Lower serum brain-derived neurotrophic factor levels are associated with failure to achieve remission in patients with major depression after escitalopram treatment
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Introduction
Remission is the primary goal of treatment for major depressive disorder (MDD). One study that followed MDD patients for 2 years found that the rate of relapse in MDD was higher in partial remission than in complete remission.1 The presence of residual symptoms even after remission is associated with an increased likelihood of relapse.2 Therefore, achieving remission in MDD treatment is clinically significant.

Remission is defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM)-IV as the absence of significant signs or symptoms. Another concept of remission is a return to a state of normal functioning and minimal symptoms.3 Several clinical
studies have attempted to define cutoff scores for remission based on depression-rating scales, including the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (Ham-D) and the Montgomery Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS). Remission has been defined as a total Ham-D-17 score of ≤7 or ≤6 after treatment. However, there is as yet no biological marker to predict or reflect a state of remission in MDD.

Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) is an important neurotrophic factor. Neurotrophic factors, including BDNF, can play a critical role in the neurogenesis and neural plasticity of the adult brain. Furthermore, many animal and clinical studies have examined the role of BDNF in MDD, and it has been hypothesized that dysfunction of the neuronal networks is associated with the development of MDD, and that a gradual recovery of networks through neural plasticity can induce a response to antidepressants and improvement of MDD. Meta-analyses of clinical studies indicate that serum and plasma BDNF levels are decreased in MDD patients before treatment, and that these BDNF levels increase following a course of antidepressant treatment. Moreover, in some clinical studies, these increases in serum and plasma BDNF levels were found after 6 or 8 weeks of treatment in responders, but not in nonresponders to antidepressants. One pilot study involving 41 MDD patients showed that absences of an increase in serum BDNF and an improvement in Ham-D scores from baseline to day 7 or 14 predicted later nonresponse and nonremission with moderate-to-high specificity. This suggests that serum BDNF can be used as a biological marker for predicting treatment failure in MDD patients. Therefore, serum BDNF levels measured during maintenance treatment with antidepressants were hypothesized to remain low in MDD subjects who fail to achieve remission, and serum BDNF levels after chronic antidepressant treatment can be used as a biological marker for detecting nonremission. The present study aimed to determine whether a low serum BDNF level after chronic antidepressant medication is associated with a failure to achieve remission in MDD patients. This was achieved by measuring serum BDNF levels in healthy subjects and in MDD patients with and without remission.

Materials and methods
Subjects
This study focused on 34 MDD consecutive outpatients being treated with escitalopram. The patients (ten males and 24 females, age 47.0±18.4 years [mean ± standard deviation]) were diagnosed with MDD according to the DSM-IV. Patients with any past or current history of Axis I or II psychiatric disorders other than MDD or with a personal history of major medical and/or neurological disorders were excluded. The following inclusion criteria were applied to enroll MDD patients in this study: 1) being in the first episode of MDD or having the first experience of antidepressant treatment, or no history of antidepressant treatment within 12 weeks before first visiting our hospital; 2) having a total Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) score exceeding 19 prior to the treatment; 3) having received escitalopram monotherapy for at least 3 months; and 4) having received the same dose of escitalopram per day for more than 2 months as maintenance treatment. The BDI score was 28.36±6.58 before the treatment, the duration of total treatment for the present episode was 7.7±5.0 months, and the duration of the maintenance phase was 5.29±4.87 months. The included patients had also been taking benzodiazepines, including alprazolam or clonazepam.

Thirty-five healthy controls (12 males and 23 females, age 40.8±9.4 years) comprising randomly selected healthy volunteers also participated in this study. The controls had no personal or familial psychiatric or medical history. All subjects had been free of chronic and acute physical illnesses for at least 4 weeks prior to enrollment. The controls had normal laboratory findings for blood chemistry, renal function, thyroid function, liver function, and electrocardiography. The sex and age distributions did not differ significantly between the MDD patients and healthy controls ($\chi^2 = 0.189, P = 0.664, and t = 1.749, P = 0.087$, respectively).

The study protocol was approved by the institutional review board of Inje University. Written informed consent to participate was obtained from all subjects before beginning the investigation.

Assessment
Clinical evaluation
Demographic and clinical data were obtained from the subjects through interview. The severity of current depression was assessed by a trained psychiatrist using the Ham-D and BDI at the same time point. Remission of MDD patients was defined in two ways: as a total Ham-D score of ≤7 or ≤6. The MDD patients were divided into those with remission (remission group) and those without remission (nonremission group). The total Ham-D score and the scores on the Ham-D anxiety, depression, insomnia, and somatic symptom subscales were determined.

Serum BDNF measurement
Blood samples were taken to measure BDNF levels at a single time point, and were centrifuged to separate the serum. Serum BDNF levels were analyzed using enzyme-linked
immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits (Human Quantikine® BDNF; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA). Each assay was performed in duplicate. The actual concentration for each sample was calculated using the four-parameter-fit logistic curve equation. The ELISA plate readings were conducted using a microplate reader (VersaMax™; Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA).

### Statistical analysis

Study groups were compared using the two-tailed t-test and analysis of variance for continuous variables, and using a χ² test for discrete variables. Pearson’s correlation coefficients were calculated to examine the relationships between serum BDNF and the clinical variables. A general linear model was used while controlling for covariates. The null hypothesis was rejected at \( P<0.05 \). The statistical analysis was conducted using the SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) and SALT 2.5 (Melbourne, Australia) software packages.

### Results

Serum BDNF levels were 21.20±7.21 ng/mL in the 34 MDD patients and 24.89±7.38 ng/mL in the 35 healthy controls (\( t=-2.099, P=0.040 \)). Based on a total Ham-D score of \( \leq 7 \) for remission, BDNF levels were 22.65±8.06 ng/mL in the remission group and 18.54±4.46 ng/mL in the nonremission group (\( t=1.627, P=0.114 \)). Serum BDNF levels differed significantly among the remission, nonremission, and healthy control groups (\( F=3.511, df=2, 66; P=0.036 \)). The Bonferroni post hoc test confirmed the presence of a significant difference in the serum BDNF levels between the nonremission and healthy control groups (\( P=0.032 \), but not between the remission and healthy control groups (\( P=0.775 \)). Controlling for sex and age as covariates revealed that these two factors did not significantly affect the results (\( P>0.05 \)).

On the other definition of remission, of a total Ham-D score of \( \leq 6 \), BDNF levels were 22.92±8.16 ng/mL in the remission group and 18.42±4.29 ng/mL in the nonremission group (\( t=1.834, P=0.043 \)). Serum BDNF levels also differed significantly among the remission, nonremission, and healthy control groups using this definition of remission (\( F=3.855, df=2, 66; P=0.026 \)). The Bonferroni post hoc test showed that serum BDNF levels were significantly lower in the nonremission group than in the healthy control group (\( P=0.022 \), Figure 1), whereas they did not differ significantly between the remission and healthy control groups (\( P=0.976 \)). Controlling for sex and age as covariates revealed that these two factors did not significantly affect the results (\( P>0.05 \)).

Before the treatment, the BDI scores in MDD patients did not differ significantly between the remission and nonremission groups (\( P>0.05 \), Table 1). After the treatment,
the total Ham-D score, the scores on the Ham-D subscales, and the total BDI score differed significantly between the remission and nonremission groups (Table 1), whereas age and escitalopram dose did not.

The total Ham-D scores were significantly and negatively correlated with BDNF levels in all MDD patients $(r=-0.37, P=0.031)$. The score on the Ham-D anxiety subscale also significantly negatively correlated with BDNF $(r=-0.34, P=0.049)$. However, BDNF levels were not significantly correlated with scores on the Ham-D depression, insomnia, and somatic symptoms subscales, or with the total BDI score (data not shown). There was no significant correlation between BDNF and escitalopram dose or duration of maintenance treatment $(r=-0.249, P=0.156; r=0.250, P=0.156; \text{respectively})$.

### Discussion

The present study found that serum BDNF levels during the maintenance phase of treatment were significantly lower in MDD patients with failure to achieve remission than in healthy controls, and were comparable in MDD patients in remission and healthy controls. These results were observed using both cutoff scores for remission (ie, total Ham-D scores of $\leq 7$ and $\leq 6$).

Many clinical studies and meta-analyses suggest that serum BDNF levels in MDD patients increase after antidepressant treatment.$^{9,10,14,15}$ One clinical study of 42 MDD patients observed a significant increase in serum BDNF from baseline to after 8 weeks of treatment in patients who responded to treatment, but not in nonresponders.$^{10}$ Moreover, serum BDNF levels after 8 weeks of treatment differed significantly between responders and nonresponders.

A recent study examined serum BDNF levels in 962 current MDD patients, 700 subjects who had been fully remitted for more than 6 months, and 382 healthy controls. It found that the serum BDNF level was significantly lower in current MDD patients and was normalized in the remitted subjects. A naturalistic study found that plasma BDNF levels in 79 moderate-to-severe MDD patients increased significantly with clinical improvement in the remission group (MADRS score of $\leq 8$ after 12 weeks of treatment).$^{17}$ However, the nonresponders had significantly decreased BDNF levels after the treatment. These reports are consistent with the present findings that serum BDNF levels during maintenance treatment did not differ between patients in remission and healthy controls. However, MDD patients who failed to achieve remission had significantly lower serum BDNF levels even after treatment. In contrast, other studies have found low levels of serum BDNF among MDD patients in a euthymic state (Ham-D score of $< 8$ for at least 1 month) or in early remission (1–6 months).$^{16,18}$ This discrepancy could be explained by the assumption that serum BDNF levels can remain low when clinical improvement has been established in early remission,$^{16}$ ie, the normalization of serum BDNF might require a sufficient duration of clinical improvement or remission. In addition, this inconsistency indicates that the criteria used for remission based on depression-rating scales (including Ham-D) are not sufficient to define remission in MDD. Together, these results indicate that a lower serum BDNF level after chronic treatment might be associated

### Table 1: Comparison of demographic and clinical variables and serum BDNF levels between groups with and without remission, defined according to the score for total Ham-D score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Remission defined as $\geq 7$ for total Ham-D score</th>
<th>Remission defined as $\leq 6$ for total Ham-D score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Remission group $(n=22)$</td>
<td>Nonremission group $(n=11)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>47.5±17.3</td>
<td>46.0±21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female)</td>
<td>9/13</td>
<td>1/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escitalopram dose, mg</td>
<td>11.8±5.0</td>
<td>11.2±4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total BDI score</strong></td>
<td><strong>Before treatment</strong></td>
<td>26.67±6.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Ham-D score</strong></td>
<td><strong>Before treatment</strong></td>
<td>3.32±2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>After treatment</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression subscale</td>
<td>0.77±0.97</td>
<td>4.58±1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety subscale</td>
<td>0.95±0.84</td>
<td>3.58±2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia subscale</td>
<td>0.82±1.18</td>
<td>2.25±1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatic subscale</td>
<td>0.77±0.97</td>
<td>2.25±1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDNF, ng/mL</td>
<td>22.65±8.06</td>
<td>18.54±4.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** $^* P<0.05; ^{**} P<0.01$. Except where stated otherwise, data are means ± standard deviation.

**Abbreviations:** BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor; Ham-D, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory.
with a failure to achieve remission, and serum BDNF levels after chronic antidepressant treatment can be used as a biological marker for detecting nonremission. Moreover, we should consider not only clinical factors, such as a cutoff total Ham-D score of either ≤7 or ≤6, but also biological factors, such as serum BDNF levels simultaneously in order to detect remission in MDD patients.

The present study also found significant negative correlations between serum BDNF levels, total Ham-D scores, and scores on the Ham-D anxiety subscale among the MDD patients during the maintenance treatment. Clinical improvement might lead to an increase in serum BDNF during treatment. However, findings regarding the relationship between serum BDNF levels and the severity of depression have been inconsistent: some studies have found a negative correlation between these two parameters, while others have not. In addition, scores on the Ham-D depression, anxiety, insomnia, and somatic symptom subscales differed significantly between the remission and nonremission groups in the present study. However, the score on the Ham-D anxiety subscale was significantly negatively correlated with serum BDNF levels, which indicates that residual anxiety symptoms might be associated with the presence of low levels of serum BDNF in MDD patients who will not achieve remission.

This study was subject to several limitations. First, the serum BDNF levels were measured in MDD patients only once during the maintenance treatment. Therefore, it was not possible to observe changes in serum BDNF levels between the period before and after treatment with antidepressants. Second, this study had a relatively small sample. A recent meta-analysis addressed the issue of a small sample or underpowered design in clinical studies, and suggested that a sample comprising at least 57 subjects in each group is necessary to detect differences in serum BDNF concentrations between healthy controls and depressed subjects reliably. Despite these limitations, the present study found that serum BDNF levels during the maintenance treatment were lower in MDD patients who failed to achieve remission. Also, defining and detecting remission in MDD appears to require the use of several indicators simultaneously, including a clinical factor, such as the Ham-D score, and a biological factor, such as the serum BDNF level. Further studies involving larger samples are needed to replicate and confirm these findings.
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