Adjunctive treatment for cognitive impairment in patients with chronic schizophrenia: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study
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Abstract: Cognitive impairment is closely related to real-life functioning in patients with schizophrenia. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effects of adjunctive treatment with donepezil on cognition in patients with chronic schizophrenia. This was a 12-week, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study of donepezil as an adjunct to antipsychotic drug therapy in patients with chronic stable schizophrenia. Sixty-one subjects were randomized to receive donepezil 5 mg/day (n = 31) and/or placebo (n = 30). A nine-test neuropsychological assessment battery was administered at baseline and at the end of the study. At the 12-week end point, the donepezil group showed significant improvements in the Wechsler Memory Scale Third Edition Spatial Span, Brief Visuospatial Memory Test total recall and delayed recall, Trail-Making Test Part A, and Category Fluency Test-animal naming (all P < 0.018). Compared with placebo, donepezil was associated with significant improvement in several cognitive domains, including working memory, speed of information processing, and visual learning and memory (P < 0.008). The results of the present study suggest that adjunctive use of donepezil is beneficial for improving cognitive function in patients with schizophrenia.
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Introduction

Patients with schizophrenia have significant cognitive impairment, especially in the domains of attention, executive function, memory, verbal skills, and processing speed.1,2 This impairment is a major determinant of their real-life functioning, so is an important treatment target.3 The effects of antipsychotic medications on cognition in schizophrenia appear to be minimal.3 Recent studies examining novel adjunctive treatments have yielded some encouraging results.4,5

Transmission of acetylcholine in the central nervous system plays a vital role in cognitive function, specifically in attention and memory.6 Modulation of the alpha-7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor has been considered a potential treatment target in Alzheimer’s disease and schizophrenia.7 Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors, including donepezil, rivastigmine, and galantamine, have shown some cognitive benefit in Alzheimer’s disease and related dementias.8

Several studies have investigated the effects of acetylcholinesterase inhibitors on cognition in schizophrenia.9-12 Although a recent meta-analysis does not support the use of acetylcholinesterase inhibitors for mild cognitive impairment,13 several previous studies in schizophrenia spectrum disorders have reported significant cognitive improvement using donepezil as adjunctive therapy.5,12 Many factors, including cigarette smoking, stage of cognitive impairment, and duration of illness, may influence the effects
of acetylcholinesterase inhibitors on cognition. Selecting appropriate measurement tools is also important to assess the effects of donepezil on cognition, given that cognitive function includes many different domains in schizophrenia.

Here we present a 12-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT01490567) examining the effect of donepezil as an adjunct to atypical antipsychotic (risperidone or olanzapine) therapy on cognitive impairment in Chinese patients with chronic schizophrenia. Risperidone and olanzapine are commonly used new-generation antipsychotic medications in the People’s Republic of China. Unlike the previous research, participants in our study had chronic schizophrenia, a duration of illness of less than 10 years, excluded ex-smokers or current smokers, and included an extensive cognitive battery.

Materials and methods

Participants

All participants were recruited from the Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University between June 2011 and November 2012. Consenting patients aged 18–40 years were eligible for the study if they met the DSM-IV (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition) criteria for schizophrenia determined by the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV. Patients had to be clinically stable at screening and meet the following criteria for schizophrenia: a) an onset of illness of less than 10 years, excluded ex-smokers or current smokers; patients treated with electroconvulsive therapy within the 6 months prior to screening; those who had made suicidal attempts or displayed ideation or violent behavior within the last 12 months; and those who had participated in other therapy programs.

Study design

The study was designed as a 12-week, double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized trial. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, and was carried out in accordance with the Guideline for Good Clinical Practice and the Declaration of Helsinki. Every patient or their legal guardian provided written informed consent before enrollment.

After screening, patients were randomized to receive donepezil 5 mg/day or placebo in addition to their antipsychotic treatment. Doses of antipsychotic medications remained fixed throughout the study. Benzodiazepines for insomnia and propranolol for akathisia or tachycardia were permitted as needed during the study. Patients who received benzodiazepines needed to wait for 48 hours prior to cognitive testing.

Neuropsychological assessments

A nine-test neuropsychological battery was administered at baseline and at the end of the study. These nine tests were grouped into seven cognitive domains. Working memory was evaluated using the Wechsler Memory Scale Third Edition Spatial Span (WMS-III SST). Verbal memory was tested using the Hopkins Verbal Learning Test-Revised. Visual memory was assessed with the Brief Visuospatial Memory Test-Revised. The tests for processing speed included the Trail-Making Test Part A (TMT-A), Brief Assessment of Cognitive Inhibition in Schizophrenia-symbol coding, and Category Fluency Test-animal naming. Attention was evaluated with Continuous Performance Test-Identical Pairs (CPT-IP). In addition, the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test and Stroop Color and Word Test were used to assess reasoning and problem-solving, and inhibition and interference control functions, respectively.

To calculate cognitive domain scores, all test measures were first converted to standardized z scores by setting the sample mean of each measure at baseline to zero and the standard deviation to 1. For domains with more than one test, summary scores were determined by calculating the mean of the z scores for the measures that comprised the domain, then converting the mean to a z score with a mean of zero and a standard deviation of one.

Clinical and safety assessments

The severity of psychopathology was assessed using the PANSS. The Clinical Global Impressions (CGI) scale item for severity of illness was used to assess global changes. Depressive symptoms were evaluated using the Calgary Depression Scale for Schizophrenia (CDSS). The Simpson-Angus Rating Scale and the Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale were used to assess extrapyramidal symptoms and dyskinetic movements, respectively. The PANSS, CDSS, CGI, Simpson-Angus Rating Scale, and Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale ratings were administered monthly. Safety evaluations included physical examinations, electrocardiography, vital signs, and adverse events. A complete blood count and urinalysis were performed at baseline and at the end of the study. Intraclass correlation coefficients for these instruments ranged from 0.82 to 0.88. All raters were blinded to treatment assignment.
Statistical analysis
All analyses were conducted using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 17.0 software (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). The alpha level for testing the statistical significance of effects was $P=0.05$ unless otherwise indicated. Data analysis was based on the intent-to-treat population, including all eligible patients with at least one follow-up assessment. For missing data, the last observation carried forward was used for analysis. Between-group comparisons of demographic and clinical characteristics at baseline were performed using the Student’s $t$-test for continuous variables and the $\chi^2$ test or Fisher’s Exact test for categorical variables. Between-group comparisons for cognitive scores at baseline were performed using analysis of covariance controlling for age and education.

The primary outcome measure was the change in cognitive scores from baseline to 12 weeks. Within-group comparisons of continuous variables between baseline and end point were examined using the paired-samples $t$-test. Between-group comparisons in cognitive function at end point were performed using analysis of covariance, controlling for baseline, age, and education. Bonferroni corrections were used to set the cut-off for statistical significance at $0.004 (0.05/14)$ and $0.008 (0.05/7)$ for multiple comparisons in 14 cognitive scores and in seven cognitive domain scores, respectively. Effect size (changes in cognitive domain from baseline to week 12) was calculated using Cohen’s formula. In addition, the $\chi^2$ test or Fisher’s Exact test was used to compare between-group differences in adverse events.

Results
Baseline characteristics and follow-up
A total of 61 patients were enrolled in the study, and 52 completed cognitive function testing at baseline and at 12 weeks follow-up (Figure 1). The demographic and clinical characteristics of the 61 patients are shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences between the two groups for age, sex, education, psychopathology scores, or duration of illness (all $P \geq 0.083$, Table 1).

![Figure 1](https://www.dovepress.com/)
Figure 1 Flow chart of participation in the study.
Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients who received donepezil or placebo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Donepezil (n=31)</th>
<th>Placebo (n=30)</th>
<th>(F/\chi^2)</th>
<th>(P)-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>24.71 (5.91)</td>
<td>25.90 (4.42)</td>
<td>0.790</td>
<td>0.378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.455</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>14 (45.16)</td>
<td>11 (36.67)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>17 (54.84)</td>
<td>19 (63.33)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years)</td>
<td>11.65 (1.91)</td>
<td>10.23 (2.65)</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>0.487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of illness (years)</td>
<td>4.83 (2.48)</td>
<td>5.12 (2.49)</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive psychiatric family history, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risperidone</td>
<td>4.07 (1.21)/15</td>
<td>4.03 (1.20)/15</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olanzapine</td>
<td>16.25 (6.45)/16</td>
<td>17.33 (6.51)/15</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS total score</td>
<td>54.94 (8.92)</td>
<td>52.37 (9.16)</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>0.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI severity score</td>
<td>3.87 (0.81)</td>
<td>3.53 (0.68)</td>
<td>3.112</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDSS total score</td>
<td>3.55 (1.96)</td>
<td>3.00 (1.75)</td>
<td>1.487</td>
<td>0.227</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: \(\chi^2\) for categorical variables and analysis of variance for continuous variables; mean (standard deviation) unless otherwise indicated.

Abbreviations: PANSS, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; CDSS, Calgary Depression Scale for Schizophrenia; CGI, Clinical Global Impressions scale.

Effects of treatment on cognitive function

When compared with baseline, significant improvements were found in the WMS-III SST, TMT-A, Category Fluency Test-animal naming, and Brief Visuospatial Memory Test-Revised total recall and delayed recall at end point in the donepezil group (all \(P\leq0.018\), Table 2).

At week 12, cognitive function scores show significant differences in WMS-III SST, TMT-A, Category Fluency Test-animal naming, and Brief Visuospatial Memory Test-Revised total recall and delayed recall between the two groups (all \(P\leq0.001\), Table 2).

Figure 2 shows the effect size of changes in domain scores after 12 weeks of treatment with donepezil. Significant effects of donepezil were found in working memory, speed of processing, and visual learning and memory (all \(P\leq0.008\)).

Effects of treatment on clinical efficacy and safety outcomes

Compared with baseline, significant improvements were found in PANSS scores and CDSS total scores at end point (all \(t\geq2.302\), all \(P\leq0.030\)). There were no significant differences in PANSS total score or CDSS total score between two groups at end point (all \(F\leq3.314\), all \(P\geq0.075\)). No significant differences were found in rates of adverse events between the two groups (\(P\geq0.492\), Table 3).

Discussion

This placebo-controlled, double-blind study investigated the efficacy and tolerability of donepezil as adjunctive therapy for cognitive impairment in Chinese patients with chronic schizophrenia. The study indicates that donepezil was safe and well tolerated, and effective as an add-on treatment to improve cognitive function in this patient population.

Our data show that at the 12-week end point, the donepezil group had significant improvements in the WMS-III SST, Brief Visuospatial Memory Test total recall and delayed recall, TMT-A, and Category Fluency Test-animal naming. Effect size analysis showed that the donepezil group experienced moderate improvement in working memory, speed of processing, and visual learning and memory. The difference between the largest effect size for the cognitive domain score (0.54) and the smaller effect size (\(\leq0.27\)) is \(\geq0.27\), or about one third of a standard deviation (1). If we assume that the smaller effect sizes reflect only practice effects, then the difference (\(\geq0.27\)) could represent an actual treatment effect, albeit a “small” effect with low clinical significance.32

A number of previous studies have investigated the cognitive effects of acetylcholinesterase inhibitors in schizophrenia.5,9–12 Some trials suggested that donepezil and other acetylcholinesterase inhibitors may have potential benefits for cognitive impairment in schizophrenia,5,12 but a large multicenter study by Keefe et al failed to demonstrate any cognitive effects of donepezil in patients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder.11 Most patients were smokers or ex-smokers, and the mean duration of schizophrenia was long (18 years) in their study. A previous study suggested that cognitive impairment is progressive during the course of the illness,33 therefore, some of the study participants may not have responded well to donepezil in the study by Keefe et al. The evidence for an effect of cigarette smoking on cognitive deficits in schizophrenia is mixed. Several studies have showed that nicotine improves the cognitive deficits,34,35 but others have

...
Table 2 Neuropsychological test scores of patients with schizophrenia who received donepezil or placebo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Donepezil group (n=26)</th>
<th>Placebo group (n=26)</th>
<th>Between-group comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>P-value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPT-iP</td>
<td>Baseline 2.15 (0.51)</td>
<td>2.10 (0.58)</td>
<td>0.092 0.763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 weeks 2.25 (0.72)</td>
<td>2.30 (0.63)</td>
<td>0.016 0.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMS-III SST</td>
<td>Baseline 15.00 (3.26)</td>
<td>14.92 (3.38)</td>
<td>0.042 0.838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 weeks 16.92 (1.53)**</td>
<td>14.96 (2.35)</td>
<td>16.694 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCST</td>
<td>Perseverative errors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline 15.88 (5.94)</td>
<td>13.27 (5.84)</td>
<td>3.521 0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 weeks 15.19 (8.72)</td>
<td>12.88 (8.58)</td>
<td>1.604 0.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Categorised completed</td>
<td>Baseline 2.88 (1.56)</td>
<td>3.23 (1.31)</td>
<td>0.463 0.499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 weeks 3.04 (1.46)</td>
<td>3.35 (1.47)</td>
<td>3.063 0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMT-A</td>
<td>Baseline 45.56 (15.02)</td>
<td>45.15 (13.00)</td>
<td>0.011 0.918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 weeks 38.63 (11.28)**</td>
<td>45.01 (12.34)</td>
<td>11.446 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BACS SC</td>
<td>Baseline 44.12 (11.54)</td>
<td>43.62 (9.98)</td>
<td>0.028 0.868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 weeks 46.58 (9.92)</td>
<td>43.31 (7.76)</td>
<td>2.683 0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFT animal naming</td>
<td>Baseline 15.23 (4.01)</td>
<td>15.54 (4.13)</td>
<td>0.074 0.786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 weeks 17.35 (3.78)**</td>
<td>14.81 (3.20)</td>
<td>11.644 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVMT-R</td>
<td>Total recall Baseline 21.65 (8.05)</td>
<td>19.88 (8.51)</td>
<td>0.593 0.445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 weeks 25.54 (6.90)**</td>
<td>17.92 (6.04)</td>
<td>33.898 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delayed recall Baseline 8.73 (3.21)</td>
<td>8.04 (3.38)</td>
<td>1.098 0.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 weeks 10.58 (2.18)*</td>
<td>7.69 (3.12)</td>
<td>22.148 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVL-T-R</td>
<td>Total recall Baseline 21.50 (6.29)</td>
<td>20.62 (6.40)</td>
<td>0.253 0.617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 weeks 21.88 (5.40)</td>
<td>19.38 (4.89)</td>
<td>3.045 0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delayed recall Baseline 7.58 (2.56)</td>
<td>6.62 (2.89)</td>
<td>1.613 0.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 weeks 8.04 (2.79)</td>
<td>6.31 (2.36)</td>
<td>2.406 0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop Task</td>
<td>Word Baseline 104.04 (19.49)</td>
<td>107.19 (23.94)</td>
<td>0.073 0.788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 weeks 106.69 (14.24)</td>
<td>109.38 (18.70)</td>
<td>0.316 0.577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Color Baseline 66.08 (15.75)</td>
<td>69.46 (18.34)</td>
<td>0.063 0.803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 weeks 68.12 (15.51)</td>
<td>68.58 (14.52)</td>
<td>0.012 0.912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Color-Word Baseline 43.46 (12.07)</td>
<td>41.50 (10.73)</td>
<td>1.106 0.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 weeks 47.77 (14.62)</td>
<td>45.35 (12.60)</td>
<td>1.063 0.308</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Within-group differences were analyzed using the paired-samples t-test. *P<0.05, **P<0.01; between-group comparisons were analyzed using analysis of covariance controlling for baseline, age, and education.


Figure 2 Effect size of change in domain scores after 12 weeks of treatment with donepezil or placebo in patients with schizophrenia.

Notes: Between-group comparisons were analyzed using analysis of covariance controlling for baseline, age, and education. *P<0.008.
found little or no association between smoking and cognitive deficits in schizophrenia.\textsuperscript{36} Cigarette smoking can desensitize nicotine receptors in patients with schizophrenia, who do not show the normal upregulation following chronic nicotine use.\textsuperscript{37} This might have prevented donepezil reaching its full potential with regard to cognitive enhancement in patients with schizophrenia who smoked, in the study by Keeffe et al.\textsuperscript{31}

The cholinergic system has been implicated in the regulation of attention, memory, processing speed, and sensory gating,\textsuperscript{38} all of which are impaired in schizophrenia. Autoradiography studies have shown alterations in the density and expression of acetylcholine receptors in the brains of patients with schizophrenia.\textsuperscript{39,40} Post mortem studies have also demonstrated changes in muscarinic and nicotinic receptor availability or expression.\textsuperscript{41,42} The activity of choline acetyltransferase, a biomarker of cholinergic neuronal function, in the parietal cortex of patients with schizophrenia was shown to be negatively correlated with the severity of cognitive impairment.\textsuperscript{43} These studies suggest that schizophrenia is associated with multiple abnormalities of the cholinergic system. Thus, cholinesterase inhibitors may be useful in the treatment of cognitive impairment in patients with schizophrenia.

Donepezil had limited effects on psychotic symptoms. There was no difference in effects on symptoms of psychopathology between the two groups in our study. Donepezil was also well tolerated. The incidence of adverse events was similarly low in both groups.

There are several potential limitations to our study. First, the dose of 5 mg/day is lower than that used in previous similar research.\textsuperscript{44} Donepezil is administered once daily in a dose range of 5–10 mg for Alzheimer’s disease, but in some Asian countries, the recommended dose is lower.\textsuperscript{8} Second, our patients were treated with donepezil for 12 weeks, which might be too short to demonstrate the effect of donepezil on cognitive function. Donepezil significantly improves cognitive function in Alzheimer’s dementia for up to 6 months.\textsuperscript{8} Higher doses or a longer treatment duration may have yielded greater cognitive improvement. Third, the sample size was small. Future randomized controlled investigations with a larger sample size are warranted. Finally, our study excluded smokers, so the generalizability of the findings might be limited. However, the rate of cigarette smoking in Chinese patients with schizophrenia is 13.9%, so is lower than the rates reported in most of the previous studies.\textsuperscript{45}

In summary, the results of this double-blind, placebo-controlled study suggest a potential cognitive benefit from adjunctive use of donepezil in Chinese patients with schizophrenia.
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