Novel diagnostic approaches and biological therapeutics for intrinsic asthma
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Abstract: Intrinsic asthma has been considered as a specific disease entity for a long time, although many controversies have emerged in relation to this concept. Of note, not finding specific allergen sensitization in an asthmatic patient neither excludes an allergic component nor the essential role that immunoglobulin E may play in asthma. The diagnostic approach should be similar in any patient suspected to have asthma. The atopic status is one among many other questions. Omalizumab, the only monoclonal anti-immunoglobulin E antibody commercialized for asthma, should be tried in patients with uncontrolled severe asthma independent of their atopic status.
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Introduction

In 1990, Claude Molina published a paper in the Bulletin de l’Academie Nationale de Medecine entitled “Predicting announcement of the death of intrinsic asthma”, arguing that intrinsic asthma should be removed from the medical vocabulary.1 Molina based his assertions on past history, clinical symptoms, molecular biology, and genetics, and stated that asthma appeared to be a local variety of immunoglobulin (Ig)E hypersensitivity to environmental factors. In 1918, Frances Rackemann had published a paper entitled “A clinical study of 150 cases of bronchial asthma”,2 suggesting that not all asthma could be defined as allergic, so categorized asthma cases as either extrinsic or intrinsic. Extrinsic asthma is when an asthmatic has a hypersensitivity to a protein in foreign objects, or things that are outside the human body. Their bodies develop proteins (later identified as IgE antibodies) to these substances, and repeated exposure to these foreign proteins will cause the allergic asthma response. Intrinsic asthma would cover all those cases of asthma not attributable to allergies, such as asthma caused by sinus infections, chronic sinusitis, nasal polyps, teeth infections, gum infections, throat infections (croup), acute bronchitis, colds, kidney failure (kidney asthma), heart failure (cardiac asthma), gastrointestinal irritation, etc. It usually affects adults more so than children, and is more likely to be chronic than extrinsic asthma. Rackemann explained that although there were clinical differences between intrinsic and extrinsic asthma, their clinical symptoms and associated respiratory function were very similar.2 Ever since this early description, there has been debate about the role of atopy in intrinsic asthma.

At this point, it would be helpful to define the concepts of atopy and allergy. Atopy is a genetic predisposition to develop a hypersensitivity reaction and produce elevated
levels of IgE upon exposure to an antigen (mostly inhaled or ingested) that other people do not react to. Normally, atopy and allergy are terms that are used synonymously, but allergy should be used when atopy is associated with symptoms of disease, eg, rhinitis, asthma, atopic dermatitis, urticaria, angioedema, anaphylaxis, abdominal pains, or diarrhea.

Nowadays, although many studies have confirmed that intrinsic and extrinsic asthma have similar physiopathology, the dichotomy still exists, with different therapeutic options for each. The present review analyzes the relevance of differences between intrinsic and extrinsic asthma in light of new trends, reviews the diagnostic approach to so-called intrinsic asthma, and evaluates the role of new biological therapies according to the atopy status of asthma.

**Immunopathology**

The pathology of intrinsic asthma and that of extrinsic asthma are quite similar. Activation of mast cells, basophils, and T helper type 2 (Th2) cells results in production of cytokines, including interleukin (IL)-4 and IL-13, which coordinate and amplify the inflammatory cascade. Subsequently, B-cells initiate the transcription and production of allergen-specific IgE. The crystallizable fraction (Fc) of free IgE molecules binds to the surface of mast cells, basophils, macrophages, and dendritic cells via high-affinity (FcεRI) and low-affinity (FcεRII) receptors. Allergens then bind to the variable region of the bound IgE molecule, inducing cell activation and generation of proinflammatory mediators, including Th2-type cytokines, chemokines, prostaglandin D2, leukotriene C4, and tumor necrosis factor-α. This process augments the recruitment of additional eosinophils, basophils, and Th2 cells, ultimately resulting in mucosal inflammation, air hyperresponsiveness, airflow limitation, and symptoms of asthma.

Humbert et al. contributed to our understanding of the pathology of asthma with numerous studies. In one of these studies, allergic and nonallergic patients underwent bronchial biopsy studies. Variants of the disease were characterized by: infiltration of eosinophils and Th2 cells secreting IL-4 or IL-5, or both; the presence of chemokines and FcεRII cells; and cells that express mRNA for the ε germ-line transcript (Ie) and the ε heavy chain of IgE (Cε). The only difference found was a strong macrophage signal in biopsies from patients with intrinsic asthma when compared with those with extrinsic asthma. Humbert et al suggested that this could represent macrophage dysfunction or simply be a reflection of age and duration of disease (intrinsic asthmatics were significantly older) rather than a basic difference in the pathological mechanism between these two forms of asthma. Similarly, FcεRI are expressed in allergic and nonallergic asthma.

IL-4 is essential for synthesis of IgE, and there is much evidence that IL-5 plays a major role in recruitment of eosinophils in the inflammation component of asthma. Humbert et al. compared the expression of IL-4 and IL-5 mRNA and their protein products in bronchial biopsies from symptomatic atopic and nonatopic asthmatic subjects and that in atopic and nonatopic controls. Compared with controls, biopsies from both groups of asthmatic subjects showed increased numbers of IL-4 and IL-5 mRNA copies relative to beta-actin mRNA as detected by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction. Similarly, in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry demonstrated increased numbers of cells expressing IL-4 and IL-5 mRNA and protein in asthmatic subjects, irrespective of their atopy status. They concluded that individuals with asthma, whether atopic or nonatopic, showed infiltration of the bronchial mucosa with cells expressing Th2-type cytokines, providing further evidence for similarities in the immunopathology of these clinically distinct forms of asthma.

The relationship between elevated levels of total IgE and asthma, independent of atopy status, has been well documented. Humbert confirmed that nonatopic asthmatics have elevated total serum IgE concentrations when compared with nonatopic controls, despite the fact that, as a group, nonatopic asthmatics tend to be older than allergic asthmatics and serum IgE concentrations tend to decline with age.

As well as elevated total serum IgE, evidence for local synthesis of IgE has been demonstrated in the airways of patients with intrinsic asthma, despite negative skin prick tests and negative testing for allergen-specific IgE in serum. This local IgE and FcεRI expression suggests that patients labeled as having intrinsic asthma might be allergic to an unidentified exogenous antigen, an infective agent, or an endogenous allergen due to local allergic reactions in the airways.

The *Staphylococcus aureus* enterotoxin reaction deserves special mention. *S. aureus* frequently colonizes the human nose, mostly in patients with nasal polyp disease. *S. aureus* has the potential to release enterotoxins that may act as superantigens, polyclonally activating T-cells and B-cells directly within the human mucosa. IgE antibodies against *S. aureus* enterotoxins (SAE-IgE) may therefore serve as a marker of this polyclonal activation, either locally within the mucosa or systemically in the serum. SAE-IgE can be measured in the serum of patients who have chronic rhinosinusitis.
with nasal polyps and comorbid asthma, as well as in patients with asthma alone.

The presence of intramucosal SAE-IgE in polyps is common when nasosinusual pathology is associated with asthma, mostly severe asthma. About 60%–80% of patients with severe asthma are SAE-IgE-positive, and about one third are nonatopic, suggesting that superantigens may be a cause of the so-called intrinsic form of asthma.14

All in all, there are no significant physiopathological differences between intrinsic and extrinsic asthma.

Phenotypes

Asthma has been generally recognized as a very complex and heterogeneous disease that is variable over time. The concept of “phenotype” was introduced to provide a more integrated classification. It is defined as the grouping of characteristics of an organism that result from the interaction of genes with the environment.15 Phenotypic heterogeneity reflecting the diverse genetic and complex environmental factors implicated in the pathogenesis of asthma is an evolving concept. First, descriptions focused on the two main phenotypes of asthma, ie, extrinsic and intrinsic. Discrepancies concerning this division justified further studies to differentiate these phenotypes, taking into account epidemiological and clinical data, the natural history of the disease, and the pathophysiology of the airways. In the end, the objective of phenotype classification would be to design specific therapeutic strategies for each phenotype.

Classification of phenotypes is based empirically on the principle that similarity measured across a number of different characteristics may predict relationships of biological significance with greater probability. Cluster analysis refers to a group of multivariate mathematical algorithms that, broadly, quantify similarity between individuals and group individuals into clusters such that similarity between members of the same clusters is strong.16,17

Three recent studies have described clusters fundamentally based on the age of onset of asthma, sex, atopic status, asthma symptoms, and lung function plus other items that were particular to each study. Interestingly, some phenotypes identified by all three analyses overlapped. Of note, they identified a phenotype composed of subjects with early-onset allergic asthma, and another phenotype composed mainly of females with late-onset nonallergic asthma and a higher percentage of neutrophils in sputum.17,20 Haldar et al17 did not identify atopic status as a significant discriminator influencing cluster; however, the prevalence of atopy did differ significantly between clusters, suggesting that its inclusion to describe phenotypes is appropriate.

In a recent exhaustive review of adult-onset asthma, de Nijs et al21 considered that the differentiation between childhood-onset asthma and adult-onset asthma is not new, and with the importance of phenotyping of asthma being increasingly recognized, the adult-onset asthma described by Rackemann in 194722 seems to have been “rediscovered”. Within adult-onset asthma, the authors described:

- Adult-onset obese female-preponderant asthma, with high symptomatology, less atopy, no eosinophilic airway inflammation, often treated with systemic corticosteroids
- Adult-onset nonatopic asthma, mainly affecting males, with fixed airflow limitation, eosinophilia in sputum, frequent exacerbations, an association with chronic rhinosinusitis, nasal polyps, and aspirin sensitivity
- Adult-onset mild asthma, mainly affecting females, around 50% of whom are atopic, not fixed airflow obstruction, less smoking history, lower body mass index
- Smoking-related asthma, mostly males, about 66% nonatopic, not fixed airflow obstruction.

Compared with childhood-onset asthma, adult-onset asthma has a worse prognosis, a poorer response to standard treatment, and often requires systemic corticosteroids. Nonatopic or intrinsic asthma is associated with more severe disease, but it can be present in more than one of the different adult-onset phenotypes.

Neutrophilia has been associated with severe asthma and was supported as a specific phenotype (neutrophilic asthma) with discrepancies.23–25 Neutrophilia can coexist with eosinophilia, and this identifies people with the most severe asthma and underscores the complexity of the immune biology of severe asthma, in which multiple innate and adaptive immune pathways and cells may have a role.

Taken together, a number of studies have confirmed that asthma is a very heterogeneous disease, but also demonstrate that phenotype classification is plagued by confounding issues.

Diagnostic approach

The diagnostic approach to asthma is very well described nowadays in international and national guidelines.26,27 As always in medicine, the clinical history (anamnesis) is the first and certainly the principal initial approach for diagnosing asthma. Diagnostic tests must confirm the diagnosis, considering all possible confounders that in some cases may be very difficult. Table 1 is a summary of the most important steps in confirming a diagnosis of asthma.
The steps for diagnosing asthma must be the same in every case. This basic approach and other specialized tests contribute to establishing a phenotype in an individual patient. In particular, the atopy status approach is as important as any other part of the diagnosis. In many cases, confirmation of atopy status is not possible.

**Biological treatment**

In general, most patients with asthma can be well controlled by daily therapy combining inhaled corticosteroids with a long-acting β2-agonist, as recommended by international and national guidelines. Addition of other controllers, including oral corticosteroids, may be necessary in patients with more severe asthma. However, around 10% of patients respond poorly to conventional treatment, being exposed to the severe adverse effects of treatment and having a poor quality of life. These patients generate most of the healthcare costs associated with asthma. This group highlights a clear need for improved treatment strategies, such as biological therapies. Our current understanding of the immune pathology of asthma has identified multiple mediators as potential therapeutic targets, and these are currently under development. To date, most of these monoclonal antibodies have focused on the Th2 asthma phenotype, but little is known about their efficacy in so-called nonatopic asthma. To our knowledge, only two have been investigated in “intrinsic asthma”.

**Omalizumab**

Up until now, the first and as yet only biological agent licensed for the treatment of asthma is omalizumab (Xolair®; Novartis Europharm Limited, Horsham, West Sussex, UK), specifically for severe extrinsic asthma. The essential role of IgE in the pathogenesis of asthma has been broadly recognized, as mentioned above, justifying the view of IgE as a target for new monoclonal antibodies. As a consequence, the anti-IgE concept was proposed by Chang et al in early 1987.

Omalizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody that binds to the Fe of free IgE molecules, reducing free circulating IgE and blocking its binding to the receptors present on mast cells, basophils, and dendritic cells, which prevents inflammatory cells from releasing mediators when in contact with allergens. More mechanisms of action have also been described over time. Omalizumab decreases the density of FceRI and FceRII expression on basophils, mast cells, and dendritic cells, and decreases airway inflammation. A reduction in expression of FceRI on dendritic cells and its binding to IgE may decrease the allergen presentation process. Further, recent studies have shown that omalizumab might have some benefit in airway remodeling by reducing the thickness of the reticular basement membrane in patients with asthma.

Omalizumab has demonstrated its efficacy in severe IgE-mediated allergic asthma, both in clinical studies and in the post-marketing experience. First approved in Australia in 2002, omalizumab was authorized in Spain in 2006. Table 2 describes the approved indications for patients with asthma who have not responded to conventional treatments. Doses are calculated according to body weight and total IgE levels. Doses may range between 75 mg and 600 mg every 4 weeks or 225 mg and 600 mg every 2 weeks.

Asthma is a heterogeneous disease in which IgE may play different roles, and non-atopy status is associated with more severe disease. Therefore, investigating whether omalizumab has a role to play in nonallergic asthmatics became necessary. Starting with isolated case reports, clinical data has emerged for a substantial number of patients with uncontrolled intrinsic asthma from clinical trials and “real life” studies, showing a reduction in exacerbation rates and...
an improvement in symptom scores during treatment with omalizumab.\textsuperscript{39–43} In our series,\textsuperscript{42} omalizumab demonstrated a beneficial effect in a group of 29 patients with nonatopic asthma. Over the course of the study, the Global Evolution of Treatment Effectiveness scale and Asthma Control Test score increased significantly. Further, we observed an increase in pulmonary function values and a decrease in severe asthma exacerbations, but these were not significantly different from that achieved in atopic asthmatics. All in all, the global results were very similar to those obtained in atopic patients.

Barnes,\textsuperscript{44} in his review on intrinsic asthma, considered that the demonstration that intrinsic asthma is associated with local IgE production, as in the case of superantigens, suggests that treatment with anti-IgE may be appropriate in nonatopic asthma. Another two possible explanations are considered by Bhat and Calhoun,\textsuperscript{3} who theorized that the range of potential allergenic agents is considerably larger than that addressed by the currently available diagnostic reagents, so “false-negative” allergy test results are a real possibility. Alternatively, it is possible that IgE elevation may occur as an unspecific reaction, secondary to asthmatic Th2 cell-like inflammation with IL-4 elaboration, and that IgE triggers airway hyperresponsiveness independent of a specific allergen sensitization.

Finally, in a recent publication, Lommatzsch et al\textsuperscript{45} argue that there are currently two hypotheses that might explain the clinical effects of omalizumab in patients with intrinsic asthma. The first one assumes that patients with intrinsic asthma have a local allergy with elevated concentrations of allergen-specific IgE antibodies in the airways. In this case, treatment with anti-IgE could reduce local allergic airway inflammation, leading to a reduction in disease severity and exacerbation rates. The other hypothesis assumes that plasmacytoid dendritic cells in patients with intrinsic asthma are characterized by an immunological imbalance, and enhanced cross-linking of FcεRI on plasmacytoid dendritic cells suppresses the antiviral activity of these cells. In this case, viral infections could trigger intrinsic asthma. Anti-IgE treatment could reduce serum IgE concentrations and the density of IgE receptors on plasmacytoid dendritic cells, thereby restoring the antiviral activity of plasmacytoid dendritic cells and decreasing the disease severity and exacerbation rates. More specifically, in the first randomized controlled study comparing omalizumab and placebo in intrinsic asthma, Garcia et al\textsuperscript{43} showed that FcεRI expression on blood plasmacytoid dendritic cells decreased markedly (by 56%) in omalizumab-treated patients as compared with a nonsignificant change of 4% in those given placebo.

All in all, the recent molecular and clinical evidence suggests that anti-IgE treatment may be effective in patients with intrinsic asthma. As Lommatzsch et al point out, this is more than just anecdotal evidence, so well controlled clinical trials of anti-IgE are urgently needed in patients with intrinsic asthma.

**Mepolizumab**

Mepolizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody against IL-5 and selectively and effectively inhibits eosinophilic inflammation in the airways. In 2012, a large study demonstrated the efficacy of mepolizumab in reducing the exacerbation rate in patients with severe eosinophilic asthma.\textsuperscript{46} Further, another recent study also demonstrated that mepolizumab is effective in the treatment of severe nasal polyposis.\textsuperscript{47}

Eosinophils are involved in diverse inflammatory responses irrespective of atopy status and IL-5 is involved primarily in growth, survival, and activation of eosinophils, as well as in mediating inflammation. Therefore, Ortega et al\textsuperscript{48} conducted a post hoc analysis of the DREAM trial (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT01000506),\textsuperscript{46} with the objective of examining and discussing the effect of treatment with mepolizumab on the frequency of exacerbations in atopic and nonatopic subgroups. These authors concluded that treatment with mepolizumab resulted in a consistent reduction in eosinophils and a decreased exacerbation frequency in both atopic and nonatopic patients (42% and 51%, respectively). Mepolizumab may be promising for patients with severe eosinophilic asthma irrespective of atopy status.

**Take home messages**

In light of new trends, some reflections are possible:
- asthma is a very heterogeneous disease
- there are more similarities than differences between extrinsic and intrinsic asthma
- advances in knowledge have led to identification of different phenotypes based on various clinical and pathological characteristics
- evolution of “cluster” analysis contributes to better identification of phenotypes
- atopy status is one of the different characteristics that may be present in several phenotypes
- not finding a specific allergen does not mean absence of atopy
- intrinsic status is associated with more severe asthma
- although identification of atopic status may not be a criterion for classification of asthma, it may help to guide treatment and prevention of exposure to the proposed cause
• the diagnostic approach must be equal to that for any suspected asthma patient
• there is emerging evidence that omalizumab may be beneficial for patients in whom atopy status cannot be identified and should be considered in uncontrolled severe asthma.

As Molina said a long time ago,1 intrinsic asthma has no place in the medical vocabulary as a unique entity; moreover, using this concept may act as a confounder. However, studying atopy status is essential, as for any other different characteristic, to identify asthma patients.
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