Subjective well-being in Swedish active seniors and its relationship with physical activity and commonly available biomarkers
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Background: Physical activity is claimed to be related to well-being and to a lower risk of cardiovascular disease. Therefore, the possible associations of well-being with physical activity and biomarkers of somatic health were studied in a sample of Swedish active seniors to determine the strength of these associations.

Methods: Three hundred and eighty-nine community-dwelling senior citizens (127 men and 262 women) of mean age 74±5 years were recruited for this cross-sectional population study. Serum samples were analyzed for lipoproteins and markers of inflammation. The Psychological General Well-Being (PGWB) index was used to measure subjective well-being. Physical activity was assessed by the International Physical Activity Questionnaire modified for the elderly.

Results: More than 50% of men and women rated their physical activity as high; in the women, there was a significant difference between the age groups (younger and older than the median age [median =74.1 years], respectively). The mean PGWB index indicates a high degree of subjective well-being in this group of Swedish seniors. Of the PGWB subdimensions, general health had the strongest positive relationship with physical activity (r²=5.4%). For the subdimensions of depressed mood, positive well-being, vitality, and PGWB index, physical activity had an r² ≤4%, while the contributions of sex, age, and biomarkers were minor.

Conclusion: We have estimated the contribution of physical activity to the variance of subjective well-being in active seniors. Physical activity appears to play a greater role as a determinant of subjective well-being than do biomarkers of somatic health, especially in females, but most of the variance remained unaccounted for by the studied variables.
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Introduction

The relationships between well-being, somatic health, and active aging, including physical activity, have been extensively studied and are associated with health benefits and reductions in mortality rates.1–5 The health benefits of physical activity are suggested to be mediated mainly by reduction of known risk factors, such as inflammatory factors and blood pressure,1 and are described in both apparently healthy populations4 and in groups with known cardiovascular disease.5

Regular physical activity in the elderly is related to well-being,6–9 and positive well-being has been associated independently with longevity in both healthy populations and in groups with already existing disease.10 Again, immune function is also suggested to be involved in the association between well-being and reduced mortality.11 Well-being is related to health and other personal and social characteristics in a complex way.12

One feature of well-being is subjective well-being, which is considered to contain three subdimensions, i.e., life satisfaction, positive affect, and negative affect.7 In this study, the...
revised World Health Organization charter of health promotion from 1986 and the revised definition of subjective well-being: "An umbrella term for different valuations that people make regarding their lives, the events happening to them, their bodies and the circumstances in which they live" serve as a point of departure. People evaluate conditions differently depending on their expectations, values, and previous experiences, and subjective well-being is the person's own evaluation of his or her life.

In contrast with subjective well-being, biomarkers are tools for objective diagnostics and for monitoring the course of a disease or a treatment, and have also been suggested to be useful as surrogate endpoints substituting for actual clinical outcomes. Biomarkers have also been launched in studies on well-being in efforts to increase our knowledge of the complex interplay between somatic processes and subjective well-being. Biomarkers such as C-reactive protein and interleukins have previously been found to have associations with subjective well-being.

In this study of subjective well-being in active, elderly Swedish subjects, we hypothesized that subjective well-being would be accounted for by physical activity, possibly in interplay with biomarkers of inflammation, cardiovascular risk, metabolism, anthropometric data, and blood pressure. In the following, all these will be collectively referred to as biomarkers of somatic health. For any association found between subjective well-being, physical activity, and biomarkers of somatic health, the partial contribution of each covariate can then be estimated. Therefore, in this study, we investigated subjective well-being using the Psychological General Well-Being (PGWB) index, which measures six distress dimensions regarded as relevant to subjective well-being. The PGWB index, accessed from the MAPI Research Trust (www.mapi-trust.org), was used to measure subjective well-being or distress. The PGWB index consists of 22 items that reflect subjective well-being and distress during the past week. The PGWB index gives a maximum value of 132 (best subjective well-being) and a minimum of 22 (poorest subjective well-being). The Swedish version of the PGWB has been validated and reported previously.

All subjects gave their specific and written informed consent to the present study, including genotyping and biobanking of the donated samples. The research ethics committees of Örebro County Council approved the study.

Materials and methods

Active seniors were recruited by a multiphase sampling procedure aimed at an elderly retired population living in various communities in central Sweden as previously described. Methods for anthropometry and blood pressure (automatic oscillometry) were also entered in the model as covariates. Physical activity was entered as a fixed factor together with age and sex as independent variables. Body mass index (as an indicator of overweight or obesity) and high sensitivity C-reactive protein (a marker of inflammatory activity) were also entered in the model as covariates. Missing data were treated as described elsewhere.

Results

The basic clinical and laboratory characteristics, physical activity levels, and PGWB results are shown in Table 1.
Table 1  Basic and clinical characteristics, biomarker levels, physical activity, and results from the PGWB index in 389 active seniors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Females n=262</th>
<th>Males n=127</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, year</td>
<td>73.8 (6.9)</td>
<td>74.4 (6.4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>26.0 (4.1)</td>
<td>26.2 (3.5)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure, mmHg</td>
<td>148 (25.9)</td>
<td>147 (23.4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg</td>
<td>75.6 (10.9)</td>
<td>78.6 (10.9)</td>
<td>0.013*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, beats/minute</td>
<td>72 (10.6)</td>
<td>69 (10.8)</td>
<td>0.022*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High sensitivity C-reactive protein, mg/L²</td>
<td>2.06 (2.1)</td>
<td>2.9 (3.7)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mmol/L</td>
<td>1.75 (0.39)</td>
<td>1.42 (0.41)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mmol/L</td>
<td>3.6 (0.92)</td>
<td>3.4 (0.97)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apolipoprotein A1, g/L</td>
<td>1.70 (0.267)</td>
<td>1.44 (0.293)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apolipoprotein B, g/L</td>
<td>0.91 (0.197)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.205)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apolipoprotein B/apolipoprotein A1†</td>
<td>0.55 (0.160)</td>
<td>0.64 (0.189)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity, IPAQ-E group (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGWB index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety (range 5–30)</td>
<td>25.8 (3.7)</td>
<td>26.4 (3.4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressed mood (range 3–18)</td>
<td>16.1 (2.1)</td>
<td>16.3 (2.1)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive well-being (range 4–24)</td>
<td>17.0 (3.1)</td>
<td>17.6 (2.8)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-control (range 3–18)</td>
<td>15.7 (2.1)</td>
<td>16.2 (1.9)</td>
<td>0.036*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health (range 3–18)</td>
<td>15.2 (2.6)</td>
<td>14.7 (3.0)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitality (range 4–24)</td>
<td>18.5 (3.3)</td>
<td>18.7 (3.2)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index (range 22–132)</td>
<td>108.3 (13.7)</td>
<td>109.8 (13.4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Mean (standard deviation) or % are shown. †Biomarkers were mathematically ln-transformed before the two sample t-tests were computed; *significant at 0.05 level, **correlation is significant at 0.01 level, ***significant at 0.001 level.

**Abbreviations:** IPAQ-E, International Physical Activity Questionnaire modified for the elderly; NS, not statistically significant; PGWB, Psychological General Well-Being.

Sex differences in the studied biomarkers are also displayed. As expected, the male participants had lower heart rate, serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and apolipoprotein A-I (ApoA1) values, and a higher diastolic blood pressure and ApoB/A1 ratio than the female participants. More than 50% of men and women rated their physical activity as high (374 [98.6%] of the participants completed the IPAQ-E questionnaire).

The internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha coefficient) for the PGWB instrument was 0.93 for the PGWB index. The women had a lower PGWB self-control score ($P=0.036$) than the men, but there were no sex differences in the other subdimensions nor in the PGWB index.

Relationships between the PGWB results and the biomarkers were assessed by Spearman correlation (Table 2). Age was negatively related to all PGWB subdimensions and to the PGWB index ($\rho=−0.050$ to $−0.162$), with all relationships except for anxiety and self-control being statistically significant. Body mass index was negatively related to all PGWB subdimensions, as well as to PGWB index.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>BP</th>
<th>HDL</th>
<th>LDL</th>
<th>ApoA1</th>
<th>ApoB</th>
<th>ApoB/A1</th>
<th>CRP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PGWB subdimension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>−0.050</td>
<td>−0.022</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>−0.001</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>−0.051</td>
<td>−0.061</td>
<td>−0.004</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressed mood</td>
<td>−0.123***</td>
<td>−0.034</td>
<td>−0.019</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>−0.032</td>
<td>−0.016</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive well-being</td>
<td>−0.145***</td>
<td>−0.037</td>
<td>−0.039</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>−0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-control</td>
<td>−0.015</td>
<td>−0.074</td>
<td>−0.029</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>−0.042</td>
<td>−0.035</td>
<td>−0.079</td>
<td>−0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health</td>
<td>−0.162***</td>
<td>−0.207***</td>
<td>−0.033</td>
<td>0.122a</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>−0.013</td>
<td>−0.033</td>
<td>−0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitality</td>
<td>−0.110***</td>
<td>−0.157***</td>
<td>−0.016</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>−0.013</td>
<td>−0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGWB index</td>
<td>−0.130***</td>
<td>−0.104a</td>
<td>−0.017</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>−0.031</td>
<td>−0.020</td>
<td>−0.029</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (two-tailed); **correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (two-tailed); ***correlation is significant at the 0.001 level (two-tailed).

**Abbreviations:** ApoA1, apolipoprotein A1; ApoB, apolipoprotein B; ApoB/A1, the ratio between ApoB and ApoA1; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; CRP, C-reactive protein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; PGWB, Psychological General Well-Being.
(rho ~0.22 to ~0.207), with general health, vitality, and PGWB index being statistically significant. Of the biomarkers, only one showed a statistically significant relationship with PGWB; high-density lipoprotein was positively related to general health (rho =0.122; P<0.05).

Relations between physical activity and the PGWB results were assessed by Spearman correlation (Table 3). Analyzing all subjects (sexes combined) resulted in statistically significant associations for depressed mood, positive well-being, self-control, general health, vitality, and PGWB index (Rho 0.116–0.246), while there was no significant relationship between physical activity and the subdimension of anxiety. Separate correlation analyses in females and males, respectively, revealed stronger and more positive relationships between physical activity and PGWB in females than in males (Table 3).

To further study the relationship between subjective well-being, physical activity, and biomarkers, we performed general linear models considering different PGWB subdimensions as dependent variables, and physical activity, sex, age, body mass index, and high sensitivity C-reactive protein as independent variables (Table 4). Of the PGWB subdimensions, general health had the strongest relationship with physical activity ($r^2=5.4\%$), but sex and age ($r^2=7.2\%$) and body mass index ($r^2=9.4\%$) also contributed to well-being in this subdimension. For the subdimensions of depressed mood, positive well-being, vitality, and PGWB index, physical activity explained around 4% of the variance, while sex, age, and biomarkers (ie, body mass index and high sensitivity C-reactive protein) had a minor contribution to the variance. The subdimensions of anxiety and self-control were unrelated to physical activity (not shown in Table 4).

**Discussion**

In elderly Swedish subjects living in their own homes and leading an active life, more than 50% of men and women assigned their physical activity in the high physical activity category of the IPAQ-E. This cohort showed a higher degree of subjective well-being (3% higher PGWB index) when compared with data from a previous study performed in the general Swedish population. Full details of our study group as compared with the study in the general population have been published elsewhere.

The importance of measuring biomarkers in studies of older people is based upon the assumption that they are objective indicators of health and functional decline in older age. We present, for the first time, a quantitative measure of the partial contribution of physical activity to the variance in subjective well-being. Our main finding was that physical activity accounted for a small but statistically significant fraction (5% or less) of PGWB scores in all subdimensions, with anxiety and self-control as conspicuous exceptions. On the other hand, biomarkers of somatic health, such as body composition, blood pressure, and inflammatory markers, contributed with an even smaller fraction of no more than 1%–2% to the variance in the PGWB index or its subdimensions.

Subjective well-being in old age depends on expectations regarding the ability to perform physical activity and of physical function. Lampinen et al found that well-being is associated with activity, better health, and mobility status. Garatachea et al also found that physical function and physical activity are associated with a feeling of subjective well-being. In a cohort of 151 men and women aged 60–98 years, the correlation coefficient between energy expenditure and subjective well-being was slightly higher than in our study. This positive effect of physical activity on well-being also applied in elders classified as dependent according to a daily activity index. We observed that the positive relationship between physical activity and subjective well-being was more consistent in women than in men (Table 3). To the best of our knowledge, such sex differences have not been described before.

Our findings of a positive relationship between physical activity and the PGWB index agree with the findings in a
smaller cohort of participants of the Better Aging Project reported by Fox et al.\(^6\) In their study, physical activity was measured by accelerometry in a cohort of 176 subjects aged 70 years and over, and explained a similar fraction of the variance in subjective well-being as in our study. A difference is that they also found the anxiety subdimension to be related to physical activity, whereas in our study, both anxiety and self-control were unrelated to physical activity and to the studied biomarkers. In a review of physical activity and depression and anxiety disorders, Ströhle stresses that depression and anxiety-related symptoms often hinder physical activity,\(^30\) which could partly explain the different relationships between physical activity and the anxiety subdimension in the different studies.

The evidence for a role of physical activity in physical function is strong: maintenance of musculoskeletal fitness by physical activity will enhance the capacity to meet the demands of everyday life and allow a person to maintain functional independence for a longer period of time and prevent falls and fractures.\(^30\) Increased physical activity is also associated with reduced C-reactive protein levels\(^31\) and increased high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels\(^32\) with a dose-response pattern. A moderate level of physical activity (briefly described as about 30 minutes of walking per day) is suggested to decrease the relative risk of morbidity, mortality, and loss of independence by 30%.\(^33\) Thirty minutes of daily physical activity is the general physical activity recommendation for older adults. This recommended level corresponds to the moderate category in the IPAQ-E,\(^22\) ie, the questionnaire used in this study.

The evidence for positive effects of physical activity on subjective well-being is growing as more intervention studies...
are conducted. Physical activity may be particularly important to well-being in older individuals, because it contributes to maintenance of physical function and thereby independent living. Groups with very low levels of physical activity are also reported to experience positive functional and psychological effects of physical activity. Furthermore, twin studies have reported better well-being in the more physically active twin of the twin pair.

There are some limitations that should be considered in this study. We studied an accessible population, with probably better than average somatic health and somewhat better subjective well-being than a random age-matched population. Their level of physical activity is also probably higher than the average for their age group. We had no information about other factors that influence well-being, such as socioeconomic status, personality, educational level, and marital status. This limits the generalizability of our findings to the subgroup of what we have here termed “active seniors”. Further, the cross-sectional design of our study prevents us from stating any causal relationship. In view of the rather low explanatory power of physical activity, and in particular of serum biomarkers, it is reasonable to assume that other factors, such as genetic predisposition to subjective well-being or ill health could also play a role, a possibility that should be addressed in future studies.

Conclusion
We have, for the first time, estimated the partial contribution of physical activity to the variance of subjective well-being in active seniors, weighed against the contribution of somatic health as estimated by commonly available biomarkers. We found that physical activity plays a significant positive, albeit minor, role in determining subjective well-being in active elderly subjects, whereas somatic health appears to play a subordinate role. Moreover, we identified a sex difference in the relationship between physical activity and subjective well-being, with females showing stronger positive relationships between physical activity and subjective well-being dimensions than males in this cross-sectional study of active seniors. These findings should be taken into account when planning future intervention studies using physical activity as a tool for improving subjective well-being in the elderly.
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