A new approach for noninvasive transdermal determination of blood uric acid levels
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Abstract: The aims of this study were to investigate the most effective combination of physical forces from laser, electroporation, and reverse iontophoresis for noninvasive transdermal extraction of uric acid, and to develop a highly sensitive uric acid biosensor (UAB) for quantifying the uric acid extracted. It is believed that the combination of these physical forces has additional benefits for extraction of molecules other than uric acid from human skin. A diffusion cell with porcine skin was used to investigate the most effective combination of these physical forces. UABs coated with ZnO nanoparticles and constructed in an array configuration were developed in this study. The results showed that a combination of laser (0.7 W), electroporation (100 V/cm), and reverse iontophoresis (0.5 mA/cm²) was the most effective and significantly enhanced transdermal extraction of uric acid. A custom-designed UAB coated with ZnO nanoparticles and constructed in a 1×3 array configuration (UAB-1×3-ZnO₂) demonstrated enough sensitivity (9.4 μA/mM) for quantifying uric acid extracted by the combined physical forces of laser, electroporation, and RI. A good linear relationship (R²=0.894) was demonstrated to exist between the concentration of uric acid (0.2–0.8 mM) inside the diffusion cell and the current response of the UAB-1×3-ZnO₂. In conclusion, a new approach to noninvasive transdermal extraction and quantification of uric acid has been established.
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Introduction
Gout is a common form of arthritis1 with many sufferers, comprising about 3 million people in the USA2 and 0.7 million in the UK.3 Moreover, gout accounts for 4 million outpatient visits each year,4 resulting in a considerable economic burden.5 Recent research shows a link between gout or high blood uric acid levels and increased risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.6 Therefore, frequent monitoring of blood uric acid levels is of great importance.

Blood sampling is a routine clinical approach to determining blood uric acid levels. However, it suffers the drawback of being invasive, painful, and inconvenient. To offer patients a noninvasive alternative, physical forces such as laser,7,8 reverse iontophoresis (RI),9–14 and electroporation15–19 have been investigated both independently and in combination for their ability to extract metabolites noninvasively and transdermally.

Laser perforation is a technique whereby micropores are created in the skin by pulsed laser to promote transport of molecules.7,8 RI refers to the technique of passing a small current through a section of skin to promote transport of both charged and neutral molecules.10 Electroporation refers to the process of creating transient micropores across cell membranes by injecting a brief high voltage pulse to permit movement of exogenous molecules.17 Several papers have reported the use of...
combined physical forces to enhance transdermal extraction of metabolites. To the best of our knowledge, no research has been reported on the use of combined physical forces from laser, RI, and electroporation to extract uric acid noninvasively and transdermally. Therefore, laser, electroporation, and RI were used in this study to evaluate the effectiveness of these physical forces with regard to noninvasive transdermal extraction of uric acid.

Uric acid extracted transdermally can generally be quantified using analytical tools such as a colorimeter or biosensor. Amongst the analytical tools available, a biosensor is the best choice for convenient quantification of uric acid at home. Due to the usually low concentration of uric acid that can be extracted transdermally, biosensors for this purpose should ideally have high sensitivity. Many researchers have focused on the use of nanoparticles, carbon nanotubes, electron-transfer mediators, bimetallic materials, bioenzymes, and array configurations to enhance the sensitivity of biosensors. To the best of our knowledge, there have been no reports of the use of a combination of the above approaches to enhance biosensor sensitivity. Hence, this study investigates the use of integrated nanoparticles and an array configuration for improving the sensitivity of biosensors.

The aims of this study were to: (1) identify the most effective combination of physical forces (from laser, electroporation, and RI) for noninvasive transdermal extraction of uric acid; (2) develop a highly sensitive uric acid biosensor (UAB) for quantifying the uric acid extracted by physical forces; and (3) integrate the extraction method in (1) with the highly sensitive UAB in (2) for development of a system to determine blood uric acid levels by transdermal and noninvasive means.

Materials and methods
Reagents and solutions
All reagents were commercially available and used without further purification in this study. Uricase, uric acid, bovine serum albumin, glutaraldehyde, phosphate-buffered saline, and ZnO nanoparticles were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co (St Louis, MO, USA). A K608-100 uric acid assay kit was sourced from BioVision (Mountain View, CA, USA). Deionized water (resistivity ≥18 mΩ cm) purified by a Millipore system (Milli-Q UFplus; Bedford, MA, USA) was used to prepare all solutions. A Screen-print sensor (three electrode configuration, ie, graphite working and counter electrodes, and a silver–silver chloride reference electrode from Zensor R&D Co Ltd, Taichung, Taiwan).

Equipment
A previously described diffusion cell (Figure 1) was used for the in vitro uric acid extraction studies, with components including: programmable iontophoresis and electroporation devices (developed by the authors to provide the necessary physical forces for electroporation and RI); a modified commercial laser generation device (1,550 nm laser modules, Tang Yue Ltd, Taiwan) to provide another essential physical force; a 680 microplate reader (Bio-Rad, Hemel Hempstead, UK) for all colorimetric analyses; and a CHI 627C electrochemical analyzer (CH Instruments, Austin, TX, USA) for all electrochemical evaluations.

In vitro uric acid extraction studies
All uric acid extraction experiments were conducted using diffusion cells, having two upper electrode chambers (300 μL each) and one lower chamber (25 mL), separated by 250 μm-thick porcine ear skin (obtained by dermatome) to simulate human skin. All chambers were filled with 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.0), and the lower chamber contained additional 400 μM uric acid to simulate the normal interstitial fluid under human skin.

The experimental protocols are summarized in Figure 2, in which three types of physical forces, ie, laser, electroporation, and RI, were investigated for their effect on transdermal extraction of uric acid. In the experiments requiring application of electroporation, a pair of platinum (Pt) electrodes was positioned in the electrode chambers and the required electroporation was delivered by the programmable electroporation device via the Pt electrodes. In the experiments requiring application of RI, a pair of silver–silver chloride (Ag/AgCl) electrodes was positioned...
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Figure 2. The experimental protocols.

Notes: Each protocol allows 25 minutes of extraction time. For RI, symmetrical biphasic DC (phase duration 180 seconds; current density 0.5 mA/cm²) was used. For EP, high electric pulses (pulse width 1 msec; 20 pulses per second; polarity reversing every 5 seconds; application time 30 seconds; voltage 50 or 100 V/cm²) were used. For laser, a near-infrared laser (wavelength 1,500 nm; pulse width 10 msec; duty cycle 50%; application time 30 seconds; power 0.3 W or 0.7 W) was used. (A) control group (ie, no RI, EP, and laser used), (B) application of RI alone, (C) application of EP and RI, (D) application of laser and RI, and (E) application of laser, EP, and RI.

Abbreviations: EP, electroporation; RI, reverse iontophoresis; DC, direct current.
in the electrode chambers and the required RI was delivered by the programmable iontophoresis device via the Ag/AgCl electrodes. Both the Pt and Ag/AgCl electrodes were positioned 1 mm above the porcine skin in their respective experiments. In experiments requiring application of laser, the porcine skin was treated by laser directly before the two electrode chambers were filled up with 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.0).

At the end of each extraction experiment, the entire contents of the electrode chambers were removed to determine the quantity of uric acid extracted by colorimetric assay, using the uric acid assay kit and Bio-Rad microplate reader.

**Construction of UAB array incorporated with ZnO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles**

A commercially available three-electrode sensor was used in this study. To immobilize the uricase enzyme on the sensor, a 4 μL mixture of glutaraldehyde 2.5% and ZnO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles (20% weight/weight [w/w]) was initially drop-coated onto the working electrode, and the sensor was then kept at 4°C in dark for one hour. Subsequently, 4 μL of uricase (0.5 U/mL) was drop-coated again onto the working electrode, immediately followed by drop-coating of 4 μL of bovine serum albumin (0.1 mM). Finally, the sensor was again kept at 4°C in the dark before use. The same procedure was used to construct UAB without ZnO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles but with 4 μL of glutaraldehyde 2.5% alone.

In this study, four configurations of UAB (Figure 3) were investigated in order to determine the sensitivity of biosensors with different configurations and nanoparticle coatings. The configurations were: single UAB (UAB-1×1, Figure 3A), single UAB with ZnO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles (UAB-1×1-ZnO\textsubscript{2}), Figure 3A), UAB with ZnO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles in a 1×2 array configuration (UAB-1×2-ZnO\textsubscript{2}) (Figure 3B), and UAB with ZnO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles in a 1×3 array configuration (UAB-1×3-ZnO\textsubscript{2}, Figure 3C).

The procedures for UAB-1×1 and UAB-1×1-ZnO\textsubscript{2} construction are the same. To construct UAB-1×2-ZnO\textsubscript{2}, two pieces of UAB-1×1-ZnO\textsubscript{2} were positioned in parallel. Similarly, UAB-1×3-ZnO\textsubscript{2} was constructed by positioning three pieces of UAB-1×1-ZnO\textsubscript{2} in parallel.

**Measurements of current responses of UAB to uric acid**

Measurement of the rate of hydrogen peroxide (H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}) generation during enzymatic oxidation of uric acid is the basis of the mechanism for UAB detection, where H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} is electroactive and UAB employs amperometric transduction.\textsuperscript{31} The current signal of UAB corresponds to the increase in exposure to uric acid solution. The oxidation of uric acid (C\textsubscript{5}H\textsubscript{4}N\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{3}) to allantoin (C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{6}N\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{3}) by uricase in the presence of oxygen (O\textsubscript{2}) is shown below:

\[
C\textsubscript{5}H\textsubscript{4}N\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{3} + O\textsubscript{2} + 2H\textsubscript{2}O \xrightarrow{\text{Uricase}} C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{6}N\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{3} + CO\textsubscript{2} + H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}.
\]

The current response of the UAB to uric acid was evaluated by an electrochemical analyzer at 25°C. A voltage of +700 mV versus the Ag/AgCl electrode was provided to the working electrode of the UAB.

To measure the current response, phosphate-buffered saline was first pipetted onto the UAB and left for 3 minutes to allow stabilization of the background current, before uric acid solutions of various concentrations were pipetted onto the UAB and the current response recorded. The data were then used to plot a UAB current response-uric acid concentration curve.

---

concentration curve, from which the sensitivity of UAB was determined as the slope of a linear regression line fitted to the data.

Statistical analysis
Independent-samples t-test was also used to determine whether there are significant differences between UAB in different configurations on its sensitivity. A linear regression test was used to determine the relationship between UAB current responses and the standard uric acid solution at different concentrations, as well as the uric acid concentration in diffusion cells. All statistical analyses were carried out using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), with the level of statistical significance set at \( P<0.05 \).

Results
Significant enhancement of transdermal extraction of uric acid was found with the use of physical forces \( (P<0.05) \) as compared with diffusion alone (Figure 4), a combination of physical forces was found to enhance (significant in most cases with \( P<0.05 \)) a higher transdermal extraction of uric acid as compared to RI alone. When comparing electroporation combined with RI and laser combined with RI, a significant boost of transdermal extraction was also apparent for the former \( (P<0.05, \text{in most cases}) \), which is similar to the relationship between the use of three combined physical forces and two physical forces \( (P<0.05, \text{in most cases}) \).

A highly linear relationship \( (R^2=0.970) \) was found to exist between the UAB current response and the uric acid concentration (Figure 5). Addition of ZnO nanoparticles to UAB was found to significantly increase \( (P<0.05) \) its sensitivity, which was further significantly \( (P<0.05 \text{ in all cases}) \) increased by constructing the UAB in array configurations.

A close linear relationship \( (R^2=0.894) \) was evident between the concentration of uric acid inside the diffusion cell and the current responses of UAB-1×3-ZnO \( _2 \) (Figure 6).

Discussion
In vitro uric acid extraction studies
Noninvasive transdermal extraction of uric acid by different physical forces, ie, electroporation, RI, and laser alone
in order of degree of enhancement of transdermal extrac-

tion of uric acid. This could be a result of higher elec-

troporation voltage (or laser power) injecting more energy

or in combination are shown in Figure 4. It was found that

application of physical forces can significantly ($P<0.05$)

facilitate transdermal extraction of uric acid as compared

diffusion alone (Figure 2A), ie, a control group without

application of physical forces.

Combination of physical forces, such as electropora-
tion combined with RI (Figure 2C), laser combined with

RI (Figure 2D), and laser combined with electroporation

and RI (Figure 2E), was shown to enhance (significantly in

most cases, with $P<0.05$) transdermal extraction of uric

acid as compared with RI alone (Figure 2B). This is thought to

be due to electroporation and the ability of the laser to cre-

nano channels in the skin,$^{7,8,13–15}$ which further facil-

ate extraction of uric acid as compared with RI alone. The higher

the electroporation voltage (or laser power), the greater the

transdermal extraction of uric acid. This could be a result of higher elec-

troporation voltage (or laser power) injecting more energy for

more formation of nanochannels.

When electroporation is combined with RI (Figure 2C),

transdermal extraction of uric acid can be further enhanced

($P<0.05$ in most cases) compared with a combination of laser

and RI (Figure 2D). This observation implicates electropora-
tion as a more dominant factor for extraction of uric acid as

compared with laser.

Transdermal extraction of uric acid was significantly

higher in quantity ($P<0.05$ in most cases) when a com-

bination of three physical forces (ie, laser combined with
electroporation and RI, Figure 2E) was used than when two

physical forces were used, ie, electroporation combined with

RI (Figure 2C) or laser combined with RI (Figure 2D).

In general, the relationship between transdermal extrac-
tion of uric acid and physical forces from laser, electropora-
tion, and RI can be seen as follows:

- in order of degree of enhancement of transdermal extrac-
tion of uric acid, combination of three physical forces
  (laser + electroporation + RI) $>>$ combination of two
  physical forces (electroporation + RI or laser + RI; in
general, electroporation + RI $>$ laser + RI) $>>$ single
  physical force $>>$ diffusion alone
- the higher the electroporation voltage (or laser power),
  the higher the uric acid extraction.

Based on the above two general relationships and the
findings shown in Figure 4, the most effective configurations
of laser, electroporation, and RI for uric acid extraction found
in this study could be summarized as follows (Figure 2E):

- laser – near-infrared laser; wavelength 1,500 nm; pulse
  width 10 msec; duty cycle 50%; application time 30 seconds;
power 0.7 W
- electroporation – high electric pulses; pulse width 1 msec;
  20 pulses per second; polarity reversing every 5 seconds;
  application time 30 seconds; voltage 100 V/cm²
- RI – symmetrical biphasic DC; phase duration
  180 seconds; application time 12 minutes; current density
  0.5 mA/cm²

Uric acid biosensor

The current responses of the UAB to uric acid concentra-
tion (0–0.8 mM) are shown in Figure 5 and show direct

proportionality to each other, with a correlation coefficient
($R^{2}$) of $\geq 0.970$. Moreover, addition of ZnO$_2$

nanoparticles to the UAB can significantly increase (2.2-fold,

$P<0.05$) its sensitivity from 1.546 µA/mM (UAB-1×1) to

3.369 µA/mM (UAB-1×1-ZnO$_2$). The UAB measurement

range used for uric acid in this study was comparable with
that reported for other sensors,\textsuperscript{28,32,33} but slightly lower than that reported by Zhang et al (0.2–1 mM).\textsuperscript{34} The sensitivity of UAB-1×1-ZnO\textsubscript{2} (3.369 μA/mM) in this study was lower than that reported by Luo et al (16.6 μA/mM),\textsuperscript{35} but higher than those reported by Zhang et al (5.5 nA/mM)\textsuperscript{36} and Ching et al (1.55 μA/mM).\textsuperscript{37}

The sensitivity of UAB can be significantly increased by the array configuration of UAB. Our results point to UAB-1×3-ZnO\textsubscript{2} having a significantly higher ($P<0.05$) sensitivity when compared with UAB-1×1-ZnO\textsubscript{2} (2.8-fold) and UAB-1×2-ZnO\textsubscript{2} (1.4-fold). Further, UAB-1×2-ZnO\textsubscript{2} has a significantly ($P<0.05$) higher sensitivity when compared with UAB-1×1-ZnO\textsubscript{2} (2.0-fold). A possible explanation for these phenomena is that the improved sensitivity is due to the higher signal-to-noise ratio achieved with the larger reductive current signals observed at the UAB in a higher array configuration.

Based on the above, UAB-1×3-ZnO\textsubscript{2} was determined to be the most sensitive biosensor for quantification of uric acid extracted transdermally in this study.

### Noninvasive and transdermal determination of uric acid levels

Based on these findings, combining three physical forces was the most effective tool for noninvasive transdermal extraction of uric acid, and the uric acid extracted was best quantified by UAB-1×3-ZnO\textsubscript{2}, when diffusion cells as controls for evaluation of this new approach. In this study, uric acid concentrations of 0.2 mM, 0.4 mM, 0.6 mM, and 0.8 mM was separately placed into the lower chamber of the diffusion cell to simulate low, medium (ie, normal), medium-high, and high patient blood uric acid levels, respectively.

The magnitudes of the current response from UAB-1×3-ZnO\textsubscript{2} plotted against uric acid concentration inside the diffusion cell is shown in Figure 6, where a well-fitted linear relationship ($R^2=0.894$) clearly exists between the concentration of uric acid (0.2–0.8 mM) inside the diffusion cell and the current responses from UAB-1×3-ZnO\textsubscript{2}. This finding demonstrates the accuracy of this new approach to determining uric acid levels noninvasively and transdermally.

### Potential applications and limitations of this study

This study forms the basis of a new approach to noninvasive transdermal determination of blood uric acid levels, which may be relevant to measurements of other metabolites and use of biomarkers. We have validated the claim that biomarkers with a large molecular size, such as prostate-specific antigen\textsuperscript{14} and osteopontin,\textsuperscript{13} can be extracted noninvasively and transdermally by application of combined physical forces of electroporation and RI. However, very high electroporation is required to extract prostate-specific antigen and osteopontin transdermally, which renders this approach clinically impractical. In the present study, a new approach of replacing high electroporation with combined physical forces of low electroporation and low-power laser (0.3 W), low electroporation (50 V), and RI, although slightly smaller than that of high electroporation (100 V) and RI, is comparable. Further study is required to be able to extract large biomarkers successfully and transdermally, for this approach to be clinically practical, when combined physical forces from low-power laser, low electroporation, and RI can be achieved.

However, we have previously demonstrated the possibility of extracting homocysteine noninvasively and transdermally by RI alone,\textsuperscript{9} although the quantity of homocysteine extracted was very low (about 10 μM). Therefore, a highly sensitive biosensor is necessary for detecting such low homocysteine concentrations. In this study, the sensitivity of such biosensors was improved by application of nanoparticles and setting of array configurations, making noninvasive transdermal determination of blood homocysteine levels clinically feasible.

One of the limitations of this study was the application of laser with a wavelength of 1,500 nm, which falls in the invisible spectrum, increasing the chances of eye injury due to accidents. On the other hand, users with cardiac pacemaker may not be able to enjoy the benefit as electroporation may interfere with the function of pacemakers.

### Conclusion

In the present study, a new approach to extracting and quantifying blood uric acid levels noninvasively and transdermally was developed, whereby combinations of physical forces from laser, electroporation, and RI were investigated for noninvasive and transdermal extraction of uric acid, and a highly sensitive UAB-1×3-ZnO\textsubscript{2} has been developed being capable of accurately quantifying the extracted uric acid.
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