A case of retinopathy of prematurity treated by pattern scan laser photocoagulation
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Abstract: We experienced a case of retinopathy of prematurity that was successfully treated with pattern scan laser. Pattern scan laser treatment should be considered as one treatment option for Retinopathy of Prematurity.
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Introduction
The Early Treatment for Retinopathy of Prematurity (ETROP) study1 demonstrated the effectiveness of laser treatment for the control of retinopathy of prematurity (ROP). Recently, the pattern scan laser system (PASCAL® Streamline; Topcon Medical Laser Systems, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was developed as a new semiautomatic photocoagulator.2 With its shorter pulse duration, PASCAL is less time consuming, less painful, and better preserves retinal sensitivity for the patient after laser treatment when compared to conventional laser treatment.3,4 There are numerous reports that laser photocoagulation use with the PASCAL system is safe and effective for adult retinal vascular diseases,3–6 but no reports have been found for ROP. To our knowledge, this is the first case report of ROP that was treated effectively with PASCAL.

Case report
A male infant was born by caesarean section at 23 weeks of gestation with a birth weight of 564 g. His Apgar score was 1 at 1 minute and 6 at 5 minutes. The first ophthalmic examination was performed at a corrected gestational age of 28 weeks on January 24, 2012. At the first screening examination, we could only identify the optic disc due to a hazy cornea and vitreous opacity in both eyes. At a corrected gestational age of 31 weeks, ROP zone 2, stage 0 was found in both eyes. Because the ROP had gradually progressed to stage 3, zone 2 with disease in his left eye (Figure 1A), we performed laser photocoagulation at a corrected gestational age of 39 weeks (Figure 1B). Informed consent was obtained from the family by a written letter, which was performed in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki after sufficient explanation and discussion. Laser photocoagulation was used for the left eye using PASCAL® Streamline. The conditions of the photocoagulation were: irradiation time, 20–30 ms; laser power, 300–350 mW; spot size, 200 μm; spacing, 100 μm; and laser shots, 2,999 shots with a wide-field pediatric contact lens (Quad Pediatric Lens; Volk Optical Inc., Mentor, OH, USA). The infant was subjected to general anesthesia with fentanyl citrate by intravenous injection (4 μg/kg/hour).

Two weeks after laser photocoagulation, fibrovascular proliferation began to regress with scarring of the laser photocoagulation spots. The expansion of the vein...
and fibrovascular proliferation almost disappeared 5 weeks later, and the D-line diminished 8 weeks later (Figures 1C and 2). Despite a fair ophthalmological prognosis, the patient died because of pulmonary hypertension due to chronic lung disease and tension pneumothorax at a corrected gestational age of 3 months.

Discussion

ROP is a blood vessel disease characterized by increased fibrovascular proliferation, which primarily develops in children with a birth weight $<1,500$ g.\textsuperscript{1} In recent years, the survival rate of low birth weight children has improved due to progress in newborn baby medical care and, accordingly, severe ROP has increased.\textsuperscript{1}

In addition to cryotherapy, laser photoagulation is one of the treatments for ROP. Compared with cryotherapy, which may cause several ocular-related adverse events including conjunctival laceration, vitreous hemorrhage, and a constricted visual field,\textsuperscript{7,8} laser photoagulation is popular because it has fewer complications and is as effective as cryotherapy.\textsuperscript{9}

In this report, we describe a case of ROP that was successfully treated by PASCAL through a wide-field pediatric contact lens. It was reported that slit-lamp argon laser photoagulation for ROP through the Quad Pediatric Lens was efficient and precise, associated with a lower rate of complications, and it was effective with binocular indirect ophthalmoscopy.\textsuperscript{10} However, to our knowledge, a case of ROP treated using PASCAL has not been reported.

PASCAL is a semiautomatic pattern scan laser that is used in a predetermined sequence for retina photoagulation.\textsuperscript{2} When compared with conventional photoagulation, photoagulation with PASCAL has some features that could be beneficial for the treatment of ROP. It takes a shorter amount of time for the treatment to be completed, it causes less damage to the inner layer of the retina,\textsuperscript{4,11} and it causes less pain to the patient.\textsuperscript{12}

In our facility, we usually use an argon green laser with an indirect ophthalmoscope delivery system (Nidek Co., Ltd., Gamagori, Aichi, Japan)\textsuperscript{13} to treat ROP, and it takes about 40 minutes to set up, and 40 minutes to conduct the laser photoagulation procedure itself. In our experience with PASCAL, the procedure took about 40 minutes to set up because we had to remove the chinrest stand to fix the infant with an endotracheal tube in a lateral decubitus position to

![Figure 1 Fundus sketches of the left eye obtained by indirect ophthalmoscope. Notes: (A) At 16 weeks of corrected gestational age, before laser treatment. (B) Just after the laser treatment. (C) Eight weeks after laser photoagulation.](image1)

![Figure 2 Fundus photograph taken 8 weeks after pattern scan laser system PASCAL® Streamline (Topcon Medical Laser Systems, Santa Clara, CA, USA) laser photoagulation. Note: Latticed pattern of laser scars is noted.](image2)
deliver the laser photocoagulation via a table-mounted slit lamp (Figure 3). Furthermore, the laser photocoagulation procedure time amounted to about 40 minutes, which was almost the same as with a conventional laser. However, this was our first case where we treated ROP by PASCAL, and it took extra time to set up and position the system during laser photocoagulation. Thus, we estimate that the procedure time can be reduced by up to 50% when compared to conventional laser once we encounter more ROP cases.

There are two points that are important in this setting. One is that the heights of the slit-lamp table and the infant’s bed should almost be the same. The other is that the distance from the patient’s eye to the slit lamp should not be too large. The comma-shaped design of the PASCAL slit-lamp table was not so desirable to fit to our infant’s bed, and that was why the setup required a longer time in our case. If the table were rectangular, the setup would be easier and faster. This modality could also be feasible for patients needing positive-pressure mask ventilation or a nasal cannula.

It has been reported that PASCAL requires a greater number of laser pulses to obtain an equal effect when compared to conventional laser treatments for proliferative diabetic retinopathy. Although conventional retinal photocoagulation causes progressive expansion of laser scars over a long period, pattern scan laser treatments with a shorter pulse duration can cause less expansion in scar size, and it requires almost double spots in order to maintain the same total coagulation area. In our facility, we usually use an argon green laser with an indirect ophthalmoscope delivery system to treat ROP. The conditions of the photocoagulation are: irradiation time, 0.2 seconds; laser power, 120 mW; spot size, 200 μm; and a distance of 1–1.5 spots apart. The number of spots is about 2,000 with these photocoagulation conditions.

Compared with conventional laser treatment with 1–1.5 spacing, we produced 2,999 laser spots with 0.5 spacing with PASCAL. One week after the laser photocoagulation procedure, we confirmed the regression of neovascularization, and we determined that PASCAL laser photocoagulation with spacing at 0.5 could be adequate for ROP treatment.

From many experiences with adult patients, we believe that pattern scan laser with a higher number of laser spots would be sufficient in achieving regression of vascular proliferation, but for ROP, we do not know the long-term effects of the higher number of laser spots during treatment. The benefits of this procedure would include shorter procedure times and less pain. Despite the fact that the patient was under general anesthesia, we speculate that the patient might be more comfortable after laser photocoagulation when compared to conventional laser. We had explained these possible benefits and risks to the family and had obtained their written consent.

The patient’s ophthalmologic prognosis was fair at 2 months after PASCAL photocoagulation, but the patient had chronic lung disease with progressive pulmonary hypertension. He subsequently developed pneumonia and died of tension pneumothorax. In our facility, reintubation occurs in all patients who are under general anesthesia when we perform laser photocoagulation for ROP, so as to conduct the laser therapy safely. In this case, we did not experience any vital changes during laser photocoagulation, and no relation was confirmed between PASCAL laser photocoagulation and death.

Conclusion
In conclusion, we reported a case of ROP that was successfully treated with pattern scan laser. Unfortunately, we could not obtain longer-term outcomes for this patient, but we suppose that pattern scan laser for ROP is beneficial because the long-term prognosis of laser photocoagulation in ROP is generally pretty fair if the neovascularization regresses. Despite the short follow-up period, we confirmed the regression of neovascularization after PASCAL laser photocoagulation, so that PASCAL laser photocoagulation could be thought of as one treatment option for ROP.

A larger randomized clinical study is required to verify the extent, and the long-term safety and efficacy, of this treatment in ROP. However, from our experience, pattern scan laser treatment should be considered as one treatment option for ROP because it provides a shorter treatment time and less pain than conventional laser treatment.
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