Developments in human growth hormone preparations: sustained-release, prolonged half-life, novel injection devices, and alternative delivery routes
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Abstract: Since the availability of recombinant human growth hormone (rhGH) enabled the application of human growth hormone both in clinical and research use in the 1980s, millions of patients were prescribed a daily injection of rhGH, but noncompliance rates were high. To address the problem of noncompliance, numerous studies have been carried out, involving: sustained-release preparations, prolonged half-life derivatives, new injectors that cause less pain, and other noninvasive delivery methods such as intranasal, pulmonary and transdermal deliveries. Some accomplishments have been made and launched already, such as the Nutropin Depot® microsphere and injectors (Zomajet®, Serojet®, and NordiFlex®). Here, we provide a review of the different technologies and illustrate the key points of these studies to achieve an improved rhGH product.
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Introduction

Human growth hormone (GH or hGH), also known as somatotropin or somatropin, is a 191-amino acid protein secreted by somatotropic cells of the anterior pituitary gland. Between 1957 and 1985, GH isolated from human cadaveric pituitaries was administered to children suffering from hormone deficiency.1 Due to the scarcity of resources, developing a synthesized form was imperative, but all attempts failed until 1979 when a recombinant hGH was developed, enabling large-scale commercial and research use.

Growth hormone is mainly used in medicine to treat children’s growth disorders and adult growth hormone deficiency (GHD), with treatment beginning at a low dose and gradually titrated to obtain optimal efficacy while minimizing side effects. It is also approved for Turner syndrome, Prader–Willi syndrome, chronic renal insufficiency, idiopathic short stature in children, AIDS-related wasting, and fat accumulation associated with lipodystrophy in adults. In recent years in the United States, some doctors have prescribed growth hormone in GH-deficient older patients (but not healthy people) to increase vitality. Currently, recombinant human growth hormone (rhGH) is becoming prevalent for antiaging and body building, which raises concerns about abuse. However, rhGH is considered a very complex hormone, and many of its functions are still unknown.

Though it has been used for GH-deficiency patients for decades, hGH is not without drawbacks. One of the predominant drawbacks of hGH is its short plasma half-life, 3.4 hours after subcutaneous (SC) injection and 0.36 hours after
intravenous (IV) injection. Generally, the administration of rhGH consists of daily SC injections or thrice-weekly intramuscular (IM) injections, which can be inconvenient and painful to patients.

Noncompliance with daily injection may cause withdrawal of treatment, especially in children. Reports have suggested that inconsistency in growth hormone treatment is associated with reduced efficacy outcomes and increased health care costs, which occurs most in teenagers, with up to 23% of teenagers missing at least two injections per week. To address these problems, researchers have conducted numerous studies, which can be roughly divided into three directions: (i) develop long-acting preparations to lower the injection frequency, including new dosage forms, and modify the structure of rhGH to achieve a longer half-life; (ii) develop alternative delivery methods to avoid injections, including intranasal delivery, pulmonary delivery, and transdermal delivery; and (iii) novel injection devices.

Serum insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) is a good biochemical marker of rhGH action, and is used to evaluate the efficacy of rhGH preparations, with some limitations. Other biomarkers and parameters, such as insulin-like growth factor binding protein-3 level, body fat percentage, lipid profile, insulin sensitivity by homeostasis model assessment, quantitative insulin check index, and thyroid function, are alternatives used in more comprehensive pharmacological experiments.

rhGH is a blockbuster drug, holding a market of several billions of dollars, so it is imperative to develop a better preparation to address the aforementioned problems.

### Long-acting preparations

Long-acting preparations can lower the injection frequency directly, at an interval range of 1 week to longer than 1 month. Although endogenous hGH is secreted as multiple daily pulses, unlike continuous release or daily injection of rhGH preparations, there is no difference in the efficacy or tolerability. Therefore, a long-acting preparation is preferable to improve the noncompliance of patients. Generally, there are two methods for creating long-acting preparations. One forms reversible complexes which stabilize hGH, such

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Summary of different technological advancements of rhGH preparations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strategy</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustained-release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc-complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged half-life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin conjugation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XTEN amino sequence fusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid Fc fusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxy-terminal peptide fusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracellular receptor of hGH fusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intranasal delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transdermal delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio-frequency ablation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microneedle patch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-dissolving micropoles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novel injection devices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: d, day; h, hour; hGH, human growth hormone; rhGH, recombinant human growth hormone; PLGA, poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid); PEG, polyethylene glycol.
as zinc-hGH complex. The other one fabricates sustained-release preparations, entrapping hGH into matrixes, like microspheres and hydrogels.

**Zinc complexes**

Zinc can be applied to stabilize hGH, for two Zn$^{2+}$ ions can associate per dimer of hGH in a cooperative fashion. The Zn$^{2+}$-hGH dimer was more stable than monomeric hGH to denaturation in guanidine-HCl, and it was irreversible.\(^9\) Formation of a Zn(2$^+$)-hGH dimeric complex may be important for storage of hGH in secretory granules. Also, the binding affinity of hGH for the extracellular binding domain of the hPRL receptor could be increased about 8,000-fold by addition of 50 micromolar ZnCl$_2$.\(^10\) Though this method did not improve the half-life of rhGH tremendously, it was widely used in later studies as a complementary way to stabilize hGH, and typically got better results compared with other no-zinc formulations.

**Microspheres/microparticles**

The only once-commercially available rhGH long-acting preparation was poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) microsphere. Nutropin Depot\(^\text{®}\) (Genentech, Inc., South San Francisco, California, USA) was developed as a biodegradable microsphere form of rhGH, achieving a 1-month sustained-release.\(^11\) Twice-monthly administration is recommended for children only. Mainly adverse events related to the injection site, included nodules (60% of injections), erythema (54%), and postinjection pain (36%) in 1,483 injections.\(^8\) For individuals exceeding 30 kg, multiple injections were required, mainly attributed to its low loading amount of rhGH (only 15% by weight).\(^12\) First launched in 1999 in the United States, this formulation was commercially discontinued in 2004 for manufacturing problems such as scale up (one patch of 500 mg microspheres cost 2 weeks).\(^13\) According to its official announcement, it was due to “the significant resources required by both companies to continue manufacturing and commercializing the product”.\(^14\)

Beside Nutropin depot\(^\text{®}\), many other studies on microspheres were conducted in last two decades. Kwak et al fabricated a PLGA microsphere named DA-3003 of rhGH, with zinc oxide and hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin as the release modulator, and sustained-release lasted for 14 days in rats and 28 days in monkeys.\(^15\) But it had a limited loading amount of 13%±3% and demonstrated a low bioavailability of rhGH (21% in rats and 30%–49% in monkeys). Johnson et al developed PLGA microspheres loaded with zinc-rhGH by using spray drying in liquid nitrogen.\(^16\) Elevated serum rhGH lasted for 1 month in rats and monkeys. However, the loading amount of zinc-rhGH was lower than 6% in the formulation. Kim et al prepared porous PLGA microsphere with Pluron\textsuperscript{®}ic F127 as the porogen and incorporated rhGH into it, and then closed the pores in an ethanol vapor phase using a fluidized bed reactor.\(^17\) The loading amount was 7.0%, and sustained-release lasted for over 1 month.

Besides PLGA, other novel materials were also adopted to fabricate microspheres, realizing sustained-release and protecting the sensitive rhGH as well. Vlugt-Wensink et al developed hydroxyethyl methacrylated dextran microspheres of rhGH to avoid the drawbacks of PLGA:\(^18\) the acidification of PLGA can cause aggregation of protein, and hydrophobic PLGA is unfriendly to sensitive protein. However, the synthesis was complicated, and larger-scale preparation induced more variants which made the microsphere characteristics uncontrollable.

Lee et al developed a PLGA microsphere of rhGH with the precipitation method, a nonaqueous cryogenic process to avoid a water–organic interface.\(^19\) The sustained-release lasted from 3 weeks to 90 days. Loading amounts were all 15% (weight/weight [w/w]).

Poly(monomethoxypolyethylene glycol-co-D,L-lactide) (PELA) was applied to fabricate microspheres for increased sustained-release effects of rhGH without any exogenous stabilizing excipients.\(^20\) PELA microspheres exhibited the advantages of a relatively high loading amount (5.72%), low initial burst (14.2%), and stability of rhGH over PLA and PLGA.
Clinical trials of rhGH development in recent years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Current state of clinical trials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PEGylated somatropin</td>
<td>Prolonged half-life/PEGylation</td>
<td>GeneScience Pharmaceuticals Co., Ltd.</td>
<td>Phase III in 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEGylated-somatropin</td>
<td>Prolonged half-life/PEGylation</td>
<td>Xiamen Amoytop Biotech Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>Phase I in 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOD-4023</td>
<td>Prolonged half-life/fused with carboxy-terminal peptide</td>
<td>Prolor Biotech, Inc.</td>
<td>Phase II in 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRS-317</td>
<td>Prolonged half-life/fused with XTEN amino sequence</td>
<td>Versarts, Inc.</td>
<td>Phase I in 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACP-001</td>
<td>Prolonged half-life</td>
<td>Ascendis Pharma A/S</td>
<td>Phase II in 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALTU-238</td>
<td>Sustained-release/crystalline formulation</td>
<td>Altus Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</td>
<td>Phase II in 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB03002</td>
<td>Sustained-release/sodium hyaluronate microparticles</td>
<td>LG Life Sciences</td>
<td>Phase IV in 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNC0195-0092</td>
<td>Prolonged half-life/albunin conjugation</td>
<td>Novo Nordisk A/S</td>
<td>Phase I in 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNC126-0083</td>
<td>Prolonged half-life/PEGylation</td>
<td>Novo Nordisk A/S</td>
<td>Phase II in 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hGH-ViaDerm™ System</td>
<td>Transdermal</td>
<td>Teva Neuroscience, Inc.</td>
<td>Phase I in 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHA-794428</td>
<td>Prolonged half-life/PEGylation</td>
<td>Pfizer, Inc.</td>
<td>Phase II in 2006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data source: http://clinicaltrials.gov.

Abbreviations: rhGH, recombinant human growth hormone; hGH, human growth hormone; PEG, polyethylene glycol.

microspheres. The PELA could self-assemble into microspheres on the biphasic interface, avoiding any surfactant.

An amphiphilic copolymer, poly(lactide-co-glycolide)-grafted dextran, is used as both an emulsifier in the water/oil emulsion and a matrix of the microspheres of rhGH to protect the protein from the water/oil interface since the amphiphilic copolymer aligned at the interface. The plasma concentration of rhGH was maintained for 1 week in mice with a loading amount of 10%.

Kim et al developed a sustained-release formulation of rhGH using sodium hyaluronate microparticles with spray drying, and named it LB03002 (LG Life Sciences, Seoul, Korea). LB03002 was an injectable rhGH suspension of microspheres in which rhGH was incorporated into sodium hyaluronate at the optimized ratio of 1:3 (loading efficiency of 25%). rhGH release from microparticles could last for 72 hours and elevate IGF-1 level for 6 days in Beagle dogs. However, serum concentration in monkeys was only elevated for less than 30 hours. The small particle size (about 5 μm) means it can be injected using a 26 gauge needle. In later studies, LB03002 validated its efficacy in both children and adults with GHD. Serum rhGH concentration remained above the limit of detection for 3 days and IGF-1 was elevated for 5 days, demonstrating its potential to be a weekly product.

Other technologies have also been employed to fabricate rhGH polymeric microparticles. To encapsulate rhGH into polymers while keeping its integrity and bioactivity, supercritical carbon dioxide fluid was employed.

Protein crystallization can also be an alternative choice, since insulin was manufactured as crystalline form and achieved 24-hour sustained-release. Govardhan et al developed a crystalline form of rhGM and coated it with poly(arginine) to prolong its dissolution profile by 1 week in rats. It contained >80 wt% of rhGH, had low viscosity (5 cP), and was easily injected through fine needles (29–31 gauge). However, crystallization did not prolong the dissolution time much (about 1 day); the sustained-release of 1 week was mainly attributed to the opposite charged poly-(arginine) coating on its surface.

There are several disadvantages to PLGA microspheres, such as low loading efficiency, high initial burst release, delay of release after the initial burst, protein aggregation, denaturation, hydrophobic surface of microsphere, and an acidic microenvironment. Though solutions to these problems have been addressed or alleviated in other experiments, for rhGH microspheres a collective solution has not been put forward yet.

Most importantly, the loading amount of rhGH is a critical parameter to the application of sustained-release of rhGH. Though the dose of hGH may vary from person to person, beginning from 0.1 mg/day to 6 mg/day, the loading amount needed can be roughly estimated. A single injection of the once-weekly injection formulation would be required to deliver 11–18 mg of rhGH for an estimated child’s maximum body weight of 60 kg. Based on the assumption of a desirable maximum vehicle volume for SC injection to be 1 mL, the concentration of rhGH in the injection vehicle should be 11–18 mg/mL. In cases of Nutropin Depot®, the concentration of PLGA microsphere suspensions is 105.5 mg/mL. To deliver the maximum amount, the loading efficiency should be 17.1% or more. Although we can inject more volume to make up the scarcity of rhGH in low-loading efficiency formulations, adverse events such as nodules may be triggered more frequently as a result.

To sum up, a desirable microsphere of rhGH should be safe and efficient. For PLGA microspheres, this means overcoming the denaturation by hydrophobic interaction and/or harsh acidic microenvironment associated with the degradation of PLGA, a small and uniform particle size which can be easily injected through fine needles (29–31 gauge), and a high
loading efficiency of more than 17%. Other new materials may have advantages over PLGA, but further study should be conducted to validate their safety and feasibility.

**Hydrogel**

To achieve a sustained-release, a thermo-responsive and photo-crosslinkable in situ pluronic/chitosan gelation of rhGH was prepared by UV irradiation of the physical gels above their gelation temperatures. Results showed a 20-day sustained-release in vitro, attributable to the highly crosslinked structure.

Katakam et al developed a gel depot of rhGH with Poloxamer 407, and tested it in breed dogs by SC injection, demonstrating its sustained release for 132 hours. Poloxamer 407 exhibits property as reverse thermal gelation that existing as a mobile viscous liquid at low temperatures (room temperature), but forming a rigid semisolid gel at higher temperatures (body temperature). However, this gel depot showed an undesirable high initial burst more than 10-fold of the therapeutic window, which could be detrimental to patients.

Poly(ethylene glycol) modified with fluorocarbon end groups was applied to form in situ transition hydrogel of rhGH by hydrophobic interaction of the end groups. The mechanism of phase transition was that organic solvent (N-methyl pyrrolidone) diffused into the surrounding areas. In vitro characterization showed a sustained-release of more than 2 weeks. Further studies are needed to be conducted in vivo.

A poly(ethylene glycol)–poly(L-alanine-co-L-phenylalanine) aqueous solution was developed as a thermosensitive gel. This solution can be stable for storage as a ready-to-use formulation. Results in rats showed marginal tissue inflammation, and the gel was eliminated in 15 days.

A thermosensitive poly(organophosphazene) hydrogel combined with polyelectrolyte complex (PEC), which was to suppress diffusion of rhGH from the hydrogel through an enlargement of the hydrodynamic size of rhGH, was proposed as a sustained-release system of zinc-rhGH complex. Results of pharmacokinetic study in rats demonstrated a sustained-release of rhGH for 5 days and $t_{1/2}$ of about 20 hours. Further studies for the bioactivity of released rhGH and more animal models are required to evaluate. A similar study conducted by the same group combining negatively charged rhGH with cationic polymer-protamine, also suppressed the release of rhGH from hydrogel. Results showed a plasma rhGH level until 5 days in rats and elevated plasma level of IGF-1 until 13 days in monkeys, which was comparable to rhGH daily injection for 7 days. Recently they improved this hydrogel by combining their previous two studies – both the positively charged PEC and the negatively
charged protamine sulfate – to form a dual ionic interaction system.\textsuperscript{33} Data suggested the PEC-loaded anionic hydrogel for a 4-day release of rhGH with a similar area under the curve compared to rhGH solution, and a significantly increased growth rate compared to daily injection of rhGH solution for 7 days in hypophysectomized rats.

Certainly, there are drawbacks of hydrogel, which are mainly attributed to its hydrophilic property. The initial burst and short sustained-release period are the two most common issues, as well as shelf storage time. The loaded protein drugs can be released rapidly during the initial release period by diffusion due to their hydrophilicity and small hydrodynamic sizes.\textsuperscript{34}

Other long-acting formulations

PLGA solution can be used as a sustained-release depot directly; the dynamics of phase inversion control the release of drug. In one study, benzyl benzoate/PLGA solution depot realized a 28-day sustained-release in rats, and rhGH particles were suspended at a level of 10% by weight.\textsuperscript{35}

PLGA laminar implant formulation (10% w/w) was prepared for sustained-release, and compared with the conventional commercial injectable (Norditropin\textsuperscript{®}) in rats.\textsuperscript{36} Results suggested it was effective for 15 days and more potent than Norditropin\textsuperscript{®}. However, the surgery needed to implant this laminar device may limit its application, since it is more complicated than injection.

Extending half-life of hGH

As mentioned in the Introduction section, hGH only has a short half-life of 3.4 hours after SC injection, so extending its half-life by structure modification or conjugation can lower the injection frequency as well. Though it may alter the potency of hGH, for example by lowering the affinity to the receptor, prolonging the half-life of hGH can increase the exposure time to the tissues, thus reinforcing its potency.

Among a host of modification methods, PEGylation has become a widely used strategy to extend the half-life of hGH; this method avoids its uptake by the reticuloendothelial system and reduced clearance by filtration in the kidneys.\textsuperscript{37} De Schepper et al developed another PEGylated rhGH called NNC126-0083 to attain a once-weekly preparation.\textsuperscript{37} Lipoatrophy was not observed in 30 children, but further research was required to ensure its safety by testing it in adults and more subjects. However, the IGF-1 profile was not satisfactory, since the response began subsiding 3 days after injection, rather than lasting for 1 week as planned.

![Figure 3 Schematic illustrations of different release behaviors of rhGH from rhGH alone loaded hydrogel (A) and PLA/rhGH complex-loaded hydrogel (B), which can inhibit the initial burst.](https://www.dovepress.com/)


**Abbreviations:** PLA, poly(lactic acid); hGH, human growth hormone; rhGH, recombinant human growth hormone.
More studies of PEGylation were conducted, such as PHA-794428, not only for prolonging half-life of hGH, but also to confirm whether hGH can be a good protein model to verify new PEGylation technology. Many of them had achieved a longer half-life, similar or better efficacy, and higher bioavailability. However, the key issues were the safety and scale-up of production. A Phase II trial in GHD patients revealed the risk of lipoatrophy at the injection site of PEGylation of rhGH, which terminated further clinical trials. The pathology is still unknown, though it was possible that its delayed absorption due to high molecular weight led to this adverse effect; the PEG–rhGH concentrations did not vary between lipoatrophy and nonlipoatrophy patients. Its prevalence in female patients might have been due to more adipose tissue in women, although a sex-specific response was not ruled out.

Experiments to conjugate rhGH with albumin were conducted early in 1988; the conjugation could eliminate the renal clearance, but improvement was not obvious. Osborn et al developed albutropin, a fusion of rhGH and human serum albumin at its N-terminus. After SC dosing, it exhibited a sixfold longer terminal half-life (13–15 hours) than rhGH in monkeys. However, SC only had a poor bioavailability of 7.9%, compared with 3.6% of rhGH in SC dosing.

Cleland et al fused rhGH with a special amino sequence called XTEN at the N-terminus and C-terminus to obtain a novel protein with a long half-life and high potency in vivo. Though this modification reduced the affinity with receptors by elevenfold, the prolonged exposure time achieved a greater potency. In monkeys, this new compound, named VRS-317, had a terminal elimination half-life of 110 hours, exhibited a high bioavailability of about 100%, and was well tolerated with no observed adverse effects after every other week SC dosing for 14 weeks. VRS-317 also did not cause lipoatrophy in pig and monkey studies. Probably because of its low affinity with local receptors, after SC injection patients avoided lipoatrophy.

In another study, rhGH was fused with a hybrid Fc fragment containing partial Fc domains of human IgD and IgG4 to prolong its acting time. The fusion protein, rhGH-hyFc, increased the diameter of rhGH from 5 nm to 11 nm. To perform the controlled release, a diblock copolymer nanopore membrane (average pore size of 14.3 nm) was employed with a titanium reservoir. Results exhibited a 1 month effect of triggering the phosphorylation of Janus kinase 2 in human B lymphocyte.

ACP-001 was designed as a long-acting prodrug that linked to a carrier, which released the rhGH over a defined period of time. ACP-001 was safe and well tolerated in a Phase II study in adult patients with GHD. Pharmacokinetic results supported once-weekly dosing.

A new compound named MOD-4023 fused the carboxy-terminal peptide of human chorionic gonadotropin with rhGH to generate a weekly long-acting rhGH. The Phase II study has been accomplished, which suggested its effectiveness and safety in 39 GHD patients. In two cohorts (45% and 100% of each patient’s cumulative weekly rhGH dose) the mean IGF-1 values were comparable to those obtained with daily rhGH at steady state.

A novel approach that fused rhGH with an extracellular receptor at the N-terminus was proposed, and a single injection promoted growth for 10 days in rats. The fusion protein can either bind to itself or in a head-to-tail reciprocal dimer. In the dimer configuration, the ligand is protected from breakdown and a dynamic equilibrium is established between protected and unprotected ligands. In the unprotected form, the ligand can bind to the cell receptor and activate the signal of cells. The dynamic equilibrium between the protected and unprotected form can prolong the acting time of hGH and protect it from clearance.

In summary, structure modification and conjugation can prolong the half-life of hGH to several weeks readily. How-

![Figure 4 Dissolving microneedles made of chondroitin sulfate as the base used in the in vivo rat absorption studies.](image)

**Notes:** (A) An overview of the microneedle patch. (B) rhGH chondroitin sulfate dissolving microneedles. (C) DDAVP chondroitin dissolving microneedles. The inserted schema shows the following length: (a) whole length, (b) diameter of the basement, and (c) length of the drug loaded space. Adapted from Springer and Pharmaceutical Research, 28(1), 2011, pages 7–21, Two-layered dissolving microneedles for percutaneous delivery of peptide/protein drugs in rats. Fukushima K, Ise A, Morita H, et al. Figure 1, copyright © 2010 Springer, with kind permission from Springer Science and Business Media. Abbreviations: DDAVP, desmopressin acetate; rhGH, recombinant human growth hormone.
ever, the worry of safety has become a major issue, since side effects in PEGylation of hGH were demonstrated in clinical trials. This concern may also apply to other modified hGH molecules. Also, new synthetic routes can increase the difficulty in mass production; researchers should also validate their works in pilot scale.

**Alternative delivery systems**

Apart from traditional SC and IM injections, other delivery routes, both invasive and noninvasive, are available. Non-invasive routes, such as intranasal, pulmonary, and part of the transdermal delivery, are painless and easily performed. Therefore, self-administration by patients can mimic the endogenous pulsatile pattern of hGH secretion, which might be of importance for the induction of growth and other hGH actions. The main task found in studies is how to deliver a sufficient amount of hGH in patients, given the loss during the permeation of mucous membrane, the travel to the lung, and the penetration of stratum corneum.

**Intranasal delivery**

Early in 1990, Baldwin et al had tested the effect of sodium tauro-24,25-dihydrofusidate on the promotion of nasal absorption of rhGH in three animal models: rat, rabbit and sheep. Though higher bioavailability was observed compared with control group, it had an extremely low bioavailability (1.6%–3.0%) compared with SC injection in later human experiments.

In a similar study, rhGH and l-α-phosphatidylcholine (LPC) were administered to rats (bioavailability up to 17.5%), rabbits (72.8%), and sheep (up to 16%), respectively.

In other research, polyoxyethylene 9-lauryl ether (laureth-9) promoted permeation remarkably in rats, but histological changes in the nasal mucosa of rats treated with 1% laureth-9 were severe. Didecanoyl-L-α-phosphatidylcholine (DDPC) in humans showed a low bioavailability of 3.8%–8.9%, and thus was of limited value for the induction of a metabolic response.

Formulations containing α-cyclodextrin and DDPC demonstrated a bioavailability of 20% in rabbits, but severe damage to the nasal epithelial membrane was also observed.

Formulations of chitosan and rhGH mixture, in powder and granule forms, achieved bioavailability of 14% and 15%, respectively, in sheep. Moreover, its effect on the integrity of nasal membrane proved to be minimal in rats. The mechanism of effect of the chitosan was suggested to be a combination of bioadhesion and a transient widening of the tight junctions in the membrane. Therefore, minimal damage to the membrane could be attained with chitosan and its derivatives.

More recently, N-trimethyl chitosan chloride (TMC) was employed for nasal administration, and a patented fatty acid-based delivery system named Pheroid was compared as an enhancer as well. Results showed extremely high bioavailability of Pheroid vesicle and TMC H-L (high molecular weight with low degree of quaternionization) in rats, 128.5% and 136.1%, respectively.

The potential of using bioadhesive microspheres as a nasal delivery system was investigated in sheep, with surfactant LPC and microsphere alone. Both rhGH solution for nasal delivery and SC injection were compared. Results showed promoted effects of microsphere and microsphere with LPC, and bioavailability was 2.7% and 14.4%, respectively, related to SC injection. However, damage to the mucosa was observed probably due to the presence of LPC.

Leitner et al developed a nasal microparticulate delivery system with thiomer polycarbophil-cysteine, for its permeation-enhancing properties combined with mucoadhesive and enzyme-inhibitory properties, and evaluated it in rats. Formulation with glutathione as the permeation mediator achieved a relative bioavailability of 8.1% compared with SC injection and a prolonged release time for several hours.

In summary, intranasal delivery shows priorities as a noninvasive delivery system and can be performed by patients at home. However, to delivery rhGH effectively, promoting agents such as surfactant materials and bile salts are necessary, which can damage the nasal membrane. For some other enhancers such as cyclodextrins, chitosan and selected phospholipids (eg, LPC), the absorption-enhancing effect was reported to be fine without much irritation to the mucosa. Still, further clinical trials should be conducted to estimate the safety in long-term use. Besides, given the common lower bioavailability of rhGH compared to that of SC injection, higher loading efficiency is required than conventional injections.

**Transdermal delivery**

Small microchannels created by radiofrequency ablation can be highly amenable to the transdermal delivery of water-soluble drugs into the systemic circulation. To enhance the rhGH transport, this technology was applied on rats and guinea pigs. Results showed a bioavailability of 75% (rats) or 33% (guinea pigs) relative to SC injection with plasma profiles resembling that of SC injection. Elevated levels of systemic IGF-1 were also observed. The tolerability, safety, and pharmacology of this system were tested in a
In the early stage of pulmonary delivery studies, rhGH was instilled directly into the lower respiratory tract and shown to be biologically active after passage through the lungs in adult rats, having a mean absolute bioavailability of approximately 36% and demonstrating the potential of the lungs to be an administration route for hGH.\textsuperscript{67,68} Also, they found there was a tendency towards saturation as the instilled dose increased. Another experiment found that aerosol of rhGH solution can achieve a higher bioavailability (45%) than that of instillate (16%) compared with IV injection in rabbits, which was attributed to the differential effects of mucociliary clearance.\textsuperscript{69}

A later experiment investigated the properties of a rhGH dry powder inhaler, due to the improved stability of the dry state.\textsuperscript{70} The formulation consisted of dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine, the principal component as lung surfactant, lactose and rhGH, and was prepared by spray-drying. Results showed a primary particle diameter of 4.4 μm and a tap density of 0.069 g/cm\(^3\). rhGH absorbed into the bloodstream with an absolute bioavailability of 23% and 8% following intratracheal insufflation of the dry powder and intratracheal spray-instillation of a solution of the hormone, respectively.

Recently, 22 GH-deficient children aged 6–16 years old were treated with rhGH inhalation powder.\textsuperscript{71} Absorption of rhGH appeared to be faster after inhalation, with maximum serum concentrations measured at 1–4 hours compared with 2–8 hours for SC injection. Mean relative bioavailability for inhaled rhGH was 3.5% and mean relative biopotency, based on IGF-1 response, was 5.5%.

Different amounts of dimethyl-β-cyclodextrin (DM-β-CD) were adopted to protect against aggregation in the spray drying process during the manufacture of inhalable rhGH powder, and were tested in rats.\textsuperscript{72} One hundred micrograms of rhGH was insufflated through a polyethylene tube inserted into the trachea following incision between the fifth and sixth tracheal rings. Absolute bioavailabilities achieved 25.4%, 76.5%, and 64.0% of formulations containing rhGH: DM-β-CD at molar ratios of 10, 100, and 1,000, respectively.

Pulmonary delivery is noninvasive, safe, and effective, and inhalable products of other drugs such as salmeterol xinafoate (Serevent®, Diskus®, GlaxoSmithKline, London, UK), and Budesonide (Pulmicort®, Respules®, Astrazeneca, London, UK) have demonstrated their efficacy for years. However, it is not perfect compared with other delivery methods. The dominant task is preparing uniform and low-density powders that can reach the lower respiratory tract and lung. This process may cause loss of rhGH particles and result in low bioavailability. In some cases, patients should be trained to obtain a special breath pattern to ensure the amount and accuracy of drug dose. Creating stable formulations can also be challenging.
Novel delivery devices

Generally, there are five broad categories of devices: syringe with needle, injection pen, autoinjector pen, needle-free injector, and electronic injector.71

Conventional needles and syringes have inherent drawbacks, such as pain and inaccurate dosage. Routine injection also engenders needle phobia in GHD children. Smaller needles and preloaded drug made the injection less painful and accurate; automatic injection devices and invisible needles took a further step; and needle-free devices could be the least painful during injections with gas pressure.72,73

Zomajet® 2 Vision (Antares Pharma, Ewing, NJ, USA), Serojet® (EMD Serono, Inc. Rockland, MA, USA), and Norditropin NordiFlex® (Novo Nordisk, Bagsvaerd, Denmark) have already launched products which have been well accepted by patients.74,75 New devices like the Norditropin NordiFlex® Electronic needle-free device can be useful for all drugs administered via SC injection, but further development in terms of lowering the cost and minimizing pain is still necessary.

Conclusion

Given the long-term use of rhGH and the urgent need to improve compliance, the conventional needle and syringe are considered outdated. Different technologies and delivery routes have been investigated in the last two decades aiming to address this problem, and some accomplishments have already been put forward. Among the efforts, PLGA microspheres and novel injection devices seem to be the most successful; the former has been marketed then withdrawn due to manufacturing problems, and the latter is applied in clinical use and received better feedback over SC injection. Other methods show promise, though they present problems to be overcome.

To sum up, an impeccable delivery method should meet three critical requirements: 1) high delivery efficiency (high loading volume and bioavailability) considering the high daily dose of hGH required; 2) safety issues and an apparent improvement on compliance for the chronic diseases; and 3) feasibility for commercial use (avoiding problems in mass production).
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