Asunaprevir, a protease inhibitor for the treatment of hepatitis C infection

Abstract: According to the World Health Organization, approximately 150 million people worldwide are chronic carriers of hepatitis C virus (HCV). HCV infection can evolve into cirrhosis of the liver and its complications, which are ultimately responsible for more than 350,000 deaths every year. Antiviral therapy, when successful, is able to decrease the rate of progression and increase survival. Two types of therapies are currently available, ie, interferon-based therapies and interferon-free ones. The latter have several advantages in terms of safety and tolerability, and could be used even in the most advanced stages of the disease. However, their use is restricted to some viral genotypes (genotype 2 and 3) and they are expensive. Several molecules are in an advanced phase of development. This review deals with the pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, tolerability, and safety of asunaprevir, an inhibitor of HCV nonstructural 3 protease. Asunaprevir exerts optimal in vitro activity particularly against HCV genotypes 1 and 4, and its pharmacokinetic profile enables twice daily administration. The drawback of asunaprevir, and of all protease inhibitors, is its low barrier to resistance. Consequently, it is used in association with other drugs to prevent resistance. Specifically, when combined with daclatasvir, an NSSA inhibitor, asunaprevir results in a very high rate of viral eradication in both treatment-naïve and treatment-experienced patients, with a sustained virological response rate of 80%–90%. Tolerability is fair; in fact, asunaprevir is associated with a transient increase in aminotransferase levels, which is mild in most cases. In conclusion, asunaprevir is a good candidate component of interferon-free combinations and may revolutionize the treatment of chronic HCV infection in the near future.
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Introduction

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) is an RNA virus that presently infects approximately 150 million people worldwide.1 This high number of chronic carriers is the result of two factors: exposure to unsafe blood or blood products or to blood-contaminated glass syringes or needles typical of the period between 1940 and 1980; and the high chronicity rate of subjects exposed to this virus (54%–86%).2–5 After the introduction of blood screening for HCV and of disposable syringes, the incidence of HCV infection declined, although a non-negligible risk is still associated with intravenous drug use, hemodialysis, surgery, tattooing, sexual intercourse, and endoscopy.6–12 Once chronicity is established, the disease evolves to liver cirrhosis in 15%–56% of cases within 20–30 years.5,13–19 Progression to cirrhosis is more frequent and rapid in patients with hepatitis B virus or human immunodeficiency virus coinfestation, and in cases of alcohol abuse.20–22 HCV-related liver cirrhosis and its complications (ascites, jaundice, and...
hepatocellular carcinoma) cause about 350,000 deaths per year.1 Significant HCV-associated morbidity and mortality also occurs due to extrahepatic diseases, such as non-Hodgkin lymphoma and mixed cryoglobulinemia.23–27

Antiviral therapy, if successful, can interrupt progression of the disease and improve quality of life and survival.28–31 Antiviral treatment is in a transitional period from an interferon-based to an interferon-free approach.32,33 Patients with the HCV genotype 1 still need PEGylated interferon and ribavirin (PR), but this combination can be associated with a protease inhibitor (telaprevir, boceprevir, or simeprevir) or a nucleotide polymerase inhibitor (sofosbuvir).34–41 For patients with genotype 2 or 3 infection, it is possible to use PR for 24 weeks or an interferon-free therapy with sofosbuvir and ribavirin for 12 (genotype 2) or 24 (genotype 3) weeks.

Successful antiviral therapy results in lifelong viral clearance.42 The time point for assessment of viral clearance is classically 6 months after withdrawal of therapy (sustained virological response [SVR]).43 However, a 12-week time point (SVR12) has recently been demonstrated to be equivalent to the classical SVR.44 Classical PR therapy results in an SVR in 40%–50% of cases with genotype 1 infection and in about 80% of cases with genotype 2 or 3 infection. For genotype 1, triple therapies increase the SVR rate to about 70%–90%.45,46 Several host and viral factors (such as low viral load, low stage of liver fibrosis CC polymorphism of the interleukin (IL)28 gene, low homocysteine or ferritin levels, high levels of vitamin D, and achievement of a rapid virological response) impact on the likelihood of achieving an SVR with interferon-based therapies.47–55

In this context of rapidly changing therapies, several new antivirals active against HCV are in clinical development.56–63 The pioneer protease inhibitors (telaprevir and boceprevir) have several limitations, namely their nonoptimal tolerability, restricted efficacy in genotype 1 disease, and a low barrier to resistance.37,64 To overcome these drawbacks, several new (so-called second-wave or second-generation) protease inhibitors have been developed.65

This review focuses on the pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, efficacy, tolerability, and viral resistance of asunaprevir, a second-wave inhibitor of HCV protease.

Mechanism of action
HCV genome has a positive polarity. This means that, once in the host cell, it can be translated into a protein without need for further transformation steps. However, this long protein must be divided by an enzyme (NS3 protease) into single proteins to be able to exert their enzymatic activity (eg, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase) or their structural role in viral particles (eg, core or E1).66 Asunaprevir targets the NS3 protease. Because NS3 protease is essential for viral replication, protease inhibitors usually exert robust antiviral activity against HCV. Protein NS3 also exerts ATPase/helicase activity and plays a role in inhibiting the transport of interferon signaling and therefore in HCV viral immune escape.65,66

In vitro, asunaprevir (formerly known as BMS-650032) exhibited good antiviral activity against replicons based on HCV genotypes 1, 4, 5, and 6 (ie, a mean 50% effective concentration [EC50] of 4 nM, 1.2 nM, 1.8 nM, 1.7 nM, and 0.9 nM against genotype 1a, 1b, 4, 5, and 6, respectively).57 It was less active against genotypes 2 and 3 (EC50 67 nM and 1,162 nM against genotype 2 and 3, respectively). Moreover, asunaprevir is a highly selective anti-HCV agent, and is not active against viruses closely related to HCV. Asunaprevir had a good in vitro cytotoxicity profile in various human cell lines.67 Finally, when combined with other antivirals, asunaprevir exerts an additive and/or synergistic effect with interferon and with the NS5A inhibitor daclatasvir, and an additive effect with ribavirin. No antagonism was observed with the antiviral drugs tested.68 An additive/synergistic effect was also demonstrated with recombinant interferon-lambda 1.69

Pharmacokinetics
In a preclinical study carried out in rats, mice, dogs, and monkeys, oral administered asunaprevir exhibited a high liver-to-plasma area under the curve (AUC) ratio (40 to 359 across species).67 Twenty-four hours after administration of the drug, liver concentrations were more than 100-fold higher (between 110-fold and 2,297-fold) than the EC50 obtained with a genotype 1 replicon.67

A pharmacokinetic analysis of two Phase I studies (one with single doses and one with multiple doses) carried out in naïve or experienced patients with HCV genotype 1 infection, revealed a time to achieve maximal concentration of 2–4 hours, a mean terminal half-life of 15–20 hours, and a mean oral clearance of 302–491 L/hour.69 The pharmacokinetic parameters found in healthy individuals were similar to those recorded in infected patients.69

As asunaprevir is metabolized by the liver and primarily eliminated via the feces, its exposure could be higher in patients with liver impairment. This finding prompted an evaluation of its pharmacokinetic parameters in patients with liver cirrhosis in Child-Pugh class A (n=6), B (n=6), or C (n=4) versus healthy subjects (n=12).70 Subjects received
Asunaprevir at a dose of 200 mg twice daily for a week. In patients with Child A cirrhosis, the maximum concentration and AUC were 42% and 21% lower, respectively, when compared with healthy subjects. In contrast, patients with Child B or C cirrhosis had an AUC that was 9.83-fold and 32.1-fold higher, and a maximum concentration that was 5.03-fold and 22.92-fold higher, respectively, when compared with healthy subjects. Based on these results, administration of asunaprevir to patients with Child B or C liver cirrhosis is not recommended.

Another study evaluated the main pharmacokinetic parameters in subjects with renal impairment. In detail, 12 subjects with normal renal function (creatinine clearance >90 mL per minute) and 12 patients on hemodialysis received asunaprevir at a dose of 100 mg twice daily for 6 days and a morning dose on day 7. The results showed only minimal and nonsignificant differences in maximum concentration and AUC between the two groups. The authors concluded that no dose adjustment is needed in patients on hemodialysis or those with renal failure.

Finally, a study evaluated the possible drug–drug interaction between asunaprevir and the antidepressants, escitalopram and sertraline. Healthy fasted subjects received escitalopram 10 mg once daily (n=15) or sertraline 50 mg once daily (n=18) on days 1–7 and days 25–31. All subjects received asunaprevir 100 mg twice daily on days 15–31. The results showed that neither escitalopram nor sertraline affected exposure to asunaprevir, and that asunaprevir did not affect exposure to escitalopram or sertraline.

Clinical trials: efficacy, safety and tolerability

Asunaprevir in monotherapy

A Phase I study evaluated the tolerability and antiviral activity of asunaprevir administered as single doses or as multiple ascending doses to patients with HCV genotype 1. In detail, 24 patients were enrolled in the single dose part of the study, ie, six in each of the four dose cohorts (10, 50, 200, and ≤600 mg of asunaprevir). In each cohort, subjects were randomly assigned to asunaprevir or placebo (ratio 5:1). Fifteen patients were enrolled in the multiple dose part of the study, ie, five for each of three dose cohorts (200, 400, and 600 mg twice daily for 3 days). In each cohort, subjects were randomly assigned to asunaprevir or placebo (ratio 4:1). The mean age was 48 years in the single dose part of the study and 44 years in the multiple dose part. Most patients (85%) were infected with HCV subtype 1a and the baseline mean viral load ranged between 6.21 log IU/mL and 6.84 log IU/mL. In the single dose part of the study, the mean maximum decline of HCV RNA was 0.28, 0.64, 2.26, and 2.87 log10 IU/mL in the 10 mg, 50 mg, 200 mg, and 600 mg dose groups, respectively. In the multiple dose part of the study, the mean maximum decline of HCV RNA was 3.09, 3.57, and 2.84 log10 IU/mL in the 200 mg, 400 mg, and 600 mg group, respectively. Adverse events in subjects who received asunaprevir were mild or moderate, and did not differ from those recorded in the placebo group. The most frequent adverse event in subjects treated with asunaprevir was headache.

Asunaprevir in combination with interferon

The trials of asunaprevir-containing combinations (with or without interferon) are summarized in Table 1. AI447016 was a combined Phase IIa/IIb study that evaluated the efficacy of asunaprevir combined with PR. In the Phase IIa study, 47 treatment-naïve, noncirrhotic patients with HCV genotype 1 received asunaprevir at doses of 200 mg twice daily, 600 mg once daily, 600 mg twice daily, or placebo (ratio 1:1:1:1) combined with PR for 48 weeks. The median age was between 46.5 and 52.5 years, and most patients were male and infected by HCV subtype 1a. The mean baseline viral load was between 6.4 IU/mL and 6.8 log10 IU/mL. Baseline features of the patients were balanced in the four arms, with the exception of the IL28B CC genotype, which was under-represented in the asunaprevir 600 mg twice daily arm and in the placebo arm. An extended rapid virological response (ie, undetectable level of HCV RNA at weeks 4 and 12 of treatment) was achieved by 75%, 75%, 92%, and 0% of patients in the following arms: asunaprevir 200 mg twice daily, asunaprevir 600 mg twice daily, asunaprevir 600 mg once daily, and placebo, respectively. The corresponding figures for SVR were 83%, 83%, 92%, and 46%. No virological breakthrough occurred. Diarrhea, myalgia, dry skin, and depression were more frequent in the asunaprevir arm than in the interferon-only arm. Twelve weeks after a safety analysis, the dosage in the 600 mg groups was reduced to 200 mg due to a higher rate of aminotransferase elevation. Three of the 24 patients (12.5%) in the 600 mg asunaprevir arms had grade 3–4 elevation of alanine aminotransferase (ALT), compared with none in the placebo or 200 mg groups. Interestingly, all cases of grade 3–4 ALT elevation resolved after a reduction of the asunaprevir dose. All grade-elevated aminotransferase and total bilirubin were more frequent on asunaprevir 600 mg than on asunaprevir 200 mg or interferon only. In detail, one patient in the 600 mg once daily arm had
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Number of patients, genotype, type of patients</th>
<th>Stage of disease</th>
<th>Arms</th>
<th>Duration of therapy</th>
<th>Sustained virological response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bronowicki et al(^a)</td>
<td>47, genotype 1, naïve</td>
<td>Noncirrhotic</td>
<td>1) PR + ASN (200 mg twice daily)</td>
<td>48 weeks</td>
<td>1) 83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2) PR + ASN (600 mg twice daily)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2) 83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3) PR + ASN (600 mg once daily)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3) 92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4) PR + placebo</td>
<td></td>
<td>4) 46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronowicki et al(^b)</td>
<td>238, genotype 1 (89%) and 4 (11%), naïve</td>
<td>Data not available</td>
<td>1) PR + ASN (200 mg twice daily)</td>
<td>48 weeks</td>
<td>1) 64% for genotype 1 subjects; 90% for genotype 4 subjects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2) PR + placebo</td>
<td></td>
<td>2) 45% for genotype 1 subjects; 43% for genotype 4 subjects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vierling et al(^c)</td>
<td>119, genotype 1, naïve</td>
<td>Noncirrhotic</td>
<td>1) interferon lambda-1a + RBv + DCV</td>
<td>1–2) 4 weeks</td>
<td>SVR 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2) interferon lambda-1a + RBv + ASN</td>
<td></td>
<td>1) After 12 weeks, subjects who achieved a PDR(^<em>) were randomized to continue i) PR + ASN or ii) PR + placebo for further 12 weeks; subjects who failed to achieve a PDR(^</em>) received further 36 weeks of PR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3) PR + placebo</td>
<td></td>
<td>2) 48 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lok et al(^d)</td>
<td>21, genotype 1 (86% subtype 1a), nonresponder</td>
<td>Noncirrhotic</td>
<td>1) DCV + ASN 600 mg twice daily</td>
<td>24 weeks</td>
<td>1) 36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2) DCV + ASN 600 mg twice daily + PR</td>
<td></td>
<td>2) 90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lok et al(^e)</td>
<td>101, genotype 1 (53% subtype 1a, 47% subtype 1b), null responders</td>
<td>Noncirrhotic</td>
<td>Subtype 1a: A1) DCV + ASN (200 mg twice daily)</td>
<td>24 weeks</td>
<td>A1) 83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B1) DCV + ASN (200 mg once daily) + PR</td>
<td></td>
<td>A2) 60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Subtype 1b: A1) DCV + ASN (200 mg twice daily)</td>
<td></td>
<td>B1) 90% (88% for subtype 1a and 100% for subtype 1b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B2) DCV + ASN (200 mg once daily) + PR</td>
<td></td>
<td>B2) 95% (95% for subtype 1a and 100% for subtype 1b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B3) DCV + ASN (200 mg once daily) + RBV</td>
<td></td>
<td>B3) 82% (5.6% for subtype 1a and 100% for subtype 1b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A1) DCV + ASN (200 mg twice daily)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1) 36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A2) DCV + ASN (200 mg once daily)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2) 90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B1) DCV + ASN (200 mg twice daily) + PR</td>
<td></td>
<td>3) 48 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B2) DCV + ASN (200 mg once daily) + PR</td>
<td></td>
<td>4) 45% for genotype 1 subjects; 43% for genotype 4 subjects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B3) DCV + ASN (200 mg once daily) + RBV</td>
<td></td>
<td>5) 46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suzuki et al(^f)</td>
<td>43, subtype 1b, (21 null responders and 22 intolerant/ ineligible to PR)</td>
<td>Noncirrhotic</td>
<td>DCV + ASN (200 mg twice daily)</td>
<td>24 weeks</td>
<td>76.7% (90.5% in null responders and 63.6% in intolerant/ineligible subjects)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumada et al(^g)</td>
<td>222 subtype 1b, 135 ineligible/intolerant to interferon and 87 nonresponders to PR</td>
<td>Cirrhotic (22 patients) and noncirrhotic (200 patients)</td>
<td>DCV + ASN (100 mg twice daily)</td>
<td>24 weeks</td>
<td>84.7% (87.4% in ineligible/ intolerant and 80.5% in nonresponders)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manns et al.</td>
<td>745, subtype 1b</td>
<td>Both cirrhotic and noncirrhotic (16% of the naive, 31% of the nonresponder, and 47% of the ineligible/intolerant were cirrhotic)</td>
<td>DCV + ASN (100 mg twice daily)</td>
<td>24 weeks</td>
<td>SVR12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everson et al.</td>
<td>66, genotype 1, naïve</td>
<td>Noncirrhotic</td>
<td>1 2) DCV + ASN (200 mg twice daily) + BMS-791325 (75 mg twice daily)</td>
<td>1) 24 weeks</td>
<td>1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everson et al.</td>
<td>166, genotype 1, naïve</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 4) DCV + ASN (200 mg twice daily) + BMS-791325 (150 mg twice daily)</td>
<td>2) 12 weeks</td>
<td>2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: PDR, protocol defined response, ie, HCV RNA < 25 IU/mL at week 4 and undetectable at week 10; PDR, protocol defined response, ie, week 4 HCV RNA <25 IU/mL, week 12 undetectable; SVR12, administered at a dose of 30 mg twice daily; PR was administered at standard doses; interferon-lambda 1a was administered at 180 µg weekly; daclatasvir was administered at 60 mg once daily.

Abbreviations: ASN, asunaprevir; PR, Pegylated-interferon-lambda + ribavirin; RBV, ribavirin; DCV, daclatasvir; NA, not available; SVR, sustained virological response.
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The corresponding figures in the control arm were 45% and 45% for genotype 1 and 4, respectively. Among the genotype 1 patients who achieved a protocol-defined response and those in the control arm received 48 weeks of treatment in the triple therapy arm. SVR was achieved by 64% of patients with genotype 1 (59% in HCV subtype 1a and 67% in subtype 1b). Among the genotype 1 patients who achieved an SVR, treatment was continued for 48 weeks after the loss of protocol-defined response. The sample patients who did not achieve an SVR either in the triple treatment or dual therapy at week 12 after the PR treatment and 12 weeks of follow-up (13). SVR12 was achieved in 73% of patients in the placebo arm and in 2% of patients in the daclatasvir arm. In the D-LITE study Safety Study of Pegylated Interferon Lambda Plus Single or 2 Direct Antiviral Agents With Ribavirin (Dual or D-LITE) study Safety Study of Pegylated Interferon Lambda Plus Single or 2 Direct Antiviral Agents With Ribavirin (Duvorir), 80% of patients who received Pegylated interferon-λa and ribavirin together had achieved an SVR in 19 weeks. Patients with genotype 1b had a higher rate. Patients who achieved an SVR12 had a 12 weeks of follow-up (13). SVR12 was achieved in 73% of patients in the placebo arm and in 2% of patients in the daclatasvir arm. In the D-LITE study Safety Study of Pegylated Interferon Lambda Plus Single or 2 Direct Antiviral Agents With Ribavirin (Duvorir), 80% of patients who received Pegylated interferon-λa and ribavirin together had achieved an SVR in 19 weeks. Patients with genotype 1b had a higher rate. Patients who achieved an SVR12 had a.
rate of SVR12 (≥91%) with both antivirals than those with
genotype 1a infection.76 Serious adverse events occurred in
9% of patients in the asunaprevir arm and in 3% of patients in
the daclatasvir arm. Similarly, grade 3–4 ALT and aspartate
aminotransferase elevation were more frequently recorded in
the asunaprevir arm (9% and 16%, respectively) than in the
daclatasvir arm (0% and 5%, respectively).76

Asunaprevir in interferon-free combinations

An open-label Phase IIa study evaluated the efficacy and
safety of a combination of daclatasvir 60 mg once daily plus
asunaprevir 600 mg twice daily for 24 weeks with or without
PR in 21 previously nonresponder patients with HCV
genotype 1.77 The median age ranged between 54 and 56.5 years,
and the mean viral load was in the range of 6.0–6.8 log IU/mL.
Most patients (9/11 in the interferon-free arm and 9/10 in the
interferon-containing arm) had HCV subtype 1a. At week 2,
the median decline in viral load was 5.1 log10 IU/mL in the
interferon-free arm and 5.3 log10 IU/mL in the interferon-
containing arm. Viral breakthrough occurred in six patients
(55%) in the interferon-free arm (all with HCV subtype 1a) and
none in the interferon-containing arm. SVR12 was achieved by
36% of patients (2/9 with HCV subtype 1a and 2/2 with HCV subtype 1b) in the interferon-free arm and by 100% of those in the interferon-containing arm.77 No seri-
ous adverse events or discontinuations due to adverse events
occurred during treatment. The most common adverse events
reported were diarrhea, fatigue, headache, and nausea. Four
patients in the interferon-free arm and two in the interferon-
containing arm experienced a greater than three-fold increase
in ALT levels.77 In detail, the increase in ALT occurred from
week 8 to 20 of treatment. The peak ALT level was 370 U/L.
However, in no case did the increase in ALT lead to discon-
tinuation of treatment.77

The dramatic difference with regard to the SVR rate of
the interferon-free combination daclatasvir and asunaprevir
between HCV subtype 1a vs 1b was confirmed in a random-
ized Phase IIa trial that evaluated the efficacy and safety of
asunaprevir 200 mg once or twice daily and daclatasvir
60 mg once daily with or without PR for 24 weeks in
101 noncirrhotic previously null responder patients with
HCV genotype 1.78 In detail, patients with genotype 1b were
randomized (ratio 5:5:1:1) to one of the following arms: A1
daclatasvir and asunaprevir (administered twice daily); A2
daclatasvir and asunaprevir (administered once daily); B1
daclatasvir, asunaprevir (administered twice daily) plus PR;
and B2) daclatasvir and asunaprevir (administered once daily)
plus PR. Subjects with HCV genotype 1a infection were
randomized 1:1 to arm B1 or B2. Once adequate activity
was demonstrated in group B1, an additional group (B3)
was created for patients with HCV genotype 1a and 1b who
received daclatasvir, asunaprevir twice daily, and ribavirin.

Baseline characteristics were similar across the arms. The
mean HCV RNA was 6 log IU/mL and the mean age was
in the range of 50–57 years. At day 7, the median decline in
HCV RNA was 4.4 log, 4.3 log, 4.8 log, and 4.7 log in arms
A1, A2, B1, and B2, respectively. Virological breakthroughs
occurred in 11%, 30%, 0%, and 0% in arms A1, A2, B1,
and B2, respectively. In arm B3, 10/18 patients with HCV
subtype 1a experienced a breakthrough, and were offered
rescue therapy with addition of PEGylated interferon. Given
the very high rate of breakthrough, preventive addition of
PEGylated interferon was offered to the other eight patients.
SVR12 occurred in 78%, 65%, 95%, and 95% in arms A1,
A2, B1, and B2, respectively. Regarding efficacy, the authors
concluded that in null responders with HCV genotype 1,
twice daily asunaprevir plus daclatasvir is effective for most
patients with subtype 1b infection, but is largely ineffective
for patients with subtype 1a. In contrast, the combination of
daclatasvir, asunaprevir, and PR is effective for nearly all
patients regardless of HCV subtype (1a or 1b).78 Most adverse
events were mild or moderate. Grade 3–4 aminotransferase
elevation occurred in four patients (two in arm A1, one in arm
A2, and one in arm B3). The peak ALT value was 590 U/L (in
a patient who received asunaprevir twice daily). In no case
was aminotransferase elevation accompanied by symptoms
of acute hepatitis or by bilirubin elevations, nor did it lead to
treatment discontinuation. All cases improved with continued
administration of the treatment and none were accompanied
by elevation in bilirubin level.78

A Phase IIa study carried out in Japan assessed the
efficacy and safety of daclatasvir 60 mg once daily and asu-
aprevir 200 mg twice daily for 24 weeks in 43 patients with
HCV subtype 1b (21 null responders to PR and 22 ineligible
or intolerant to PR).79 The median age was 61 years in null
responder patients and 68 years in intolerant/ ineligible
patients; mean HCV RNA viral load was 6.8 log10 IU/mL
in null responder patients and 6.6 log10 IU/mL in ineligible/
intolerant patients. Overall, 33/43 patients (76.7%) achieved
an SVR. SVR was achieved by 90.5% of null responder
patients and by 63.6% of intolerant/ ineligible subjects.
The mean decline in HCV RNA was 5.6 log10 IU/mL in
the null responder group and 5.4 log10 IU/mL in the HCV
RNA ineligible/intolerant group. There were no relapses
or breakthroughs in the null responder group. In contrast,
three breakthroughs and four relapses occurred in ineligible/intolerant patients. Safety was good, with only four cases of grade 3–4 alanine aminotransferase elevation and three of aspartate aminotransferase elevation recorded. Two patients discontinued treatment due to hyperbilirubinemia and aminotransferase elevation. In both cases, these abnormalities normalized 4 weeks after discontinuation.

A Japanese open-label Phase III trial evaluated the efficacy and safety of daclatasvir 60 mg once daily plus asunaprevir 100 mg twice daily administered for 24 weeks to 222 patients with HCV subtype 1b (135 patients ineligible/intolerant to interferon and 87 nonresponders to PR). The median age was 62.5 years, and notably, 40.1% were over 65 years of age. The mean viral load was 6.6 log_{10} IU/mL, and 9.9% of patients had cirrhosis. Of the 135 ineligible/intolerant group, 100 patients were ineligible and 35 were intolerant. The main reason for interferon ineligibility was anemia, thrombocytopenia, or neutropenia. At week 2, the mean decline in HCV RNA was 5.2 log_{10} IU/mL. Relapses occurred in 11/129 (8.5%) patients in the ineligible/intolerant group and in six of 76 patients (7.9%) in the nonresponder group. On intention-to-treat analysis, SVR was achieved by 87.4% of patients in the ineligible/intolerant group and by 80.5% of nonresponder patients. Interestingly, 20 of the 22 patients with cirrhosis (90.9%) had an SVR. Similarly, IL28B polymorphism, age, baseline viral load, and gender did not affect the chance of achieving an SVR. Safety was good. Sixteen patients had grade 3–4 ALT elevation after a median of 10 weeks of treatment. Ten of these (4.5% of the overall sample) discontinued treatment; in two cases, there was also a grade 3–4 bilirubin elevation, but there was no case of hepatic decompensation. Notably, eight of the 10 patients who discontinued treatment due to aminotransferase elevation achieved an SVR.

Hallmark-DUAL (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT01581203), a large global Phase III trial, evaluated the combination of daclatasvir 60 mg once daily and asunaprevir 100 mg twice daily for 24 weeks in 745 patients with HCV genotype 1b (305 naïve, 205 previously partial or null responders, and 235 ineligible/intolerant to interferon). naïve patients were randomized (2:1 ratio) to receive the active combination or placebo (after 12 weeks, all patients in the placebo arm received daclatasvir and asunaprevir). The median age was 58–72 years across the three arms. Patients with cirrhosis represented 16% of the naïve cohort, 31% of the nonresponder cohort, and 47% of the ineligible/intolerant cohort. SVR12 rates were 90%, 82%, and 82% in naïve, nonresponder, and ineligible/intolerant patients, respectively. The presence of liver cirrhosis, IL28 polymorphism, age, race, gender, or pretreatment viral load did not impact on SVR rate. The most common clinical adverse events were headache, fatigue, diarrhea, nausea, and asthenia, but adverse events leading to discontinuation were very rare (1%–3% across the three arms). There was an increase in ALT to >5-fold the upper normal values in 2%–3% of patients and an increase in total bilirubin to >2.5-fold the upper normal values in 0%–1% of patients across the three groups. Notably, the adverse event profile was similar in the naïve patients who received the combination and in those treated with placebo.

A Phase Ia study evaluated the efficacy and safety of the interferon-free combination of daclatasvir, asunaprevir, and BMS-791325 (a non-nucleoside HCV polymerase inhibitor) in 66 treatment-naïve, noncirrhotic patients with genotype 1. Patients were randomized to receive daclatasvir 60 mg once daily, asunaprevir 200 mg twice daily, and BMS-791325 75 mg twice daily for 24 weeks (group 1), 75 mg twice daily for 12 weeks (group 2), 150 mg twice daily for 24 weeks (group 3), or 150 mg twice daily for 12 weeks (group 4). The overall median age was 50 years, the pretreatment viral load was 6.3 log_{10} IU/mL, 74% were infected with HCV subtype 1a, and 70% had the non-CC IL28B genotype. The distributions of age, baseline viral load, rate of HCV subtype 1a, and IL28B polymorphism were comparable across the four groups. The HCV RNA level declined rapidly during treatment in all four arms, and at week 4, 64 of the 66 patients had less than 25 IU/mL of HCV RNA. At the end of treatment, the rate of undetectable HCV RNA was 94%, 100%, 94%, and 94% in groups 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. The corresponding figures for SVR12 rates were 94%, 94%, 94%, and 89%. There were only three virological failures, comprising two breakthroughs (one in group 3, and one in group 4) and one relapse (in group 4). The most common adverse events were headache, asthenia, diarrhea, and nausea. No grade 3–4 aminotransferase or bilirubin elevation occurred.

Based on these excellent results, a randomized Phase IIb trial evaluated the combination of daclatasvir 30 mg twice daily, asunaprevir 200 mg twice daily, and BMS-791325 75 mg or 150 mg twice daily for 12 weeks in 166 HCV treatment-naïve patients with genotype 1. The median age was 54 years, 33% of patients had IL28B CC polymorphism, and 18% of patients had liver cirrhosis. These characteristics were well balanced across the two groups. On intention-to-treat analysis, SVR12 was achieved by 88.8% of patients in the BMS-791325 75 mg arm and by 89.5% of those in the BMS-791325 150 mg arm.
Virological failures occurred in 7.5% and 5.8% of patients in the BMS-791325 75 mg and 150 mg arm, respectively. Notably, all cases of virological failure occurred in patients with HCV subtype 1a. Overall, three adverse events occurred, and in two (1.1%) cases led to treatment discontinuation. The most common adverse events were headache, diarrhea, fatigue, and nausea. Grade 3–4 aspartate transaminase elevation occurred in one patient (0.5%) and a grade 3–4 bilirubin increase in one patient (0.5%).

Resistant strains

An in vitro study identified R155K, D168G, and I170T as the primary NS3 substitutions for HCV subtype 1a associated with asunaprevir exposure. These substitutions conferred a low-to-moderate increase in the EC\textsubscript{50} for asunaprevir (5–21-fold) and were associated with low levels of replications (fitness between 2% and 12%). The double mutations Q80K plus D168V and Q80K plus D168E were associated with a large increase in EC\textsubscript{50} (713-fold and 242-fold, respectively) and with a good fitness (46% and 127%, respectively). The D168G/V/H/Y mutations were frequently detected in a subtype 1b-based replicon, while Q80R was rarely found. However, the D168G/V/H/Y mutations were associated with a moderate-to-large increase in EC\textsubscript{50} (ranging between 16-fold and 280-fold) and with a low fitness (ranging from 2% to 29%), while Q80R caused a four-fold increase in EC\textsubscript{50} and had a high fitness (102%).

The same study examined serum samples collected during an asunaprevir monotherapy study. Baseline analysis frequently revealed a polymorphism in the NS3 region. The most frequent polymorphism detected at baseline was Q80K, which was identified in ten of 25 patients. This mutation was associated in vitro with low-level resistance (an increase in EC\textsubscript{50} of 6.5-fold) but with a high fitness (139%). In fact, in the single-dose study, patients with the K80 polymorphism had a lower viral decline than those with the Q80 polymorphism (1.29 log IU/mL versus 2.88 log IU/mL, respectively). This difference did not occur in the multiple-dose group. Treatment-related changes in the NS3 sequence were found in two patients, but these did not affect their viral response. Finally, asunaprevir-resistant strains did not exhibit cross-resistance with NS5A inhibitors.

A resistance analysis assessed the role of mutants in 21 patients who received the daclatasvir and asunaprevir combination alone (group A, n=11) or with PR (group B, n=10). In group A, seven patients (all with HCV subtype 1a) had a virological failure (six breakthroughs and one relapse). All these patients carried resistance-associated variants to NS3 inhibitors (Q80K/L, D168A/Y/E/V, or R155) and to NS5A inhibitors (Q30E/R, Y93N/C, or L31V/M). No patient in group B had a virological failure. Interestingly, five of the eleven patients in group A had baseline mutations conferring resistance to NS3 (four cases of Q80K/L and one case of R155K). Three of four patients with the Q80K/L polymorphism at baseline subsequently had a breakthrough during treatment, while the fourth patient achieved an SVR. The patient carrying mutation R155K relapsed during treatment. Finally, 48 weeks after treatment completion, NS5A resistance variants persisted, but not NS3 variants, suggesting a lower fitness of the latter. Similar results were obtained in a Japanese study in which seven cases of virological failure (three breakthroughs and four relapses), which occurred in a cohort of 43 patients treated with daclatasvir and asunaprevir, were associated with variants conferring resistance to NS3 inhibitors (D168 A/V/D) and to NS5 inhibitors (L31M/V, Y93H, Q54Y, or P58L/A). A resistance analysis of the Phase III study from Japan revealed similar results. In fact, cases of virological failure were associated with appearance of mutations conferring resistance to asunaprevir (mainly D168A/E/N/T/V/Y) and to daclatasvir (mainly L31M/V/I or Y93H/N).

Finally, in a Phase IIb trial that evaluated triple oral treatment with asunaprevir, daclatasvir, and BMS-791325, cases of virological failure (all in patients with HCV subtype 1a) were associated with mutations in the NS3 region (V36M, T54A, or R155K), NS5A region (M28T, Q30E/H/R, L31M, or Y93H/N), and NS5B region (P495L/S).

Conclusion

Treatment of chronic HCV infection is undergoing a revolution. Interferon-based combinations, which had been the only treatment available for about 25 years, will be replaced by interferon-free therapies. Interferon-based treatments are poorly tolerated and the adverse events associated with these combinations are sometimes severe. In contrast, interferon-free therapies have the great advantages of high tolerability and safety, and could thus be used even in advanced stages of liver disease (eg, in decompensated cirrhosis) or in cases of significant comorbidities. Moreover, the efficacy of interferon-free combinations is in several cases outstanding, and notably, is high even in patients who did not respond to interferon-based treatments. Therefore, considering also the large number of highly effective drugs, HCV infection will probably become a curable disease in nearly all cases. The only problem with these new therapies is their cost, which will be high, at least when they first come onto
the market. In this light, low-resource countries may have difficulty in accessing these combinations. Moreover, given that the disease is asymptomatic during most of its natural history, screening programs are required to diagnose and treat the infection at a nonadvanced stage. Few data are available for patients who are treated in advanced decompensated stages, and the efficacy of the drugs in terms of increasing quality of life and survival has yet to be demonstrated. It is feasible that HCV eradication will be associated with an improved prognosis, even in patients with decompensated disease, as occurs for hepatitis B virus-related liver diseases, but this remains to be demonstrated.9,4

Based on the data presented, asunaprevir is a good protease inhibitor that decreases HCV replication activity to a large extent, and has an optimal rate of viral clearance if given in association with the NS5A inhibitor daclatasvir in HCV subtype 1b patients, regardless of their response to previous interferon-based treatment. In contrast, patients with HCV subtype 1a respond poorly to this combination and need a quadruple strategy (asunaprevir, daclatasvir, and PR) to obtain a high rate of viral clearance. The drawback of asunaprevir (and of all protease inhibitors) is its low barrier to resistance. However, its combination with an antiviral agent that has a different mechanism of action (ie, daclatasvir) overcomes this issue, as shown by the low rate of virological failure due to resistance, especially in patients with HCV.

The tolerability of asunaprevir is quite good. The major tolerability and safety issue is the increase in aminotransferase levels, which in some cases is accompanied by an increase in bilirubin. This elevation is mild in most cases, and is not associated with clinical decompensation. It should be noted that due to the hepatotoxicity of the drug, asunaprevir doses were reduced during its clinical development. In fact, the dosage of 600 mg twice daily in the initial studies were lowered to 200 mg twice daily in most of the Phase II studies and to 100 mg twice daily in the two available Phase III trials. Based on the reassuring results of the most recent trials, we believe that hepatotoxicity does not represent a major obstacle to administration of asunaprevir. However, due to this potential risk of hepatic flare and to the highly impaired pharmacokinetics in patients with decompensated disease, it is unlikely that asunaprevir can be considered for patients in a decompensated phase of the disease. Moreover, although asunaprevir has been demonstrated in vitro to be effective against strains other than genotype 1 (namely genotypes 4, 5, and 6), only a few patients with genotype 4 have received asunaprevir, and no patients with genotype 5 or 6.

Finally, an often overlooked aspect is the safety and efficacy of these new drugs during pregnancy. To our knowledge, no study has yet assessed this issue in HCV infection, unlike in hepatitis B virus infection.9,5,6

In conclusion, thanks to its robust antiviral activity and good tolerability, asunaprevir is an excellent protease inhibitor to use in combination with drugs that exert their effect through other mechanisms. The limits of this drug are the risk of increased liver enzymes, impaired pharmacokinetics in patients with decompensated disease, and a low barrier to resistance. For these reasons, its use in patient with Child-Pugh class B or C cirrhosis is unlikely. The most frequently studied asunaprevir combination (with the NS5A inhibitor daclatasvir) results in an excellent rate of viral clearance in patients with subtype 1b infection. Therefore, patients with chronic HCV subtype 1b hepatitis or compensated cirrhosis will probably benefit from this combination in the near future.
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