Lowering low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus
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Abstract: Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is characterized by hyperglycemia, insulin resistance, and/or progressive loss of β-cell function. T2DM patients are at increased risk of micro- and macrovascular disease, and are often considered as representing an atherosclerotic coronary heart disease (CHD) risk equivalent. Interventions directed at glucose and lipid level control in T2DM patients may reduce micro- and macrovascular disease. The optimal T2DM agent is one that lowers glucose levels with limited risk for hypoglycemia, and with no clinical trial evidence of worsening CHD risk. Lipid-altering drugs should preferably reduce low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and apolipoprotein B (apo B) and have evidence that the mechanism of action reduces CHD risk. Statins reduce low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and apo B and have evidence of improving CHD outcomes, and are thus first-line therapy for the treatment of hypercholesterolemia. In patients who do not achieve optimal lipid levels with statin therapy, or who are intolerant to statin therapy, add-on therapy or alternative therapies may be indicated. Additional available agents to treat hypercholesterolemic patients with T2DM include bile acid sequestrants, fibrates, niacin, and ezetimibe. This review discusses the use of these alternative agents to treat hypercholesterolemia in patients with T2DM, either as monotherapy or in combination with statin therapy.
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Introduction

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a disease characterized by hyperglycemia, insulin resistance, and/or progressive loss of β-cell function. T2DM is associated with high cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk,\(^1\) and hyperglycemia induces vascular changes that contribute to atherosclerosis and vasculopathy (Table 1).\(^2\)-\(^4\)

Intensive glucose control in patients with T2DM may reduce CVD, depending upon how early and the speed at which such intervention is implemented, the types of agents used for glucose control, and the medical status of the patient.\(^5\),\(^5\) Overall, the best approach for reducing CVD risk is a comprehensive one that not only includes glucose and lipid control, but also the introduction of therapeutic lifestyle changes such as smoking cessation, optimal nutrition, increased physical activity, appropriate body weight management, blood pressure management, and possible aspirin therapy for patients with high CVD risk.\(^6\)

In some patients, T2DM may be considered a coronary heart disease (CHD) risk equivalent,\(^7\) which may necessitate more stringent lipid control for primary prevention than in individuals without diabetes mellitus. While the recent American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association guidelines emphasize reducing risk in
Hyperglycemia promotes nonenzymatic glycosylation of proteins and lipids, which produces AGE that may be toxic to the vasculature. Examples of the effects of AGE on atherosclerosis are presented below:

- Glycosylation of apo B promotes atheroma formation by
  - Reducing uptake of apo B by LDL receptors;
  - Enhancing uptake of apo B by subendothelial macrophages;
  - Reducing clearance of LDL from the circulation; and
  - Increasing foam cell formation.

- Glycation of LDL-particle phospholipid promotes atherosclerosis by
  - Encouraging the formation of reactive oxygen species and the development of oxidative stress via increasing the susceptibility of LDL to oxidation.

- AGE may also promote atherosclerosis by non-receptor-mediated mechanisms, including
  - Altering the complement regulatory system; and
  - Promoting cellular matrix abnormalities.

- Cell types with AGE receptors include monocyte-derived macrophages, endothelial cells, and smooth muscle cells. Binding of AGE to their receptors results in
  - Oxidative stress;
  - Increased permeability of endothelial cells to lipids;
  - Enhanced adhesion of monocytes to the vasculature; and
  - Increased smooth muscle cell proliferation.

Hyperglycemia may also increase protein kinase C activation, which results in

- Alterations in growth factor production in vascular-related cells, including endothelial and smooth muscle cells, and monocyte-derived macrophages.

### Table 1: Effects of hyperglycemia on atherosclerotic processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Effect on Atherosclerosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glycosylation of apo B</td>
<td>Promotes atheroma formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycation of LDL-particle phospholipid</td>
<td>Promotes atherosclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGE receptors</td>
<td>Promote atherosclerosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data from Bays.4

Abbreviations: AGE, advanced glycosylation end products; apo B, apolipoprotein B; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

Statin therapy, for each mmol/L (39 mg/dL) reduction in LDL-C, there was a 21% proportional reduction in major vascular events ($P<0.0001$).14

Although the efficacy of statins is well established, a considerable proportion of patients do not achieve lipid goals with statin monotherapy and may require add-on or alternative therapies to statins to better achieve LDL-C treatment goals. In the National Cholesterol Education Program Evaluation Project Utilizing Novel E-Technology (NEPTUNE) II study, the percentage of patients who achieved LDL-C treatment goals decreased as the number of risk factors increased; 89% and 76% of patients with zero to one and two or more risk factors, respectively, achieved LDL-C goal, while only 57% of patients with CHD or CHD risk equivalents achieved goal.13 Moreover, in the subset of patients with CHD or CHD risk equivalents, 55% of patients with diabetes mellitus but without CHD achieved LDL-C goal compared with 62% of patients with CHD and only 40% of patients with other CHD risk equivalents (without CHD).15 Of the patients in the CHD or CHD risk equivalents subgroup who had triglycerides $\geq 200$ mg/dL ($\geq 2.25$ mmol/L), 50% of patients with diabetes mellitus (without CHD) and 57% of patients with CHD achieved LDL-C goal, whereas 44% of patients with other CHD risk equivalents (without CHD) achieved LDL-C goal.15

One strategy for improving LDL-C goal attainment is to increase statin therapy, often to the maximal approved dose; however, doubling the statin dose does not double the LDL-C lowering efficacy. In a pooled analysis of 37 studies of 32,258 patients receiving rosuvastatin, atorvastatin, or simvastatin, doubling the statin dose reduced LDL-C levels by only an additional 5%-7%.16 High-dose statin therapy is generally well tolerated in many patients, at least as determined by clinical trial data.11 However, increasing statin dose to the highest doses may not be the best strategy for all patients. A meta-analysis of studies investigating intensive- and moderate-dose statin regimens showed that patients receiving higher-dose statin were more likely to experience an adverse event, discontinue therapy because of an adverse event, and demonstrate liver abnormalities and increased creatine kinase levels compared with patients receiving moderate-dose statins.17 Also, while the clinical significance is unclear, statins (particularly at intensive doses) may be associated with increased risk of developing new-onset diabetes and/or unfavorable glycemic effects.18-21

In patients who are unable to achieve desired LDL-C treatment goals with statin therapy, a number of other agents, including bile acid (BA) sequestrants (BASs), fibrates,
niacin, and cholesterol absorption inhibitors (eg, ezetimibe), may be combined with statins to facilitate goal achievement or be used in place of statins for patients who cannot tolerate statins.6,22 This review describes these add-on or alternative therapy options for the lowering of LDL-C levels in patients with T2DM. Because of their dual effects on lowering glucose and LDL-C, the role of BASs will be discussed in greater detail.

**BASs**

Before statins were approved as agents to reduce elevated cholesterol levels, BASs were recommended as first-line therapy for reducing LDL-C levels.23 In the Lipid Research Clinics Coronary Primary Prevention Trial, the BAS cholestyramine was shown to improve cardiovascular (CV) outcomes in a population of asymptomatic middle-aged men with primary hypercholesterolemia (diabetes mellitus was an exclusion criteria). This was the first study to demonstrate that a reduction in LDL-C levels (mean reduction of 12.6% compared with placebo) significantly reduced CV risk (primary endpoint of CHD death and/or nonfatal myocardial infarction reduced by 19%, P<0.05), which was associated with a 24% reduction in CHD death and a 19% reduction in nonfatal myocardial infarction.24 BASs are nontoxic agents; however, BASs may bind to certain drugs in the gastrointestinal tract and it is therefore recommended that agents such as warfarin, digoxin, thyroid hormones, and fat-soluble vitamins be taken either 1 hour before or 4–6 hours after BAS administration. The primary adverse events reported for the older BASs cholestyramine and colestipol are constipation and flatulence, and these agents are associated with high discontinuation rates within clinical trials of 40%–60%.25,26 In comparison, the primary adverse events reported for the specifically engineered BAS colestevram are constipation and dyspepsia, with an observed compliance rate within clinical trials of 88%–93%.27,28

The synthesis of BASs occurs exclusively in the liver, and the BA pool is tightly regulated within the liver and intestine. BASs are known ligands for the nuclear receptor farnesoid X receptor (FXR) and self-regulate their own synthesis. Published literature suggests the following proposed model for the regulation of BASs. In the intestine, BASs secrete in response to an ingested meal activate FXR, which induces expression of fibroblast growth factor (FGF)-19.29,30 FGF-19 binds to surface hepatocyte FGF receptor 4 (FGFR4), which subsequently results in a c-Jun N-terminal kinase-mediated repression of cytochrome P450 enzyme cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase (CYP7A1), thus inhibiting the rate-limiting step in the conversion of cholesterol to BASs, and subsequently resulting in the downregulation of HMG-CoA reductase (the rate-limiting step of cholesterol synthesis) (Figure 1A).

Metabolic pathways in the liver also play a major role in the regulation of BASs. More specifically, increasing BA levels in the liver upregulate the small heterodimer partner (SHP) via increased FXR activation, which results in both inhibition of the liver X receptor (LXR) and liver receptor homolog-1 (LRH-1), and ultimately further repression of CYP7A1 to reduce BA synthesis.30,31

BASs bind BASs in the intestine, thus increasing BA excretion in the feces. Consequently, fewer BASs are returned to the liver. Binding BASs also “deactivate” FXR activity. Thus, the alteration of the BA pool reduces nuclear receptor FXR-mediated repression of key regulatory elements (eg, FGF15/19, FGFR4, SHP) responsible for BA synthesis, in particular, CYP7A, which ultimately results in increased BA synthesis.29,30 The upregulation of CYP7A in the BA synthesis pathway increases HMG-CoA transcriptional activity. Subsequent increased conversion of cholesterol to synthesize BASs results in a compensatory upregulation in hepatic LDL receptors (hLDLR), increased hepatic LDL-C uptake, and decreased circulating LDL-C.32,33 The proposed mechanism by which BA sequestration leads to LDL-C lowering is shown in Figure 1B.

In studies in patients with T2DM, the BASs colesvelam and cholestyramine reduced LDL-C levels.34,37 which may be accompanied by a modest increase in high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol (HDL-C) and triglyceride levels. In a double-blind, randomized, crossover study of 21 patients with well-controlled T2DM but fasting LDL-C levels of >130 mg/dL receiving cholestyramine or placebo for 6 weeks, cholestyramine produced a 28% reduction in LDL-C (P<0.001 versus placebo), a 13.5% increase in triglycerides (P=0.02 versus placebo), and a non-statistically significant increase in HDL-C (1 mg/dL; P>0.2 versus placebo).34 In three pivotal randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled studies in patients with T2DM (n>280), colesvelam reduced LDL-C by 13%–17% compared with placebo (P<0.001 for all); the placebo-adjusted mean change from baseline in triglyceride levels in colesvelam recipients ranged from +5% to +22% (Table 2) and HDL-C changed by ~0.9% to +0.9% (P=not significant for all).35–37 Among patients from these studies who were taking concomitant statins, the addition of colesvelam reduced LDL-C by 16% compared with an increase of 1% with placebo, and had no significant effect on HDL-C levels (+0.02% versus placebo; P=not significant).38
Table 2 Least squares mean percent treatment difference in glycemic and lipid parameters in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus receiving COL or PL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study, year (design; weeks)</th>
<th>Treatment (n)</th>
<th>A1C (%)</th>
<th>LDL-C (%)</th>
<th>HDL-C (%)</th>
<th>TC (%)</th>
<th>TG (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bays et al, 2008 (r, db, mc; 26)</td>
<td>COL (159)</td>
<td>−0.54&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>−15.9&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>+0.9</td>
<td>−7.2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>+4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PL (157)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fonseca et al, 2008 (r, db, mc; 26)</td>
<td>COL (230)</td>
<td>−0.54&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>−16.7&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>+0.1</td>
<td>−5.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>+17.7&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PL (231)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldberg et al, 2008 (r, db, mc; 16)</td>
<td>COL (147)</td>
<td>−0.50&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>−12.8&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>−0.9</td>
<td>−3.7&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>+21.5&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PL (140)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: <sup>a</sup>Median value reported; <sup>b</sup>P<0.001 versus placebo; <sup>c</sup>frequently used concomitant medications of interest included antihypertensive agents, antihyperlipidemic agents (excluding bile acid sequestrants), and antidiabetes agents; <sup>d</sup>frequently used concomitant medications of interest included antihyperlipidemic agents (excluding bile acid sequestrants) and antidiabetes agents.

Abbreviations: A1C, hemoglobin A1C; COL, colestevam; db, double-blind; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; mc, multicenter; pc, placebo controlled; PL, placebo; r, randomized; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides.
BASs may also lower glucose levels in patients with T2DM. The BAS colestelam was approved in 2008 by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to improve glycemic control in adults with T2DM. The precise glucose-lowering mechanisms of BASs are unknown. Possible mechanisms involved with the glucose-lowering effects of BASs are summarized in Figure 1C. In brief, decreased activity of both FXR and SHP resulting from the reduction of the enterohepatic BA pool after BAS administration promotes phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK) production, which increases hepatic gluconeogenesis and glycolysis. However, increased LXR activity suppresses expression of PEPCK and results in a reduction in gluconeogenesis, as well as increased insulin secretion and increased expression of glucokinase and glucose transporter. Furthermore, BA bound to a BAS may activate the G-protein-coupled receptor TGR5 in the intestine leading to the increased secretion of glucagon-like peptide-1 ([GLP-1] [L cells]) resulting in reduced hepatic glucose production via the suppression of hepatic glycogenolysis.

In three randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled studies, colestelam significantly lowered hemoglobin A1C by 0.5% or more compared with placebo (P<0.001 for all) in adults with T2DM when added to stable metformin-, insulin-, or sulfonylurea-based therapy (Table 2). Subgroup analysis of the metformin-based therapy study by pre-study use or nonuse of statins (which continued during the study) indicated that, regardless of any potential effect of statins on glycemia, concomitant statins did not attenuate the effects of colestelam. In both statin users and nonusers, colestelam produced significantly greater reductions than placebo in hemoglobin A1C (mean treatment differences −0.63% [P=0.0003] and −0.49% [P=0.001], respectively) and LDL-C (−16.4% [P=0.0024] and −15.8% [P<0.0001], respectively).

Fibrates
Fibrates are synthetic ligands for peroxisome proliferator-activated α-receptors. It is through binding to these nuclear receptors that they act to alter lipid levels. Fibrates primarily reduce triglycerides (which are often elevated in patients with T2DM), have a modest effect on HDL-C levels, and, depending upon the baseline triglyceride levels, may decrease LDL-C levels (in patients without baseline elevation in triglyceride levels) or may substantially increase LDL-C levels (in patients with very high baseline triglyceride levels). In the Diabetes Atherosclerosis Intervention Study, the improvements in lipid levels with fenofibrate were associated with reductions in the angiographic progression of coronary artery disease. However, while fenofibrate significantly improved LDL-C, HDL-C, triglyceride, and total cholesterol levels compared with placebo in the Fenofibrate Intervention and Event Lowering in Diabetes (FIELD) study (relative treatment difference: −5.8%, 1.2%, −21.9%, and −6.9%, respectively; all P<0.05), it did not significantly reduce the risk of CHD death or nonfatal myocardial infarction in patients with T2DM, although there was a significant reduction in the rate of total CVD events, a composite of CVD death, myocardial infarction, stroke, and coronary and carotid revascularization (hazard ratio, 0.89; 95% confidence interval: 0.80–0.99; P=0.035). It is noteworthy that, in the FIELD study, fenofibrate did significantly reduce the need for retinal laser treatment in patients with retinopathy (5.2% versus 3.6%; P=0.0003), and resulted in significantly less albuminuria progression (P=0.002) in patients with T2DM. Thus, fenofibrate may have a beneficial effect in reducing microvascular complications in this population.

The Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetics (ACCORD) lipid trial investigated the efficacy of fenofibrate versus placebo in 5,518 patients with T2DM at high risk of CVD who were receiving simvastatin therapy; the changes from baseline to the end of the study in lipid parameters are presented in Figure 2. While fenofibrate treatment resulted in significant improvements in total cholesterol, triglycerides and HDL-C compared with placebo, it did not significantly reduce the rate of fatal CV events, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke. However, an analysis by lipid subgroup suggested a possible benefit among patients with both a high baseline triglyceride level and a low baseline HDL-C level (P=0.057).

Some reports suggest fibrates may mildly reduce glucose levels, which, in addition to the triglyceride lowering, likely helps to account for the reduction in metabolic syndrome in patients treated with fibrates. When combined with statins, fibrates may mitigate the increase in glucose levels sometimes found associated with statins. The risk of rhabdomyolysis associated with combination therapy with statins and fibrates appears to differ among the fibrates, with a higher incidence observed with gemfibrozil, at least partially due to a higher risk of drug–drug interactions with statins.

Niacin
Niacin is believed to exert its effects via a number of potential mechanisms including: 1) directly and noncompetitively
inhibiting hepatocyte diacylglycerol acyltransferase 2, thereby reducing hepatic triglyceride synthesis and subsequent very low-density lipoprotein/LDL secretion; 2) inhibiting the surface expression of β-chain adenosine triphosphate synthase by hepatocytes, which inhibits HDL-apolipoprotein (apo) A-I removal, thus increasing apo A-I containing HDL particles; and 3) potentially stabilizing the circulation of secreted apo A-I via increased HDL biogenesis resulting from increased hepatic adenosine triphosphate-binding cassette transporter A-I-mediated apo A-I lipidation. At therapeutic doses, niacin significantly reduces LDL-C, non-HDL-C, apo B, and triglyceride levels and increases HDL-C levels. Niacin may also influence lipoprotein particle size and the distribution of lipid subparticles and improve lipid ratios.

The Atherothrombosis Intervention in Metabolic Syndrome with Low HDL/High Triglycerides: Impact on Global Health Outcomes (AIM-HIGH) trial investigated the addition of extended-release niacin to simvastatin in 3,414 patients with established CVD (~34% of whom had diabetes), aiming to determine its effect on lipid levels and the composite endpoint of death from CHD, nonfatal myocardial infarction, ischemic stroke, hospitalization for an acute coronary syndrome, or symptom-driven coronary or cerebral revascularization. After 2 years of treatment, HDL-C levels had increased 25% with niacin treatment (versus 10% with placebo; \( P < 0.001 \)), while triglyceride and LDL-C levels had decreased by 29% and 12% (versus decreases of 8% and 6% with placebo), respectively; however, improvement in the lipid profile did not translate into a reduction of adverse CV events, with the primary endpoint occurring in 16.4% of patients receiving add-on niacin and 16.2% receiving placebo.

Niacin causes flushing, which can be intolerable to some patients. Niacin-induced flushing is caused primarily by the promotion of prostaglandin D2 release from skin cells, which stimulates the action of prostaglandin D2 receptors in smooth muscle cells in the dermal arteriole vasculature. Stimulated dermal arterioles then dilate, increasing blood flow and causing flushing. Flushing is reduced with extended-release formulations and the fixed combination of extended-release niacin and laropiprant, a selective inhibitor of the prostaglandin D2 receptor subtype. As was observed in previous studies, preliminary results from the large Heart Protection Study 2-Treatment of HDL to Reduce the Incidence of Vascular Events (HPS2-THRIVE) trial demonstrated no reduction in risk of CV events with extended-release niacin/laropiprant combination therapy. As a result of these disappointing findings, the extended-release niacin/laropiprant development program was discontinued.

Examination of the literature regarding the safety profile of niacin shows that, in patients without diabetes, niacin therapy may result in insulin resistance and hyperglycemia; in patients with diabetes, niacin treatment may worsen glucose and hemoglobin A1C control. Although the changes are generally small, in clinical trials this translates into a need for intensification of antidiabetes medications. During a 9-month study in 796 patients with T2DM,
Ezetimibe

Ezetimibe acts to block intestinal cholesterol absorption, which leads to a reduction in cholesterol delivery to the liver and an enhanced clearance of LDL-C, which reduces plasma LDL-C levels. In patients with T2DM, the addition of ezetimibe to statin therapy provides a significantly greater reduction in LDL-C, even more so than doubling the statin dose. While ezetimibe has no known effect on glycemic parameters in patients with T2DM, ezetimibe was studied in diabetes mellitus patients. In two studies (>500 patients) investigating the addition of ezetimibe to existing statin therapy in patients with and without T2DM, patients receiving the combination therapy had significantly greater reductions in LDL-C levels compared with statin therapy alone, irrespective of diabetes status, and similarly, improvements were observed in total cholesterol, triglycerides, and HDL-C levels (Table 3). A study comparing the efficacy of simvastatin/ezetimibe combination therapy (10/20 or 40 mg/day) with atorvastatin monotherapy (10, 20, or 40 mg/day) in 1,229 patients with T2DM showed that simvastatin + ezetimibe recipients had significantly (P≤0.001) greater improvements in LDL-C, total cholesterol, and HDL-C levels than patients receiving any dose of atorvastatin alone. Generally, patients receiving simvastatin/ezetimibe combination therapy in this trial achieved LDL-C goals (<100 mg/dL or <70 mg/dL) more frequently than patients receiving atorvastatin. Simvastatin/ezetimibe (Vytorin®; Merck & Co., Inc., Whitehouse Station, NJ, USA) combination therapy is generally well tolerated. But, as with simvastatin monotherapy, the simvastatin/ezetimibe combination agent may increase the risk for myopathy and rhabdomyolysis, which increases among patients taking higher simvastatin doses, as is often true with other statins at higher doses. In addition, the prescribing information lists other very rare adverse effects, including anaphylaxis, angioedema, rash, and urticaria.

The IMProved Reduction of Outcomes: Vytorin Efficacy International Trial (IMPROVE-IT) is an ongoing trial that aims to determine if simvastatin/ezetimibe combination therapy improves CV outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndromes to a greater degree than simvastatin alone. The study has enrolled >18,000 patients, and follow-up will continue until >2,500 patients experience the primary endpoint (a composite of CV-related death, nonfatal coronary events, and nonfatal stroke) and each patient is followed for >2.5 years; at present, the trial is expected to report results in September 2014.

### Conclusion

For patients with T2DM, the therapeutic goal is to lower LDL-C and favorably affect other CV risk factors. Statins are LDL-C-lowering agents with the best clinical trial evidence of CVD outcome benefits, and are first-line therapy for hypercholesterolemia. However, statins may not be tolerated by all patients in doses large enough to attain LDL-C goal. Moreover, evidence suggests that statins may be associated with risk (particularly at high doses) for increasing new-onset diabetes and unfavorable glycemic effects. Other lipid-lowering agents, eg, fibrates and ezetimibe, have little to no impact on glucose parameters. BASs are the only class of agents with dual benefits in the management of glucose and lipids in patients with T2DM. Colesevelam is currently the only BAS with an approved indication for use in combination with other classes of lipid- (and glucose-) lowering drugs in patients with T2DM to both lower LDL-C and improve glycemic control.
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