Mixing and administration times of bypassing agents: observations from the Dosing Observational Study in Hemophilia (DOSE)

Jennifer Maahs¹
Jennifer Donkin²
Michael Recht³
David L Cooper⁴
¹Indiana Hemophilia and Thrombosis Center, Indianapolis, IN, ²Hemostasis and Thrombosis Center, Children's Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, ³Oregon Hemophilia Center, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR, ⁴Novo Nordisk, Princeton, NJ, USA

Abstract: DOSE (Dosing Observational Study in Hemophilia) was a prospective, observational diary study designed to evaluate the use of bypassing agents in patients prescribed recombinant activated factor VII (rFVIIa) as first-line treatment in the home setting. Patients with congenital hemophilia with inhibitors and caregivers participated, and as part of the study, the time spent preparing and administering product was recorded for bypassing agent (BPA) infusions. The aim of this manuscript is to present the results of the analysis of the time spent preparing and administering a single dose of either rFVIIa or plasma-derived activated prothrombin complex concentrate (pd-aPCC). Diaries were completed for 18 adult patients and 19 caregivers of 21 children with 176 BPA-treated bleeding episodes and 1,350 BPA infusions (1,270 rFVIIa, 80 pd-aPCC). The median preparation and administration times were 5.0 minutes and 5.0 minutes for rFVIIa and 29.0 minutes and 24.5 minutes for pd-aPCC, respectively. Preparation and administration times were significantly shorter with rFVIIa than pd-aPCC (P<0.0001). The significantly shorter combined preparation and administration time of rFVIIa, taking into consideration the faster-than-recommended aPCC infusion rates, suggests that rFVIIa permits a rapid and safe initiation of treatment once a bleeding episode is identified and a decision is made to treat at home.
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Introduction

Congenital hemophilia is a rare disease that places a considerable burden on patients and their caregivers. The treatment of congenital hemophilia may be complicated by the development of alloantibody inhibitors when clotting factors VIII or IX are administered. For patients with inhibitors, bleeding episodes can be managed with infusions of bypassing agents (BPAs) such as recombinant activated factor VII (rFVIIa; NovoSeven® RT; Novo Nordisk, Bagsvaerd, Denmark) or plasma-derived activated prothrombin complex concentrate (pd-aPCC; FEIBA NF; Baxter, Deerfield, IL, USA).¹²

The treatment of bleeding episodes has largely switched from infusions at hemophilia-treatment centers to infusions at home by the patient or caregiver.¹ In an unrelated phase IIIB home-treatment study, rFVIIa was shown to be effective in more than 90% of mild-to-moderate bleeding episodes in patients with hemophilia A or B complicated by inhibitors;³ this has been confirmed with real-world clinical data from US and global registries.⁵,⁶ Though efficacy was shown to be a differentiating factor between BPAs in one comparative study⁷ where there was significantly less need for rescue medication with rFVIIa than with pd-aPCC, other studies with different methodologies have not confirmed the difference.⁸
The typical dose of rFVIIa recommended to treat a bleeding episode has less volume than does the recommended dose of pd-aPCC,\(^1,2\) and the recommended infusion time is also shorter for rFVIIa, at 2–5 minutes (90 µg/kg dose),\(^1\) than for pd-aPCC; it is recommended that the infusion rate for pd-aPCC should not exceed 2 U/kg per minute or 25–50 minutes (50–100 U/kg doses).\(^2\)

DOSE (Dosing Observational Study in Hemophilia) was a prospective, observational diary study designed to evaluate the use of bypassing agents in patients prescribed rFVIIa as first-line treatment in the home setting,\(^9\) the primary results of which have been published previously.\(^9–12\) DOSE’s planned secondary analyses presented here focus on time spent preparing and administering a single dose of either rFVIIa or pd-aPCC.

**Materials and methods**

**Study design and population**

In summary, DOSE was a phase IV observational diary study conducted between January 2008 and July 2009 at 20 federally designated hemophilia treatment centers in the US.\(^9\) The study population included male patients with hemophilia with inhibitors or parents of male children with hemophilia with inhibitors who were prescribed rFVIIa as first-line therapy for on-demand treatment of bleeds or for treatment of breakthrough bleeding during prophylaxis or immune tolerance induction (ITI) therapy. Eligible patients were required to have had at least four bleeding episodes of any type in the prior 3 months, and had to be willing to complete a detailed daily journal for at least 90 days.

**Treatment regimen**

No treatments were specified in this study. All patients received standard care according to local practice and existing BPA prescriptions, and all treatment decisions were made by the individual health care providers, who did not have access to the patient or caregiver diaries. The routine administration of BPAs on nonbleed days (eg, prophylaxis) was not recorded.

**Patient diaries**

Patients or caregivers were asked to complete daily diary records for at least 90 days and until four bleeding episodes were experienced by the patient. On days with bleeding episodes, bleed forms were used to capture details of the bleeding episodes (ie, time of bleeding start, symptoms, cause, type, location, time of bleeding stop), BPA administered, and (specific to this analysis) the time spent mixing and administering each BPA dose.

**Statistical analyses**

Statistical analyses were largely descriptive and correlative in nature, and were performed by Outcome Sciences (Boston, MA, USA) using SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Simple unpaired \(t\)-tests were performed for comparisons between groups.

**Results**

**Patient demographics, diaries, and bleeding episodes**

Detailed analyses of patient demographics have been published previously.\(^9\) Overall, 52 patients enrolled in the study, and 39 of them completed the daily diaries.\(^12\) In the enrolled population, 37 reported receiving rFVIIa as on-demand-only treatment, five received ITI, and 12 received prophylaxis with BPAs; two patients were in both the ITI and prophylaxis groups.\(^9–11\) Approximately half (52%) of the enrolled population were older than 18 years.

Overall, 18 adult patients completed diaries (2,059 diary days), and 19 caregivers completed 21 diaries for their children (2,004 diary days).\(^8\) Twenty-four participants reported receiving rFVIIa as on-demand-only treatment, five received ITI, and 12 received prophylaxis with BPAs (two patients were in both the ITI and prophylaxis groups). Thirty-eight patients reported 194 bleeding episodes (131 joint bleeds), and accumulated a total of 491 bleed days.\(^12\) Most bleeding episodes (176, 91%) were treated with either rFVIIa and/or pd-aPCC, whereas the treatments for the remaining 18 bleeding episodes were not reported.\(^7\) Of the bleeding episodes treated with BPAs, most were treated with rFVIIa (152, 86.4%); 18 (10.2%) were treated with pd-aPCC and six (3.4%) with both rFVIIa and pd-aPCC.

**Preparation and administration times for bypassing agents**

The median time spent on preparing and administering BPAs was 5.0 minutes and 5.0 minutes, respectively, for rFVIIa (n=1,270 injections) and 29.0 minutes and 24.5 minutes, respectively, for pd-aPCC (n=80 injections) (Table 1). Both preparation and administration times were significantly shorter with rFVIIa than with pd-aPCC for the treatment of joint bleeds (\(P<0.0001\)) and all bleeds (\(P<0.0001\)) (Table 1). This difference, which is consistent with the package-insert instructions for the preparation and administration of both rFVIIa and pd-aPCC,\(^1,2\) was significant across
Table 1 Preparation and administration time of rFVIIa and pd-apCC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Joint bleeds (n=1,010)</th>
<th>All bleeds (n=1,350)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rFVIIa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation/mixing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>6.1 (4.2)</td>
<td>6.5 (4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>5.0 (1.0–38.0)</td>
<td>5.0 (1.0–38.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration/infusion (minutes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>5.4 (4.4)</td>
<td>5.7 (4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>5.0 (1.0–60.0)</td>
<td>5.0 (1.0–60.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pd-apCC</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation/mixing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>22.9 (12.6)</td>
<td>23.2 (14.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>20.0 (3.0–55.0)</td>
<td>29.0 (3.0–60.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration/infusion (minutes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>32.6 (24.6)</td>
<td>30.8 (23.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>28.0 (5.0–60.0)</td>
<td>24.5 (5.0–60.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ^P<0.0001 for rFVIIa versus pd-apCC according to unpaired t-test.

Abbreviations: rF, recombinant factor; pd-apCC, plasma-derived activated prothrombin complex concentrate; SD, standard deviation.

Discussion

DOSE was designed to understand the decision-making process occurring in the home-treatment setting, and to gain insights from the experience of patients and caregivers with managing the patient’s own disease. Much as the overall study aimed to correlate prescribed versus actual treatment, this planned secondary analysis was designed to examine patient and caregiver adherence to standard recommendations for product-infusion rates, thus allowing for more rapid administration of rFVIIa doses.

World Federation of Hemophilia guidelines recommend early treatment of acute bleeding, ideally within 2 hours; these guidelines have been adopted globally by national patient organizations and individual health care providers as an aspirational standard. To date, there are no good data or clinical studies in patients with or without inhibitors to specifically define inflection points for early intervention that impacts outcome (eg, 30 minutes after recognition of a joint bleed). For ethical reasons, it is not possible to study this prospectively, and retrospective data have too many covariates.

In patients with inhibitors, earlier treatment of acute joint bleeding has been shown to be associated with greater success in terms of response and fewer doses needed for treatment. An analysis of HemoRec registry data from the Czech Republic showed that bleeding episodes that were treated with rFVIIa within 2 hours of bleed onset showed less rebleeding compared to those treated after 2 hours (5.2% versus 13.7%, respectively; P=0.113). Of the 77 bleeding episodes in the HemoRec registry treated within 2 hours of bleed onset, 50 (64.9%) were treated within the first hour.

Irrespective of whether the patient has product available at room temperature with them when bleed symptoms are recognized, once a decision to treat is made, a shorter product-handling time for mixing and administration should facilitate earlier treatment. The significantly shorter combined preparation and administration time of rFVIIa (10 minutes versus 53.5 minutes) shown in the present study suggests that the use of room-temperature-stable rFVIIa in a home setting may allow for more rapid initiation of treatment once a bleed is recognized and treatment initiated. Further, this might reduce the overall time spent manipulating BPA product across the multiple doses required for most bleeding episodes.

Data interpretation in the present analysis may be limited by several factors, including the inherent bias in the sample size of patients receiving pd-apCC for bleed treatment, due to the desired inclusion of patients on first-line rFVIIa treatment; this resulted in few pd-apCC doses available for analysis. The rFVIIa infusion times did not differ greatly from the recommended infusion rate; however, based on an average pd-apCC dose of 75 U/kg, an infusion time of 35–40 minutes would be expected. This is approximately a third less than the recommended time. This may place the patient at increased risk for adverse events, such as headache, nausea, dizziness, and chills. Over time, however, standard practice and patient familiarity with administration may cause deviations from recommended pd-apCC infusion rates.

Mixing and administration for on-demand treatment in a bleeding state is also different than that in a nonbleeding state, and might be impacted by the location of bleeding. Conceptually, self-infusion of an elbow bleed might result in more difficulty with mixing and administration of either product, due to the limited mobility of the affected elbow. While most (131/194) bleeds in DOSE were joint bleeds, further analysis along these lines was limited, as only 14 elbow bleeds were reported by adults and caregivers. How self-infusing patients manage infusions during elbow bleeds remains an interesting question for further study, and could also impact the design of future mixing devices.

Conclusion

The burden of treatment in hemophilia includes the time required for preparing and administering BPAs, so this...
remains a significant consideration for patients with inhibitors, even as treatment has moved into the home setting. The current study results demonstrate significantly shorter mixing and infusion times with a single dose of rFVIIa compared to a single dose of pd-apCC. This takes into consideration the faster-than-recommended aPCC infusion rates, suggesting that rFVIIa may permit a more rapid and safe initiation of treatment once a bleeding episode is identified and a decision is made to treat at home.
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