Circadian rhythm and sleep influences on digestive physiology and disorders
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Abstract: Circadian rhythms and sleep influence a variety of physiological functions, including the digestive system. The digestive system also has intrinsic rhythms that interact dynamically with circadian rhythms. New advances in understanding the interaction of these rhythms and sleep provide the prospect of evaluating their role in normal physiology and the link of their disruption to pathological conditions. Recent work has demonstrated that sleep and circadian factors influence appetite, nutrient absorption, and metabolism. Disruption of sleep and circadian rhythms may increase vulnerability to digestive disorders, including reflux, ulcers, inflammatory bowel issues, irritable bowel disease, and gastrointestinal cancer. As our knowledge of the link between circadian timing and gastrointestinal physiology grows, so do our opportunities to provide promising diagnostic and therapeutic approaches for gastrointestinal disorders.
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Introduction
Circadian rhythms and sleep influence the physiology of nearly every organ system, including the digestive tract. In addition, these drivers shape the brain’s control over the autonomic and endocrine system throughout the 24-hour cycle. The dynamic bidirectional relationship between the brain and gastrointestinal (GI) system includes feedback loops to the circadian and sleep-regulation pathways. Although sleep triggers several changes in the digestive system, the GI tract demonstrates its own circadian and ultradian rhythms. In this review, we use the foundation of normal physiology to review recent work demonstrating the interaction of circadian influences on GI disorders.

Normal physiology
The circadian rhythm serves to prepare the body for anticipated events, such as feeding and activity. As the master clock, the suprachiasmatic nucleus is responsible for coordinating and synchronizing the circadian rhythm throughout the body. The suprachiasmatic nucleus, like many organs, utilizes a multilooped feedback system of genetic transcription and a nuclear and cellular protein system that oscillates at approximately 24 hours.1 Even though 7%–12% of genes fluctuate in a circadian fashion, the essence of this clock resides in the genetic transcription of the CLOCK and BMAL1 genes. Once transcribed, these form a protein heterodimer that binds and activates E-box sequence promoters that positively influence the transcription of PER1, PER2, PER3, CRY1, and CRY2. The protein products of the PER and CRY genes dimerize and feedback negatively on the transcription of the CLOCK and BMAL1 genes, creating...
the circadian rhythm. This rhythm is not exactly 24 hours, and thus the clock must be adjusted by environmental time clues. The most powerful time clue is bright light, but food also appears to influence the phase of the biological clock. The suprachiasmatic nucleus synchronizes the peripheral circadian rhythm clocks by utilizing melatonin, in addition to the endocrine and autonomic nervous system outputs.

In addition to the circadian rhythm, the sleep state also influences GI physiology. As an active process of the brain, sleep is physiologically distinct from wakefulness. The states of rapid eye movement (REM) sleep and non-REM (NREM) sleep use distinct complex neuronal networks involving hypothalamic, diencephalic, and brain-stem nuclei to affect the remaining central nervous system. As a consequence of this, the output of the brain neurohumoral system is different depending upon the sleep state. NREM sleep is typically viewed as a time of greater parasympathetic output to the body, whereas REM sleep is associated with surges of sympathetic and parasympathetic activity.

Sleep is normally paired with decreased circadian drive for wakefulness, but these are distinct processes with different influences. Some regulatory functions may be affected by sleep stage, while others exhibit circadian patterns. This distinction between circadian rhythm and sleep stage may seem academic, but it is crucial when determining underlying physiologic influences. Some regulatory functions may be affected by adjustment of factors that influence sleep, whereas circadian rhythm-related issues may respond better to alignment of circadian time givers. Our current literature is limited by few studies that separate the two, but we highlight what evidence exists and discuss key points of distinction (Table 1).

## Feeding and circadian rhythm

Meals have distinct cultural and endogenous timing. Food appears to entrain specific circadian rhythm oscillators. These entrainable oscillators appear to play a role in anticipating food intake and enhance eating behavior. Many hormones oscillate in a daily fashion to anticipate feeding, including ghrelin, leptin, corticosterone, insulin, glucagon, and glucagon-like peptide 1, but the exact role of these compounds is unclear. These food-related oscillators are poorly localized, but a significant portion reside in the stomach. A major part of this clock is comprised of oxyntic gland cells that release ghrelin, Per1, and Per2 in a rhythmic fashion. Unlike a true circadian rhythm that continues without time clues, this rhythm is related to the availability of food, but is abolished in constant darkness and with ad lib food routine.

The absence of Per1 and Per2 also appears to remove the rhythmic release of ghrelin, but ghrelin appears to have a direct effect on the suprachiasmatic nucleus, suggesting the interplay of the food oscillators and the circadian rhythm. Ghrelin and leptin also directly feedback on the hypothalamus, and may play an additional role in the feeding cycle utilizing orexin and melanocortin.

Disruption of any circadian rhythm is more easily identified by a change in phase or the loss of the identified rhythm. Circadian rhythm disorders with changes in phase are classically divided into either the advanced- or delayed-phase type. An example of a circadian disorder with loss of rhythm is circadian rhythm disorder, irregular type. Disruption of the feeding-entrainment clock has been implicated in two disorders: nocturnal eating syndrome and shift work. In nocturnal eating syndrome, evidence suggests the inherent feeding rhythm is delayed so that the major feeding period is late in the typical day. Many of these patients also have features of delayed sleep phase, but note they are not hungry until late evening and have anorexia in the morning. Disruption of the feeding oscillators also has been linked to obesity and metabolic disruption in shift work, and may have further implications for feeding habits. Part of the evidence for this resides in the higher rates of obesity and metabolic disruption that are seen in individuals working shift work. Experimentally, mice given ad lib food access to a high-fat diet abolished their circadian rhythm for feeding, gained weight, and had more metabolic disruption than mice given the same number of calories from a timed high-fat diet. This suggests the circadian rhythm plays an important role in the regulation of metabolic processing of food. This finding may have implications for shift work, but may be in addition to the role shorter sleep and sleep disruption may play in weight gain and metabolic disruption.

Similar work by Spiegel et al shows that sleep deprivation by itself influences caloric intake, glucose utilization, and leptin resistance.

### Table 1 Circadian and sleep influence on digestive function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digestive function</th>
<th>Circadian influence</th>
<th>Sleep-stage influence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saliva production</td>
<td>Possibly pH</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swallows/esophageal motility</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric acid secretion</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric motility</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal absorption</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal motility</td>
<td>Potentially</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonic motility</td>
<td>Potentially</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectum/anal function</td>
<td>Potentially</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Initial digestion

Digestion begins in the mouth as the process of chewing, salivation, and swallowing. These initial digestive processes are linked to the waking state, but to date little information is known regarding their circadian links. Although chewing obviously declines in sleep, salivary production dramatically declines from 0.5 mL/minute to near zero in sleep.\(^\text{11,12}\)

Salivary pH also lowers during the night. Investigators suggest circadian influence lowers pH during the night, but this work has not desynchronized the sleep cycle from the circadian cycle to determine the driver of this change.\(^\text{13}\) Similarly, swallowing rates decrease from approximately 25 times per hour during wakefulness to approximately five times per hour during sleep and usually follow arousals. Despite decreased swallowing during sleep, the airway is protected by the cricopharyngeal muscle, which provides the pressure barrier to the proximal esophagus to inhibit aspiration. This muscle is unique in that unlike most skeletal muscles during REM sleep, it retains tone throughout all sleep stages.\(^\text{14}\)

Swallows also must overcome the cricopharyngeal muscle and upper esophageal sphincter pressure to allow the food bolus to enter the esophagus. Although the former retains contraction, the latter decreases closing pressures during deep sleep to levels less than 25% of that in waking.

Swallows initiate most esophageal primary peristalsis, and these decrease progressively with deeper NREM sleep and REM sleep. Secondary peristalsis unrelated to swallows also declines in NREM sleep, but increases in REM sleep related to central autonomic nervous system output or decrease in some inhibitory processes.\(^\text{15,16}\)

Using continuous manometry without sleep measures or forced desynchronization of sleep from circadian cycles, Stein et al found a decrease in esophageal contractions during the night. Although they suggested a circadian component, the link will need further study to determine if this is related to sleep or the circadian rhythm.\(^\text{17}\)

The lower esophageal sphincter (LES) also decreases tone during sleep, but typically stays above that of intragastric pressure. Dent et al found that 5- to 30-second drops in LES pressure paired with sudden increases in intra-abdominal pressure in sleep resulted in gastric reflux.\(^\text{18}\)

Therefore, during sleep the esophagus becomes more vulnerable to gastric acid by the lower rate of swallows, decreased salivary volume, and less peristalsis leading to increased gastric acid–mucosal contact time.

Gastroesophageal reflux disease

Gastroesophageal reflux disease is influenced by both circadian and sleep factors, which make nocturnal reflux distinct from diurnal reflux.\(^\text{19}\)

Circadian rhythm and sleep state influence esophageal reflux primarily by diminishing the defenses against reflux events. Reflux events that occur during sleep, compared to events in wakefulness, are less frequent, but have significantly longer contact times due to reduced esophageal clearance. This reduced clearance and increased potential for mucosal damage is due to sleep factors of diminished saliva production (especially bicarbonate), decreased swallowing rate, decreased LES pressure, and decreased arousal responses, and circadian-dependent factors, including increased gastric acid production and delayed gastric emptying. The loss of esophageal protective mechanisms and greater acid exposure with reflux events is correlated with the occurrence of esophagitis and risk of mucosal damage.\(^\text{20}\)

Interestingly, disrupted sleep has also been shown to lead to more reflux noted on pH recording on the following night.\(^\text{21}\)

Stomach

The major roles of the stomach include acidification of ingested food and control of flow of the food bolus into the duodenum. Acid secretion helps to control the introduction of organisms into the digestive tract and denatures some proteins for easier digestion. The controlled flow of food to the small intestine may help optimize nutrient absorption. Sleep and circadian rhythm may influence acid secretion and gastric motor activity. Acid secretion is highly variable from night to night, yet peaks in a circadian fashion between 10 pm and 2 am, suggesting a circadian component (Figure 1).\(^\text{22}\)

This peak is not seen in patients who have undergone vagotomy, signifying a direct parasympathetic influence.\(^\text{23}\)

However, the influence of sleep is more debated. Although Stacher et al found REM sleep was associated with inhibition of acid secretion, Watanabe et al reported that when comparing gastric pH to waking, levels were higher in NREM and even more elevated in REM sleep.\(^\text{24,25}\)

Despite the technical and power limitations of these studies, overall they suggest that the central nervous system influences acid secretion through the circadian rhythm and potentially through sleep mechanisms. Interestingly, investigators found patients with duodenal ulcers lost these rhythms of acid secretion.\(^\text{24}\)

Original investigation into the pathogenesis of duodenal ulcers showed a constant overproduction of acid throughout the day and night. This loss of acid-secretion rhythm was postulated as part of the reason for ulcer formation. Linkage of this overproduction is related to chronic *Helicobacter pylori* infection. This organism causes a reduction in somatostatin levels, which in turn allows for the increase in parietal
cell mass and overproduction of acid without a circadian pattern. For these patients, the loss of the circadian variation in acid secretion never allows full recovery of the duodenal mucosa. Treatment of these ulcers is more successful if antibiotics are used with a proton-pump inhibitor to decrease acid secretion and reestablish a mucosal recovery period. Similar to gastric acid-related rhythm, the circadian rhythm also regulates the rhythmic secretion of trefoil protein. This protein helps protect the gastric mucosa from damage. *H. pylori* infection similarly interferes with trefoil protein release, increasing the risk of mucosal damage. Trefoil protein release is also reduced by sleep deprivation, indicating a linkage to both circadian and sleep-related processes. These ulcers may also be more likely to heal with melatonin administration.

Melatonin may improve mucosal regeneration as a downstream circadian influence. As a hormone released in response to a dark environment, melatonin is released by some gut cells in a circadian fashion as well. This hormone is produced by neuroendocrine cells of the stomach and duodenal mucosa, and helps keep the clock synchronized, including food intake and myoelectric rhythm. This rhythm improves mucosal healing in a circadian pattern. Melatonin appears to inhibit gastric acid release, increase gastric blood flow, and improve mucosal regeneration. In individuals with lower melatonin release, gastric stress ulcers take longer to heal. Exogenous melatonin appears to improve mucosal healing in these individuals. This may be related to the antioxidant features of melatonin, but further investigation is needed.

Motor control of the stomach is divided by region and type of food bolus. The fundus of the stomach controls primarily liquid food, while the antrum controls solids. While each of these use different mechanisms to regulate flow, gastric contents must flow into the duodenum for further digestion. Gastric motor function is controlled by the innate gastric pacemaker, an electrical generator from smooth muscle in the proximal greater curvature of the stomach. The gastric cycle typically occurs two to four times/minute, moving the food bolus toward the duodenum. Similar to acid secretion, early findings for the relationship of gastric contractions and sleep stage showed contradictory effects. Although this activity is traditionally thought to be independent of central nervous system activity, more sophisticated electrical recording demonstrates that the amplitude of the gastric cycle declines.
Table 2 Various roles of melatonin in gastrointestinal (GI) disorders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>GI disorder</th>
<th>Reference(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improves mucosal regeneration via downstream circadian influence</td>
<td>Produced by neuroendocrine cells of stomach and duodenal mucosa; inhibits gastric acid release, increases gastric blood flow</td>
<td>Ulcers</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improves mucosal regeneration</td>
<td>Improves villus height, total mucosal thickness, and cell mitosis</td>
<td>Ulcers</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure of circadian rhythm function</td>
<td>Proposed: synchronization of gut circadian rhythms, direct modification of intestinal permeability, antioxidant effects, change in blood flow, improvement in mucosal repair, or immunoregulatory function</td>
<td>IBS and others</td>
<td>70, 71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticarcinogenic</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>GI cancers</td>
<td>78–81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In development or control of IBD</td>
<td>Proposed: synchronization of gut circadian rhythms, direct modification of intestinal permeability, antioxidant effects, change in blood flow, improvement in mucosal repair, or immunoregulatory function</td>
<td>IBD</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow GI motility and smooth-muscle cell contraction</td>
<td>Interaction with serotonin receptors present on the vagal afferent fibers and inducing vagovagal inhibitory reflexes</td>
<td>IBS and others</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention of dextran sodium sulfate-induced colitis</td>
<td>Prevents development of colitis when given as pretreatment, mechanism unclear</td>
<td>Colitis</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IBS, irritable bowel syndrome; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease.

in NREM sleep and returns in REM sleep. Some of this activity may be circadian in nature, as evidenced by slower emptying in the evening wake state.33,34 The small intestine is principally responsible for the absorption of nutrients and propagation of the food bolus toward the colon. Although the area of nutrient absorption in sleep is largely unstudied, Soffer et al found no change in intestinal absorption with sleep. Intestinal motility, as manifested by the migrating motor complex (MMC), starts as an intermittent contraction in the stomach and migrates to the colon. Several authors have found a decrease in MMC velocity that may be partially regained by a late-evening meal. Sleep-related MMC duration is also longer with the early follicular phase of the menstrual cycle, and early evening alcohol may increase the contraction amplitude of the jejunum during sleep.39,40 Soffer et al also found that food-induced secretion of gastrin, neurotensin, peptide YY, and pancreatic polypeptides were not influenced by sleep, despite decreased MMC.39 Looking at other excretory functions, Keller et al found an increase in amylase output and no change in protease output during sleep.41 These studies suggest that sleep-related effects on intestinal motility may be altered by nondigestive endocrine and other neural influences, but the effect of the circadian rhythm is unclear.

The circadian rhythm may influence nutrient absorption. Early work showed that peak histidine and glucose absorption in rats occurred during their active period. Although this originally may have been related to activity level, further work showed that the levels of intestinal ion, glucose, and peptide transporters have a circadian oscillation.42–45 PEPT1 peaks just prior to their active phase when rats start their food intake. These transporters showed oscillation even during the fasting state. Although messenger ribonucleic acid cycling appears uninfluenced by neural input, the diurnal protein expression of PEPT1 is partially influenced by vagal output.46 However, jejunal isolates obtained from rats at different points of the circadian cycle showed continued cycling of sodium-glucose cotransporter 1. Similarly, lipid absorption appears also to have some circadian rhythmicity through protein expression, but the mechanism is not understood.48

The clinical significance of these transporters is their presence in anticipation of the food bolus, which allows for optimal absorption of nutrients. Although disruption of these rhythms of absorption proteins has not been directly linked to pathological states, their disruption may be manifested in features of malabsorption or nutritional deficiencies. Yet the gut has a significant reserve to compensate even after resection of large sections of the bowel. This reserve capacity may mute clinical expression of any disorder. Some clue may come from vulnerable populations that show absorption issues. In some individuals with short-bowel syndrome, nocturnal enteral feeding is better tolerated as a long-term strategy. Similarly, cervical cancer patients undergoing radiation therapy in the morning were much more likely to develop diarrhea and intestinal mucositis than those receiving radiation therapy in the evening. This may be more related to repair of the intestinal lining than the issue of absorption, but it shows a vulnerability to timing and absorption. In the same way, circadian rhythm disruption of both large and small intestines has been implicated in patients with irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). Nutritional deficiencies also may have been postulated as a potential manifestation of disruption of intestinal circadian rhythm issues. This may have bidirectional implications, since nutritional deficiencies may also influence specific portions of expression of the circadian rhythm.
Large colon
The human colon has two principal functions: water absorption and temporary containment of intestinal material. Colonic motor function is a complex noncyclic event mostly independent of the more minor motor contractions that must move feces caudally.53 The functions opposing fecal material moving caudally maintain continence by utilizing coordinated motoric events to accomplish this goal. Most colonic motility decreases in sleep, but the circadian influence may still be a factor yet to be identified.54 Colonic contractions that propel material caudally are significantly reduced during the night, and are nearly eliminated during slow-wave sleep. However, REM sleep was associated with increased colonic pressure and frequency of propagating contractions to levels similar to stage 2 sleep.55 Similar to wakefulness, transient arousals stimulate a significant increase in propagating contractions in all segments of the colon.56–57 To maintain fecal continence during sleep, rectal motor and anal sphincters utilize the complex cyclic rectal motor activity. This cyclic rectal motor activity stops the forward propagation of colonic waves at night, producing more retrograde propagation of the contracting wave.58 This activity in sleep is typically independent of anal activity and counteracts forward propagating colonic activity. Also during sleep, the internal anal sphincter retains active pressure independently of external sphincter activity, suggesting that the two are controlled by separate processes.59,60 More importantly, anal canal pressure remains above rectal pressure to maintain continence in sleep.61

Irritable bowel syndrome
Dysfunction of the sleep–wake control or circadian clocks of the small and large intestine has been implicated in IBS. This disorder is a constellation of symptoms, including alternating constipation and diarrhea, that affects approximately 8%–23% of the population in the Western world.62 Although the exact pathophysiology of this disorder is unclear, the brain–gut bidirectional connections, including emotional and cognitive factors, have been used to explain its basis.63

IBS may also be at least partially explained by sleep state. One hypothesis for the cause of IBS postulates disruption of the sleep state-dependent autonomic control of intestinal function. At least two studies have demonstrated that IBS patients have greater overall sympathetic activity during REM sleep, but another study showed no difference between women with IBS and normal controls.54–56 Some of this confusion may be related to mixing of IBS-subgroup types, obscuring significant differences in markers of autonomic activity between the subgroups and the normal population. Jarrett et al65 showed that women with diarrhea-predominant IBS demonstrated significantly increased parasympathetic activity across both NREM and REM cycles compared to constipation-predominant and alternating IBS subjects. In contrast, Robert et al66 showed that diarrhea-predominant patients showed physiologically distinct autonomic activity during sleep compared to patients with alternating patterns, but not patients with constipation predominance.

Therefore, further investigation may show that sleep dependent physiology may offer further clues into the underlying pathophysiology. Furthermore, these autonomic clues may also impart some inference to the potential influence of the circadian control.

The circadian influence in IBS may be related to the circadian disruption of small-intestine motor activity seen in individuals with IBS. In a study of constipation-predominant and diarrhea-predominant IBS patients, continuous 72-hour recordings of duodenojejunal contractile activity were obtained from freely ambulant subjects. This revealed diurnal differences, with daytime postprandial motor activity being shorter in IBS patients than controls, and diurnal MMC intervals being shorter in diarrhea-predominant than in constipation-predominant IBS.68 Interestingly, there was no difference in patterns of motor activity during sleep between any of the groups. This disruption in diurnal motor activity may explain differences in IBS defecation patterns and pain.

Circadian influence can also be inferred by studies on shift workers without previously known IBS. One cohort study surveying Korean shift workers (nurses and nursing assistants) found that the prevalence of IBS was significantly higher in the rotating shift–worker group compared to the daytime-worker group (32.7% versus 16.7%, P=0.026).67 Logistic regression analysis found an association between IBS and rotating shift work even after controlling for other confounding factors, such as poor sleep quality. In another cross-sectional study involving US nurses working day, night, or rotating shifts, there was a significantly higher prevalence of symptoms of IBS and abdominal pain in the group rotating their shifts compared to the other two, even after controlling for sleep quality.69–70 Both studies raised the issue that more abdominal pain was noted in the groups with rotating shifts, and this could be due to disruption of circadian factors that modulate visceral sensitivity. When IBS subtypes were assessed, the rotating shift schedule did not predict such a robust difference when compared to the other two shift types. In a small study of healthy young male volunteers
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using stepwise increases in rectal balloon distention, minimal perception did not vary over the course of 20 hours. However, a lower threshold was found at the initial time point (6 pm) and then at 6 am. This increase in pain perception may be related to diurnal factors. For the 6 am time point, sleep deprivation could also be a factor, since subjects were given only 4.5 hours to sleep (between 1 and 5.30 am).  

One measure of circadian rhythm function is the release of melatonin. As noted earlier, melatonin has many effects on the GI system (Table 2). When measured in individuals with IBS, one set of investigators found lower urinary metabolites of melatonin, while another found normal metabolite levels. Further investigation utilizing melatonin supplementation showed that melatonin may improve the symptoms of IBS, and that these improvements could not be accounted for by change in sleep or antioxidant measures. In a review of four double-blind placebo-controlled trials, three of the four trials showed improvement in IBS symptoms and pain using melatonin 3 mg every evening. These results suggest that melatonin may help in multiple domains, but are not conclusive in tying the circadian rhythm to IBS.

Gastrointestinal cancer

The role of circadian physiology in cancers has been widely reported, mostly in relation to breast cancer, with most studies focused on shift workers. This has led to the exploration of shift work’s relationship with other cancers, including GI cancers. Several putative mechanisms have been proposed for explaining the relationship of shift work to cancer. Most hypotheses have focused on the effects of increased light exposure and suppression of normal secretion of melatonin. Lower melatonin levels and disruption of the circadian rhythm may affect the risk of cancer through a number of direct and indirect pathways (Table 2). Studies looking at the relationship between shift work and colon cancer have produced conflicting results. One study exploring the effect of night-shift work and the risk of colorectal cancer, looking prospectively at female participants in the Nurses’ Health Study, found a significantly increased relative risk of 1.35 for colon cancer in those that worked a rotating night shift at least 3 nights per month for 15 or more years. However, three other studies looking at the risk of colon cancer in shift workers or individuals with nocturnal light exposure were unable to demonstrate a link. In vitro studies have shown anticarcinogenic properties of melatonin in chemically induced colon cancers in rodents. Melatonin is thought to act as a free radical scavenger, and thus might thereby prevent toxic damage involved in carcinogenesis or limit toxic factors that potentiate cancer growth. Other effects of melatonin include reduction of gut permeability, which might also limit gut injury. Clock genes may also have an important role in gastrointestinal cancers. As mentioned earlier, the molecular clock mechanism is based on interactions between transcription- and translation-based positive- and negative-feedback loops, regulated by the transcriptional factors CLOCK and BMAL1 and the PER and CRY genes. The CLOCK/BMAL1 heterodimer activates the transcription of other clock-controlled genes, including apoptotic and cell-cycle genes. Disruption of circadian homeostasis by mutations in certain clock components results in alterations in cell proliferation, apoptosis, deoxyribonucleic acid damage, and metabolism that in some cases predispose to cancer. Therefore, one hypothesis is that the circadian clock may act to time cellular division and maturation, as well as act as a tumor suppressor. Therefore, loss of response to the clock mechanism may be associated with tumorigenic growth. In a study of chemically induced colon cancer in mice, amplitudes of circadian rhythmicity in the expression of Per1, Per2, and Rev-Erbα were markedly reduced in neoplastic tissue. The rhythm of BMAL1 expression, a crucial clock-machinery component, was completely abolished in the tumors and surrounding tissue.

There may be a metabolic link between core circadian clock machinery and suppression of intestinal tumors. The histone deacetylase SIRT1 is a crucial modulator of clock machinery, operating as a rheostat and modulating the amplitude and “tightness” of CLOCK-mediated acetylation and consequent transcription cycles in metabolic tissues. In a β-catenin-driven mouse model of colon cancer, there was significant reduction in tumor formation, proliferation, and animal morbidity with increased SIRT1 expression. Given the link between circadian rhythmicity and cancer, chronomodulated chemotherapy has emerged as a treatment alternative to conventional chemotherapy. In a meta-analysis conducted in 2010, five randomized clinical trials were reviewed to compare the efficacy and safety of chronomodulated chemotherapy with conventional chemotherapy in patients with advanced colorectal cancer. There was a significant overall survival benefit in favor of the chronomodulated chemotherapy. There was a lower incidence of grade 3/4 neutropenia, and higher incidence of asthenia and grade 3/4 mucositis in the chronomodulated chemotherapy trials, though these findings did not reach statistical significance. Individual patients’ circadian rhythms may also serve as a prognostic factor in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. In a prospective study of 192 patients with
metastatic colorectal cancer, actigraphy was used to analyze rest/activity cycles, with an autocorrelation coefficient at 24 hours and dichotomy index as measures of marked rest/activity rhythm. Survival was nearly fivefold higher for patients in the upper quartiles of rest/activity rhythm, compared to those in the lowest quartile. Global quality of life, physical functioning scores, and tumor response were all positively correlated with circadian rest/activity rhythm, but showed only weak associations with changes in white blood cell counts and cortisol levels. While the literature is still limited, the findings on the potential importance of the circadian timing of chemotherapy delivery in the treatment of GI cancer are intriguing and warrant continued investigation.

**Inflammatory bowel disease**

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a disorder of chronic inflammation of the small or large intestine, and is primarily identified with Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis. IBD may be affected by sleep and circadian rhythms through complex immune-mediated pathways, since both sleep and circadian rhythm play a role in the regulation of immune system. The immune system of the gut is under circadian regulation, and inflammatory mediators, such as TNFα, have been found to suppress clock gene expression. Additionally, circadian clock genes can impact intestinal epithelial cell permeability. Gut leakiness is considered an important mechanism for initiating the inflammatory cascades seen in patients with IBD during a disease flare. Animal models have demonstrated that alterations in the sleep–wake cycle stimulate the migration of immune cells (white blood cells, monocytes, and natural killer cells) into tissues and stimulate the release of proinflammatory cytokines (IL-6, IL-1, TNFα). As evidence that sleep disturbances might directly impact inflammatory processes in the colon, Tang et al showed that both acute and chronic sleep deprivation exacerbated colonic inflammation in mouse models of colitis. Similarly, inflammatory mediators, such as TNFα, suppress clock gene expression. In a study of mice exposed to four consecutive weekly 6-hour phase advances of the light–dark schedule, then subjected to endotoxemic shock, there was 89% mortality compared with 21% in unshifted control mice. Part of the explanation for this increased mortality was exaggerated immune response with cytokine deregulation. The relationship of an exaggerated immune response following circadian disruption to the inflammatory cascade of IBD has yet to be determined, but does raise interesting possibilities.

Melatonin may also have an effect on the development or control of IBD (Table 2). This could be through synchronization of gut circadian rhythms or by direct modification of intestinal permeability, antioxidant effects, change in blood flow, improvement in mucosal repair, or immunoregulatory function. As an important regulator of circadian rhythms, melatonin can also slow GI motility and smooth-muscle cell contraction caused by serotonin. In a mice model of colitis induced by dextran sodium sulfate, melatonin was found to be able to prevent dextran sodium sulfate-induced colitis and prevent its formation when given as pretreatment. In other murine model studies, melatonin was able to reverse both the increase in intestinal permeability and influx of bacterial endotoxins and decrease myeloperoxidase and TNFα activity, which leads to ulcerations. To date, only a small number of human studies have shown beneficial effects of melatonin in patients with IBD, and the mechanism by which the improvements are mediated is unclear.

**Conclusion**

Sleep and the circadian rhythm are vitally linked to the proper functioning of the digestive system. As the roles these two physiological systems play in the regulation of the digestive system become clearer, so will the opportunities they provide for understanding GI and nutritional disorders. Further studies involving the manipulation of the circadian rhythm and sleep will also help delineate the role they may play in contributing to bowel disease and the opportunity for improvement in digestive health.
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