High expression of HEF1 is associated with poor prognosis in urinary bladder carcinoma
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Abstract: Human enhancer of filamentation 1 (HEF1) is a multidomain scaffolding protein that has been thought to play an important role in the tumor progression of various cancers. HEF1 expression has not previously been reported in urinary bladder carcinoma, and little is known about its prognostic significance. The aim of this study was to evaluate the expression patterns of HEF1 in urinary bladder carcinoma and to investigate its prognostic significance. HEF1 expression was analyzed by immunohistochemistry using tissue microarray. A significant relationship between HEF1 expression and sex, tumor size, number of tumors, invasion depth, lymph node metastasis, and distant metastasis was found, and high expression of HEF1 was associated with worse overall survival when compared to low expression of HEF1. Multivariate analysis showed that HEF1 expression was an independent prognostic factor for overall survival in urinary bladder carcinoma. We investigated HEF1 expression in urinary bladder carcinoma and found that high HEF1 expression was associated with advanced stage, large tumor size, and shortened progression-free survival. Although the biologic function of HEF1 in urinary bladder carcinoma remains unknown, the expression of HEF1 can provide new prognostic information for disease progression.
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Introduction

Bladder cancer is a common malignancy of the urogenital system worldwide. In 2008, global estimates suggested that approximately 386,300 new bladder cancer cases were diagnosed. The vast majority of patients have a 30%–70% recurrence rate, and bladder cancer may progress to invasive cancers in 10%–30% of patients. Therefore, the identification of biomarkers for bladder cancer and the search for molecular mechanisms are critical for the prognosis of bladder cancer.

Human enhancer of filamentation 1 (HEF1), also known as NEDD9 or Cas-L, is a multidomain scaffolding protein of the Cas family; it is also an integral player in normal and pathological cell biology. Functionally, the cell cycle-regulated processing of HEF1 to multiple protein forms differentially targets multiple subcellular compartments. The HEF1 protein has been implicated in the regulation of cell polarity, adhesion, motility, and invasion in multiple cell types. It acts as a scaffold protein and belongs to a family of Crk-associated substrates that regulates protein complexes controlling invasion and differentiation of cancer.

Elevated expression of HEF1 promotes cancer cell growth, migration, and invasion by activating various signal transduction pathways such as extracellular-signal-regulated
kinase, p38, focal adhesion kinase (FAK), and Aurora A.\textsuperscript{5,11–14} In addition, several studies reveal that HEF1 is transcriptionally regulated in response to intracellular or extracellular signals.\textsuperscript{15} Elevated expression of HEF1 has been implicated in a variety of tumor types, including glioblastoma, melanoma, breast cancer, and lung cancers.\textsuperscript{10,11,15–18} These data support the fact that HEF1 is a tumor-promoting factor, and its elevated expression in tumors correlates with poor prognosis and treatment resistance.\textsuperscript{5,5,14,17} In colorectal cancer, HEF1 is a T cell factor–beta-catenin target gene and its elevated expression correlates with increased migration and cancer progression.\textsuperscript{4} HEF1 is upregulated in lung adenocarcinoma, and overexpression of the HEF1 protein has been strongly correlated with staging, differentiation grade, and tumor size in lung adenocarcinoma, which all demonstrated a poor prognosis.\textsuperscript{10} HEF1 overexpression is associated with aggressive breast cancers, including ERBB2-overexpressing subtypes.\textsuperscript{15} These studies implicated that HEF1 may have a promotive effect for the carcinogenic process. To date, the prognostic value of HEF1 in urinary bladder carcinoma has not been investigated.

To assess the prognostic value of HEF1 in urinary bladder carcinoma, we performed an immunohistochemical study using a tissue microarray (TMA) method.

Materials and methods

Fresh samples of bladder cancer tissue

Thirty fresh bladder cancer specimens from patients with bladder cancer and five normal tissues from cystectomy were acquired from the Zhejiang Provincial People’s Hospital (Hangzhou, People’s Republic of China) from January 2011–December 2013. After surgical removal, the tissues were frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until used.

Bladder carcinoma tissue microarray construction

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants involved. We obtained ethics approval from the ethics committee of Zhejiang Provincial People’s Hospital. A total of 175 of 245 consecutive patients who underwent radical cystectomy for bladder carcinoma at Zhejiang Provincial People’s Hospital between January 1998 and January 2006 were included in this retrospective study; only specimens of primary diagnoses as bladder cancer obtained by radical cystectomy were included. Tissues had been formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded, and diagnosed clinically and histopathologically at the Departments of Urology and Pathology. The patient cohort consisted of 117 males and 58 females, with a median age of 61.5 years (range: 35–78) at the time of surgery. All patients had follow-up records for >5 years. The follow-up deadline was December 2006. The survival time was calculated from the date of surgery to the follow-up deadline or the date of death. Death was caused mainly by carcinoma recurrence or metastasis. Forty-two noncancerous bladder tissues were obtained from radical cystectomy of adjacent bladder carcinoma margins >5 cm. Samples were brought into a TMA format, as previously described.\textsuperscript{19} Briefly, core tissue biopsies (2 mm in diameter) were obtained from the targeted area of each donor tissue block, which was arranged in recipient paraffin blocks (tissue array blocks) using a TM instrument (TM-1, Shanghai Jianglai Biotechnology, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China); in small specimens, 1–3 cores were obtained, based on tissue amount. Staining results from different intratumoral areas in various tumors showed reliable consistency; therefore, a single core was sampled in each case. A qualified sample was defined as a tumor occupying more than 10% of the core area. Each block contained six noncancerous bladder mucosa as the internal controls. Consecutive 4 µm-thick sections were cut from each tissue array block, deparaffinized, and dehydrated.

Real-time polymerase chain reaction analysis

Briefly, total ribonucleic acid (RNA) was extracted from tissues using TRIzol® (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A total of 2 µg of RNA was reverse-transcribed using the SuperScript® II RNase–Reverse Transcriptase System (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The complementary deoxyribonucleic acid (cDNA) was then subjected to real-time polymerase chain reaction with specific primers for HEF1. A 0.4 µL cDNA, 0.4 µmol/L forward primer and 0.4 µmol/L reverse primer were added to SYBR® Premix Ex Taq™ (Takara Bio Inc., Shiga, Japan) in a total volume of 25 µL. The amplification protocol was used as follows: denatured at 95°C for 4 minutes; and then followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 seconds, 55°C for 20 seconds, and 72°C for 20 seconds. The sequence of the forward primer for HEF1 was 5′-CCG AGG CGT TCA GTT TCT T-3′, and that of the reverse primer was 5′-TTT AGC ATC TGG CGG GTT AT-3′. The sequence of the primers used for glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was 5′-TGA AGG TCG GAG TCA ACG G-3′ (forward) and 5′-CTG GAA GAT GGT GAT GGG ATT-3′ (reverse; Thermo Fisher Scientific). The relative amount of HEF1 messenger RNA (mRNA) to GAPDH was calculated as the average $2^{-\Delta Ct}$, where $\Delta Ct$ (cycle threshold) = $C_t$ (GAPDH) − $C_t$ (HEF1).
Immunochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed to study the altered protein expression in 42 noncancerous bladder tissue controls and 175 bladder carcinoma tissues. In brief, TMA slides were baked at 60°C for 2 hours followed by deparaffinization with xylene, and rehydrated graded alcohol. The sections were submerged in ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid antigenic retrieval buffer and microwaved for antigen retrieval. They were then treated with 3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol to quench endogenous peroxidase activity, followed by incubation with 1% bovine serum albumin to reduce background nonspecific binding. Sections were incubated with rabbit anti-HEF1 (Epitomics – an Abcam Company, Burlingame, CA, USA) overnight at 4°C. Negative controls were performed by replacement of the primary antibody with phosphate buffered saline. After washing, tissue sections were treated with a secondary antibody. Slides were stained with 3, 3-diaminobenzidine and counterstained with hematoxylin, and they were then dehydrated and mounted.

Evaluation of immunostaining intensity

The HEF1 proteins were immunohistochemically stained yellowish–brown in the cytoplasm of the tumor cells. The degree of immunostaining was reviewed by two observers who were blinded to the clinical data; they independently scored the immunostaining based on the intensity of staining and the percentage of HEF1 immunoreactive cells. In case of a discrepancy, a consensus score was chosen for evaluation. Staining intensity was graded according to the following criteria: 0 (no staining); 1 (weak staining = light yellow); 2 (moderate staining = yellowish brown); and 3 (strong staining = brown). The staining percentage was graded according to the proportion of positively stained tumor cells, as follows: 0 for <5% positive tumor cells; 1 for 6%-25% positive tumor cells; 2 for 26%-50% positive tumor cells; and 3 for >51% positive tumor cells. The staining intensity and the percent immunoreactivity scores were then multiplied to obtain a composite score. We used this method of assessment to evaluate HEF1 expression in human nontumor mucosa and malignant lesions by determining the staining index with scores of 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 9. An optimal cut-off value was identified as follows: a staining index score of ≥4 was used to define tumors with high HEF1 expression; and a staining index score of ≤3 was used to indicate low HEF1 expression.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS version 16.0 for Windows; IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). To assess the relationships between the expression of HEF1 and the clinicopathological parameters of the patients with bladder carcinoma, categorical data were studied using the χ² or Fisher’s exact test. Survival curves were estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method, and the log-rank test was used to calculate differences between the curves. Multivariate survival analysis using the Cox proportional hazards regression model was performed to assess the predictors related to survival. Correlation coefficients between protein expression and clinicopathological features were estimated using the Spearman correlation method. All P-values were two-sided and a P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Detection of HEF1 mRNA expression

Real-time polymerase chain reaction results also showed that HEF1 mRNA was significantly upregulated in bladder cancer as compared with that of normal tissues (P=0.012, Wilcoxon test; Figure 1).

Overexpression of HEF1 in bladder carcinoma tissues

The immunostaining of HEF1 was mainly located in the cytoplasm of the tumor cells (Figure 2). The expression of the HEF1 protein was detected as negative in all 42 noncancerous bladder mucosa. High expression of HEF1 was detected in 62.9% (110/175) of cases, and low expression was detected in 37.1% (65/175) of cases. A graphical form of the score values of the immunostaining of HEF1 expression in tissues is shown in Figure 3. The HEF1 expression in bladder carcinomas was significantly higher than that in the noncancerous bladder mucosa (P<0.001).

Correlation between HEF1 expression and clinicopathological features

The expression of HEF1 in bladder carcinoma was significantly associated with sex, tumor size, number of tumors, invasion depth, lymph node metastasis, and distant metastasis, but it had no association with age and vessel invasion (Table 1). Expression of HEF1 in bladder carcinoma patients with >3 cm tumor size, deep tumor invasion (T2–T4), number of tumors, lymph node metastasis, and distant metastasis was significantly higher than in those with superficial tumor invasion (Ta–T1), and in those without lymph node metastasis and distant metastasis, respectively (Table 1). The detection rate of HEF1 was 83.6% (51/61) in bladder carcinoma.
Figure 1 Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction for HEF1 expression by using HEF1-specific primers with GAPDH as an internal control. 

Note: Black area represents median value, the upper and lower edge represent the upper and lower quartile of the box. 

Abbreviations: mRNA, messenger RNA; HEF1, Human enhancer of filamentation 1; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase.

Figure 2 Immunohistochemical staining of HEF1 in normal and cancerous bladder tissue. 

Notes: (A–C) Strong staining (brown granules, mainly in the cytoplasm) in muscle-invasive urinary bladder carcinoma (↑). (D–F): strong staining in nonmuscle-invasive urinary bladder carcinoma (↑). (G–I): Negative control for immunostaining of HEF1 (↑), with phosphate buffered saline replacing the primary antibody against HEF1. 

Magnification: the original magnification was (A, D and G) ×40, (B, E and H) ×100, and (C, F and I) ×400. 

Abbreviation: HEF1, Human enhancer of filamentation 1.
specimens of tumor size ≥3 cm, which was higher than that in specimens of tumor sizes <3 cm (51.8%, 59/114; P<0.001). The detection rate of HEF1 was 68.1% (94/138) in bladder carcinoma specimens of multiple tumors, which was higher than that in specimens of single tumors (43.2%, 16/37; P=0.005). HEF1 was detected in 81.0% (34/42) of bladder cancer specimens with lymph node metastasis, which was higher than in specimens without lymph node metastasis (57.1%, 76/133; P=0.005). The detection rate of HEF1 was 84.2% (16/19) in specimens with distant metastasis, which was higher than in specimens without distant metastasis (60.3%, 94/156; P=0.041). HEF1 was detected in 39.3% (24/61) of deep tumor invasion (T2–T4), which was lower than in superficial tumor invasion (Ta–T1) samples, where it was detected in 75.4% of samples (86/114; P<0.001). Spearman’s correlation coefficient of HEF1 expression with the number of tumors, depth of invasion, vessel invasion, lymph node metastasis, and distant metastasis of the tumor were 0.232, 0.361, 0.254, 0.155 and 0.253 (P<0.05), respectively.

### Survival analysis

The mean survival time in patients with a high expression of HEF1 was 36.74±1.49 months, which was significantly lower than in patients with a low expression of HEF1 (55.26±1.24 months; P<0.001). Kaplan–Meier survival statistics showed that high expression of HEF1 was associated with worse overall survival when compared to low expression of HEF1 (P<0.001; Figure 4). Factors with possible prognostic effects in bladder carcinoma were analyzed by Cox regression analysis. The statistical analysis showed that the expression of HEF1 was an independent prognostic factor in patients with bladder carcinoma (P=0.001; Table 2).

### Discussion

We have revealed the prognostic value of HEF1 in urinary bladder carcinoma. HEF1 expression has been found to be more frequently detected in advanced stages of urinary bladder carcinoma with shortened progression-free survival. Meanwhile, we showed a significant correlation of HEF1 expression with sex, tumor size, number of tumors, invasion depth, lymph node metastasis, and distant metastasis. This finding is further in line with the known association of HEF1 overexpression with other tumor types. Furthermore, we showed a significant association of HEF1 in urinary bladder carcinoma with a worse overall survival. However, results concerning the prognostic significance of HEF1 expression are conflicting. Overexpression of HEF1 has been shown to be an independent prognostic factor associated with worse overall survival in lung adenocarcinoma, breast cancer, and melanoma, whereas some researchers argue that HEF1 may play a more complex role in tumor invasion and metastasis.

The HEF1 protein lacks any known enzymatic function, but contains many functional modules for protein interaction, leading to its classification as a scaffolding protein. Proteins that functionally or physically interact with HEF1 play direct roles in promoting tumor invasion.
HEF1 expression and activation are regulated by the cell cycle and by multiple cues provided by receptors for diffusible and attachment-related stimuli. Because of this complex signaling “hub” function, elevated HEF1 expression is poised to influence cell growth controls in many different ways. Significantly, extensive characterization of HEF1 over the past decade has revealed that changes in HEF1 status may play important role in metastasis. Our study is the first in which the prognostic significance of HEF1 in urinary bladder carcinoma has rationally been evaluated.

The molecular functional basis for HEF1 may act as multifunctional coordinator of invasion, apoptosis, and cell cycle control. A recent study that has provided in vivo evidence shows that HE supports the activation of oncogenic signaling pathways, including Src, extracellular-signal-regulated kinase, and protein kinase B (AKT) in breast cancer development. In colorectal cancer, HEF1 is a novel target of Wnt signaling, and elevated expression promotes increased colonic cell migration and cancer progression. HEF1 is also a specific effector of the prooncogenic FAK kinase, and is required for the migration and invasion of aggressive glioblastomas. HEF1 was found to be a central component of integrin-dependent signaling cascades that activate the FAK and Src kinases to promote cell migration, and it is also involved in communication through Shc and other proteins to the Ras signaling cascades. In urinary bladder cancer, HEF1 expression and activation are regulated by the cell cycle...
carcinoma, our present study found that HEF1 expression is related to an aggressive phenotype; therefore, we assume a direct oncogenic role.

Invasion depth, lymph node metastasis, and distant metastasis have been considered as the most important factors in the survival, management, and prognosis of bladder cancer patients, as well as of those with other carcinomas.\(^2\)-\(^2\) In our study, we found that the overexpression of HEF1 was significantly associated with the poor prognosis of patients with bladder cancer, and that the expression of HEF1 was an independent prognostic factor. Other factors significantly correlated with the survival of the patients including sex, tumor size, number of tumors, invasion depth, lymph node metastasis, and distant metastasis. The association of HEF1 expression in urinary bladder carcinoma with an advanced stage and a poor outcome points toward its possible role as an effective marker for predicting therapeutic outcomes, and it is a potential target for anticancer therapy. This suggests that HEF1 may play a role as an objective and effective indicator for the identification of bladder cancer patients who are at high risk for tumor invasion and progression. Our findings are in agreement with earlier reports,\(^4\)-\(^10\) in that the overexpression of HEF1 has been implicated as a tumor-promoting factor, and it is associated with a poor prognosis in a variety of tumor types.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, we have shown that in urinary bladder carcinoma, HEF1 expression accompanies advanced stage and is associated with poor overall survival. As an independent prognostic indicator, the expression of HEF1 in advanced stage tumors suggests it plays a possible role during tumor progression, which makes HEF1 a potential target for antibody-mediated treatment in urinary bladder carcinoma.
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