useful until the infection is adequately eradicated.

**History**

The idea to treat osteomyelitis with antibiotic impregnated bone grafts was first described by Prigge in 1946. He combined autologous bone graft with local application of penicillin to fill bone defects after resection of dead bone after chronic osteomyelitis in 61 male patients.17 One year
later, De Grood was the first to use cancellous bone as an antibiotic carrier.\textsuperscript{19} He treated two cases of osteomyelitis by filling bony defects after surgical resection of infected bone with penicillin-impregnated cancellous bone graft. Although the results were quite promising, the procedure was discontinued after Hogeman\textsuperscript{19} in 1949 and Buchman and Blair\textsuperscript{20} in 1951 presented unsuccessful treatments. It took more than 30 years until the idea to use a cancellous bone graft as an antibiotic delivery vehicle was rediscovered by McLaren and Miniachi in 1986.\textsuperscript{21}

**Antibiotic therapy**

The systemic antibiotic therapy of osteomyelitis occurs intravenously and orally. It requires an antibiotic agent matching the antibiogram of the bacteria. The advantage of a systemic antibiotic therapy is the possibility to deliver the antibiotics to areas that cannot be reached with a topical therapy. Drawbacks to a systematic antibiotic treatment are the potential for drug-related toxicity with organ failure, gastrointestinal side effects, allergic reactions, and the possible lack of reaching therapeutic concentrations in the infected area.\textsuperscript{22–24} Because of the poor penetration of bone, a systemic antibiotic therapy is unable to eradicate the bacteria in a chronic state.

Beside this, any antibiotic treatment of chronic osteomyelitis will fail if there is no sufficient surgery with considerable debridement, no soft tissue coverage is secured, and the local blood supply is not optimized.\textsuperscript{13,25} The high risk of a continuous infection explains the need for a systemic postoperative antibiotic therapy. According to the literature, a postoperative systemic antibiotic therapy is recommended for 4 to 6 weeks and is founded on a period of 4 weeks for revascularization of bone grafts after resection and transplantation.\textsuperscript{26,27}

Nevertheless, the efficiency of a systemic antibiotic therapy for chronic osteomyelitis is highly improbable. The avascularity and the porous structure of newly implanted bone grafts prevent a therapeutic antibiotic level in the bone defect.\textsuperscript{28} Further problems occur with the increasing number of highly resistant bacteria such as methicillin resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA).\textsuperscript{29}

Multiple animal trials have proven that a local antibiotic therapy using different delivery systems represents a useful and safe treatment option for osteomyelitis.\textsuperscript{30–35} Effective treatment of chronic osteomyelitis with tobramycin-impregnated bone graft substitutes in humans could be shown by various authors.\textsuperscript{27,36,37} Different studies promote a bone graft substitute impregnated with the combination of vancomycin and tobramycin.\textsuperscript{29,38} Vancomycin antagonizes most Gram-positive bacteria. Additionally, vancomycin represents the agent of choice against MRSA.\textsuperscript{38} Tobramycin is effective against many Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria.\textsuperscript{39} Vancomycin and tobramycin-impregnated bone grafts have successfully been used as bone graft substitutes in the bony defects after osteomyelitis in humans.\textsuperscript{39,40} Nevertheless, there is only poor or almost no data regarding the actual antibiotic concentration levels and the appropriate dosage regimen.\textsuperscript{41} Up to the present day, the ideal antibiotic and dosage for a local therapy of osteomyelitis has not been found.

**PMMA**

In the last decades, the use of PMMA has been regarded as the local antibiotic delivery system to prevent higher loads of antibiotic concentration in bony defects.\textsuperscript{42,43} Klemm used gentamicin-PMMA beads as local antibiotic therapy after surgical debridement in chronic osteomyelitis, with a success quotient of 91.4\% of 128 cases.\textsuperscript{44} Repeating the gold standard, PMMA has the advantages of sufficient elution characteristics and excellent structural support properties.\textsuperscript{14} According to the literature, PMMA is impregnated with vancomycin,\textsuperscript{45} tobramycin,\textsuperscript{46} daptomycin,\textsuperscript{47,48} and gentamycin.\textsuperscript{49,50} However, the use of PMMA is accompanied by a number of disadvantages. During the preparation and mixing of PMMA, high temperatures (100°C) occur, which can lead to denaturation of the integrated antibiotic and to thermal necrosis at the implant site. Ultimately, the PMMA implant has to be removed since it is not biodegradable, and the implant itself can create bacterial resistance if the antibiotic concentration drops over the course of time, and the PMMA implant acts as a foreign body.\textsuperscript{51–53}

**The ideal bone graft substitute**

Regarding the literature, an ideal bone graft should demonstrate three properties: osteoinduction, osteoconduction, and osteogenesis. In addition, a bone graft must be able to encase and integrate into the host to avoid graft-versus-host reactions.\textsuperscript{54} Autologous bone grafts still represent the gold standard in bone grafting containing bone matrix, growth factors, osteoblasts, and osteoprogenitor cells.\textsuperscript{3,55} Regardless of these factors, the amount of autologous bone grafting is limited, and the donor-site morbidity is high.

Owing to the growing requirement for bone grafts, the US orthopedic bone graft substitute market is increasing.\textsuperscript{56} Due to this increasing use of bone graft substitutes and the rising number of multiresistant organisms, an antibacterial factor should be added as a fourth property to an ideal bone graft.
The materials used as bone graft substitutes differ in their properties of osteoinduction, osteoconduction, osteogenesis, and stability. Osteoinductive materials have bioactive properties that stimulate the differentiation of undifferentiated and pluripotent cells into a bone-forming cell line. An osteoconductive bone graft provides a surface that promotes the ingrowth of bone and blood vessels. Osteogenesis describes the process of new bone formation originating from transplanted living cells. Bone graft substitutes themselves do not have osteogenic properties in contrast to autologous bone. Only in composites can osteogenic properties be added – for example using bone marrow aspirates.

In addition to the four basic properties, an ideal bone graft substitute should be biocompatible, bioresorbable, structurally similar to bone, easy to use, and cost-effective.

**Treatment of osteomyelitis: the current state of the art**

Today, the gold standard therapy of chronic osteomyelitis is performed in a two-step treatment, beginning in the first step with a systematic antibiotic therapy, surgical debridement, and local antibiotic treatment with PMMA, followed by a second step of removing the PMMA implant and surgical reconstruction of the resulting bony defect. This leads to delayed recovery in the patient. To shorten the treatment time, reduce the number of operations, minimize cost, and eliminate the various drawbacks of PMMA, biodegradable bone graft substitutes as possible antibiotic carrier came increasingly into focus.67

**Bone graft substitutes**

The idea to use bone graft substitutes proposed to combine its possible use as local antibiotic delivery system to eradicate infection, with its regeneration possibilities to treat bony defects and support new bone formation after debridement of an infected bone. The biodegradable properties eliminate the need to remove the implant at a later time point and reduce the risk of bacterial resistance over the course of time.61 Also, the degradation of a biodegradable bone graft substitute ensures to elute the complete antibiotic load of the implant. Different biodegradable bone graft substitutes have been investigated (Table 1).

**Calcium sulfate**

Calcium sulfate has been used as an antibiotic carrier in previous studies,60–62 thereby verifying its clinical effectiveness and its reliability as an antibiotic carrier. Initial problems with degradation of the antibiotic during production or the sterilization of the calcium sulfate were solved by Gitelis and Brebach in 2002.27 The structure of calcium sulfate determines its mechanical properties. The tensile stability is a little bit lower while its compressive stability is greater than the stability of cancellous bone.59

Calcium sulfate as bone graft substitute has an osteoconductive property. In different studies, calcium sulfate could show good resorption rates and good biocompatibility.60–62 Nevertheless, the dissolution of calcium sulfate leads to an acidic microenvironment, responsible for local inflammatory processes at the site of implantation.61,62

Already, in 2002, McKee et al36 treated 25 patients (15 males and ten females) with a culture-positive, long bone infection using tobramycin impregnated calcium sulfate. They showed an effective eradication rate of 92% after posttraumatic osteomyelitis. The isolated bony infections, without earlier operation, healed in 100% of the cases, and 14 of the 16 patients with previous therapy achieved union. Nine patients required an extra surgery using autologous bone graft.

A report by Turner et al63 was published showing sufficient local antibiotic concentrations of tobramycin over a period of 14 to 28 days after implantation of calcium sulfate pellets loaded with 10% tobramycin in a contained medullary defect in the proximal humeri of canines.

Also, Thomas et al57, in 2005, presented positive results for the use of tobramycin-impregnated calcium sulfate to treat stable unicortical defects in the proximal tibial metaphysis infected with S. aureus.

The increasing prevalence of antibiotic-resistant bacterial infections represents a growing and threatening problem in clinical practice. To counteract this, Richelsoph et al44 and Webb et al65 used daptomycin as a new powerful lipopeptide antibiotic to impregnate calcium sulfate. Webb documented the ability to elute daptomycin to inhibit bacterial growth of S. aureus and Staphylococcus epidermidis up to 28 days.55

**Synthetic polymers**

Synthetic polymers such as poly(lactic acid) (PLA), polyglycolide, poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA), polycaprolactone, polyhydroxybutyrate-co-hydroxyvalerate, cross-linked polydimethylsiloxane, and polyhydroxyalkanoates have been tested and investigated as local antibiotic drug delivery implants.14,41,66–68 All these materials have the properties to work as antibiotic-impregnated graft delivery systems, with further evaluation and development.67

In 1997, Mader et al19 evaluated the elution of clindamycin, tobramycin, and vancomycin out of PLA, PLGA,
a composite of PLA and PLGA, and PMMA. PLA, PLGA, and the composite released concentrations of clindamycin, tobramycin, and vancomycin above the breakpoint sensitivity for a period of at least 30 days. PMMA was able to effectively elute vancomycin for 12 days only.

Liu et al.70 in 2002, investigated PLGA beads impregnated with vancomycin in a rabbit model. They could measure minimal vancomycin over a 55-day period.70

Another research group developed a composite of PLGA copolymer (PLGA) as an antibiotic carrier for vancomycin in combination with collagen loaded with mesenchymal stem cells as a biodegradable bone graft substitute for treatment of osteomyelitis in an animal model.71 PLGA is an organic substance based on lactic acids. As carrier, it is an atoxic material, eliciting only a minimal inflammatory response during its biodegradation,71,72 while collagen is known as a good and low immunogenic bone graft.73 Using a rabbit model, Ueng et al71 detected a minimal vancomycin concentration in the bony defect over 56 days and good bone regeneration.

Huang et al74 were able to develop biodegradable, ultrafine, double-layered fibers through a coaxial electrospinning process using polycaprolactone and gentamycin. The implant is very interesting, while degradation of the implant and elution of the drugs can be controlled by the rate of hydrophilicity. Nonetheless, the degradation of the different polymers varies greatly, making a comparison very difficult. The literature describes at least four different degradation types for polymers: chemical, mechanical, photo, and thermal degradation.75

Bioactive glass: a noneluting antibiotic bone graft substitute
Bioactive glasses (BAGs) are used as biodegradable osteoconductive bone graft substitutes. BAGs are hard and solid materials that are based on silicon compounds. By varying their structural composition, their abilities can vary from resorbable to nonresorbable.75,76 They are not used as antibiotic carriers but known to have antibacterial and angiogenesis promoting properties.77 They bond to bone and muscles.78 BAG-S53P4 has been evaluated as an antibiotic treatment option in different studies. In eleven cases of osteomyelitis, healing was achieved after surgery and implantation of BAG-S53P4 in nine of eleven patients, while one patient had an infection because of a hematoma and one retained a superficial wound infection due to vascular problems of a muscle flap.77 Drago et al79 treated 27 patients with osteomyelitis using BAG-S53P4.79 They published a success rate of 88.9% (24 of 27 patients) after a follow-up average of 18 months. One patient had to undergo plastic surgery while two patients showed a recurrent infection—one of the two, due to an infection with MRSA, the other had a polymicrobial infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year of publication</th>
<th>Bone graft</th>
<th>Antibiotic(s)</th>
<th>Sufficient concentrations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mader et al.77</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Poly(lactide acid (PLA))</td>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>For 30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Polylactide-co-glycolide (PLGA)</td>
<td>Tobramycin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Composite PLA + PLGA</td>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>For 30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tobramycin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al.70</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>PLGA</td>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>12 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turner et al.63</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Calcium sulfate</td>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>55 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rauschmann et al.84</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Composite hydroxyapatite and calcium sulfate</td>
<td>Tobramycin</td>
<td>14–28 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>10 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webb et al.85</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Calcium sulfate</td>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>Up to 28 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ueng et al.71</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Composite PLA + collagen impregnated with MSC</td>
<td>Daptomycin</td>
<td>56 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al.85</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Composite calcium sulfate and BMP-2</td>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>21 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang et al.82</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Tissue engineered construct: DBM</td>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>28 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ collagen scaffold + BMSC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maier et al.83</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Beta tricalcium phosphates</td>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>4–6 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gentamycin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BMSC, bone marrow stromal cells; DBM, demineralized bone matrix; MSC, mesenchymal stem cells; PMMA, polymethylmethacrylate.
McAndrew et al. in 2013, presented a short study successfully treating chronic osteomyelitis with BonAlive® and intravenous and oral antibiotic therapy in two males and one female after a mean follow-up of 17.3 months.

Tissue engineering
Using tissue engineering, Xing et al. created a biodegradable composite of vancomycin alginate beads and fibrin gel scaffolds to treat chronic osteomyelitis in a rabbit model, promoting positive results. Chang et al. confirmed these results in a goat model, reporting a minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of vancomycin against S. aureus in a bone defect for at least 28 days.

Composites
Shirtliff et al. used calcium hydroxyapatite impregnated with vancomycin in a rabbit osteomyelitis model after intramedullary injection of MRSA. After radical reaction of the infected bone, he could prove eradication for MRSA in the calcium hydroxyapatite group in 81.8% compared to the PMMA vancomycin group with a 70% clearance rate.

Rauschmann et al. used PerOssal® as a composite of nanoparticulate hydroxyapatite and calcium sulfate to reduce the known cytotoxic effects of calcium sulfate. In a comparison of calcium sulfate to the nanoparticulate hydroxyapatite calcium sulfate composite, gentamicin equally eluted out of both carriers within 10 days, while the release of vancomycin initially was higher in the composite, and after 5 days, was higher in the calcium sulfate. Whereas the composite of nanoparticulate hydroxyapatite and calcium sulfate showed good antibiotic release of the impregnated antibiotics and good resorption and biocompatibility, calcium sulfate produced cytotoxic reactions in two of four tests.

Wang et al. showed good antibiotic elution over 21 days and significantly augmented new bone formation in a rabbit model using a composite of calcium sulfate loaded with recombinant human BMP-2 and vancomycin.

In 2011, Karr et al. presented an in vitro study using a calcium sulfate and hydroxyapatite composite disc (Cerament™) as an antibiotic carrier for different antibiotics against S. aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. They reported inhibition zones against S. aureus of 33% to 222% and against P. aeruginosa of 93% to 200% greater than the minimum of inhibition breakpoints defined by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. In the same year, Karr released an article reporting the successful treatment of diabetic foot osteomyelitis in a clinical off-label use of Cerament™ impregnated with vancomycin.

Maier et al. compared the beta tricalcium phosphates Cerasorb® and Cerasorb® M as antibiotic carrier systems impregnated with gentamycin and vancomycin. They reported elution rates for both materials over the MIC of S. aureus. While Cerasorb® had a higher elution rate and an MIC rate for 6 days, Cerasorb® M showed lower rates and a MIC for 4 days only.

Discussion
Different materials have been evaluated as antibiotic bone graft substitutes. While not matching the group of eluting antibiotic bone graft substitutes and with limited clinical data, bioactive glass seems to be a good alternative in the treatment of chronic osteomyelitis.

To the present day, the potential use of synthetic polymers and tissue engineered implants as antibiotic delivery systems for the treatment of chronic osteomyelitis has been investigated in several studies. They show promising results, but still, no clinical product has been successfully launched, and the available clinical data is insufficient.

Even though calcium sulfate and hydroxyapatite as bone graft substitutes in humans have been investigated for a long time, as antibiotic carriers, the review of the literature shows limited clinical data. The presented results for infection control in short-term studies are good, and compared to the other bone graft substitutes investigated as antibiotic carrier systems, calcium sulfate and hydroxyapatite have the most reliable data, representing a promising alternative to the current treatment.

The different materials reviewed show promising results in the treatment of chronic osteomyelitis but overall, the clinical data are poor. As published by McLaren, in 2004, the various study designs, different animal models, unequal antibiotic concentrations, and varying antibiotic agents give no possibility to compare the different outcomes. The results of in vitro and in vivo studies do not correlate. Surgical procedures, implant preparations, elution fluids, and antibiotic concentrations differ widely from each other. The knowledge about release rates, not only in humans but also in connection with the implantation site, have to be more thoroughly investigated and understood since locally delivered antibiotics still have the potential for systemic toxicity. Although there are differences in elution for different antibiotics, all seem to have adequate elution for the treatment of osteomyelitis; however, the length of time that the antibiotic drug level remains over the MIC varies. Since it is not possible to create an osteomyelitis model equal to a human case, the results of the different materials...
have to be rated individually, and if promising, clinical trials have to be performed to be able to compare the materials against each other.

As Kurien et al already published, the literature not only shows limited evidence for antibiotic bone graft substitutes but it is also limited for most of the regular available bone graft substitutes as well.

Until further trials have been completed, and especially more clinical data has been collected, the use of biodegradable bone graft substitutes as antibiotic carriers remains off label. Therefore, it is important to differentiate between the US and the European Union. While in Europe, different Conformité Européenne (CE) marked antibiotic bone graft substitutes for the treatment of osteomyelitis exist, the Food and Drug Administration in the US has not cleared or approved any antibiotic bone graft substitute to our knowledge.
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