Using a slit lamp-mounted digital high-speed camera for dynamic observation of phakic lenses during eye movements: a pilot study
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Purpose: To evaluate a digital high-speed camera combined with digital morphometry software for dynamic measurements of phakic intraocular lens movements to observe kinetic influences, particularly in fast direction changes and at lateral end points.

Materials and methods: A high-speed camera taking 300 frames per second observed movements of eight iris-claw intraocular lenses and two angle-supported intraocular lenses. Standardized saccades were performed by the patients to trigger mass inertia with lens position changes. Freeze images with maximum deviation were used for digital software-based morphometry analysis with ImageJ.

Results: Two eyes from each of five patients (median age 32 years, range 28–45 years) without findings other than refractive errors were included. The high-speed images showed sufficient usability for further morphometric processing. In the primary eye position, the median decentrations downward and in a lateral direction were −0.32 mm (range −0.69 to 0.024) and 0.175 mm (range −0.37 to 0.45), respectively. Despite the small sample size of asymptomatic patients, we found a considerable amount of lens dislocation. The median distance amplitude during eye movements was 0.158 mm (range 0.02–0.84). There was a slight positive correlation (r=0.39, P<0.001) between the grade of deviation in the primary position and the distance increase triggered by movements.

Conclusion: With the use of a slit-lamp-mounted high-speed camera system and morphometry software, observation and objective measurements of iris-claw intraocular lenses and angle-supported intraocular lenses movements seem to be possible. Slight decentration in the primary position might be an indicator of increased lens mobility during kinetic stress during eye movements. Long-term assessment by high-speed analysis with higher case numbers has to clarify the relationship between progressing motility and endothelial cell damage.
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Introduction

Besides angle-supported intraocular lenses (ASIOs), iris-claw intraocular lenses (ICIOs) are also designed as anterior chamber lenses. First-generation iris-claw models were launched in 1953, and optimized designs of these are now used not only in cases of aphakia (eg, traumatic) or after intracapsular cataract extraction but since 1986 they have also been used in phakic eyes (phakic intraocular lenses [PILOs]) to correct refractive errors. Nowadays, Artisan®/Artiflex® ICIOLs (Ophthec, Groningen, the Netherlands)/Verisyse® (Abbott Medical Optics, Santa Ana, CA, USA) and Cachet® (Novartis International AG, Basel, Switzerland) ASIOLs are available in different versions depending on optic power and the need for correction of astigmatism.

Several clinical trials with variable results partially reported that the position of ICIOLs and the morphometry of the anterior chamber (eg, deep or shallow anterior...
chambers, white-to-white distance, or sulcus diameter) may have consequences, eg, endothelial cell loss or chronic inflammations of the iris. To measure in more realistic conditions, investigations using Scheimpflug photography or ultrasound biomicroscopy have also been performed. In 1984, Miller and Doane used analog high-speed imaging to investigate movements of ASIOLs and ICIOLs (mainly Binkhorst type). Due to technical limitations, only moderate changes of the gaze position were investigated in patients after intracapsular cataract extraction.

The aim of our pilot study was to evaluate a digital high-speed camera setting (mounted on a slit lamp) to measure the movements of PIOLs not only at a standstill or within small globe movements but also in fast direction changes in the primary position and at lateral end points.

**Materials and methods**

For capturing lens positions during eye movements, a high-speed charge-coupled device camera (Genie HM640; Teledyne Dalsa, Waterloo, ON, Canada) with a resolution of 640×480 pixels and speed of 300 frames per second (8 bit) was mounted (C mount) on the video adapter (f75; Haag-Streit, Köniz, Switzerland) of a conventional slit lamp (BQ900; Haag-Streit). For capturing high-speed videos, optimized software (Motion Traveller version 2.28.0.5173; Imaging Solutions, Eningen, Germany) was used. Illumination was achieved by using the total slit (8×8 mm) with the brightest illumination settings. Furthermore, a cold-light source (FlexiLux 3000; Schölly Fiberoptic, Denzlingen, Germany) was used for a more homogeneous illumination. The technical harmlessness of the setting was approved by the in-house technical assistance.

Before the high-speed video session, a standard slit-lamp examination was performed by an experienced ophthalmologist to survey for loose fixations, decentrations, and detectable abnormalities of the lens. Lentodonesis of the artificial lens was defined as 0 if absent, 1 if only slightly visible, or 2 in cases with obvious lens movements. Patients were asked whether they noticed any kind of shaking images.

Each eye of a patient was filmed separately. Sequential capturing positions were frontal without globe movement and both lateral end points of globe movements. Therefore, the slit lamp was adjusted in a parallel perspective (Figure 1). Thereafter, the patients were told to move their eyes reiteratively from one end position to the other (ie, from up to down or left to right; Figure 2, Table 1). To trigger the kinetic effects of artificial lenses, horizontal and vertical eye movements were performed with and without short stops in the primary position.

Video sequences were exported as uncompressed audio-video interleaved (AVI) files (30 frames per second). Images were exported out of the created AVI files and saved in tagged image file (TIF; resolution 640×480) format. Position measurements were performed using ImageJ (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij). Only images with clearly delimited pupil and lens margins were included. For measurements of maximal lens deviations only video-frames with the peak of movements (backshoots and overshoots) were used. The center of the pupil was estimated by adapting a circle within the pupil and defining its centroid. With the same method, the centroid of the lens optic was measured. Afterward, the distance and angle between the center of the lens and the center of the pupil were measured for all positions for frontal and end-point positions (Figure 3).

The collected data were descriptively analyzed using a statistical program (JMP 10.0; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). All data were anonymously analyzed in accordance with the strict German directives on information security and

---

**Figure 1** Schematic drawing of camera positions while video capturing side glance to the right (A), primary position (B), and side glance to the left (C) for analysis of the right eye.
data protection. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients before the examination. The investigation followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Approval of the local ethics committee (Tübingen) was obtained in advance.

Results
In this pilot study, two eyes from each of five patients (median age 32 years, range 28–45 years) were included. For further patient characteristics, see Table 2. All patients had undergone refractive surgery because of myopia or astigmatism.

Table 1 Measurement positions and their defined coding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Primary position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Motion from the primary position to the bottom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Motion from the bottom to the primary position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Motion from the primary position to the top</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Motion from the top to the primary position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Motion from the primary position to the right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Motion from the right to the primary position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Motion from the primary position to the left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Motion from the left to the primary position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Motion to the right end position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Motion outgoing from the right end position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Motion to the left end position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Motion outgoing from the left end position</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No other abnormalities (e.g., glaucoma, lens pseudoexfoliation) existed at the time of surgery or study examination. No patients reported shaking images. The high-speed camera showed good functionality. In all cases, high-speed imaging was possible, and there were no resulting problems due to reflections or blurry images. In two patients, positions 3 and 4 were not sufficiently observable due to a small palpebral fissure.
Table 2  Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Years since surgery</th>
<th>Lens model</th>
<th>Optic diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Lentodonesis*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Artisan® toric</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Artisan®</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Artiflex®</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Artisan® toric</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cachet®</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: °0, none; 1, slight; 2, obvious. Artisan® (Ophthec, Groningen, the Netherlands); Cachet® (Novartis International AG, Basel, Switzerland); Artiflex®, (Ophthec, Groningen, the Netherlands).

Abbreviations: F, female; M, male.

In the primary eye position, except in one eye (ASIOL), all lenses were positioned lower (negative y-values) in relation to the center of the pupils, with a median of -0.32 mm (range -0.69 to 0.024) (Figure 4). Subanalysis of different lens models showed a median distance downward of -0.51 mm (range -0.69 to -0.33) for the Artiflex®, -0.34 mm (range -0.47 to -0.12) for the Artisan®, and -0.18 mm for one of two Cachet® (second one 0.024 mm upward). The median deviation in the lateral direction was 0.175 mm (range -0.37 to 0.45). The median absolute distance and angle between the center points were 0.373 mm (range 0.221–0.742) and -76.143° (range -149° to 5.711°), respectively.

The median distance amplitude of movements that were measured in positions 1–12 was 0.158 mm (range 0.02–0.84 mm) (Figure 5). Subanalysis of different lens models showed a median distance amplitude of 0.183 mm (range 0.04–0.84 mm) for the Artiflex®, 0.15 mm (range 0.02–0.47 mm) for the Artisan®, and 0.16 mm (range 0.03–0.44 mm) for the Cachet®. There was a positive correlation ($r=0.39$, $P<0.001$) between the distance of the optic center from the pupil center in the primary position and the grade of the distance increase triggered by movements.

Simplifying the different positions only to middle positions (codes 1–8) and end positions (codes 9–12) for all lens types resulted in a median distance amplitude of movements of 0.14 mm (range 0.02–0.70 mm) and 0.18 mm (range 0.03–0.84 mm), respectively (Figure 6). The median change of the angles was 3.96° (range -105.72° to 69.75°), which showed a negative correlation ($-0.3$) with median distance amplitude over all lens types.

Discussion

In this pilot study, we were able to discover the function of a slit lamp-mounted high-speed camera system and a subsequent morphometry of images to record kinetic influences on the position of ICIOLs and ASIOLs in phakic eyes. Measurement of varying ICIOL and ASIOL positions was feasible in fast direction changes and at lateral end points.

PIOLs are reversible, maintain accommodation, and are used in patients with high refractive errors or in cases with contraindications for corneal refractive procedures (eg, thinned cornea, scotopic pupil size). There are several known possible complications with PIOLs (eg, corneal endothelial cell loss, elevation of intraocular pressure) that can be related to their position within the eye segments. Based on these complications, many investigations measured the location of ICIOLs and ASIOLs and their physiological effects. Examination techniques like optical coherence tomography, biometry, or Scheimpflug imaging do not allow the recording of short-term position changes that can appear based on kinetic effects during fast eye movements. Cheng et al. and Miller and Doane demonstrated the movements of IOLs using high-speed-imaging, but not in phakic individuals and only after short-distance eye movements. As far as the authors know, position-determining investigations of PIOLs have been performed in various positions or during accommodation, but not during fast eye movements.
Despite the small case series, we tried not to lump all lens types together, and tried a careful analysis of subgroups. The subsequent analysis of the selected “peak lens deviation” freeze images within our small series to evaluate technical feasibility showed a low-lying lens position relative to the pupil center in nine of ten eyes. Possible causes for these observations are implantation position, looseness of the iris or fixation points, shifting haptic phenomenon, and gravity forces “pulling” the lenses to negative vertical values. Also, other investigations described decentrations of ICIOL models. Menezo et al reported decentrations of up to 1 mm, while Pérez-Santonja et al described a decentration greater than 0.5 mm in 14 (43%) of 32 eyes for Worst–Fechner ICIOLs.

Although the median amplitude over all measured lenses was low, our investigation showed explicit differences for single lenses. Moreover, we could see that higher movement amplitudes could be expected the higher the distance between the lens center and the pupil center at the primary position. But facing the small case numbers, we have to be careful in drawing conclusions. Causes for higher amplitudes in end positions could be loose fixations or increasing kinetic effects in cases of forceful accelerations or decelerations. Furthermore, motions of the pupil, as reported by Nyström et al could have influenced our observations. An alternative method to define reference coordinates for deviation measurements could be seen in using Purkinje images. With this method also, movements of the natural lens in normal subjects could be observed.

We demonstrated the feasibility of our video-capturing setting using a slit lamp-mounted high-speed camera for dynamic observations of short-term lens movements in all investigated patients and in various positions of the eyes. Following our first analysis with a reduced number of
patients, further measurements with our high-speed system seem to be reasonable to detect lens positions and movements in more cases and with a prolonged follow-up time, and to test parameters like interobserver agreement or correlations of lens movements and anterior chamber morphology. Hereafter, the system could be used, eg, to do further investigations of lens stability in patients with unexplained endothelial cell loss.

Limitations

The number of patients in our feasibility investigations does not allow any further statistical calculations or conclusions for diverse lens designs. Also, although the nonstandardized pupil had a limiting effect, we opted not to influence pupil movements (eg, with atropine, pilocarpine) to avoid effects on the mounting of the lens haptics of ICIOLs. Furthermore, motions of the pupils and their center could have interfered with our measurements, and with higher case numbers differences between horizontal, vertical, or oblique saccades should be evaluated.

Conclusion

With the use of a simple slit lamp-mounted high-speed camera system, the dynamic observation of movements of ICIOLs and ASIOLs is possible. The images allow detailed measurements of lens movements in various positions. Slight decentration in the primary position might be an indicator of increased lens mobility during kinetic stress during eye movements. With the small case numbers, further investigations within a bigger population are planned. Long-term assessment by high-speed analysis has to clarify the relationship between progressing motility and endothelial cell damage.
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