Impact of point-of-care ultrasound on quality of care in clinical practice
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Abstract: The use of point-of-care (POC) ultrasonography has rapidly expanded in recent years, in both academic and community settings. It is one of the few diagnostic modalities that can be performed rapidly at the bedside by a physician and has significant impact on patient outcomes. It is portable, readily accessible, and cost-effective, and has no risk of ionizing radiation. There is an abundance of evidence that supports the use of POC ultrasound by physicians in different subspecialties. Multiple studies have documented the diagnostic accuracy of POC ultrasound and its ability to decrease the time to definitive treatment. As ultrasound technology has advanced, POC ultrasound applications have also evolved from being used solely in patients with blunt abdominal trauma to applications for nearly every clinical scenario imaginable. From performing procedures more safely to diagnosing pathology more quickly, POC ultrasound is radically changing clinical practice, patient outcomes, and the overall quality of patient care a clinician can provide. Recently, there has been a paradigm shift involving a symptom-based approach to POC ultrasound. This unique symptom-based ultrasound approach has led to improved quality of care in a variety of clinical settings.
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Introduction

The use of ultrasound is widespread in emergency departments, inpatient units, and outpatient settings. Ultrasound services have been traditionally offered by radiology departments; however, in recent years, physicians in different specialties have adopted point-of-care (POC) ultrasound technology. POC ultrasound is primarily used to answer focused clinical questions at the bedside, narrow the differential diagnosis, and direct appropriate therapy. This practice has many advantages over traditional imaging modalities. It is rapid, portable, noninvasive, repeatable, less expensive, and does not carry the risk of ionizing radiation. Since it is performed at the bedside, it is ideal in the setting of an unstable patient. The use of POC ultrasound is now widespread in both community and academic settings.1

Clinician-performed ultrasound dates back to the early 1980s, when it was first utilized by German trauma surgeons for rapid evaluation of patients with blunt trauma. It resulted in a high degree of accuracy when compared with clinical outcomes at the time. The term “focused abdominal sonography in trauma” (FAST) was coined by Rozycki et al, who were early adopters of trauma ultrasound in North America.2 That now distant introduction of ultrasound in trauma heralded the progressive expansion of use of ultrasound by emergency physicians as well as tremendous diversification.
of its use. The scope of POC ultrasound practice has grown from a single application to include every organ system and virtually every disease process. The application of POC ultrasound in emergency medicine is rapidly expanding. Key factors contributing to ongoing growth of POC ultrasound include access to improved ultrasound technology, advanced ultrasound education, acquisition of advanced skills, endorsement of POC ultrasound use by multiple societies, and the establishment of an overwhelming body of scientific medical literature supporting the use of ultrasound by emergency physicians. Ultrasound technology is rapidly evolving and ultrasound systems are becoming more compact, affordable, and easy to use, with ever improving image resolution. Multiple studies have shown that with appropriate training, emergency physicians can accurately perform and interpret bedside ultrasound examinations, the results of which they can incorporate into their clinical decision-making.

Prior studies have shown that use of POC ultrasound by emergency physicians not only improves diagnostic accuracy but also decreases time to consultation and length of stay in the emergency department. POC ultrasound has been shown to alter the management of critically ill patients in the emergency department, including those with pericardial effusion, pulmonary embolism, pneumothorax, and ectopic pregnancy. Additionally, the use of ultrasound for procedural guidance has been shown to be cost-effective, to decrease complication rates, and to improve patient safety. The unique advantages of POC ultrasound performed by clinicians include rapid assessment of critically ill patients presenting with a variety of symptoms, such as chest pain, shortness of breath, or undifferentiated hypotension. This paper discusses how POC ultrasound can be used to evaluate patients presenting with a variety of symptoms and how ultrasound improves quality of care in clinical practice.

**Shortness of breath**

Patients presenting to the emergency department with a chief complaint of dyspnea can be very challenging to the physician. The traditional approach to these patients includes a good history, physical examination, and a chest radiograph, and each component has significant limitations. An adequate history can be limited by confusion, anxiety, and respiratory distress. The physical examination can be limited by body habitus, ambient noise from a chaotic environment, and respiratory effort. The chest radiograph can be limiting because it relies on availability of a radiology technician, a portable machine that requires transport, and a radiologist to provide a final interpretation. Patients who complain of dyspnea benefit from the availability of POC ultrasound because: it can provide a faster and more accurate diagnosis; it can empower clinicians to provide the appropriate therapy more quickly; it can be repeated to demonstrate improvement of the disease process; and it is free of radiation.

As an example, a 60-year-old male with a history of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease presents to the emergency department complaining of dyspnea that has worsened over the past 3 weeks. The patient has a chronic history of cough but denies fevers. His past medical history is significant for coronary artery disease, with one coronary stent placed 2 years ago. He has no history of heart failure. His vital signs included a blood pressure of 120/80 mmHg, heart rate 98 beats per minute, respiratory rate 24 per minute, temperature of 37.0°C, and oxygen saturation 90% on room air. Physical examination reveals a visibly dyspneic male with diffusely diminished breath sounds. In addition, examination of his lower extremities reveals pitting edema. A chest radiograph is ordered and the patient is placed on supplemental oxygen.

At this point, bedside ultrasound can be incorporated into the assessment of this patient. Focused cardiac ultrasound reveals decreased cardiac contractility, and an evaluation of the inferior vena cava demonstrates a plethoric respiratory response (Figure 1). In addition, thoracic ultrasound is performed and demonstrates pathologic B-lines diffusely (Figure 2) with notable bilateral pleural effusions. There is normal pleural slide (Figure 3) and no evidence of lung consolidation. As a result of these POC ultrasound findings suggesting pulmonary edema, respiratory therapy is paged to administer positive pressure support; the patient is given 40 mg of intravenous furosemide; and a bed request is made in the step down unit for new-onset congestive heart failure.
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**Figure 1** Plethoric inferior vena cava with loss of respiratory variation.
Often this can be accomplished before the radiology techni-
cian comes to the bedside to perform the chest radiograph.

Thoracic ultrasound has been shown to be an excellent
imaging modality for numerous thoracic disease processes.
POC thoracic ultrasound is nearly 100% sensitive for the
diagnosis of pneumothorax. In addition, one study
found it to be equal to chest radiography for the detection
of traumatic hemothorax, with a sensitivity and specific-
ity of 96.2% and 100%, respectively. Regarding pleural
effusions, ultrasound is more accurate at quantifying how
much fluid is present (20 mm effusion equates to approxi-
mately 400 mL). For diagnosis of interstitial disease such
as pulmonary edema, POC ultrasound has been shown to
be superior to chest radiography. Furthermore, one study
demonstrated similar likelihood ratios when compared with
pro-brain-type natriuretic peptide in the diagnosis of conges-
tive heart failure. For the diagnosis of pneumonia, studies
have indicated similarities to computed tomography (CT)
and improvement over chest radiography.

The application of POC ultrasound to the care of a patient
with shortness of breath can improve clinical practice and
patient care. In patients with complex clinical scenarios
presenting with dyspnea, POC ultrasound can help differ-
entiate between congestive heart failure, chronic obstructive
pulmonary disease, pneumonia, and pneumothorax with great
sensitivity and specificity.

**Chest pain (trauma)**

For patients presenting to the emergency department with
chest and thoracic trauma, bedside ultrasonography is one
of the most powerful tools available for the emergency
physician. Focused evaluation of the pericardium for effu-
sion in the setting of trauma is one of the principal elements
of the FAST examination and has been shown to be highly
accurate (sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 99.3%).
Additionally, this element of the FAST examination has
been shown to decrease time to definitive management, and
improve mortality and neurologic outcome in patients with
penetrating cardiac injury.

**Chest pain (nontrauma)**

Bedside ultrasonographic evaluation of emergency depart-
ment patients presenting with nontraumatic chest pain
aids in the diagnosis of several life-threatening illnesses.
In patients with acute myocardial ischemia, use of POC
echocardiography can potentially avoid delays in care and
prevent adverse outcomes. Prior research demonstrated that
regional wall motion abnormalities appear rapidly after
the onset of severe ischemia from acute coronary occlu-
sion, and these abnormalities can be detected on bedside
cardiac ultrasound. The ability of emergency physicians to
detect regional wall motion abnormalities has recently been
studied. Another clinical consideration in the emergency
department patient with chest pain is pulmonary embolism.
Pulmonary embolism is a challenging diagnosis despite the
plethora of decision rules and possible imaging modalities.
POC multiorgan sonography (cardiac, thoracic, and lower
extremity venous sonography) for patients with suspected
pulmonary embolism has a combined sensitivity and speci-
ficity of 90% and 86.2%. In patients with a multiorgan sono-
graphic examination negative for pulmonary embolism and a
negative D-dimer, none were subsequently found to have pul-
mmonary embolism. For patients too unstable for definitive
diagnostic imaging, but at high risk for pulmonary embolism,
POC ultrasonographic evidence of right heart dysfunction,
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**Figure 2** Lung rockets (B-lines extending from the pleural line to the edge of the screen) suggesting pulmonary edema.

**Figure 3** M-mode image demonstrating seashore sign seen with normal lung sliding.
in combination with clinical signs of obstructive shock, can be used to guide the decision to administer thrombolytics (Figure 4). In patients with chest pain, bedside ultrasound can also help with evaluation of the thoracic cavity to assess for pathology such as pneumothorax. In a study by Blaivas et al, ultrasound had a sensitivity of 98.1% and a specificity of 99.2% for the detection of pneumothorax as compared with chest radiography, which has a sensitivity of 75.5% and a specificity of 100%.27

**Shock**

Patients presenting to the emergency department with shock create a high-stakes situation for the treating physician, because they have high mortality and the differentials are broad. Rapid and early diagnosis of the underlying condition is paramount, but may be limited due to inability to obtain a complete history and physical examination or desired imaging due to the patient’s high acuity of illness. POC ultrasonography, however, does not require transport of the patient and can be used to rule in or rule out a number of possible diagnoses rapidly. Several algorithms have been developed that utilize bedside ultrasonography of several organ systems to aid in rapid diagnosis and assist in early management of patients with undifferentiated hypotension.28–31 In general, these algorithms assess the heart for pericardial effusion, ejection fraction, right ventricular strain, and volume status, the lungs for pneumothorax and pulmonary edema, the abdomen for free fluid, the aorta for abdominal aortic aneurysm, and the lower extremities for deep vein thrombosis. Within minutes, critical information to distinguish different categories of shock is available and can be used to further guide therapy, choose additional diagnostic imaging, and for consultation. Obstructive shock resulting from massive pulmonary embolism or cardiac tamponade can be distinguished from hypovolemic or septic shock to direct appropriate fluid resuscitation. In a study by Atkinson et al, early multisystem POC ultrasound improved diagnostic accuracy of the treating physician in the first 15 minutes of patient presentation by 30%.28

Emergency medicine physicians can diagnose pericardial effusion on POC ultrasound with a specificity of 98% and a sensitivity of 96%.32 The underlying incidence of pericardial effusion in emergency department patients with unexplained dyspnea and no known prior pericardial effusion is 14%, suggesting that occult pericardial effusion is an important entity (Figure 5).33 As the history and physical examination are unreliable indicators of patients who may have pericardial effusion, POC cardiac ultrasound can be used to make the diagnosis, thus altering the care of these patients in the emergency department. When patients with pericardial effusion present with hemodynamic compromise and require pericardiocentesis, POC ultrasonography can have a significant impact on patient care. In patients requiring emergent pericardiocentesis, guidance by ultrasound improves success and decreases complications.34

Assessment of left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) can be accomplished rapidly and accurately using POC ultrasound. One study found 86.1% overall agreement in LVEF assessment between emergency physician-performed POC ultrasound and echocardiographer-performed, cardiologist-interpreted echocardiography.35 Similar agreement was found in another study of hypotensive patients.36 Identification of hyperdynamic LVEF in a patient with shock is strongly correlated with sepsis. POC ultrasonographic diagnosis of hyperdynamic LVEF is 94% specific for sepsis.37 However,
severe sepsis can result in depressed myocardial contractility, and a hypodynamic left ventricle may be seen on ultrasound. A small-caliber inferior vena cava (<2 cm diameter) with large inspiratory collapse and vigorous, hyperkinetic contractions obliterating the ventricle in systole has been shown to be correlated with hypovolemic shock.

**Flank pain**

Use of CT to diagnose patients presenting to the emergency department with flank pain has risen dramatically over the last decade. Despite this increased use of radiation, accurate diagnosis of etiology of flank pain has not improved. This is detrimental to both patients due to ionizing radiation exposure and to the health care system due to cost. Despite the dramatic rise in the use of CT to diagnose renal colic, the rate of diagnosis of the disease has remained stable at 20%. Ultrasonography as the primary imaging modality for evaluation of patients with flank pain is superior to other modalities because it does not expose the patient to radiation.

POC renal ultrasonography can be used to accurately diagnose ureterolithiasis. The sensitivity of ultrasound for visualization of calculi in the ureter is low (61%). However, POC renal ultrasonography is accurate in the detection of hydronephrosis (Figure 6). In selected patients with hematuria and hydronephrosis, the diagnosis of nephrolithiasis can be made with no further diagnostic testing. The overall sensitivity and specificity of POC ultrasound to detect ureterolithiasis in patients with suspected renal colic and hematuria are 87% and 84%, respectively. The sensitivity of renal ultrasonography to detect any relevant clinical abnormality is 92%. The main disadvantage of utilizing ultrasonography over CT in the evaluation of patients with flank pain is the ability of CT to identify alternative diagnoses. This occurs in roughly 6% of patients with suspected uncomplicated renal colic. Missed appendicitis and ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm are the key diagnoses that may mimic renal colic. These diagnoses can be investigated using POC ultrasound as well, and further examination of the patient may be warranted in the setting of a negative renal ultrasound.

Clinical algorithms that utilize ultrasonography first for diagnostic testing of patients presenting to the emergency department with flank pain have been proposed. When prospectively evaluated using POC ultrasound, these algorithms have performed well. More than 50% of patients presenting with acute flank pain can be safely discharged from the emergency department after only urinalysis and POC renal ultrasound examination. Other causes of flank pain that could be evaluated by POC ultrasound but have not been extensively studied include pyelonephritis, perinephric abscess, calyceal rupture, and renal trauma.

**Pelvic pain**

Ultrasonography is the main diagnostic modality used for evaluation of pelvic pain in the emergency department. Emergency medicine physicians have demonstrated accuracy and skill using POC pelvic ultrasonography for a variety of diagnoses. Time to diagnosis and length of stay in the emergency department is significantly decreased with the use of POC pelvic ultrasound.

In pregnant patients presenting to the emergency department with pelvic pain, POC ultrasound can be used to rule out ectopic pregnancy. The proportion of ectopic pregnancies is higher among pregnant patients presenting to the emergency department than in the general pregnant population, therefore ruling out ectopic pregnancy is at the forefront of early symptomatic pregnancy evaluation. In the subset of patients with ectopic pregnancy (Figure 7), POC ultrasound has a sensitivity of 99.3% in identifying the absence of an intrauterine pregnancy, with a negative predictive value of 99.6%. Additionally, the management of patients with ruptured ectopic pregnancy (Figure 9) is improved with the use of POC ultrasound. Positive abdominal free fluid in Morrison’s pouch (Figure 10) in patients with suspected ectopic pregnancy predicts a need
such as thickened, heterogeneous endometrium and intracavitary fluid collection are helpful in diagnosing endometritis. Other first-trimester pregnancy complications that may present with pelvic pain, such as fetal demise, nonviable pregnancy, and subchorionic hemorrhage, can also be evaluated by POC ultrasound (Figures 11 and 12).

In the second-trimester patient, POC sonography can assist with early detection of a shortened cervix and risk of preterm birth. The risk of spontaneous preterm labor is inversely associated with the length of the cervix, and is highest before 24 weeks’ gestational age. A cervical length ≥30 mm has been shown to reliably exclude the diagnosis of preterm labor. In the third-trimester patient presenting with pelvic pain, POC ultrasound can be instrumental in establishing gestational age, presentation, and location of the placenta. Emergency physician accuracy in determining viability (gestational age >24 weeks) using POC ultrasound to measure biparietal diameter and femur length is 96% and the examination takes less than one minute. In postpartum patients with pelvic pain, sonographic findings such as thickened, heterogeneous endometrium and intracavitary fluid collection are helpful in diagnosing endometritis. POC ultrasound can also assist in the rapid diagnosis of retained products of conception in patients with postpartum bleeding. The presence of a solid echogenic mass in the lumen or uterine wall on ultrasound examination is highly predictive of retained placenta (Figure 13).

The utility of POC pelvic ultrasound in the evaluation of pelvic pain in nonpregnant patients presenting to the emergency department has been investigated. Major differential diagnoses in these patients include ovarian torsion, ruptured hemorrhagic ovarian cyst, and tubo-ovarian abscess. The detection of a markedly enlarged ovary with multiple follicles along the periphery of the engorged ovary or abnormal ovarian blood flow on POC ultrasound can expedite gynecologic consultation for possible ovarian torsion. The presence of pyosalpinx, or a complex adnexal mass with septations, irregular margins and destruction of the normal ovarian and fallopian tube architecture, or loculated, speckled, echogenic fluid in the cul-de-sac are highly
suggestive of tubo-ovarian abscess and require admission, intravenous antibiotics, and a gynecologic consultation (Figure 14). Hemorrhagic cysts can rupture and cause massive hemoperitoneum, leading to hemorrhagic shock and hemodynamic instability requiring operative intervention (Figure 15). Early diagnosis of cyst rupture using POC ultrasound can potentially expedite the time to operative intervention.

**Ocular pain and visual disturbances**

Patients presenting to the emergency department with a chief complaint of visual disturbances or ocular pain often require consultation. The challenge the clinician must decide is how soon to demand the consultation. The traditional approach to these patients includes a good history, slit-lamp examination, and optic nerve evaluation, and each has its own limitations. The historical details of different ocular pathologies are often similar. The slit-lamp examination is unable to extend beyond the lens. Emergency physicians often do not use mydriatics to facilitate ocular examinations. Patients who complain of visual disturbances benefit from the availability of POC ultrasound because: it can provide a faster and more accurate diagnosis; it can empower clinicians to obtain consultation more quickly; and it can provide the consultant information with which to make definitive management plans such as laser surgery.

As an example, a 70-year-old male with a history of diabetes presents to the emergency department complaining of sudden loss of vision in the inferior aspect of his left eye. The patient has a history of cataracts but has had surgery done with insertion of an intraocular lens in both eyes. His vital signs include a blood pressure of 120/80 mmHg, heart rate 80 beats per minute, respiratory rate 18 per minute, temperature 37.0°C, and oxygen saturation 100% on room air. Visual acuity is 20/100 in the left eye, 20/40 in the right eye, and 20/60 with bilateral eye use. Ocular pressures are 18 mmHg bilaterally. Visual field testing demonstrates complete deficit
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**Figure 11** Intrauterine pregnancy with fetal pole >7 mm and no cardiac activity, suggesting nonviable pregnancy.
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**Figure 12** Subchorionic hemorrhage (anechoic collection) adjacent to gestational sac.
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**Figure 14** Tubo-ovarian abscess.
of the left inferior medial field. Slit-lamp examination demonstrates normal lids/lashes, the anterior chamber is quiet without flair or cells, the iris is 2+ and reactive, and the lens is clear. Ophthalmoscopic examination is difficult with the intraocular lens reflection.

At this point, bedside ultrasound can be incorporated into the assessment of this patient. Focused ocular ultrasound reveals normal lens location (Figure 16), retinal detachment tethered at the optic nerve (Figure 17), and an optic nerve assessment demonstrating a normal diameter (Figure 18). As a result of this POC ultrasound, ophthalmology is consulted, and a diagnosis of “mac-on” retinal detachment is conveyed.

Due to this finding, ophthalmology prepares the operating room for possible laser surgery.

Ocular ultrasound has been shown to be useful to the emergency physician for the diagnosis of numerous ocular pathologies. POC ultrasound for the detection of foreign body in the eye has a positive predictive value of 96.5%. Although difficult to see with direct funduscopy, ultrasound has a sensitivity and specificity of 97% and 93%, respectively, for the...
detection of retinal detachments. Finally, ocular ultrasound can detect elevated intracranial pressure (>20 mmHg) with a sensitivity and specificity of 90% and 85%, respectively (Figure 19). As demonstrated above, application of POC ultrasound in the care of a patient with vision loss improves clinical practice and patient care. POC ultrasound can help identify retinal detachment involving the macula, retinal detachment with macula sparing, vitreous detachment, vitreous bleed, lens dislocation, globe rupture, iritis, hyphema, and many more diagnoses. In addition, POC ultrasound can decrease the time to consultation and disposition.

**Joint pain**

Emergency physicians frequently evaluate patients with joint pain, redness, and swelling. The causes of a swollen painful joint include cellulitis, abscesses, hematoma, arthritis, hemarthrosis, and bursitis. Appropriate evaluation and treatment can help prevent complications and improve patient outcomes. However, the diagnosis of a swollen painful joint is not always clear clinically since signs and symptoms are neither sensitive nor specific for identifying a joint effusion. Additionally, physical examination can be limited by pain, soft tissue swelling, and patient compliance. Traditionally, emergency physicians have performed a diagnostic joint aspiration to rule out a joint effusion. With this approach, patients with isolated soft tissue abnormalities are subjected to unnecessary blindly performed invasive procedures even though they do not have a joint effusion. In addition, it is difficult to aspirate small joint effusions with the blind technique, leading to multiple attempts and potentially misdiagnosis.

Musculoskeletal ultrasound is a rapid and sensitive technique for detecting the presence of joint effusions (Figures 20 and 21) and can assist physicians to decide whether an aspiration needs to be done, whether to request additional diagnostic imaging and consultation, or whether to manage with other conservative measures. The superiority of ultrasound over radiography in identifying a joint effusion has been well studied. In a study done by Adhikari et al in the emergency department, POC musculoskeletal sonography altered the treatment of patients presenting with joint symptoms significantly. More than 50% of futile joint aspirations were avoided in their study population based on POC ultrasound findings. Additionally, POC musculoskeletal sonography detected joint effusions in approximately 50% of patients in whom aspiration was not planned by the treating emergency physicians, which resulted in accurate diagnosis, appropriate consultation, and therapy. The use of POC ultrasound-guided arthrocentesis (Figure 22) has also been shown to increase operator confidence and decrease the procedure time and pain experienced by the patient during the procedure.

**Procedures**

Patients often present to the emergency department with complaints that will ultimately require a procedure. Whether the procedure is an incision and drainage or fluid aspiration, the traditional approach often involves anatomic landmark-guided techniques. The limitations to landmark-guided techniques include patient body habitus, severity of disease,
or quantity of fluid, as well as the experience and skill level of the clinician. Patients who will require procedures in the emergency department can benefit from the use of real-time ultrasound guidance because this has shown to increase operator confidence, and decrease the number of attempts, complication rates, and amount of anesthetic used.

The application of POC ultrasound in the care of patients who require emergent procedures improves clinical practice and patient care by providing a faster diagnosis and immediate feedback essential when performing a procedure. The ultrasound-guided technique most often used is needle guidance for vascular access. It has been shown that the use of ultrasound can increase success rates, decrease complications, and improve patient satisfaction in patients requiring peripheral or central venous access. Ultrasound guidance for other procedures, such as paracentesis, thoracentesis, and pericardiocentesis, has been investigated. When performing a paracentesis, ultrasound can reduce the number of failed attempts by identifying which patients have little or no fluid present, and has been shown to increase success rates when compared with anatomic landmark techniques (95% versus 65%; Figure 23).

Furthermore, studies have shown a smaller number of adverse events when ultrasound guidance was used for paracentesis (1.4% versus 4.7%). With the incorporation of ultrasound guidance to perform thoracentesis, one study found that the incidence of pneumothorax decreased to 0%. Ultrasound-assisted pericardiocentesis has also proven to be an effective procedure with a success rate of 97%. As a result, ultrasound-guided techniques, which use real-time ultrasound guidance to direct needle placement, are replacing the anatomic landmark approach as the new standard for procedures.

**Conclusion**

POC ultrasound has been a unique addition to the practice of clinical medicine. At least every bit as revolutionary as the stethoscope, introduced in the 1800s, its impact on our practice is orders of magnitude greater for both physicians and patients. Few potentially hand-held or at least highly portable devices have allowed the clinician to expand their capabilities so significantly in evaluating, diagnosing, and treating patients. The end of the age of blind, landmark-inserted central lines and other similar invasive procedures means decreased morbidity and mortality for our patients, with a concomitant increase in efficiency and practice satisfaction for clinicians. The ability to visualize the heart of an unstable,
hypotensive patient or evaluate the lungs for pneumothorax or pulmonary edema without turning away from the patient, who is reliant on our skills to save them, cannot be underestimated. The efficiency, accuracy, and capability of our practice has changed forever. The ultimate beneficiaries will not just be the patient and society, but also the ultrasound-wielding clinician who can perform assessments and interventions at the bedside that were only dreamed of by clinicians in the ages prior to POC ultrasound.
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