Modeling pegylated liposomal doxorubicin-induced hand-foot syndrome and intestinal mucositis in zebrafish

Abstract: Pegylated liposomal doxorubicin (PLD) has been widely used to treat cancer. The adverse effects of PLD noted in clinical practice, especially hand-foot syndrome (HFS), are regarded as unique, and the management methods for them remain limited. This study was aimed at developing a feasible experimental model for translational medicine to solve this clinical issue by using skin fluorescent transgenic zebrafish. We established an optimal protocol for the administration of Lipo-Dox™, a PLD in current clinical use, to the Tg(k18:dsred) zebrafish line expressing red fluorescence in keratinocytes. We made use of bodyweight, survival rate, gross observation, fluorescent microscopic assessment, and pathological examination of the zebrafish to assess this model. The consecutive administration protocol of PLD resulted in growth retardation of the zebrafish embryo and survival impairment, indicating establishment of a significant toxicity. We observed fin necrosis and keratinocyte dissociation phenotypes in the PLD-treated fish after consecutive administration. The skin toxicity induced by the Lipo-Dox injection was subsequently reversible, which might be compatible with a clinical course of skin recovery after discontinuation of Lipo-Dox administration. Furthermore, we found that the number of intestinal goblet cells, an important marker of intestinal inflammation, in the Lipo-Dox-injected zebrafish was markedly increased, accompanied by impaired mucosal integrity. The intestinal inflammation induced by Lipo-Dox resembled the intestinal mucositis the clinical patients suffered from after the administration of PLD. In conclusion, we established a zebrafish model for PLD-induced HFS. The intestinal mucositis simultaneously noted in the PLD-treated fish after consecutive administration. The skin toxicity induced by the Lipo-Dox injection was subsequently reversible, which might be compatible with a clinical course of skin recovery after discontinuation of Lipo-Dox administration. Furthermore, we found that the number of intestinal goblet cells, an important marker of intestinal inflammation, in the Lipo-Dox-injected zebrafish was markedly increased, accompanied by impaired mucosal integrity. The intestinal inflammation induced by Lipo-Dox resembled the intestinal mucositis the clinical patients suffered from after the administration of PLD. In conclusion, we established a zebrafish model for PLD-induced HFS. The intestinal mucositis simultaneously noted in the PLD-treated fish after consecutive administration. The skin toxicity induced by the Lipo-Dox injection was subsequently reversible, which might be compatible with a clinical course of skin recovery after discontinuation of Lipo-Dox administration. Furthermore, we found that the number of intestinal goblet cells, an important marker of intestinal inflammation, in the Lipo-Dox-injected zebrafish was markedly increased, accompanied by impaired mucosal integrity. The intestinal inflammation induced by Lipo-Dox resembled the intestinal mucositis the clinical patients suffered from after the administration of PLD. In conclusion, we established a zebrafish model for PLD-induced HFS. The intestinal mucositis simultaneously noted in the PLD-treated fish after consecutive administration.

Introduction

Pegylated liposomal doxorubicin (PLD) has been widely used in the treatment of various types of cancers including ovarian, breast, and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS)-related Kaposi’s sarcoma. A Phase III trial for patients with platinum-sensitive relapsed/recurrent ovarian cancer demonstrated superiority in progression-free survival and a better therapeutic index for a combination of PLD with carboplatin in comparison with standard paclitaxel and carboplatin.

PLD nanoparticles are doxorubicin hydrochloride encapsulated in pegylated liposomes. PLD is composed of distearoyl phosphatidylcholine and formulated with surface-bound methoxy polyethylene glycol, as for pegylation, to protect liposomes from detection by the mononuclear phagocytes and to increase blood circulation time.
PLD displays linear pharmacokinetics over the dose range of 10–20 mg/m² and nonlinear at a dose of 50 mg/m². The plasma clearance of PLD is slow, and the area under the curve is approximately two to three orders of magnitude larger than a similar dose of free-form doxorubicin. The incidence of cardiotoxicity may be lower after PLD than after equivalent doses of standard doxorubicin.

Among the adverse effects of PLD, hand-foot syndrome (HFS, also known as “palmar-plantar erythrodysesthesia”) and intestinal mucositis often cause infection or severe pain, and may induce lethal gastrointestinal bleeding. HFS, especially, is the most common side effect which compromises patients’ quality of life. The typical pathological changes of HFS include reddening, swelling, and desquamation on palms and soles. To date, the known protocols for prevention or treatment of HFS are limited except for one protocol describing that cooling the hands and feet during PLD therapy may help relieve the HFS symptoms. In this regard, establishing an animal model of HFS is an important step for developing agents to prevent or treat HFS.

The zebrafish has been accepted as a feasible model for toxicological study. There are abundant fluorescent zebrafish lines available to detect and observe subtle changes on tissue level grossly. For example, a green fluorescent kidney [Tg(wt1b:GFP)] and a red fluorescent skin transgenic zebrafish line [Tg(k18:dsred)] have been reported to evaluate the toxic effects on kidney and skin. This advantage makes observation for phenotypic alterations of target tissues convenient and specific.

In this study, we established a zebrafish model for PLD-induced HFS and intestinal mucositis. The gross feature with quantitation and the pathological changes in skin fluorescent transgenic zebrafish were demonstrated.

**Materials and methods**

**Zebralfish**

To investigate the adverse effects of PLD in a zebrafish model, we obtained a skin fluorescent transgenic zebrafish line established by Professor HJ Tsai, from Tsai’s lab and raised them to 0.1–0.2 g (around 35–42 days post-fertilization) before the experiment.

**Chemicals and PLD administration**

The PLD used in this study, Lipo-Dox (20 mg/10 mL), was purchased from TTY Biopharm (Taipei, Taiwan). For drug treatment, the Tg(k18:dsred) zebrafish were dosed (by intraperitoneal injection) either without Lipo-Dox (buffer only; mock-control group, n=6) or with a buffer containing 40 µg/g of Lipo-Dox (Lipo-Dox-injected group, n=12, #1–#12). The injection protocol is summarized in Figure 1. In brief, we injected Lipo-Dox one dose (40 µg/g) at day 0, and subsequently injected one dose per week for 4 weeks (days 7, 14, 21, and 28), and examined the zebrafish phenotypic defects at each check point (days 10, 17, 24, 31, 38, 45, and 51).

**Gross and microscopic assessment of phenotype**

All of the fish samples were observed under a microscope (DM 2500, Leica; Buffalo Grove, NY, USA) equipped with a fluorescent DsRed filter cube (Kramer Scientific, Amesbury, MA, USA), and we captured pictures of the fish at particular stages using a digital camera (Sony, Tokyo, Japan).

**Pathological examination**

For pathological examination, the zebrafish were fixed in formalin and embedded in paraffin. Sections of 5 µm were cut, deparaffinized, rehydrated, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin Y. A pathologist blinded to which experimental group the specimens were from evaluated the slides and reported the comparison results.

**Statistics**

The Kaplan–Meier method was used to depict the curves for the cumulative survival rates of embryos in the control and Lipo-Dox-injected groups. To assess the effect of drug on the increase of weight, we fitted the following linear regression model: weight = β₀ + β₁{initial weight} + β₂{time} + β₃{group} + error; where β₀ is the intercept of the model, β₁ is the...
regression coefficient associated with initial weight (the weight at the beginning of the observation period, week 0), $\beta_1$ is the regression coefficient associated with time (the weeks after exposure to drug), and $\beta_2$ is the regression coefficient associated with group (group =1 for the drug group and 0 for the control group). Note that the measurements on the weight from the same embryo are correlated. To account for the within-embryo correlation, we applied the generalized estimating equation (GEE) analysis\(^{20}\) to estimate the parameters in the above model, assuming a normal distribution and a first-order autoregressive correlation structure for the data.

**Results**

**Gross assessment of HFS and intestinal mucositis in zebrafish model**

The results showed that the zebrafish receiving no Lipo-Dox (mock control) had a smooth belly and a regular-shaped caudal fin (Figure 2A–E). The Lipo-Dox-injected zebrafish had no apparent phenotypic changes at the first three check points (days 10, 17, and 24), but intriguingly, displayed abdominal hemorrhage and fin fester, especially in the caudal fin regions at day 31 (Figure 2A’–E’). Thus, the most obvious Lipo-Dox-induced phenotypes that can be observed grossly are abdominal hemorrhage and fin necrosis.

We observed fin necrosis and keratinocyte dissociation phenotypes in another two fish (#5 and #6) in the later stages (by days 38, 45, and 51) after discontinuation of Lipo-Dox administration (the latest injection was at day 28, Figure 1). Extensive fin necrosis at the caudal region was observed by day 38, but gradually recovered by days 45 and 51 (Figure 3A–I versus B–I and C–I; Figure 3D–I versus E–I and F–I; indicated by arrows). Using fluorescent microscopy, we found that red fluorescent keratinocytes were aligned normally in the control zebrafish (data not shown), but
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**Figure 2** Abdominal hemorrhage and fin necrosis are observed in zebrafish embryos after Lipo-Dox™ injection.  
**Notes:** (A–E) Mock control. (A’–E’) Tg(k18:dsred) zebrafish (#2) was injected with buffer containing 40 µg/g of Lipo-Dox.
Figure 3 Effects of Lipo-Dox™ on the zebrafish’s caudal fin (I) and epidermis (II).
Notes: Lipo-Dox-injected Tg(k18:dsred) zebrafish (#5 and #6) were observed under microscopy with bright field (A-I–F-I) or an RFP filter (A-II–F-II). Fin necrosis (arrow indicates) and keratinocyte dissociation are the evident phenotypes in the Lipo-Dox-injected zebrafish.
Abbreviation: RFP, red fluorescent protein.

Figure 4 Histological examination of Lipo-Dox™-injected embryos.
Notes: Fish derived from the mock control (A–C) or Lipo-Dox-injected groups (D–F) were transverse sectioned and stained with hematoxylin/eosin Y. (A and C) Lateral side; (B and E) Ventral region; (C and F) Intestine. Yellow star indicates the position of goblet cell. Black arrows indicate the positions of epidermis lesions.
appeared in a patch-like shape or even invisible on the surface (Figure 3A-II versus B-II). Those red fluorescent keratinocyte abnormalities gradually recovered by days 4 and 5 (Figure 3C-II, D-II, E-II, and F-II), compatible with the gross finding.

Pathological examination of abdominal skin and intestines

We next carried out paraffin sectioning and hematoxylin/eosin Y staining experiments to further dissect the Lipo-Dox-induced abdominal hemorrhage phenotypes from the histological level. The results showed that several mucosa cells appeared in the skin epidermis, but very few goblet cells were observed in the intestine region in the mock-treated control zebrafish (Figure 4A–C). However, missing mucosa cells with impaired mucosal integrity, thinner skin epidermis, and increased numbers of goblet cells (a marker for intestine inflammatory reaction) were observed in the Lipo-Dox-injected zebrafish (Figure 4D–F).

Growth retardation of zebrafish

We noticed that the Lipo-Dox-injected zebrafish larvae exhibited various degrees of growth retardation and impaired survival. For growth retardation, Table 1 displays the analysis result by GEE model. It shows that the growth, estimated by weight, in the Lipo-Dox-injected group was significantly less than the control group ($P=0.010$). The embryos in the Lipo-Dox-injected group tended to have a lower weight of 0.033 g (with a standard error of 0.013 g) than those in the control group, adjusting the time after exposure to Lipo-Dox and the initial weight by the GEE method.

Survival analysis of zebrafish

We first applied the Kaplan–Meier method to depict the survival curves of embryos in the control and Lipo-Dox-injected groups. As shown in Figure 5, a 25% death rate at the end of study, 7.43 weeks after exposure to drug, in the Lipo-Dox-injected group was noted. The mean survival time for the Lipo-Dox-injected group was 5.26±0.13 weeks.

### Table 1 Generalized linear regression based on the GEE method for assessing the effect of Lipo-Dox™ on the weight growth rates of embryos

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>z-value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Lower CL</th>
<th>Upper CL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.877</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial weight</td>
<td>1.340</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>4.681</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>1.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>-0.033</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>-2.587</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>-0.058</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** CL, confidence level; GEE, generalized estimating equation.

---

**Figure 5** Kaplan–Meier estimates of survival curves for the mock control and Lipo-Dox™-injected groups.
No embryos died in the control group. The log-rank test was further utilized to examine the homogeneity of the death rate curves for the two groups. The result shows a significant difference in time to death between the groups ($P$-value =0.043), confirming the Lipo-Dox-injected group had suffered a significant harmful effect.

**Conclusion**
In the zebrafish model we established for gross assessment of PLD-induced HFS, we observed both the intestinal mucositis, a common side effect of PLD in humans, as well as skin damage with the typical pathological changes of HFS, validating that this model is clinically feasible due to the similarities in the clinical course and manifestations. The toxicity induced by Lipo-Dox injection was reversible, as is the case in clinical practice, where the typical skin pathological changes of HFS disappear within a few weeks after discontinuation of the drug.

The markedly increased number of intestinal goblet cells (an important marker of intestinal inflammation) we observed in the Lipo-Dox-injected zebrafish suggest that the intestinal inflammation induced by Lipo-Dox resembles the intestinal mucositis the clinical patients suffered from after the administration of this anticancer therapeutic.

Our data suggest that the zebrafish can be regarded as an efficient screening model for agents managing PLD-induced HFS, as well as intestinal mucositis. However, further clinical investigations to validate the correlation between human and zebrafish data are warranted.
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