Transdermal fentanyl patch for postoperative analgesia in total knee arthroplasty: a randomized double-blind controlled trial
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Purpose: To assess the efficacy of a transdermal fentanyl patch (TFP) (50 µg/hour) applied 10–12 hours before surgery versus placebo for postoperative pain control of total knee arthroplasty (TKA).

Materials and methods: We enrolled 40 patients undergoing elective TKA under spinal anesthesia using isobaric or hyperbaric bupivacaine. Subjects were randomized to receive a TFP (Duragesic® 50 µg/hour) or placebo patch applied with a self-adhesive to the anterior chest wall 10–12 hours before spinal anesthesia. Every patient was given patient-controlled morphine for postoperative pain control. Patients were evaluated every 4 hours until 48 hours.

Results: Morphine consumption at 24 and 48 hours in the TFP group versus the placebo group was 15.40±12.65 and 24.90±20.11 mg versus 33.60±19.06 and 57.80±12.65 mg (P≤0.001). Numeric rating scale scores at rest and during movement over 48 hours were lower in the TFP group. Ambulation and nausea/vomiting scores were statistically greater, but not clinically significant in the TFP group. Sedation scores were low and not statistically significantly different between groups. There was no severe respiratory depression.

Conclusion: TFP (50 µg/hour) applied 10–12 hours before surgery can effectively and safely decrease morphine consumption and pain scores during the first 48 hours after TKA surgery.
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Introduction
Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is a surgery that improves patient mobility and quality of life, but causes severe postoperative pain during the first 24–72 hours. Adequate postoperative pain control promotes patient ambulation and physiotherapy, leading to early recovery, fewer complications (ie, deep-vein thrombosis or nosocomial infection), and a shorter hospital stay. There are many methods for relieving postoperative pain in TKA, including patient-controlled analgesia (PCA), patient-controlled epidural analgesia, spinal morphine, femoral nerve block, and continuous posterior lumbar plexus block. These methods, however, require personal skills and expensive equipment.

The transdermal fentanyl patch (TFP) is a skin-patch opioid that steadily releases fentanyl into the bloodstream according to the dosage applied. The subsequent plasma level and clearance are similar to intravenous use. TFPs are commonly used for chronic pain management. Typically, a TFP has a slow onset (plateauing in 15 hours), which makes it inappropriate for acute pain control. If, however, it is applied 12–14 hours before surgery, it may effectively relieve postoperative pain in TKA.
Our hypothesis was that a TFP (50 µg/hour) applied 10–12 hours before surgery would provide superior analgesia for 48 hours compared with placebo for postoperative pain control in TKA. The primary outcome was a difference in cumulative morphine consumption at 48 hours after surgery.

Materials and methods
The current study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Khon Kaen University (HE521183) and registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov (NCT01348984). Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Our study was a prospective randomized double-blind controlled trial. Using Minville et al4 as a guide, we determined that for an α-value of 0.05 and a power (1-β) of 0.80, the sample size needed to be 19 patients in each group. We recruited 40 patients to cover dropout. Randomization was achieved using a computer-generated list kept in sealed opaque envelopes. We included patients between 20 and 80 years of age, scheduled for elective TKA under spinal anesthesia, having an American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status of I–II, and able to use a PCA. We excluded patients who: 1) were pregnant or breastfeeding, 2) had a history of allergy to TFPs or morphine, 3) had a contraindication for spinal anesthesia, 4) had major organ diseases, and 5) had a history of drug abuse.

The patients, admitted 1 day prior to the surgery, were then allocated to two groups: group T received a single TFP (Duragesic® 50 µg/hour matrix fentanyl patch; Janssen Pharmaceutica, Beerse, Belgium) affixed to the anterior chest wall 10–12 hours before surgery by the preoperative-visit resident; and group P received a placebo patch in the same manner. Spinal anesthesia was given using either hyperbaric or isobaric bupivacaine at a standard dosage. All of the patients received standard intraoperative monitoring and care. After surgery, they were transferred to the post-anesthetic care unit. Time of arrival at the unit represented time 0. The severity of pain was determined using a numeric rating scale (NRS). PCA was started after the NRS had fallen to ≤3 in response to intravenous morphine supplementation (at 2 mg/5 minute intervals). The PCA device was set at 1 mg of morphine with a 5-minute lockout and a 1-hour limit of 8 mg. Every 4 hours until 48 hours, we recorded morphine consumption, blood pressure, respiratory rate, NRS score at rest and when moving, ambulation, sedation, and nausea/vomiting (N/V) score. The TFP was removed at 48 hours (Figure 1).

Ambulation scoring was 0= unable to sit up in bed, 1= able to sit up in bed, 2= able to sit on the edge of the bed with feet hanging down over the side, and 3= able to move from bed to a chair with assistance. Sedation scoring was 0= fully alert, 1= mild sedation, easy to rouse, 2= moderate sedation, arousable with gentle shaking, and 3= deep sedation, not aroused by speaking or gentle shaking. N/V scores were 0= none, 1= mild, 2= moderate, and 3= severe. We noted all adverse effects and postoperative complications, such as itching and severe respiratory depression (respiration rate ≤8 breaths/minute).

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 17.0 for Windows (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Continuous data are presented as mean (standard deviation) and categorical data as n (%). To compare the differences between groups, Student’s t-test, χ² test, or analysis of variance was used as applicable. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
A total of 40 patients completed the study. The demographic data and anesthetic time for both groups were comparable (Table 1). Compared to the placebo, the TFP significantly reduced cumulative morphine consumption over 24 and 48 hours by 54.2% and 56.9%, respectively (ie, 18.2 and 32.9 µg; Table 2 and Figure 2). The average NRS scores at rest and during joint movement over the 48 hours were significantly lower in group T (Figure 3). In addition, ambulation scores over 48 hours were significantly higher in group T. Sedation scores over 48 hours were not significantly different between the groups. N/V scores over 48 hours were significantly higher in group T (Table 2). There was no severe respiratory depression.

Discussion
Our study demonstrates that a TFP (50 µg/hour) applied 10–12 hours before surgery can safely relieve postoperative pain from TKA for 48 hours. Over 24 and 48 hours, the TFP reduced morphine consumption by 54.2% and 56.9%, respectively. Importantly, NRS scores were lower both at rest and during movement, with comparable low sedation scores. Although ambulation and N/V scores were statistically different, there was no clinical significance, as both scores were lower than 1.

The results of the present study are in accord with previous studies in which TFPs provided dose-dependent analgesia after lower abdominal surgery.15 A TFP administering 75 µg/hour is also used as a safe and effective analgesic after major shoulder surgery.16 Other studies showed that TFPs can safely reduce morphine consumption and pain scores for patients undergoing hemorrhoidectomy.17 major urological
Transdermal fentanyl patch in total knee arthroplasty,

By contrast, some studies have reported that TFPs did not significantly relieve postoperative pain. In the latter, the TFP was placed just before or within 2 hours of surgery. For TFPs to be effective, its serum level must plateau (ie, 15 hours after being affixed), which would be before the end of surgery. Osipova et al studied the effect of TFPs for prevention and treatment of postoperative pain syndrome in extensive thoracoabdominal oncological surgery, and concluded that TFPs in the early postoperative period may prevent acute opioid tolerance and hyperalgesia, underscoring the benefit of their use in multimodal postoperative analgesia with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs).

Although we did not encounter any severe respiratory depression, this may have been due to the small sample size, as in other studies. The adverse effects of TFPs are dose-dependent, as with other narcotic administration. Sedation scores do not increase, albeit reductions in the respiratory rate rise, with doses up to 75 µg/hour. We concur with Bulow et al that a TFP administering 100 µg/hour would be too potent, because of the potential for life-threatening respiratory depression. For patient safety, we chose the lower dosage, ie, 50 µg/hour, for our study. Nevertheless, Cole et al reported that variability of TFP metabolism and excretion in pain patients contributed to unpredictable adverse effects. In a review, Nelson and Schwaner concluded that close observation by well-trained personnel is needed, due to serious adverse effects from unintentional misuse or even in normal use. In cases of severe bradypnea, naloxone can be used to treat the symptoms promptly. Since the duration of TFPs is much longer than naloxone, one dose of naloxone may be insufficient, and a continuous infusion may be needed.

The TFP has many advantages: it can easily adhere to the skin, obviating an intravenous line, so there is little

Table I Demographic and operative data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group T (n=20)</th>
<th>Group P (n=20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>64.90 (7.31)</td>
<td>66.20 (8.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male)</td>
<td>17 (85%)</td>
<td>19 (95%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA physical status</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>19 (95%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>66.65 (7.54)</td>
<td>63.05 (9.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>155.35 (5.57)</td>
<td>153.40 (5.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.64 (3.10)</td>
<td>26.88 (4.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anesthetic time (minutes)</td>
<td>168.50 (54.65)</td>
<td>159.00 (40.31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Group T patients received a transdermal fentanyl patch; group P patients received placebo. Data for age, weight, height, BMI, and anesthetic time are presented as means (standard deviation).

Abbreviations: ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists; BMI, body mass index.
risk of infection, it is easily procured and costs less than a PCA pump, and it needs no programming, so no human error occurs. It may be a good alternative in acute pain management.

Limitations of the study
Despite our using safer TFP dosing (50 µg/hour), the sample size of the study was limited, so we cannot conclude that there is no danger of severe respiratory depression. As our inclusion criteria included an ASA physical status of I–II and since these patients very often had an ASA physical status of III, we cannot conclude whether the TFP is suitable for use in these patients. Similarly, we cannot conclude whether or not TFPs would be effective for other major surgeries either. Further studies with larger sample sizes are needed to address these issues.

Table 2 Morphine consumption and NRS, ambulation, sedation, and N/V scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group T (n=20)</th>
<th>Group P (n=20)</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morphine consumption in 24 hours (mg)</td>
<td>15.40 (12.65)</td>
<td>33.60 (19.06)</td>
<td>-18.20</td>
<td>-28.55 to -7.85</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphine consumption in 48 hours (mg)</td>
<td>24.90 (20.11)</td>
<td>57.80 (28.70)</td>
<td>-32.90</td>
<td>-48.76 to -17.04</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRS score at rest</td>
<td>2.73 (1.95)</td>
<td>4.64 (1.60)</td>
<td>-1.91</td>
<td>-3.05 to -0.77</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRS score during movement</td>
<td>4.39 (2.37)</td>
<td>6.42 (1.40)</td>
<td>-2.02</td>
<td>-3.27 to -0.78</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulation score</td>
<td>0.60 (0.18)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.23)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.02 to 0.29</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedation score</td>
<td>0.27 (0.29)</td>
<td>0.18 (0.23)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.08 to 0.25</td>
<td>0.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/V score</td>
<td>0.59 (0.44)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.38)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.02 to 0.55</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Group T patients received a transdermal fentanyl patch; group P patients received placebo. Data presented as means (standard deviation).

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; NRS, numeric rating scale; N/V, nausea/vomiting.

Figure 2 Cumulative morphine consumption over 48 hours.
Notes: *P<0.001. Group T patients received a transdermal fentanyl patch; group P patients received placebo.
Abbreviation: PACU, postanesthetic care unit.
Conclusion
A TFP (50 µg/hour) applied 10–12 hours before surgery can be used as a postoperative analgesic for TKA, and can reduce morphine consumption over 48 hours by more than 55% without serious complications. The patients were more comfortable, and this was evidenced by lower pain scores both at rest and during movement. TFPs, we propose, may be effectively used in multimodal mode, in conjunction with other moderate analgesics (eg, NSAIDs) in accordance with the World Health Organization pain ladder. Severe bradypnea may however occur as a result of any route of narcotic administration, so close monitoring by well-trained personnel – having naloxone close at hand in the event of severe respiratory depression – is recommended.
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