Prevalence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease among adult male cigarettes smokers: a community-based study in Jordan
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Abstract: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. The prevalence of COPD among cigarette smokers in the Middle East is not well studied. A prospective descriptive study was performed in the north of Jordan. Male cigarette smokers (≥10 pack-year) aged 35 years and older were recruited from the community. They completed a questionnaire and a postbronchodilator spirometry. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) criteria (postbronchodilator forced expiratory volume in 1 second <70%) was used to define COPD. A total of 512 subjects completed the study protocol. According to the GOLD criteria, 42 subjects (8.2%) had COPD. Of those, 27 subjects (64.3%) had symptomatic COPD. Using the GOLD criteria, eight subjects (19%) with COPD had mild disease, 24 (57.1%) had moderate disease, eight (19%) had severe disease, and two (4.8%) had very severe disease. Only 10.6% were aware of COPD as a smoking-related respiratory illness, and 6.4% had received counseling about risk for COPD by a physician. Chronic bronchitis (cough for 3 months in 2 consecutive years) was reported by 15% of the subjects, wheezes by 44.1%, and dyspnea by 65.2%. Subjects with COPD reported having more chronic bronchitis 18/42 (42.9%) and wheezing 28/42 (66.7%) than subjects without COPD. The prevalence of COPD increased with increased number of pack-years smoked. In conclusion, COPD prevalence among cigarette-smoking men in Jordan is lower than in the developed world. COPD was largely underdiagnosed, despite the majority of participants being symptomatic and having moderate to severe disease.
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Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in both developed and developing countries.1 In 2001, COPD was the fifth leading cause of death worldwide; it is predicted to be the third leading cause of death by 2020.1,2 Cigarette smoking is by far the most important risk factor for development of COPD. Earlier studies reported that 15%–20% of smokers develop COPD,3,4 although this number may reach 50% in elderly smokers.5 COPD is a treatable and, more important, preventable disease. However, it remains underdiagnosed,6,7 and significant airflow obstruction may already be present in patients without pulmonary symptoms.8 The diagnosis of COPD should be raised in any person who reports symptoms of chronic cough, chronic sputum production, or dyspnea or who has a history of exposure to COPD risk factors (mainly tobacco smoking).4 The diagnosis of COPD in the earliest stages in smokers is crucial because quitting smoking is the only intervention that can reduce the rate of lung function decline.10,11
The availability of COPD spirometric data can play a role in patient management by their family doctors and can enhance treatment efforts. In Jordan, a recent study showed that the prevalence of current smoking in adult males was 48.2%. Despite the high smoking rate, there are limited data about the prevalence of COPD and affected individuals’ awareness of their diagnosis. A recent population-based study in the Middle East and North Africa using telephone interview and using the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) clinical definition of symptomatic COPD estimated a prevalence of 5.4% of symptomatic COPD among adult Jordanians. We estimate the prevalence of COPD among current male smokers to be around 11%.

This community-based study was conducted to describe the prevalence of COPD according to the latest GOLD definition and to describe COPD-related symptoms among adult male cigarette smokers in Jordan.

Methods

Study population

The study was conducted in the north of Jordan, which has a population of around 950,000 persons. Thirteen cities/towns representing both rural and urban areas were selected from the Irbid governorate in the north of Jordan. One week before the survey, a two-member team visited each city, advertised the study, and invited all male current cigarette smokers aged 35 years and older to participate in the study. Ex-smokers and water pipe and cigar smokers were not included in the study. Subjects who met the study criteria were asked to complete a health history questionnaire and undergo spirometry. Subjects known to have asthma were excluded from the study. Of 650 subjects who attended the study site, a total of 512 subjects met the inclusion/exclusion criteria, agreed to participate, and completed the study protocol, including postbronchodilator spirometry. The power of the study, using a sample of 512 participants, to estimate the expected prevalence of 10% within a margin of error of 5% exceeded 80% at an alpha level of 0.05. The data were collected during a 12-month period from January 2011 to December 2012. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board Committee of Jordan University of Science and Technology. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Data collection

Data were collected by three family physicians. During a single encounter with the physician, information about age; cigarette smoking habits, including duration of smoking and number of cigarettes smoked daily; occupation; education level; comorbidities; medication; family history of lung disease; and whether the participant had ever been diagnosed with COPD were collected in a face-to-face interview. Occupation also was reported to the interviewing physician. Occupations with exposure to heating fuels, occupational dust, and chemicals were taken into account by the interviewing physician to decide which occupations are risky for COPD. Participants were also asked to report symptoms of productive cough, wheeze, and dyspnea. The participants’ height and weight were measured, and body mass index was calculated.

Spirometry was performed using handheld spirometers (FlowscreenCT; eResearch Technology GmbH, Hoechberg, Germany) and was performed and interpreted according to the American Thoracic Society recommendations. The instruments were calibrated before each testing day, according to the manufacturers’ instructions. All spirometry was performed by two trained family physicians. The spirometry results were reevaluated and finally interpreted by a pulmonologist. Forced expiratory maneuvers were repeated until three reproducible acceptable readings were obtained and the best forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1), forced vital capacity (FVC), and FEV1/FVC ratios were obtained. Reversibility testing to exclude individuals with asthma was performed 15 minutes after the inhalation of 400 µg Ventolin® MDI (salbutamol; GlaxoSmithKline plc, London, UK) on all patients with FEV1/FVC <70%. The spirometry results were interpreted according to the guidelines of GOLD. Subjects with reversible obstruction (FEV1 increased ≥200 mL or 12%) during the reversibility test were excluded from the study.

Definitions

COPD was defined as the presence of a “fixed ratio” (postbronchodilator FEV1/FVC <70%) with or without symptoms. Patients with symptomatic COPD were subjects with cough, dyspnea, or sputum production and postbronchodilator FEV1 <70%. Chronic bronchitis was defined, according to the GOLD definition, as having cough and sputum production for at least 3 months in each of two consecutive years.

The severity of COPD was classified, according to GOLD criteria, as stage I (mild) if FEV1 ≥80% predicted, stage II (moderate) if 50% ≤FEV1 <80% predicted, stage III (severe) if 30% ≤FEV1 <50% predicted, and stage IV (very severe) if FEV1 <30% predicted or FEV1 <50% predicted.
with the presence of respiratory failure or clinical signs of right heart failure.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS, version 16.0; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Means and standard deviations of continuous variables were given. Frequencies and percentages were used to describe categorical data, and the chi-square test was for testing the association between categorical variables. One-way ANOVA was used for testing mean differences between continuous variables. Logistic regression was also used to detect the effect of the following predictors; age, pack-year of smoking, dyspnea, wheezes, and chronic bronchitis on the COPD prevalence according to GOLD criteria. *P*-values<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

A total of 512 subjects completed the study protocol, including postbronchodilator spirometry. The mean (standard deviation) age of participants was 48.3 (10.2) years (range, 35–99 years). The body mass index of the subjects ranged between 16.5 and 51.0 kg/m², with a mean (standard deviation) of 26.8 (4.7) kg/m². Table 1 shows the demographic, anthropometric, and clinical characteristics of cigarette-smoking adults included in this study. Postbronchodilator spirometric data showed an average FEV1 of 92.8% predicted and a FVC of 90.3%. Only 10.6% of the participants were aware of COPD as a smoking-related respiratory illness, and 6.4% had received counseling by a physician about risk for COPD. Interestingly, eight subjects (1.6%) were previously diagnosed with COPD, and two reported taking medications for it. Participants smoked on average 42.7 pack-years (range, 10–200) and a mean of 31 cigarettes per day (range, 4–90). Nearly half of the cigarette smokers (45.5%) reported starting smoking during childhood. The majority of smoking men (75.6%) received counseling for smoking cessation.

According to the GOLD criteria, 42 subjects (8.2%) had COPD. Of those, 27 (64.3%) subjects had symptomatic COPD. Using the GOLD criteria, eight (19%) of subjects with COPD had mild disease, 24 (57.1%) had moderate disease, eight (19%) had severe disease, and two (4.8%) had very severe disease. Subjects with COPD reported more cigarette exposure than subjects without COPD (54.6 versus 41.6 pack-years [*P* =0.003]; 30.6 versus 35.2 cigarettes per day [*P* =0.046]). The prevalence rates of COPD according to demographic, anthropometric, and other relevant characteristics are shown in Table 2. The prevalence differed significantly only among subjects according to pack-years smoked (*P* =0.010): The prevalence was 6.3% among adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>91.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–44</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>41.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–54</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥55</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation, risky for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>87.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (18.5–24.9 kg/m²)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>32.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight (25–29.9 kg/m²)</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>45.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity (≥30 kg/m²)</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack-years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤20</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>76.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking during childhood</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>45.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive smoking</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>82.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheezes</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>44.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough for 3 months in 2 consecutive years</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 The prevalence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease among adult Jordanian smokers, according to demographic, anthropometric, and other relevant characteristics.
who smoked up to 50 pack-years and 13.2% among those who smoked more than 50 pack-years. None of the other variables was significantly associated with COPD. The multivariate yielded the same results, and only the pack-years variable remained in the model after excluding nonsignificant variables.

Chronic bronchitis was present in 77 (15%) of the subjects, whereas wheeze were reported by 226 (44.1%) and dyspnea by 334 (65.2%). Both chronic bronchitis (42.9% versus 12.6%) and wheezing (66.7% versus 42.1%) were more common among subjects with COPD (GOLD criteria; \( P<0.005 \)). In contrast, dyspnea was common in subjects with and without COPD (81% versus 61.8%; \( P=0.082 \)).

Table 3 shows the characteristics of patients with COPD according to the severity of the disease. Severity of COPD was related to age, pack-year, and the presence of symptoms of COPD, including dyspnea, wheezes, and chronic bronchitis.

### Discussion

This is the first community-based study to estimate the prevalence of COPD according to the latest GOLD criteria among male cigarette smokers in Jordan. Approximately 8% had COPD, whereas according to the standard definition, 15% had chronic bronchitis. Although symptoms of COPD were common, a minority of these cigarette-smoking men already had been diagnosed, and 1 in 10 was aware of this potentially disabling disease.

Tageldin et al reported the prevalence of COPD in the general population in the Middle East and North Africa, using telephone interview and the GOLD clinical definition of symptomatic COPD.\(^\text{16}\) Their estimated prevalence of COPD was 3.6%, ranging from 1.9% in the United Arab Emirates to 6.1% in Syria. In Jordan, the prevalence was 5.4% in the general population and 8.8% among adult men.\(^\text{16}\) However, their case definition of COPD was smokers (\( \geq 10 \) pack-years) who had symptoms of COPD or were already diagnosed by their physicians. It is very hard to make comparisons between these different findings, as the populations, methodology, and case definition were very different. However, this current study gave a different prospective in regard to the epidemiology of COPD in this developing country.

This prevalence rate among male cigarette smokers is lower than what has been reported in developed countries, including Spain (12.1%),\(^\text{18}\) Canada (13.1%),\(^\text{19}\) Sweden (14%),\(^\text{20}\) and the United States (16%).\(^\text{21}\) This can be explained by the fact that our population is generally younger, with more than two thirds of our subjects younger than 60 years. In subjects who were older than 60 years, the prevalence of COPD was 13%, which is similar to data from developed countries. Moreover, the interaction between genetic and environmental factors may also be attributed to the low prevalence rate of COPD in our study.\(^\text{22}\) Also, the case definition of COPD in various studies has never been uniform where GOLD criteria, British Thoracic Society criteria, symptoms-based definitions, and physician diagnosis were used.\(^\text{20,23}\)

We found that most subjects were not aware of their lung disease, regardless of the presence of symptoms. Only a minority of our subjects was already diagnosed and treated for COPD. These findings could suggest that patients with COPD are not being routinely detected in the current process of medical care in Jordan. Alternatively, our subjects with COPD might have previously been diagnosed with their lung disease but not made aware of this, or did not understand the situation when they were told about the diagnosis. Our study does not shed light on the cause of this gap. However, similar gaps have been reported in highly developed countries where two thirds or more of patients with COPD are not aware of their lung disease. This includes studies undertaken in England,\(^\text{24}\) Greece,\(^\text{25}\) Canada,\(^\text{26}\) Spain,\(^\text{19}\) and the United States.\(^\text{27}\)

The best way to identify adults with COPD remains unresolved. One strategy for early detection of COPD in adult male smokers is office-based spirometric screening. This approach has been encouraged in the developed world but remains controversial.\(^\text{28,29}\) An alternative strategy endorsed by the GOLD initiative is case-finding with spirometry, targeting adults with risk factors and symptoms suggestive of COPD. In this study, chronic bronchitis definition (cough for 3 months in 2 consecutive years) was found in 15% of our patients, which is almost double the GOLD “fixed ratio” definition. Variation in the prevalence with various measuring methods is well-established in previous reports.\(^\text{29,23}\)

As previously reported,\(^\text{18,24,30,31}\) we found that amount of smoking by pack-years was significantly associated with COPD. Similar to others, we found a history of

### Table 3 Characteristics of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, according to disease severity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Stage I (n=8)</th>
<th>Stage II (n=24)</th>
<th>Stage III/IV (n=10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (years)</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack-years, mean</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic bronchitis, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (37.5)</td>
<td>11 (45.8)</td>
<td>4 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheezes, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (37.5)</td>
<td>18 (75)</td>
<td>7 (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (37.5)</td>
<td>23 (95.8)</td>
<td>8 (80)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
wheezing and productive cough to be strongly associated with the presence of COPD. There was a trend between advancing age (young versus old smoker) and COPD (8% versus 13%, respectively), but it did not reach statistical significance.

COPD case finding might be an effective strategy if primary care physicians made routine use of these clinical findings to prompt further evaluation by spirometry. This approach has been shown to be cost-effective in a primary care setting in the Netherlands. Whether this approach allows Jordanian men with COPD to be diagnosed and informed about their lung disease at an earlier stage deserves further study.

This study has a number of limitations. COPD was defined according to the GOLD criteria and the “fixed ratio” concept (FEV1/FVC ratio < 0.70). Compared with using the lower limit of normal, the fixed ratio definition of COPD is known to underestimate the prevalence of COPD among younger subjects and overestimate it among older smokers. However, many major studies have used the fixed ratio definition, as it is more easily applicable and widely available in clinical practice. Smokers with respiratory symptoms might have attended the testing sessions more than asymptomatic smokers, resulting in a selection bias. It also is known that the considerable variations in the reported prevalence of COPD are attributed to different selection processes. Women were excluded from our study. For cultural reasons, women in Jordan who smoke tend not to disclose their smoking status and are less likely to participate in studies that involve sensitive issues. Tageldin et al reported the estimated prevalence of symptomatic COPD in Jordan to be five times more in men (8.8%) than in women (1.8%). This may indicate that in Jordan, COPD may still be primarily a disease of men, rather than women.

Although most of our subjects had been advised to stop smoking at some time in the past, this advice had not resulted in a behavior change. More effective smoking cessation activities are needed.

**Conclusions**

The prevalence of COPD according to GOLD criteria among male cigarette smokers in Jordan was found to be 8.2%, which is lower than in the developed countries. Although symptoms of COPD including chronic bronchitis were common, COPD was still largely undetected in such a risky population. The feasibility, benefit, and cost-effectiveness of a community-based screening or primary care case finding in Jordan using spirometry warrant further study.
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