Psoriasis: Targets and Therapy

Emerging treatment options for psoriasis

Abstract: The treatment of psoriasis has evolved over the years, with the recent focus largely on the use of biologics and anti-interleukin-17 agents. With treatment options expanding, practitioners and patients may find control of psoriasis more convenient and safer to achieve. In this article, we review the literature on emerging medications for the treatment of psoriasis. Although some of the new medications under development, such as the anti-interleukin-17 agents, are being shown to be very efficacious in the treatment of psoriasis in premarketing trials, more information regarding their long-term use is needed to demonstrate their superiority over available modalities.
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Introduction
Psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis are chronic inflammatory diseases affecting about 2%-4% of the population in Western countries.1,2 These conditions can severely affect quality of life for patients and usually require long-term topical or systemic treatment.2-5 Systemic treatments, including oral, parenteral, and biologic agents, and phototherapy are used to treat moderate-to-severe disease. Treatment guidelines for the management of psoriasis exist and can help practitioners make evidence-based recommendations. Systemic therapies are recommended in patients when adequate control of disease cannot be accomplished with topical agents.6-8 While measures of disease severity, such as body surface area, are helpful in determining appropriate treatment regimes, the patient’s perception of disease burden should determine whether systemic medications might be considered.7,9,10 Although individualized treatment based on patients’ needs is emphasized for the treatment of skin psoriasis, in psoriatic arthritis, prevention of joint destruction and disability should be considered and discussed with the patient.

Although there are many topical and systemic antipsoriatic medications available, patients and practitioners often experience problems controlling psoriasis, because of lack of efficacy, development of adverse effects, difficulty in using treatments, or cost of therapies. Drug manufacturers and researchers are searching for new medications with better efficacy/risk profiles that can be used easily.7

Systemic treatment of psoriasis has evolved over the years, with the current focus largely on agents used to block specific pathways of inflammation. Traditional systemic drugs, including methotrexate, cyclosporine, and acitretin, have remained relatively unchanged for many years. The end-organ toxicity and/or teratogenicity...
of these oral medications limit their use, and may deter practitioners from providing systemic treatment, even for severe psoriasis.\(^9\) Biologics target specific cytokines or immune cells. Their therapeutic exclusiveness results in a limited side effect profile compared with traditional systemic immunosuppressive agents and antipsoriatic drugs. Currently, the main emphasis of research is on biologics, with newer agents targeting interleukin (IL)-17, one of the key cytokines in the pathogenesis of psoriasis, and on new oral agents in development.\(^7\) As the treatment options for psoriasis expand, with new oral and injectable agents in development, physicians need to have a knowledge of the pharmacodynamics, efficacy, and adverse effects of emerging medications. This paper reviews the recent studies and literature on new medications used for psoriasis.

### Methods

A review of the literature was conducted from January 1, 2012 through May 1, 2014 to identify all randomized clinical trials and review articles on the treatment of psoriasis. PubMed was searched using the terms “psoriasis treatment” and “psoriasis therapy”, and the results were limited to clinical trials and review articles. Publications in languages other than English were excluded. Medication in Phase I, II, or III clinical trials for psoriasis and not yet approved for psoriasis were included, summarized, and reported according to the mechanism of action of the study drug. Many emerging agents in Phase I–III clinical trials for the treatment of psoriasis were identified (Table 1).

### New small molecules for treatment of psoriasis

Several small molecules are under investigation for treatment of psoriasis, including A3 adenosine receptor agonists, Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitors, phosphodiesterase inhibitors, and some new anti-inflammatory agents. Many of these small molecules can be orally used, and may be more convenient than injectable drugs.

#### A3 adenosine receptor agonists

The A3 adenosine receptor (A3AR) is highly expressed in inflammatory cells, including in peripheral blood mononuclear cells in patients with psoriasis, rendering the A3AR a potential therapeutic target in inflammatory diseases.\(^15\) This receptor exerts its anti-inflammatory effects by inhibiting the proliferation of specific autoreactive T lymphocytes and downregulating the NF-κB signaling pathway, resulting in downregulation of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines and in apoptosis of inflammatory cells.\(^16\–19\)

In a Phase II study of CF101, an oral A3AR agonist, 35% of patients with moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis receiving a 2 mg dose twice daily achieved at least 50% improvement in their Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) score at week 12.\(^16\) Although this degree of efficacy seems to be less than that of methotrexate or biologics, improvement was significantly better in the treatment group when compared with placebo group in this study. Reported side effects were mild. The

### Table 1 Drugs in development for treatment of psoriasis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug/compound</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Mechanism of action</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CNTO 1959</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Anti-IL-23 (p19)</td>
<td>Biologic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK-3222</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Anti-IL-23 (p19)</td>
<td>Biologic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCH900222</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Anti-IL-23 (p19)</td>
<td>Biologic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APG2305</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Anti-IL-23 receptor</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apilimod</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>IL-12/IL-23 expression inhibitor</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brodalumab</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Anti-IL-17RA receptor</td>
<td>Biologic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ixekizumab</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Anti-IL-17A</td>
<td>Biologic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secukinumab</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Anti-IL-17A</td>
<td>Biologic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itolizumab</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Anti-CD6</td>
<td>Biologic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT-061</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Ab against an epitope on CD4</td>
<td>Biologic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AbGn-168</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Anti-PSGL-1</td>
<td>Biologic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEB071</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>PKC inhibitor</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP187</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Fumaric acid</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leo 22811</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Anti-inflammatory</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT-128800</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>S1P agonist</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VB-201</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Immune modifier</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM582949</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>P38 inhibitor</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRT2104</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Sirtuins activator</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erlotinib</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Anti-EGFR</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RWJ-445380</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Cathepsin S inhibitor</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K3421</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Purine nucleoside</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(BCX-4208)</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Phosphorylase inhibitor</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF101</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Adenosine receptor agonist</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tofacitinib</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>JAK inhibitor</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baricitinib</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>JAK inhibitor</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASP015K</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>JAK inhibitor</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruxolitinib</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>JAK inhibitor</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apremilast</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Phosphodiesterase-4 inhibitor</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN2728</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Phosphodiesterase-4 inhibitor</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT327</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>TrkA kinase blocker</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E6201</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>MEK kinase inhibitor</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voclosporin</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Calcineurin inhibitor</td>
<td>Small molecule</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Data from Sandoval et al,\(^1^3\) Krupashankar et al,\(^1^) Laws and Young,\(^1^) Gooderham,\(^1^) and Patel et al.\(^1^)  
**Abbreviations:** IL, interleukin; PSGL-1, P-selectin glycoprotein ligand-1; PKC, protein kinase C; S1P, sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) receptor; P38, P38 mitogen-activated protein kinase; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; JAK, Janus kinase; TrkA, neurotrophic tyrosine kinase receptor type 1; MEK, Mitogen-activated protein kinase.
authors concluded that CF101 has potential in the treatment of psoriasis, but that larger clinical trials are needed.\textsuperscript{16}

**JAK inhibitors**

Preclinical studies have indicated that a significant number of the cytokines involved in the pathogenesis of psoriasis utilize the JAK signaling pathway to mediate their inflammatory immune responses and to regulate the proliferation and differentiation of immune cells.\textsuperscript{20–25} Tofacitinib is an inhibitor of the JAK1 and JAK3 signaling pathway. Inhibition of JAK3 blocks signal transduction of a number of cytokines (IL-2, 4, 7, 9, 15, and 21), resulting in modulation of lymphocyte function and survival.\textsuperscript{20–25} In a Phase II study of oral tofacitinib in patients with moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis, a 75\% improvement in PASI (PASI-75) response was achieved in 67\% of patients treated with 15 mg orally twice daily at week 12.\textsuperscript{24} Improvement was significantly greater in tofacitinib-treated patients at all doses compared with placebo. Greater improvement was also seen in other efficacy and quality of life measures, including PASI-90, Physician’s Global Assessment (PGA), and Daily Life Quality Index (DLQI) for all doses. Tofacitinib was generally well tolerated with few side effects reported.\textsuperscript{24}

A topical formulation of tofacitinib is also under development, with an ointment having been evaluated in a Phase II multicenter, double-blind, vehicle-controlled trial.\textsuperscript{26} Seventy-one patients were randomized to 2\% tofacitinib ointment or to a vehicle group, each administered twice daily for 4 weeks to a single target plaque. The change in Target Plaque Severity Score at week 4 demonstrated a statistically significant improvement for the ointment (−54\%) versus vehicle (−41\%). The target plaque area and Itch Severity Item also improved in a similar way. All adverse events were mild or moderate, and none were serious or led to subject discontinuation. Systemic tofacitinib exposure was minimal.\textsuperscript{26}

INCB18424 (ruxolitinib) is another emerging topical phosphodiesterase inhibitors

Agents that increase intracellular cyclic adenosine monophosphate have an antagonistic effect on proinflammatory molecules and can reduce production of the proinflammatory mediators involved in psoriasis, such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-\(\alpha\)), IL-23, and interferon.\textsuperscript{28–30} While many such inhibitors have been developed, their use is limited by side effects such as nausea and vomiting, reported at subtherapeutic levels. The latest generation of inhibitors selective for phosphodiesterase 4, such as apremilast, seems to have an improved therapeutic index.\textsuperscript{30–32} Apremilast, an oral small molecule inhibitor of phosphodiesterase 4, is under development for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis, psoriasis, ankylosing spondylitis, Behçet’s syndrome, atopic dermatitis, and rheumatoid arthritis. Apremilast has recently received its first approval for active psoriatic arthritis in adults in the USA. Apremilast was studied in patients with psoriasis in a Phase II clinical trial. At week 16, 41\% of patients with plaque psoriasis receiving oral apremilast 30 mg twice daily achieved a PASI-75 response compared with 6\% of patients on placebo (\(P<0.0001\)).\textsuperscript{29} In a Phase IIb trial, treatment with apremilast resulted in a significant improvement in health-related quality of life scores, including DLQI and pruritus over 16 weeks of treatment, in patients with moderate-to-severe psoriasis.\textsuperscript{33} Gastrointestinal side effects continued to be reported, the most common being headache, nausea, diarrhea, and vomiting. In a recent Phase III study of this drug for plaque-type psoriasis, 33\% of patients demonstrated a PASI-75 at week 16 compared with 5\% in the placebo arm.\textsuperscript{34}

**Other anti-inflammatory agents**

WBI-1001 (2-isopropyl-5-[(E)-2-phenylethenyl]benzene-1,3-diol) is a topical nonsteroidal, anti-inflammatory agent derived from the metabolites of bacterial symbionts from entomopathogenic nematodes.\textsuperscript{35} WBI-1001 exerts its anti-inflammatory effects by inhibiting proinflammatory cytokines, including TNF-\(\alpha\) and interferon-gamma, and by inhibiting T-cell viability and infiltration processes.\textsuperscript{35}

In a Phase II clinical trial of 1\% WBI-1001 cream applied twice daily for the treatment of mild-to-moderate psoriasis, 50\% of patients achieved a PASI-75 at week 12 compared with 4\% in the placebo group (\(P<0.0001\)).\textsuperscript{35} Improvement in PGA and body surface area were also significant, with improvements of 63\% and 79\%, respectively, compared with 13\% and 9\% in the placebo group. Reported side effects were mild or moderate.

**Recent biologic immunomodulators for psoriasis**

TNF-\(\alpha\) inhibitors have been used for years in the treatment of psoriasis with success. With the recent discovery of the...
role of the T helper (Th17) pathway in psoriasis, several immunomodulators including anti-IL-12/23, anti-IL-17, and anti-IL-17 receptor agents have emerged and are in development for the treatment of psoriasis.

**Th17 pathway in psoriasis**

When IL-23 binds to its receptor on naïve T-cells, it promotes the development, differentiation and stimulation of a subset of memory CD4+ T-cells known as Th17 cells. This signal transduction in Th17 cells occurs through JAK. Activated Th17 cells produce IL-17A, IL-17F, IL-22, and TNF-α. IL-17A and IL-17F bind to their receptors on keratinocytes and promote production of numerous proinflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and antimicrobial peptides, including TNF-α, that drive the inflammatory response in psoriatic lesions.

While IL-17-producing CD4+ T-cells are major participants in the pathogenesis of psoriasis, IL-17 producing CD8+ T-cells (Tc17 cells) may also have an important role. Tc17 cells are present in significantly greater numbers in psoriasis plaques than in skin from healthy individuals. Like Th17 cells, epidermal CD8+ IL-17+ T-cells from psoriasis-inflamed skin produce Th17-related cytokines (IL-17, IL-21, and IL-22). In addition, these CD8+ IL-17+ T-cells appear to have some resistance to suppression by regulatory T-cells, proliferating much faster than CD8+ IL-17- T-cells. Overall, this selective increase of Tc17 cells in psoriatic skin, particularly in the epidermis, reinforces the claims of previous studies regarding the importances of CD8+ T-cells in the pathogenesis of psoriasis.

**Anti-IL-12/23 agents**

Ustekinumab is a fully human monoclonal antibody directed against the common p40 subunit of IL-12 and IL-23, inflammatory cytokines thought to be important in the pathogenesis of psoriasis. While IL-23 promotes the Th17 pathway, IL-12 perpetuates the Th1 pathway. Therefore, ustekinumab prevents the differentiation of naïve T-cells into Th17 and Th1 cells. Ustekinumab is approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for both moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis.

The approval of ustekinumab for the treatment of psoriasis was based on Phase I–III clinical trials; however, more recent data continue to support its efficacy. Ustekinumab 45 mg and 90 mg significantly improved health-related quality of life at week 12 compared with placebo in Japanese patients, and improvement was maintained through week 64. Fifty-nine percent of the patients treated with ustekinumab 45 mg and 68% of those treated with 90 mg achieved a PASI-75, compared with 7% of the placebo group, with improvement maintained through week 64 in 65% and 79% of actively treated patients, respectively. Improvement in Nail Psoriasis Severity Index and joint pain at week 64 was also achieved. Results in terms of efficacy and safety in this population were consistent with the findings of two larger trials in which the majority of patients were white. Ustekinumab 45 mg was significantly more effective than placebo, with a PASI-75 achieved in 83% compared with 11%, respectively, at week 12 in a study of Chinese patients with psoriasis. Placebo patients crossed over at week 12 and had a response comparable with that of the treatment group at week 28. Korean and Taiwanese patients with psoriasis were studied using the same study methods, with a decrease in DLQI of 11.2 points in the treatment group compared with 0.5 in the placebo group. Again, the placebo crossover group achieved similar improvement at week 28. The improvement in DLQI was correlated with improvement in efficacy measures (PASI and PGA). Reported 5-year follow-up safety data on patients who received ustekinumab in these clinical trials showed no apparent increased risk of infections, malignancy, or cardiovascular events. Recent results from the Psoriasis Longitudinal Assessment and Registry also show a good safety profile, although registry data can be subject to selection bias.

Like ustekinumab, briakinumab is a fully human monoclonal antibody directed against the common p40 subunit of IL-12 and IL-23. Its manufacturer has voluntarily withdrawn it due to concerns regarding major adverse cardiovascular events. Prior to being withdrawn, briakinumab was effective for the treatment of psoriasis in a 52-week study that included an induction phase and a maintenance phase. At the end of a 12-week induction phase, 76% of briakinumab-treated patients reached a PGA of clear/minimal and 81% achieved a PASI-75 compared with 4% and 5%, respectively, in the placebo group. Improved efficacy was achieved with more frequent dosing, with 79% of the patients who received briakinumab every 4 weeks reaching a PGA of clear/minimal compared with 42% of those that received the drug every 12 weeks and 6% of placebo patients. There were more adverse events including serious infections, nonmelanoma skin cancers, and major cardiovascular events in treated patients compared with those who received placebo.

**Anti-p19 subunit of IL-23**

There are two new drugs under development that block p19 subunit of IL-23, with the goal to target the Th17 pathway...
only, blocking IL-23 without the IL-12 blockade associated with ustekinumab. CTNTO 1959 and MK-3222 are in Phase II or III trials. Thus far, encouraging results have been recently reported from a Phase 1 study in individuals with moderate-to-severe psoriasis.66–55

Apilimod
Apilimod (formerly STA-5326) is a small molecule in the preliminary stages of study. In a murine model, apilimod inhibited synthesis of IL-12 and IL-23 in myeloid leukocytes and led to suppression of the TH1 but not the TH2 immune response.56 In an open-label study, 47% of patients with psoriasis treated orally with apilimod 70 mg daily achieved a PASI-50 and 53% achieved a two-point improvement in PGA at 12 weeks.57 Study of lesional skin established that apilimod not only suppresses synthesis of IL-12, IL-23, and multiple downstream cytokines, but also concomitantly increases synthesis of the anti-inflammatory cytokine, IL-10.

Anti-IL-17 therapy
The IL-17 pathway includes a family of six cytokines (IL-17A to IL-17F) and five receptors (IL-17RA to IL-17RE). IL-17A and IL-17F are secreted by Th17 cells and other immune cells, including innate lymphoid cells, as disulfide-linked homodimers, but can also form IL-17A/IL-17F heterodimers. IL-17A is about 10–30 times more potent than IL-17F, whereas the IL-17A/IL-17F heterodimer has intermediate activity.36–38,58 In psoriatic lesions, IL-17A, the principal effector cytokine of Th17 cells, induces expression of proinflammatory cytokines from several cell types, including epithelial cells. IL-17A is reported to upregulate expression of proinflammatory cytokines (IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α) and several chemokines, including CCL20, in human keratinocytes. Induction of CCL20 by keratinocytes has been suggested as a mechanism that promotes the Th17 pathway.36–38,58

IL-17 levels are higher in psoriatic skin than in normal skin. Spontaneous healing or treatment of psoriasis using traditional drugs that do not target IL-17 still ultimately results in reduction of IL-17 in involved skin (Table 2). Although modalities targeting IL-17 are still in development, preliminary data have provided evidence that they are highly effective in the treatment of psoriasis (Figure 1).

Adverse events associated with anti-IL-17 therapy
Although data regarding the adverse effects of anti-IL-17 therapy are limited at this time, this treatment strategy can potentially reduce immunity to intracellular and extracellular micro-organisms and predispose the patients to infectious diseases.53 Mucocutaneous candidiasis has been reported as a complication of defects in the IL-17 pathway.50–62 One specific adverse event associated with anti-IL-17 therapy is neutropenia.53 The exact mechanism for this is not known; however, in animal models, IL-17 is involved in neutrophil homeostasis through granulocyte colony-stimulating factor, and IL-17R-deficient mice have lower levels of neutrophils than do wild-type mice.64,65

Anti-IL-17 agents
The focus on the IL-17 pathway has led to development of several anti-IL-17 biologic agents. Phase III clinical trials are underway for secukinumab, a fully human IgG1 monoclonal anti-IL-17A antibody. In Phase II clinical trials, 55% of patients who received early induction (weeks 0,
1, 2, 4), and 42% of those who received monthly induction regimens reached a PASI-75, compared with 2% of placebo patients. During the maintenance phase, 85% of patients in the fixed-interval regimen reached a PASI-75 compared with the group of patients that restarted treatment at relapse (67%). There were no injection site reactions or development of antibodies against the drug, and neutropenia was reported in 5% of patients receiving the drug during the induction phase and 8% during the maintenance phase.

In patients with psoriasis who received 5 mg or 150 mg of secukinumab at weeks 0, 4, and 8, 82% reached a PASI-75 at week 12 compared with 9% of placebo patients.

Two patients in the 150 mg group developed transient neutropenia.

In Phase II trials of ixekizumab, a humanized IgG4 monoclonal anti-IL-17A, three doses (25 mg, 75 mg, 150 mg) were effective in treating psoriasis compared with placebo at 12 weeks, with 77%, 83%, and 82% of patients, respectively, reaching a PASI-75 compared with 8% of placebo patients.

There were no significant differences in adverse effects between the treatment groups and placebo. Two patients (one each in the 150 mg group and 75 mg group) developed asymptomatic grade 2 neutropenia. Larger, longer-term studies are needed to support these early findings.

**Interleukin-17 receptor inhibitors**

IL-17A, II-17F, and IL-17A/F share a common receptor subunit (IL-17RA) for signaling, so by blocking IL-17RA, the proinflammatory actions of these cytokines are inhibited. Brodalumab, another biologic currently in development, is a human anti-IL-17RA monoclonal antibody that binds with high affinity to IL-17RA. In a Phase II trial, four doses of brodalumab, ie, 70 mg, 140 mg, and 210 mg subcutaneously at day 1 and weeks 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, or 280 mg subcutaneously at day 1 and weeks 4 and 8 were effective in the treatment of psoriasis; in the treatment groups, 33%, 77%, 82%, and 67% of patients, respectively, achieved a PASI-75, compared with 0% in the placebo group. There was a significant improvement in DLQI scores in all treatment groups compared with placebo. Two cases of asymptomatic
grade 3 neutropenia were reported in the 210 mg group. In a Phase I trial, patients were treated with a single dose of either 140 mg subcutaneously, 350 mg subcutaneously, or 700 mg intravenously, with 38% and 88% of patients in the 350 mg and 700 mg groups reaching a PASI-75 by day 43 compared with no patients in the 140 mg and placebo groups.77 There were no serious adverse effects.

Discussion

Although numerous topical and systemic agents are available for the treatment of moderate-to-severe psoriasis, practitioners as well as patients often have difficulty achieving effective control of psoriasis, although without doubt things have improved from the prebiologic era. Development of new medications may potentially help with safer and more effective control of psoriasis in the future.78 Several new biologic and small molecule drugs are under investigation for the treatment of psoriasis. The aim is to find drugs that are safer, more effective, easier to use, and more affordable. However, many drugs ultimately fail to reach the market because of lack of efficacy or significant adverse effects. When drugs do get approved, long-term, large studies and data to evaluate the rate of uncommon but possible adverse events associated with their use are often lacking. Newly approved drugs have been withdrawn after years of use due to concerns over rare but major adverse events.79 It will take considerable time and much study if we are even to find out if the new drugs in development are safer than the biologics currently available.

Considering that no drug can “cure” psoriasis, the toxicity of antipsoriatic drugs is an important consideration influencing long-term treatment preferences. Many practitioners and patients may be hesitant to use new medications for years after their approval, preferring to wait until the long-term safety profiles of these therapies are available.

Another consideration is that clinical trials of new drugs often do not adequately reflect real-world practice. The effects of these medications in real-world patients, often with comorbidities, may be different than in a controlled setting. Additionally, the risk/benefit ratio of these drugs should be compared with other available treatments, which may be much cheaper, to establish their benefit to the antipsoriatic armamentarium. In general, head-to-head trials of psoriasis drugs are lacking.

Despite many potential obstacles in the path of development and use of new medications, emerging biologics, including anti-IL-17 agents, appear to be highly effective and have a better safety profile than traditional systemic antipsoriatic drugs.7 The specificity these treatments have in a small subset of cells may potentially result in fewer adverse effects than other nonspecific treatment modalities, especially traditional immunosuppressants. Neutropenia is a possible adverse effect of anti-IL-17 therapy; however, most cases are uncomplicated, subclinical, and asymptomatic.

Conclusion

Research and development of new therapies for the treatment of psoriasis has largely focused on biologic agents. Studies of the anti-IL-17 agents are thus far encouraging, and these drugs have great potential to become another option in the treatment of psoriasis. New oral agents in development also have the potential to play a role in the treatment of psoriasis, providing a safer option compared with current oral therapies. These new treatments will provide both the practitioner and the patient with more choices and opportunities for individualized treatment regimes that will lead to better outcomes.
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