Diagnosing chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: current perspectives
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Abstract: Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension is a rare and relatively poorly understood disease. It remains underdiagnosed and is often not recognized in primary and secondary care, as its symptoms are nonspecific and there are few clinical signs until late in the disease process. However, pulmonary endarterectomy (PEA) offers a potential cure for patients with this type of pulmonary hypertension; therefore, it is important that they are identified and diagnosed in a timely manner. PEA is associated with a 2.2%-5% risk of significant morbidity and mortality, even in experienced PEA centers. Therefore, once chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension is diagnosed, further assessment of operability and patient selection is crucial. Assessment of operability involves determining the distribution and burden of chronic thromboembolic disease, assessing pulmonary hemodynamics, and assessing the functional impairment of the patient. Ventilation perfusion scintigraphy is of value in screening for the presence of chronic thromboembolic disease. However, computer tomography pulmonary angiography and magnetic resonance pulmonary angiography are now increasingly used to image the vascular occlusions directly. This allows assessment of the surgically accessible disease burden. Some centers still advocate conventional selective pulmonary angiography for the latter. Right-heart catheterization remains the gold standard for assessing pulmonary hemodynamics. Higher pulmonary vascular resistances are associated with poorer outcomes as well as increased risks at the time of surgery. This is in part because of the presence of more distal chronic thromboembolic material and distal pulmonary artery remodeling. However, in experienced centers, these patients are being operated on safely and with good outcomes. Patients with chronic thromboembolic disease, who have normal pulmonary hemodynamics, require careful functional assessments to determine the benefits of surgery.
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Introduction
Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) is a rare disease with a relatively poorly understood pathogenesis. This hinders identifying those with and those at risk for the disease. Recent studies suggest that CTEPH is not as uncommon a complication after acute pulmonary embolism (PE) as once thought.¹⁻³ However, the incidence of CTEPH in international registries is much less than would be expected from studies reporting the development of CTEPH in patients with acute PE.⁴ This suggests that CTEPH remains underdiagnosed in many. However, there is currently no evidence to suggest a universal screening program for CTEPH after an acute PE would be beneficial.¹⁵ The incidence of CTEPH remains too low to be considered an effective target for a screening program. It is important to highlight that these studies...
include all patients after acute PE. Patients who remain symptomatic after a period of adequate anticoagulation (usually around 3 months) should be considered for further investigation. In addition, a small but significant proportion (25%) of patients diagnosed with CTEPH have no previous history of acute PE. Therefore, such screening programs will not detect these cases.

Unlike other forms of pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH), in which treatment options remain limited, pulmonary endarterectomy (PEA) offers a potential cure for patients with CTEPH. The aim of PEA is the complete removal of organized thrombus from the pulmonary arterial circulation. This is a complex operation performed on cardiopulmonary bypass with deep hypothermic circulatory arrest. Careful patient selection is required even in experienced PEA centers, as the surgery is associated with a 2.2%–5% risk of significant morbidity and mortality. Timely diagnosis of CTEPH is crucial, as delays can lead to further development of distal vasculopathy and right heart dysfunction. After surgery, the right ventricle (RV) is able to reverse remodel, even in patients with significantly impaired RV function preoperatively.

Understanding the pathogenesis of CTEPH helps explain the diagnostic and therapeutic difficulties facing clinicians. It also helps rationalize the investigative algorithms used in the assessment of CTEPH. In this review, we aim to briefly outline the current understanding of CTEPH pathogenesis before reviewing different aspects of our diagnostic algorithm. Diagnosis and operability assessment require assessment of pulmonary vascular hemodynamics, the distribution and burden of chronic thromboembolic material, and the functional effect on the patient.

Pathogenesis

CTEPH is thought to arise from a failure to resolve acute thromboembolic material within the pulmonary arteries. Numerous factors, including inflammation, failure of thrombolysis, endothelial dysfunction, and prothrombotic states have been associated with the development of CTEPH. Studies have reported an incidence of CTEPH after a first acute PE of between 0.5% and 10%. However, the diagnosis is often delayed, especially in circumstances where an obvious precipitating acute embolic event has not been identified.

Histological descriptions of surgical specimens removed during PEA suggest that over time, there is replacement of acute fibrinous clot with fibrous organized tissue. This organized tissue adheres to the pulmonary arterial endothelial surface, forming web-like lesions and stenoses in the pulmonary arteries. This, in turn, disrupts the laminar pulsatile flow of blood in the pulmonary circulation. Under normal circumstances, the pulmonary circulation is a low-pressure, high-flow circuit. The vascular obstructions (caused by the chronic thromboembolic material) cause a progressive increase in mean pulmonary artery pressure (mPAP) and pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR). The RV is initially able to cope with this increase in afterload by increasing contractility and heart rate. To maintain cardiac output, the RV adapts by becoming hypertrophied.

Over time, for reasons that are not completely understood, the adaptive remodeling of the RV fails. This results in progressive RV dilation and a reduction in cardiac output. This is associated with increasing exercise limitation and clinical features of right heart failure. Right heart failure is the most common cause of death in PAH.

The increase in RV afterload, caused by macroscopic pulmonary vascular obstruction, is further exacerbated by changes to the distal pulmonary microcirculation. In this two-compartment model, it is hypothesized that there is remodeling of the pulmonary microcirculation in the perfused lung secondary to relative overperfusion and the subsequent increased pressure. Histologically, this remodeling is similar to the changes seen in idiopathic PAH (IPAH). This is responsible for further increases in mPAP and PVR. In patients with so-called “distal CTEPH,” there is a combination of occluding chronic thromboembolic material, distributed mainly in subsegmental (or more distal) vessels, and remodeling of the microcirculation. As a consequence, PEA is often an unsuitable treatment option for this group, as the chronic thromboembolic material is not surgically accessible and the microcirculation changes remain postsurgery.

Imaging

Assessment of the distribution and burden of chronic thromboembolic material is essential for the diagnosis of CTEPH and for determining operability. During PEA, only chronic thromboembolic material in the more “proximal” pulmonary artery branches (up to a subsegmental level) is accessible. Therefore, it is critical to assess the burden of disease accessible by surgery preoperatively. It is also important to judge whether the hemodynamic compromise is in proportion to the vessel occlusion seen on imaging. The latter, often subjective, assessment is an indirect estimate of the contribution of the microvascular changes to the PVR. The decision to offer PEA to patients is dependent on the balance between the expected reductions in PVR postoperatively and the risks of surgery.
expected reduction in PVR correlates to the burden of proximal (surgically accessible) disease seen on imaging.

**Timing of assessments**

The timing of radiological assessments is crucial to minimizing the exposure of patients to unnecessary electromagnetic radiation and invasive procedures, especially in the context of acute PE, as the majority of patients will not develop CTEPH. Follow-up of patients after acute PE is heterogeneous. Patients are usually not routinely reviewed until at least 3 months after diagnosis and commencement of anticoagulation. It is assumed that within 3 months of anticoagulation, most acute thrombi should have dissipated. Therefore, persistent symptoms after 3 months of anticoagulation should be investigated further. Nijkeuter et al performed a systematic review of the resolution of thromboembolic disease after acute PE. They identified just four prospective studies that met their inclusion and exclusion criteria (25 studies were excluded). They report that more than 50% of patients have persistent radiographic changes based on ventilation perfusion scintigraphy (V/Q) or computer tomography pulmonary angiography (CTPA) evaluation at 6 months. They found that further improvements in radiological appearances were limited after this period. However, considerable variation is seen between studies. In a recent, large, retrospective study assessing resolution of acute PE with CTPAs, Aghayev et al demonstrated 94% of patients showed complete resolution after just 90 days. However, this study is retrospective, and information regarding how the acute event was treated was not provided.

It is important to recognize that a proportion of patients often have evidence of chronic thromboembolic disease on follow-up imaging with no apparent hemodynamic or symptomatic effects. The natural history of such chronic disease is currently unknown. In our center, we currently advise that patients with persistent symptoms after acute PE should have follow-up investigations performed after a minimum of 3 months of anticoagulation.

**Ventilation perfusion scintigraphy**

The choice of imaging modality is equally important. V/Q scanning has a higher sensitivity for detection of perfusion defects compared with CTPA. In a retrospective study by Tunaru et al, V/Q scans had a sensitivity of around 97% compared with 51% for CTPAs for detecting chronic thromboembolic material. However, CTPAs had a higher specificity compared with V/Q scans (99% versus 92.5%, respectively). Therefore, V/Q scans are useful as a screening test to exclude the presence of chronic thromboembolic material in the pulmonary circulation, especially when investigating the cause of proven PAH. In the prospective international CTEPH registry, 99.4% of patients had evidence of perfusion abnormalities with V/Q scans.

There is also a potential role for V/Q scans in inferring the presence of distal thromboembolic disease that may otherwise not be seen directly on CTPA, magnetic resonance pulmonary angiography (MRPA), or selective pulmonary angiography (Figure 1). This is particularly useful when the disease burden directly imaged is less than would be expected for the measured hemodynamic profile. This mismatch can arise from remodeling of distal pulmonary arteries or distal occlusions that are not imaged directly by CTPA. However, when determining suitability for PEA, assessment of the “proximal” disease burden and distribution is crucial. As a consequence, direct imaging of these occlusions is required.

**Computer tomography pulmonary angiography and magnetic resonance pulmonary angiography**

At this time, largely based on consensus opinion, selective pulmonary angiography with digital subtraction angiography (DSA) is considered the gold standard for assessing operability by determining disease distribution and burden. Some studies have previously suggested that CTPA and MRPA are adequate in identifying pulmonary vascular occlusions compared with DSA, whereas other studies have reported on the superiority of CTPA in delineating central thrombotic material over DSA, as well as in identifying partial occlusions. A recent direct comparison between electrocardiogram-gated CTPA, contrast-enhanced MRPA, and DSA by Ley et al suggests CTPA was superior to both MRPA and DSA at all levels of the pulmonary vasculature. Electrocardiogram-gated CTPA provided 100% sensitivity.
and specificity for detecting occlusive thrombi at the level of the main or lobar pulmonary arteries. This compares with a sensitivity of 83.1% and specificity of 98.6% with MRPA, and a sensitivity of 65.7% and specificity of 100% with DSA at the same level. At segmental levels, CTPA had a sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 99%. This compares with a sensitivity of 87.7% and specificity of 98.1% with MRPA and a sensitivity of 75.8% and specificity of 100% with DSA.

CTPA has the added advantage of assessing the lung parenchyma for coexisting respiratory pathology. This can be of importance in assessing the surgical risks associated with PEA. Additional useful radiological features seen on CTPA include mosaic perfusion and simple measurements of right ventricular size and structure. Dual-energy CT angiography (Figure 2) has been shown to provide additional information regarding the pulmonary microvasculature that is not obtained by traditional CTPA. It provides an assessment of pulmonary blood volume at a specific point in time, rather than being a true measure of lung perfusion. However, there is a strong correlation between dual-energy-derived lung perfusion and mosaic attenuation. Although Dournes et al found moderate agreement between V/Q and dual-energy perfusion imaging, the former should still be considered the gold standard tool for screening. Dual-energy CT angiography also improves vascular enhancement, allowing assessment of bronchial artery collaterals, which are often dilated and are of prognostic significance in CTEPH.

Magnetic resonance imaging is a fast-evolving field (Figure 3). In addition to assessing the pulmonary vasculature, it has the additional advantage of providing information regarding RV structure and function, as well as estimated cardiac output from aortic and pulmonary artery flow calculations. Lung perfusion magnetic resonance imaging protocols have a high sensitivity for diagnosing CTEPH and have better spatial resolution compared with V/Q. However, apart from in specialist centers with experience with magnetic resonance imaging perfusion protocols, its place in the diagnostic algorithm requires further investigation.

Pulmonary artery sarcoma is a rare differential diagnosis that needs to be considered in patients with presumed CTEPH. Findings on CTPA that may suggest this diagnosis include the complete obliteration of the vessel lumen, but with relative enlargement of the vessel diameter, as well as a convex margin of the occlusive material and the presence of occlusive material in the pulmonary trunk at the level of the valve leaflets. Positron emission tomography may show characteristic increased uptake of 18-fluoro-deoxyglucose within a pulmonary sarcoma, but the situation can be confused if the sarcoma includes associated in situ thrombotic material.

Other modalities

Balloon pulmonary angioplasty is a novel potential therapeutic intervention for CTEPH. Although its use has been highlighted in several case series, it is yet to be proven as a treatment modality for this disease. Many of these studies make use of additional imaging modalities such as optical coherence tomography and intravascular ultrasound to select lesions suitable for angioplasty. In the largest case series, involving 68 patients and reported by Mizoguchi et al,
intravascular ultrasound was used to determine the size of balloon required for angioplasty.43 The role of these modalities will become clearer as more experience and consensus regarding balloon pulmonary angioplasty are reached.

At our center, current practice is to use VQ scans as a screening test for CTEPH and in assessing distal disease burden. Before PEA, two imaging modalities are used to determine distribution and burden of disease. Both patient factors (such as comorbidities, claustrophobia, and metal implants) and technical factors will guide this selection. In our experience, CTPA and MRPA together provide sufficient information to make safe and accurate decisions regarding suitability for surgery.

Echocardiography

Transthoracic echocardiography provides a simple bedside assessment on cardiac structure and function. Because of the right ventricular shape and location, detailed assessment is harder with echocardiography compared with the left ventricle. However, experienced operators are able to provide detailed descriptions of cardiac function that correlate to invasive hemodynamic measures.49 RV parameters on echocardiography, especially tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion, correlate to cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (CMR) derived parameters of RV function.50 The use of real-time three-dimensional echocardiography also improves assessment of the RV.51

Echocardiography has been evaluated as a screening tool for CTEPH, as it is noninvasive and has no extra radiation exposure. Although the value of screening for CTEPH in all patients after acute PE remains unverified, as discussed earlier, there is certainly value in using it initially in the assessment of patients who remain symptomatic. After this, more invasive tests, such as right heart catheterization and imaging with CTPA, can be used to confirm the diagnosis. In addition, it can be used for longitudinal follow-up of RV size and function after PEA.12,52

Right heart catheterization

Right heart catheterization remains the best way of accurately determining pulmonary hemodynamics and right ventricular function and is essential for the diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension. Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging is another tool that provides information regarding right heart function. Although pulmonary artery pressures cannot be measured directly with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging, several parameters have been found to correlate with this. By definition, CTEPH requires the presence of PAH. Current guidelines define this as an mPAP of 25 mmHg or higher and a pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (PCWP) of 15 mmHg or lower when measured at rest by right heart catheterization.10,53

The presence of vascular occlusions in CTEPH can make assessment of PCWP difficult, as they prevent “wedging” of the Swan–Ganz catheter into a distal arteriole. Assessment of PCWP is important in determining the presence of left heart disease. This is possible with coexisting coronary artery disease. At our center, all patients older than 50 years or with significant risk factors predisposing them to coronary artery disease undergo coronary angiography (with a view to performing coronary artery bypass grafting at the time of PEA). If there are concerns regarding elevated PCWP, left heart catheterization allows assessment of the left ventricular end diastolic pressure. Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging and echocardiography are also useful tools in assessing left ventricular function.

High PVR has been associated with poor outcomes after PEA. This is likely a reflection of increased distal disease burden and small vessel vasculopathy. A PVR higher than 1,000 dyn × second × cm⁻⁵ correlates with increased postoperative mortality (4.1% versus 1.6%).11 While in the prospective international CTEPH registry reported by Mayer et al, a PVR higher than 1,200 dyn × second × cm⁻⁵ is associated with a failure to normalize pulmonary hemodynamics, as well as higher mortality, postoperatively.52 It must be stressed that in experienced centers, operating on patients with such high PVRs has been shown to be safe and effective.54 Higher PVRs should not preclude PEA, as these patients have potentially the most to gain from surgery. There are hemodynamic and functional benefits from a reduction in disease burden, even if the pulmonary hemodynamics are not completely normalized postoperatively.55 The development and approval of novel therapeutic drugs for patients with residual pulmonary hypertension after PEA is likely to further improve outcome in this group.56

In addition to PVR, pulmonary artery compliance is increasingly recognized as an important predictor in outcome in both IPAH and CTEPH (especially postoperative measurements).57,59 de Perrot et al examined changes in PVR and compliance before and after PEA. They showed that postoperative compliance was the only factor on multivariate analysis to predict functional improvement to New York Heart Association class 1.60 Ghio et al have also recently shown that postoperative compliance is a good predictor of exercise capacity at 3 months after PEA.58
Unfortunately, attempts at predicting postoperative outcomes based on baseline hemodynamic parameters have been unsuccessful. The product of PVR and compliance (called RC time) is a reflection of the pressure decay in the pulmonary artery in diastole. MacKenzie Ross et al found that the RC times of patients with proximal disease who had normalization of their mPAPs after PEA were significantly lower than those who had more distal disease and did not normalize their hemodynamics postoperatively. 

Similarly, it was found that there was a significant decrease in the pulmonary artery occlusion pressure (mPAP) in patients with CTED during exercise testing compared with those with IPAH. This is likely caused by the increased cardiac output and recruitment of additional pulmonary vascular beds. Bonderman et al demonstrated that in patients with CTED, the mPAP in healthy individuals at rest and exercise is significantly different. From this data, the mPAP in healthy individuals at rest in a supine position is 13.95±3 mmHg. A proportion of patients with CTED will fall into a grey area between 20 mmHg (ie, two standard deviations above the mean reported pressure in health) and 25 mmHg. Therefore, these patients’ hemodynamics should not necessarily be considered normal, even if they do not meet the hemodynamic definition of PAH.

In addition, McCabe et al demonstrated that conductance catheter-derived pressure volume loop morphology and tau (a measure of right ventricular diastolic relaxation) is significantly different in patients with CTED (mPAP, 17±5 mmHg) compared with controls (patients undergoing patent foramen ovale closure; mPAP, 15±3 mmHg). This suggests there are early changes in right ventricular function occurring in patients with CTED.

In health, although mPAP rises slightly with exercise, this is associated with a reduction in PVR because of the increase in cardiac output and recruitment of additional pulmonary vascular beds. Bonderman et al demonstrated that in 13 patients with persistent dyspnea after PEA (but with a resting mPAP ≤25 mmHg), exercise resulted in an increase in PVR and a drop in pulmonary artery compliance. The opposite was seen in the control population. Biomechanical changes to the pulmonary artery as a result of PEA need to be considered as a possible explanation for these hemodynamic findings. However, it would be interesting to assess whether similar changes are seen in CTED patients prooperatively. Assessments of exercise hemodynamics are not currently part of PAH guidelines because of insufficient evidence, but they may provide useful additional information.

Finally, increased physiological dead space and ventilatory inefficiency have been demonstrated in patients with CTEPH compared with those with IPAH. This is likely caused by the vascular obstructions in CTEPH. van der Plas et al have shown that physiological dead space correlates with patient-reported dyspnea in CTEPH. Furthermore, after PEA, they demonstrated a decrease in physiological dead space that correlated with resting Borg scores. In CTED patients, McCabe et al have demonstrated that ventilatory efficiency (as measured with the ventilatory equivalent ratio for carbon dioxide [Ve/VCO2] at the anaerobic threshold and the Ve/VCO2 slope) is reduced compared with controls. Therefore, these changes in ventilatory efficiency may provide another explanation for exercise limitation in this group of patients with CTED. PEA may result in an improvement in dead space, thereby improving ventilatory efficiency, dyspnea, and exercise capacity.

At this time, several centers are offering PEA surgery to patients with minimal hemodynamic compromise at rest, but who demonstrate functional and physiological limitations. Very little is known about outcome of PEA in this group of patients.

**Functional assessments**

The 6 minute walk test provides a relatively simple measure of exercise capacity. It has been validated and used extensively in the assessment of CTEPH. However, it remains a submaximal test and is effort-dependent. It is also less useful in the elderly, who may be limited by musculoskeletal problems that may reduce the distance walked. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing, as described earlier, overcomes many of the limitations of the 6 minute walk test and provides more physiological correlates. Therefore, it provides an excellent research and clinical tool to investigate the functional effects of therapeutic interventions.

**Conclusion**

The Fifth World Symposium on Pulmonary Hypertension, held in 2013, recognized the importance of suspecting CTEPH in those at risk for or with symptoms, confirming the diagnosis and determining the suitability for and risks of PEA. The patient pathway should include these three
steps to prevent diagnostic delay and initiate appropriate management plans. This requires the diagnostic assessments described earlier being evaluated together by an expert multidisciplinary CTEPH team. This is especially true for higher-risk patients, such as those with PVRs higher than 1,200 dyn × second × cm⁻², where centers with more experience are more likely to offer surgery and more likely to have better outcomes. Several centers have reported on the learning curve for PEA.¹⁰,¹¹,⁷⁰ More-experienced centers report lower mortality rates, despite treating more high-risk cases. This learning curve also applies to the multidisciplinary team of surgeons, radiologists, and pulmonary hypertension physicians, who all bring their own expertise to the decision-making process.

Without doubt, PEA remains the treatment of choice in patients with surgically accessible disease and no significant medical comorbidities that would preclude surgery. However, with the licensing of riociguat for the treatment of inoperable and residual CTEPH after PEA, and the emergence of balloon pulmonary angioplasty, other treatment modalities should be considered for those with predominately “distal” CTEPH or with significant medical comorbidities.⁵,³⁶ With increasing therapeutic choices for patients with CTEPH, careful assessment will become even more essential to ensure the most appropriate treatment modality is offered.

In this review, we summarize the most important diagnostic tools used to detect CTEPH and also explain how operability is assessed.
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