Efficiency of individual dosage of digoxin with calculated concentration
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Background: Digoxin is a frequently prescribed drug, particularly in the elderly population, in which there is an increased prevalence of atrial fibrillation and cardiac failure. With its complex pharmacokinetic profile and narrow therapeutic index, use of digoxin requires regular monitoring of blood levels. Recent evidence suggests that a lower concentration range (0.4–1.0 ng/mL) is preferable in patients with congestive heart failure and a higher range (0.8–2.0 ng/mL) is needed in patients with atrial tachyarrhythmia. The Konishi equation is widely used to predict the serum digoxin concentration (SDC) in Japan. This study assessed the correlation between SDC predicted by the Konishi equation and that actually measured in Chinese patients and investigated the impact of renal function on SDC.

Methods: The study subjects comprised 72 patients with cardiac failure or/and atrial tachyarrhythmia seen at our hospital from January 2012 to December 2013. The patients were divided into five groups according to Kidney Diseases Outcome Quality Initiative guidelines. SDCs were measured using the Abbott Architect i1000 immunoanalysis analyzer. The correlations between measured SDCs and calculated SDCs and between clearance of digoxin and creatinine clearance rate were assessed retrospectively.

Results: The correlation between measured and predicted SDC calculated by the Konishi equation was significant ($r=0.655, P<0.001$) for the 72 patients overall; however, correlations within the different stages of renal function were nonsignificant, with a correlation found only in patients with stage 3 (30 mL per minute $< creatinine clearance $<60$ mL per minute). With regard to the correlation between clearance of digoxin and creatinine clearance, our results show that although there was a significant correlation between clearance of digoxin and creatinine clearance rate was assessed retrospectively.

Conclusion: The results of this study indicate that clearance of digoxin and the creatinine clearance rate cannot be explained by renal function alone and that the validity of the Konishi equation for individualizing the digoxin dosage in Chinese patients is limited, being applicable only in stage 3 renal disease. Further research in larger numbers of patients across all stages of renal function will be required in the future to verify the original Konishi model.
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Introduction

Digoxin, a cardiac glycoside, is the oldest known positive inotropic agent and has been used for approximately 200 years in the treatment of heart failure and atrial tachyarrhythmia. The disadvantages of digoxin are its narrow therapeutic index and complex pharmacokinetics in different individuals. The frail elderly with comorbidities, who are often being treated with a number of other medicines, are at particular risk of digoxin toxicity.1 With the development of analytical methods, therapeutic drug monitoring has become an integral part of digoxin prescribing,2 allowing medical practitioners to optimize therapy while significantly reducing the incidence of toxicity.3 Recent evidence suggests that a lower range (0.4–1.0 ng/mL) is preferable for patients with...
congestive cardiac failure and a higher range (0.8–2.0 ng/mL) is suitable for patients with atrial tachyarrhythmia. Measurement of serum digoxin concentration (SDC) can contribute to the clinical diagnosis. Close monitoring of changes in SDC and in particular calculation of the target dose are important. A number of equations have been developed to calculate the digoxin dosage in order to avoid the adverse effects of overexposure to the drug, but most have targeted higher SDCs than those now recommended due to safety concerns. Konishi formulated an equation to determine the daily dose of digoxin based only on creatinine clearance rate (Ccr) and SDC. However, this equation has not been tested in Chinese patients. Therefore, the suitability of the equation for the Chinese population has not yet been assessed.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the correlation between SDC predicted by the Konishi equation, ie, \( L (\text{ng/mL}) = \frac{D (\mu g/\text{day})}{[2.22 \times \text{Ccr} + 25.7]} \), and the actual SDC measured in Chinese patients at different stages of renal disease, with Ccr values estimated by the Cockcroft–Gault equation in mL per minute.6

**Materials and methods**

**Study design and patient population**

This was a descriptive retrospective study conducted at the China-Japan Friendship Hospital, Beijing, People’s Republic of China, between January 2012 and December 2013. Inclusion criteria were symptomatic heart failure (New York Heart Association functional class ≥2) and left ventricular systolic dysfunction ≤45% or atrial tachyarrhythmia, and age ≥18 years. Exclusion criteria were mainly related to absolute or relative contraindications to digoxin, including grade II–III atrioventricular block without a pacemaker, sinus bradycardia (resting heart rate <50 beats per minute) without a pacemaker, hypokalemia (<3.5 mmol/L), hypercalcemia (>2.64 mmol/L), and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. The following clinical and biochemical data were recorded for 72 patients: age, sex, Ccr, risk factors (eg, diabetes, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, smoking), and concomitant medications (Table 1). The patients were classified into stages 1–5 according to Kidney Diseases Outcome Quality Initiative guidelines7 (Table 2).

**Time of sampling and the Konishi equation**

All patients received digoxin tablets orally at a dosage of 0.125 mg or 0.25 mg every 24–48 hours after being admitted to hospital. Serum digoxin samples were drawn 24–48 hours before the next dose after steady-state digoxin concentrations had been achieved. SDCs were measured using the chemiluminescence microparticle immunoassay (Architect i1000, Abbott GmbH, Wiesbaden, Germany). A correlational analysis of measured SDCs and calculated SDCs was then performed.

We calculated the predicted concentration of digoxin using the Konishi equation, as follows:

\[
L (\text{ng/mL}) = \frac{D (\mu g/\text{day})}{[2.22 \times \text{Ccr} + 25.7]} \quad (1)
\]

where \( L \) is the serum digoxin concentration and \( D \) is the dose of digoxin. Ccr was estimated by the Cockcroft–Gault equation, as follows:

\[
\text{Ccr (mL per minute)} = \frac{(140 – \text{age}) \times (\text{weight in kg})}{(0.85 \text{ if female})/(72 \times \text{Cr}[\text{mg/dL}])} \quad (2)
\]

In order to investigate whether renal function would affect the clearance of digoxin, the following conventional pharmacokinetic equation was used:

\[
\text{Clearance (CL)} = \frac{(\text{dosage/dosage interval})}{\text{Css}} \quad (3)
\]

in which \( \text{Css} \) was SDC at steady state. Although the \( \text{Css} \) is higher than the digoxin trough concentration, the ratio of \( \text{Css} \) to the trough concentration is presumed to be constant.8 Therefore, the above equation can be given as:

\[
\text{CL} = \alpha \times \frac{(\text{dosage/dosage interval})}{L} \quad (4)
\]

where \( L \) is the digoxin trough concentration and \( \alpha \) is a constant.

**Statistical analysis**

Continuous variables are shown as the mean ± standard deviation and were compared using the Student’s \( t \)-test or one-way analysis of variance as appropriate. Categorical data are expressed as numbers. Significant differences between variables were demonstrated by one-way analysis of variance and post hoc comparisons between the five stages of renal function were performed using Tamhane’s T2 test for multiple comparisons. The correlation between measured and predicted SDCs was tested with a linear regression model. All statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 19.0 software (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA), with a two-tailed probability value of <0.05 considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

The baseline characteristics of the 72 patients in this study are shown in Table 1. The mean patient age was 71.5 ± 13.0 years and the mean estimated Ccr was less than 49.5 ± 31.1 mL...
per minute. SDC according to digoxin dosage and renal function is shown in Table 2. Overall, only 56 measured SDCs (77.8%) were within the defined therapeutic range (0.4–2.0 ng/mL), seven (9.7%) were in the subtherapeutic range (<0.4 ng/mL), and nine (12.5%) were in the supratherapeutic range (>2.0 ng/mL).

By logarithmic transformation, all data for measured concentrations fitted a normal distribution. Figure 1 shows the measured SDCs for patients according to stage of renal disease. SDCs for stage 1 patients were significantly lower than for patients in the other four stages (P<0.01, analysis of variance), and SDCs in stage 2 patients were lower than those in patients with stage 4 or stage 5 disease (P<0.01, analysis of variance). However, there were no significant differences between any other groups. The nine patients within the supratherapeutic range had a mean age exceeding 65 years and were found to have severe renal dysfunction, indicating that digoxin can accumulate more easily in the body and cause toxicity in elderly patients with decreased renal function.

The correlation between the measured SDC and the SDC predicted by the Konishi equation was significant (r=0.655, P<0.001, Figure 2) for the 72 patients overall, while the correlations within the different stages of renal function were nonsignificant, except for a correlation found in patients with Stage 3. Our results show that the correlation was significant in patients with stage 3 (30 mL per minute < Ccr <60 mL per minute) but not in patients with other stages. Although there was a significant correlation between CL and Ccr in the group overall, correlations were not evident within the different stages of renal function (Figure 3). The CL of digoxin could not be explained by renal function alone, and the validity of the Konishi equation for individualizing the digoxin dosage in Chinese patients was only applicable in stage 3 patients.

Discussion

Although digoxin has been used for over two centuries, concern about its toxicity can be traced back to its introduction into therapy. However, considering its beneficial effect in reducing mortality and hospitalization in patients with progressive heart failure, its cost-effectiveness, and easy availability worldwide, digoxin should not be considered as a drug of the past but rather a drug of the present and even one of the future. In this study, nine patients with supratherapeutic digoxin concentrations were found to have severe renal dysfunction, and their mean age exceeded 65 years. It has been demonstrated that digoxin can accumulate in the body easily and cause toxicity in elderly patients with decreased renal function. Further, it should be noted that elderly patients with renal dysfunction are particularly susceptible to developing

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Total (n=72)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, mean ± SD</td>
<td>71.5±13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>55.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ccr, mL per minute, mean ± SD</td>
<td>49.5±31.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factors, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>15 (20.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>26 (36.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>12 (16.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>9 (12.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concomitant medications, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amiodarone</td>
<td>2 (2.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCBs</td>
<td>1 (1.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spironolactone</td>
<td>27 (37.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furosemide</td>
<td>15 (20.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEIs</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antifungal agents</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; Ccr, creatinine clearance rate; DM, diabetes mellitus; CCB, calcium blocker; ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor.

Table 2 SDC in different digoxin dosage or renal function groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SDC (ng/mL)</th>
<th>&lt;0.4</th>
<th>0.4–2.0</th>
<th>&gt;2.0</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Digoxin dosage (mg/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>1 (1.4%)</td>
<td>7 (9.7%)</td>
<td>2 (2.8%)</td>
<td>10 (13.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>6 (8.3%)</td>
<td>46 (63.9%)</td>
<td>2 (2.8%)</td>
<td>54 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>3 (4.2%)</td>
<td>5 (6.9%)</td>
<td>8 (11.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within dosage group</td>
<td>7 (9.7%)</td>
<td>56 (77.8%)</td>
<td>9 (12.5%)</td>
<td>72 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stages: Ccr (mL per minute)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 1, &gt;90</td>
<td>1 (1.4%)</td>
<td>7 (9.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>8 (11.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 2, 60–90</td>
<td>4 (5.6%)</td>
<td>12 (16.7%)</td>
<td>1 (1.4%)</td>
<td>17 (23.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 3, 30–60</td>
<td>2 (2.8%)</td>
<td>23 (31.9%)</td>
<td>2 (2.8%)</td>
<td>27 (37.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 4, 15–30</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>10 (13.9%)</td>
<td>3 (4.2%)</td>
<td>13 (18.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 5, &lt;15</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>4 (5.6%)</td>
<td>3 (4.2%)</td>
<td>7 (9.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within Ccr group</td>
<td>7 (9.7%)</td>
<td>56 (77.8%)</td>
<td>9 (12.5%)</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data show the number of patients (% of total).

Abbreviations: Ccr, creatinine clearance rate; SDC, serum digoxin concentration.
high concentrations of digoxin, with an increased risk of cardiac toxicity, which consequently increases the mortality risk.\(^\text{10}\) The 2013 American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association guidelines recommend using a lower digoxin dosage of 0.125 mg daily or 0.125 mg every other day in patients older than 70 years or with impaired renal function.\(^\text{11}\)

Digoxin is eliminated 50%–70% unchanged via the kidneys, with the remainder being metabolized or excreted via the biliary route.\(^\text{12}\) Assuming no clinically significant interindividual difference in nonrenal digoxin CL owing to the lack of a compensatory increase in metabolic clearance with a decrease in the renal clearance, Konishi developed a predictive model in order to apply the equation in clinical practice for accurate and rapid determination of digoxin concentration.\(^\text{5}\) However, in subsequent research, it was found that only 26% of interindividual variability in digoxin CL can be explained by changes in Ccr.\(^\text{13}\) Although a study reported by Muzzarelli et al\(^\text{14}\) also supported the clinical validity of the Konishi equation for calculation of the individual digoxin dosage for Caucasians, the exclusion criteria in their study included severe renal insufficiency (Ccr <30 mL per minute) and their study population was small, which may cause deviation of the results.

Our study shows that although the correlation between the measured SDC and that predicted by the Konishi equation was significant for the 72 patients overall ($r=0.655$, $P<0.001$, Figure 2), no correlation was found within the different stages of renal function except for stage 3 patients. It was also shown that although there was a significant correlation between CL and Ccr in the group overall, correlations within the different stages of renal function were not evident (Figure 3).
These results are the same as those reported by Konishi and Muzzarelli in all inclusion patients. However, when considering the effect of renal function, the results of correlation between predicted and measured SDC were largely nonsignificant, only significant in stage 3 patients. Our results are similar to those reported by González-López and Tutor. The reason for these differences could lie in the different analytical methods used to determine digoxin levels. Morris et al. reported positive interference from spironolactone in measurement of digoxin levels using the fluorescence polarization immunoassay marketed by Abbott Diagnostics for application on the TDxFLx analyzer. Later, other investigators confirmed that spironolactone and canrenone interfered with the fluorescence polarization immunoassay as well as other immunoassays commonly used for digoxin, while the newer Abbott Architect i1000 immunoassay analyzer was free from interference by spironolactone. Other reasons for the low predictability of the Konishi equation could be the variation in nonrenal digoxin CL in different populations, reduced volume of distribution, and reduced myocardial sensitivity. The results of our study cast doubt on the suitability of the Konishi equation for adjustment of drug dosage in clinical practice in Chinese patients, which could only be applied in stage 3 patients. As the limitation of our study, it will be necessary to further expand the number of patients in every stage of renal function in future studies to verify the original Konishi model in Chinese patients.
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