Diagnosis and management of microscopic colitis: current perspectives
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Abstract: Collagenous colitis and lymphocytic colitis, together constituting microscopic colitis, are common causes of chronic diarrhea. They are characterized clinically by chronic nonbloody diarrhea and a macroscopically normal colonic mucosa where characteristic histopathological findings are seen. Previously considered rare, they now have emerged as common disorders that need to be considered in the investigation of the patient with chronic diarrhea. The annual incidence of each disorder is five to ten per 100,000 inhabitants, with a peak incidence in 60- to 70-year-old individuals and a predominance of female patients in collagenous colitis. The etiology and pathophysiology are not well understood, and the current view suggests an uncontrolled mucosal immune reaction to various luminal agents in predisposed individuals. Clinical symptoms comprise chronic diarrhea, abdominal pain, fatigue, weight loss, and fecal incontinence that may impair the patient’s health-related quality of life. An association is reported with other autoimmune disorders, such as celiac disease, thyroid disorders, diabetes mellitus, and arthritis. The best-documented treatment, both short-term and long-term, is budesonide, which induces clinical remission in up to 80% of patients after 8 weeks’ treatment. However, after successful budesonide therapy is ended, recurrence of clinical symptoms is common, and the best possible long-term management deserves further study. The long-term prognosis is good, and the risk of complications, including colonic cancer, is low. We present an update of the epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management of microscopic colitis.
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Introduction

Microscopic colitis (MC), a mutual term for a group of inflammatory disorders of the colon described and evolving during the past few decades, has emerged as a common cause of chronic nonbloody diarrhea.1–3 As the name indicates, the condition can be diagnosed only by microscopic assessment of colonic mucosal biopsies. MC was earlier considered as rare, but is now diagnosed in up to 20% of patients undergoing colonoscopy for chronic diarrhea. The two most frequent forms of MC are collagenous colitis (CC) and lymphocytic colitis (LC). Characteristic symptoms are watery diarrhea, abdominal pain, weight loss, and fatigue that may impair the patient’s health-related quality of life (HRQoL). Medical therapy has advanced, and currently budesonide is the only evidence-based effective therapy. However, despite the progress of knowledge, still many questions remain unsolved regarding the etiology, pathophysiology, and optimal management of MC. The condition is furthermore likely underestimated and overlooked in the clinical setting. This review gives an update on the epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management of CC and LC.
Epidemiology

CC and LC, first described in 1976 and in 1989, respectively, have mainly been reported from European or North American centers, but the diseases are seen worldwide. An incidence of five to ten per 100,000 inhabitants each for CC and LC has been found in population-based studies (Table 1). Two long-term epidemiologic series from Örebro, Sweden and Rochester, MN, USA show fairly similar patterns, with an increasing incidence during the 1980s and 1990s followed by more stable incidence. CC mostly affects middle-aged women, with a peak incidence around 60–70 years of age. However, the disease is seen in all age-groups, including children. In LC, peak incidence is seen in the same age-group as CC, but female predominance is less prominent. Differences in background populations, environmental exposures, referral patterns, study design, or diagnostic criteria may account for the observed geographic variations in the occurrence of MC.

The prevalence of MC in Olmsted County, MN, USA in 2010 was 219 per 100,000 inhabitants: 90.4 per 100,000 for CC, and 128.6 per 100,000 for LC. In 2008, the prevalence of MC in Örebro was 123 per 100,000 – CC 67.7 per 100,000 and LC 55.3 per 100,000 – underscoring the fact that these disorders are common and need to be considered in the investigation of patients with chronic diarrhea.

Clinical symptoms

The clinical presentations of LC and CC are similar, and the diseases cannot be distinguished on clinical grounds.

Table 1 Annual incidence per 100,000 inhabitants reported in population-based epidemiological studies of collagenous colitis (CC), lymphocytic colitis (LC), and microscopic colitis (MC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region and study period</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>LC</th>
<th>MC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Örebro, Sweden, 1984–1988</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Örebro, Sweden, 1989–1993</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Örebro, Sweden, 1993–1998</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Örebro, Sweden, 1999–2008</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olmsted County, USA, 1985–1997</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olmsted County, USA, 1998–2001</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olmsted County, USA 2002–2010</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calgary, Canada, 2002–2004</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calgary, Canada, 2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calgary, Canada, 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrassa, Spain, 1993–1997</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrassa, Spain, 2004–2008</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland, 1995–1999</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark, 1999–2010</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Sweden, 2001–2010</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Spain, 2008–2010</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uppsala, Sweden, 2005–2009</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both disorders cause chronic or recurrent watery diarrhea, often associated with urgency, nocturnal diarrhea, abdominal pain, and weight loss. The diarrhea can be severe, causing fecal incontinence especially in the elderly. The onset of disease can be sudden, mimicking an enteric infection. Severe complications are infrequent, although a few cases of colonic perforations in CC have been reported, either spontaneous or after a colonoscopy. No increased risk of colorectal cancer has been reported in MC. The clinical symptoms may be misinterpreted as irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) and studies show that there is symptomatic overlap between MC and IBS.

Abdominal pain is a common symptom, especially in patients with active disease. The pain is reported as cramping or dull, and is in most patients mild to moderate and seldom severe. Patients in clinical remission, ie, without diarrhea, still have a twofold-increased occurrence of abdominal pain compared to matched controls. The cause is unknown, but may represent IBS-like symptoms secondary to past or residual mucosal inflammation, as seen in quiescent ulcerative colitis or Crohn’s disease.

Fatigue is a frequent symptom both in CC and LC, and reported by 50%–60% of patients. The cause is not well studied, but likely secondary to inflammation, disturbed sleeping caused by nocturnal diarrhea or associated diseases. Concomitant bile-acid malabsorption has been reported in 9%–60% of patients with LC and in 27%–44% of patients with CC. These findings are the basis for recommendations on treatment with bile acid-binding therapy in MC.

Diagnosis

The diagnosis of MC relies only on findings of characteristic histopathologic features in colonic mucosal biopsies.

Laboratory analyses

Routine laboratory tests are nondiagnostic, and only nonspecific abnormalities may be found, such as moderately increased levels of C-reactive protein, erythrocyte-sedimentation rate, or mild anemia. No pathological microorganisms are generally found in stool analyses. The diagnostic accuracy of fecal calprotectin and lactoferrin is low in MC in contrast to ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease. Therefore, there has been a search for alternative biomarkers reflecting mucosal inflammation. In addition to the characteristic infiltration of lymphocytes, infiltrates of eosinophil granulocytes are seen in CC. They are regarded as proinflammatory cells with the ability to release cytotoxic proteins, such as eosinophil protein X and fecal eosinophil cationic protein. In a pilot study
of 12 patients with active CC, eosinophil protein X and fecal eosinophil cationic protein were positive in 67% and 92%, respectively, and both markers decreased after budesonide treatment. The same research group reported increased levels of fecal neuropeptides, suggesting involvement of the enteric nervous system in the pathogenesis of CC. Levels of chromogranin A, chromogranin B, and secretoneurin were increased in 12 patients with CC compared both to controls and patients with ulcerative colitis or Crohn’s disease. During budesonide therapy, levels of secretoneurin rapidly declined.

These early data require further study and confirmation in bigger patient cohorts before they may be applied as potential biomarkers in MC.

Imaging

Imaging with radiology or endoscopy is usually normal, although minor mucosal changes can occasionally be seen, such as erythema, edema, and an abnormal vascular pattern. Colonic mucosal tears (“cat-scratch colon”) are occasionally seen during colonoscopy, and might indicate an increased risk of colonic perforation during the procedure. Confocal laser endomicroscopy, which enables “real-time” microscopic imaging of the mucosa during endoscopy, may possibly allow in vivo diagnosis of MC in the future. However, clinical experience is currently limited, and the method is still a research tool.

Histopathology

The histopathologic criteria of MC have been reviewed recently. The characteristic histopathologic features of CC are a subepithelial collagen band ≥10 µm in thickness in comparison with a normal basal membrane of <3 µm. The collagen band often has an irregular, jagged aspect of the lower edge, and may contain entrapped capillaries, red blood cells, and inflammatory cells. Furthermore, the surface epithelium may show vacuolization, flattening, mucin depletion, and focal detachment from the basement membrane. An increased number of intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs) may be seen, but this is not a prerequisite as in LC. In the lamina propria, slightly to moderately increased numbers of lymphocytes, plasma cells, and mast cells together with neutrophils and eosinophils are seen (Figure 1). Cryptitis does not exclude the diagnosis. In routine diagnosis, hematoxylin and eosin staining is sufficient, but in borderline cases, staining of the collagen band might be enhanced with tenasin immunostaining or Masson’s trichrome.

The diagnosis of LC relies on an increased number of IELs. The exact cutoff level has not been determined, but ≥20 IELs per 100 epithelial cells is generally considered diagnostic for LC. In the normal colon, the number of IELs is reported to be fewer than five per 100 epithelial cells or four to ten per 100 epithelial cells. The epithelium may be damaged, showing the same changes as in CC. Lamina propria
inflammation is seen as in CC, with a mixed-cell infiltrate dominated by lymphocytes (Figure 1). Hematoxylin and eosin staining is usually sufficient in the diagnostic setting of LC, but CD3-lymphocyte immunostaining may be valuable in uncertain cases.

The topographic distribution of histopathological changes in CC is controversial. Characteristic findings may be unevenly distributed throughout the colon. It is generally accepted that the most prominent microscopic findings of CC are seen in the right and transverse colon, whereas rectal and sigmoid biopsies can be normal. The distribution of the histopathologic lesions has been reported recently in 187 CC patients, showing that lamina propria inflammation is found in the entire colon, whereas a thickened collagen layer is most prominent in the proximal colon, and can be absent in the descending and sigmoid colon.\(^5\) A sigmoidoscopy with biopsy is thus not sufficient for diagnosing CC, and a pancolonoscopy with biopsies from the whole colon is required and will also rule out other colonic pathology.\(^3\)

Other variants of MC have been reported, including incomplete MC,\(^2\) MC not otherwise specified,\(^5\) and paucicellular LC.\(^5\),\(^3\),\(^5\) These patients have the same clinical features, but fall short of fulfilling the histologic criteria of CC or LC. They have an abnormal collagen layer – less than 10 µm – or an increased number of IELs: fewer than 20 per 100 epithelial cells. Uncommon variants are MC with giant cells,\(^5\),\(^5\) pseudomembranous CC,\(^7\) cryptal LC (increased IELs limited to cryptal epithelium),\(^8\) and MC with granulomatous inflammation.\(^9\) The clinical presentations of these conditions resemble classic MC, but histopathologic features are different. More studies are required to address the occurrence, clinical significance, and management of these subtypes of MC.\(^6\)

**Etiology and pathogenesis**

The cause of MC is not known, but is probably multifactorial. Currently, CC and LC are considered to represent specific mucosal responses to different thus-far- unidentified luminal agents in predisposed individuals, resulting in an uncontrolled mucosal immune response.\(^7\) As CC and LC have similar clinical presentations and share histopathological features, except for the subepithelial collagen band in CC, it has been discussed whether CC and LC are actually the same disease seen in different phases of development. Conversion of CC to LC or the reverse has been reported, but is rare.\(^3\) In clinical practice, however, management or therapy is not influenced by histological type.

**Genetics**

It is doubtful whether there is a genetic predisposition to MC. Familial cases have been reported, but it is uncertain whether these reflect random associations or shared familial traits.\(^2\),\(^8\)–\(^14\) An association was reported between MC and *HLA-DQ2* or *DQ1.3*, and the *HLA-DR3-DQ2* haplotype, as well as with *TNF2*-allele carriage, irrespective of the presence of concomitant celiac disease.\(^6\),\(^6\) Variants of the *MMP9* gene have been reported to be associated with CC,\(^6\) but no association with *NOD2/CARD15* polymorphisms and susceptibility to CC has been found.\(^6\)

**Mucosal immunopathology**

Ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease are considered driven by aberrant CD4\(^+\) T-lymphocyte responses.\(^6\) In contrast, MC presents with heavy infiltration of CD8\(^+\) IELs.\(^7\),\(^1\)–\(^4\) Using immunohistochemistry, a significant increase in the amount of CD8\(^+\) T lymphocytes was found in the epithelium in both LC and CC patients compared to controls, with the most pronounced increase found in LC. In contrast, the amount of CD4\(^+\) T cells was markedly reduced in the lamina propria of both LC and CC patients compared to controls.\(^7\) The expression of the activation/memory marker CD45RO, found on CD4\(^+\) as well as CD8\(^+\) T cells, and the transcription factor Foxp3, involved in differentiation of CD4\(^+\) and CD8\(^+\) regulatory T cells (T\(_{reg}\)), was more abundant in lymphocytes in the epithelium, as well as in the lamina propria of both LC and CC compared to controls. Also Ki67, a proliferation marker, was significantly increased in IELs of both CC and LC patients compared to controls. Double-staining for these markers together with CD4 or CD8 was however not performed.

These findings were corroborated by multicolor flow-cytometric analysis of isolated IELs and lamina propria lymphocytes, demonstrating increased proportions of CD4\(^+\) and CD8\(^+\) colonic lymphocytes expressing CD45RO and Ki67, albeit to different degrees.\(^7\) Within the CD8\(^+\) IEL population, the proportion of CD45RO\(^+\) cells showed a trend toward a significant increase in patients with CC, but not LC. The CD8\(^+\) IELs also demonstrated a significantly enhanced proportion of Ki67\(^+\) cells in CC, but not LC patients.\(^7\) In contrast, no significant changes in the proportions of these populations were found within the CD4\(^+\) IELs. Within the lamina propria, the proportions of CD8\(^+\) as well as CD4\(^+\) T cells expressing CD45RO or Ki67 were both increased in CC patients, with a trend toward significant increases in LC patients, except the proportions of Ki67\(^+\)CD4\(^+\) lamina propria T cells, which were significantly enhanced.
also in LC patients. Furthermore, increased proportions of CD4^+^CD8^-^ double-positive IELs were seen in CC, and a trend toward increased proportions in LC, as well as in lamina propria lymphocytes in both CC and LC. These cells likewise displayed higher expression of CD45RO. In contrast to the changes seen in the T-cell populations, no changes were recorded in the proportion of B cells or plasma cells. In contrast to ulcerative colitis, both CC and LC had lower levels of T-cell receptor excision circles (TRECs) in the colonic mucosa compared to controls. This suggests that the increased numbers of T cells in the inflamed mucosa of MC patients is due to expansion of local resident T lymphocytes rather than recruitment of recent thymic emigrants to the colonic mucosa. The expression of different chemokines and their corresponding chemokine receptors has been elucidated, and is currently being submitted for publication (Günaltay and Hultgren Hörnquist et al, unpublished data).

These immunologic data support the view that MC is caused by an aberrant immune response to one or several (yet to be identified) luminal agent(s) in susceptible individuals. This view is furthermore supported by the clinical observation that fecal stream diversion by an ileostomy reduced the characteristic histopathologic changes of CC, which recurred after closure of the ileostomy.

Analyses of different T-helper (Th)-1/Th2/Th17 and cytotoxic T (Tc)-1/Tc2/Tc17 cell-associated cytokines and transcription factors showed that levels of IFN^-^, IL-12, IL-1^B^, IL-6, IL-17A, IL-21, IL-22, IL-23, and TNF were upregulated in the inflamed mucosa of MC patients compared to controls indicating a mixed Th17/Tc17 and Th1/Tc1 mucosal cytokine profile. Others found a Th1 mucosal cytokine profile with IFN^-^, TNF^alpha^, and IL-15 as the predominantly upregulated cytokines. As these studies were performed on whole biopsies rather than sorted T lymphocytes, the production of cytokines from nonlymphoid cells, eg, colonic epithelial cells, macrophages, neutrophils, and dendritic cells, cannot be excluded.

In addition to changes in adaptive immune responses, we recently demonstrated changes in innate immune responses, as expression of IL-1 receptor-associated kinase M (suppressing Toll-like receptor signaling), micro-ribonucleic acid (miRNA)-21 and -146a (both curbing inflammation), as well as miRNA-155 (promoting inflammation), were increased, whereas expression of IL-37 (inhibiting innate immunity) was decreased in MC patients compared to controls. The expression pattern was different between CC and LC, further supporting the hypothesis that CC and LC are two different entities.

Increased luminal levels of bFGF, ECP, and VEGF were reported in CC, whereas others verified increased mucosal levels of VEGF that were not affected by budesonide therapy. Others reported increased numbers of activated eosinophils in the colonic mucosa in CC patients, which were normalized after budesonide treatment. An imbalance of collagen turnover, either an increased synthesis or reduced degradation, may explain the increased subepithelial collagen layer in CC. Findings of an increased number and activity of myofibroblasts may support an increased collagen synthesis. Among degrading enzymes, matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) have a central role that is regulated by endogenous tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs). Impaired collagen degradation in CC was suggested by findings of restricted MMP-1 RNA expression and increased TIMP expression.

Colonic nitric oxide production is greatly increased in active CC and LC, caused by an upregulation of inducible nitric oxide synthase in the colonic epithelium. Support for nitric oxide being involved in the pathogenesis of CC comes from clinical trials. In contrast to placebo, treatment with budesonide resulted in a significant reduction in inducible nitric oxide synthase messenger RNA that correlated with clinical and histopathological improvement.

Epithelial barrier function has been reported to be abnormal. Increased paracellular and transcellular mucosal permeability were found in patients with CC that persisted after therapy with budesonide.

**Infection or autoimmunity**

An infectious cause has been suspected in patients with a sudden presentation of disease. Onset of MC after enteric infections with *Yersinia enterocolitica, Campylobacter jejuni, or Clostridium difficile* has occasionally been reported. Furthermore, a seasonal pattern of onset of LC may support an infectious cause. However, stool cultures are negative in most cases of MC with an acute onset.

Concomitant autoimmune diseases are common, and seen in up to 30%–50% of patients with MC. The most common are celiac disease (2%–20%), thyroid disease (10%–20%), and other autoimmune conditions, such as diabetes mellitus, arthritis, and Sjögren’s syndrome, whereas association with autoimmune liver disease has not been reported, in contrast to ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease. However, a diagnostic autoantibody has not yet been identified. In many cases, the diagnosis of associated autoimmune disease precedes that of MC. It is important to be aware of these associations in clinical practice. If the patient with MC does not respond...
to treatment, a concomitant autoimmune disease, especially celiac disease, should be ruled out. Likewise, MC should be considered in the patient with an autoimmune disease who develops nonbloody, watery diarrhea.

The finding of increased Foxp3 expression in MC may in the light of this seem paradoxical. Increased frequencies of Foxp3+ CD4+ Tregs have also been reported in Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis.101 We have demonstrated in a mouse model of colitis that whereas the suppressive function of FoxP3+ Tregs was similar between wild-type mice and mice with colitis, CD4+ effector T cells from colitic mice were much less suppressed by Tregs irrespective of if they were derived from colitic or wild-type mice.102 Therefore, the problems seemed to be confined to the effector T cells being resistant to Treg-mediated suppression.

Smoking, drugs
Of environmental factors, smoking is the best-documented risk factor for MC. Three studies have consistently shown that smoking is associated with both CC and LC.103-105 Smokers developed their disease >10 years earlier than nonsmokers, but smoking did not influence subsequent disease course.104,106

There are several reports of drug-induced MC and a strong likelihood of association has been found with acarbose, aspirin, Cyclo3 Fort, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, proton-pump inhibitors, ranitidine, sertraline, and ticlopidine.103,107 Assessment of concomitant drug use in patients with MC is thus important to identify, and withdrawal of drugs considered that might cause or worsen the condition,2,28 However, confounding by indication may explain some of the reported associations, and further studies are required.

**Therapy**
No curative therapy currently exists for MC. The primary goals of medical therapy are to achieve and maintain clinical remission and to improve the patient’s quality of life. Whether histological remission is an essential objective is currently unknown. Consideration of concomitant drug use, smoking, and dietary factors, such as excess use of caffeine, alcohol, and dairy products that might worsen the condition, is important. Concomitant celiac disease or bile-acid malabsorption should be considered.28

Based on currently available evidence, an algorithm for the treatment of MC was proposed by the European MC Group (http://www.emcg-ibd.eu) (Figure 2). The algorithm is slightly modified herein, due to recent negative data on mesalazine.108 The evidence for this proposal is delineated in the following sections.

![Algorithm for the treatment of microscopic colitis proposed by the European Microscopic Colitis Group](image-url)

**Figure 2** Algorithm for the treatment of microscopic colitis proposed by the European Microscopic Colitis Group.1


**Abbreviation:** MC, microscopic colitis.
Antidiarrheals
Loperamide or cholestyramine, albeit not properly studied in randomized placebo-controlled trials, are often recommended as the first treatment alternative in patients with mild symptoms.\textsuperscript{2,3,28} Clinical experience suggests a symptomatic response in a proportion of patients, mainly in those with mild symptoms. Cholestyramine has a specific indication in patients with concomitant bile-acid malabsorption. However, sustained clinical remission is rarely achieved, and an impact on colonic inflammation is unlikely.

Budesonide
Budesonide, a locally active corticosteroid with extensive first-pass metabolism in the liver and low systemic exposure, is currently the best-documented treatment.\textsuperscript{109,110} It significantly improves clinical symptoms and the patient's quality of life. Three short-term, randomized controlled trials in CC have consistently shown that budesonide 9 mg daily for 6–8 weeks is superior to placebo.\textsuperscript{111–113} About 80% of patients responded to budesonide usually after 2–4 weeks of treatment. In a Cochrane meta-analysis, the pooled odds ratio for clinical response with budesonide was 12.32 (95% confidence interval [CI] 5.53–27.46) compared to placebo, and the number needed to treat was two patients.\textsuperscript{110} Similarly, in a placebo-controlled trial of LC,\textsuperscript{114} budesonide was superior to placebo: 18 of 21 patients (86%, 95% CI 65%–96%) in the budesonide group achieved a clinical response after 6 weeks compared to eight of 20 patients (40%, 95% CI 22%–61%) in the placebo group, yielding an odds ratio of 9.00 (95% CI 1.98–40.93, \(P=0.004\)).\textsuperscript{109}

The relapse rate is high after withdrawal of successful short-term budesonide therapy in CC, and 60%–80% of patients will have an early recurrence of symptoms.\textsuperscript{111–113} For that reason, there is a need for effective and safe maintenance therapy. Two studies have proven that budesonide 6 mg/day for 6 months is well tolerated and superior to placebo.\textsuperscript{115,116} Eighty patients who had responded to open-label budesonide were randomized to treatment with budesonide 6 mg/day or placebo for 6 months. Clinical response was maintained in 33 of 40 (83%) patients who received budesonide compared to eleven of 40 (28%) patients given placebo (\(P=0.0002\)). The pooled odds ratio for maintenance of clinical response with budesonide compared to placebo was 8.40 (95% CI 2.73–25.81) with a number needed to treat of two patients. Histological response was seen in 48% of patients treated with budesonide compared to 15% of patients in the placebo group (\(P=0.002\)).\textsuperscript{110} However, 6-month maintenance therapy did not change the following disease course, as the relapse risk after withdrawal of 24 weeks’ maintenance treatment was similar to that observed after 6 weeks’ induction therapy, and the median time to clinical relapse was equal in the two groups (39 days versus 38 days).\textsuperscript{115} A high stool frequency (more than five per day) at baseline and a long duration of diarrhea (>12 months) are risk factors for clinical relapse after withdrawal of short-term budesonide therapy in CC.\textsuperscript{117} In a recent randomized controlled trial, an even-lower dose of budesonide of 4.5 mg/day was found to be superior to placebo (clinical remission 61.4% versus 16.7%) during 12 months’ maintenance therapy.\textsuperscript{113} Although not formally proven, maintenance treatment with low doses of budesonide of 3 mg/day or 3 mg every other day have been reported efficacious and may be safely used in the long term.\textsuperscript{28}

Prednisolone
Prednisolone has a limited place in the treatment of MC. Although no formal comparative trials are available, it is associated with more frequent side effects, and its efficacy seems inferior to budesonide.\textsuperscript{119,120}

Aminosalicylates
Sulfasalazine and mesalazine have previously been used in MC, but not until recently strictly evaluated in controlled trials.\textsuperscript{28} In a study by Calabrese et al, 64 patients with MC were randomized to 6 months’ treatment with mesalazine 2.4 g/day or mesalazine 2.4 g/day + cholestyramine 4 g/day. A high remission rate was seen in both treatment arms, and 85% of patients with LC and 91% of patients with CC were in remission at the end of study.\textsuperscript{121} In the first placebo-controlled randomized trial, mesalazine 3 g/day was ineffective and no better than placebo as short-term induction treatment for 8 weeks.\textsuperscript{108}

Antibiotics, probiotics, Boswellia serrata
Antibiotics, such as metronidazole or erythromycin, have previously been used, but there are no controlled data.\textsuperscript{18,29} Treatment with probiotics shows uncertain results and needs further evaluation.\textsuperscript{122} \textit{Boswellia serrata} extract has been evaluated in a placebo-controlled trial, showing a nonsignificant trend in favor of active treatment.\textsuperscript{123}

Thiopurines, methotrexate, and anti-TNF therapy
In patients not responding to budesonide or other treatment alternatives, immunosuppressive therapy may be carefully considered, although the evidence is virtually absent.\textsuperscript{2,3,28} In an open study, azathioprine induced partial or complete remission...
in eight of nine patients with MC. In a retrospective series, the overall response rate to thiopurines was 19 of 46 (41%), but azathioprine was accompanied by a high frequency of side effects, leading to withdrawal of therapy. There are conflicting data on the efficacy of methotrexate. Of 19 patients with CC treated with methotrexate orally (5–25 mg/week, median 7.5–10 mg/week), a good response, generally within 2–3 weeks of treatment, was seen in 14 patients, and a partial response in two patients. Others reported opposing data in nine patients with CC refractory to budesonide treatment. After 12 weeks of treatment with methotrexate 15–25 mg/week subcutaneously, no patient improved. Infliximab or adalimumab have been used in a few selected MC patients failing other treatment alternatives. Currently, these preliminary data do not allow any conclusions or recommendations on the use of anti-TNFs in refractory MC. The frequency of therapy-refractory disease is unknown, but was estimated to be 1.3% of all cases with MC. The best therapeutic alternative for these patients, who may suffer from incapacitating bowel symptoms, is thus an important target for future studies.

**Bismuth subsalicylate**

Bismuth subsalicylate has been shown to be effective in a small placebo-controlled study, not yet fully published, of nine patients with CC and five with LC. The drug is not accessible in a number of countries because of concerns regarding drug toxicity.

**Surgery**

Surgical therapy may be considered for patients with severe, unresponsive MC. Both split ileostomy and subtotal colectomy have been performed and reported to be successful. The indication for surgical therapy today is limited, considering the improvement of medical therapy.

**Health-related quality of life**

MC may be considered a milder disease than ulcerative colitis or Crohn’s disease, in view of the absence of overt mucosal inflammation, ulcerations, and systemic inflammation. Therefore, the influence of active MC on HRQoL was previously underestimated or not recognized. However, there has been an increasing interest in this area. In a Swedish cross-sectional study, patients with active CC had impaired HRQoL compared with patients with inactive disease, and with a Swedish background population. The impact on HRQoL was independently and mainly associated with severity of clinical symptoms. Patients with three or more stools/day or one or more watery stools/day had impaired HRQoL compared to those with fewer than three stools/day and no watery stools/day. These cutoff levels may be used in clinical practice or clinical trials to define disease activity and clinical remission, respectively. In clinical trials, budesonide has been shown to improve HRQoL, and Swedish patients in clinical remission had an HRQoL similar to a Swedish background population. Corresponding results have recently been reported in patients with LC: disease activity impaired HRQoL, whereas no difference was seen in patients in clinical remission compared to matched controls.

**Conclusion and future aspects**

MC is a common cause of chronic diarrhea, especially among elderly patients. A correct diagnosis depends on awareness of the disease among general practitioners (to refer the patient with chronic diarrhea for a colonoscopy), endoscopists (to obtain adequate biopsies at panendoscopy although the colonic mucosa is normal), and pathologists (to recognize features of MC). There is a need for biomarkers to aid the diagnosis and to monitor disease activity during follow-up. Environmental factors need to be explored in future epidemiologic studies, and large-scale genetic studies are warranted to identify susceptibility genes associated with MC. A deeper understanding of the underlying pathophysiology and mucosal immunopathology is necessary, aimed at developing new treatment alternatives. Currently, budesonide is the only evidence-based effective therapy. However, for patients intolerant of or not responding to budesonide, we lack well-documented effective alternatives.
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