Effect of a structured, active, home-based cancer-treatment program for the management of patients on oral chemotherapy

S Bordonaro¹
F Romano¹
E Lanteri¹
F Cappuccio¹
R Indorato²
A Butera³
A D’Angelo¹
F Ferraù³
P Tralongo¹

¹Medical Oncology Unit, Umberto I Hospital, Rete Assistenza Oncologica, Siracusa, ²Medical Oncology Unit, S Giovanni di Dio Hospital, Agrigento, ³Medical Oncology Unit, S Vincenzo Hospital, Taormina, Italy

Introduction: The advent of oral chemotherapy agents has had a strong impact on several aspects of the management of cancer patients, including survival rates, health-care expenditure, and health-related quality of life. However, access to care and adherence to oral chemotherapy are central to optimal outcomes.

Patients and methods: In this multicenter observational study, we assessed the effect of the “Active Home Care” initiative – a structured, active, home-based cancer-treatment program – on quality of life, health-care utilization, and patient adherence and satisfaction using self-administered questionnaires. Sixty-two patients treated with oral chemotherapy (capecitabine, vinorelbine, imatinib, sunitinib, sorafenib, temozolomide, ibandronate) were enrolled in the program. Weekly home visits were scheduled, each one with a trained nurse who delivered the home-based chemotherapy and reviewed patients’ compliance and treatment toxicity. An oncologist evaluated patients and modified the dosage of oral chemotherapy based on toxicity reported during the previous cycle at bi-weekly visits.

Results: A total of 460 home visits were performed between April 2012 and February 2013. The Active Home Care initiative was associated with significant improvements in physical functioning and symptoms, and reductions in the access to cancer facilities. Satisfaction with oral chemotherapy and care received was high. All patients reported having taken their medications according to their prescription, and no patient reported difficulties in managing the oral chemotherapy regimen.

Conclusion: The Active Home Care program was associated with improvements in the quality of life of patients and caregivers, better adherence to treatment, and the effective management of therapy and cancer-related symptoms. Home-based cancer treatment may also optimize the utilization of health-care resources.
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Introduction
Over the past decades, the availability of new treatment options and advances in the prevention, earlier detection, and treatment of cancer have led to a substantial decrease in mortality rates from the disease.¹ As such, most cancers are now regarded as chronic conditions that require long-term treatment and follow-up. At the same time, the decrease in cancer death rates, along with the aging of the population and the spread of cancer-causing behaviors such as smoking, has translated into an increased prevalence of cancer worldwide.² Overall, increases in survival and cancer prevalence have resulted in a greater number of cancer patients needing long-term care, which poses significant challenges to clinical oncologists and oncology services. It should...
also be highlighted that quality of life is a primary goal in the management of patients with cancer, especially for those with advanced disease, since cancer therapies strongly affect both patients’ and caregivers’ quality of life.\textsuperscript{3,4} Therefore, the need for management strategies aimed at improving quality of life, clinical outcomes, and patient acceptability, as well as optimizing the utilization of health-care resources, is compelling. In this light, the use of oral cancer therapies, which is becoming increasingly common in clinical oncology,\textsuperscript{5,7} represents a promising therapeutic strategy. The advent of oral chemotherapy has had a strong impact on several aspects of the management of cancer patients, including survival rates, health-care expenditure, and health-related quality of life.\textsuperscript{8-10} As an example, the selective tyrosine kinase inhibitor imatinib mesylate has been shown to be highly effective in patients with unresectable or metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumor – a cancer associated with unfavorable outcomes and resistance to conventional chemotherapy or radiotherapy.\textsuperscript{11,12} Imatinib is also considered life saving for patients with chronic myeloid leukemia. Similarly, capecitabine and vinorelbine have made an important contribution to the treatment of advanced and/or metastatic breast, colon, gastric, and lung cancers.\textsuperscript{14-19}

Although oral regimens offer the great convenience of treatment at home and avoidance of infusions that often require the placement of long-term venous access devices potentially associated with increased risk of infections and thrombotic complications,\textsuperscript{20} the efficacy of oral chemotherapy is largely dependent on patients’ adherence to the prescribed protocol.\textsuperscript{21,22} In fact, self-administration may increase the likelihood of errors, including missing a dose, overdosing, or taking a dose at the wrong time, which in turn may lead to reduced efficacy, increased toxicity, and potential drug–drug interactions in patients taking other medications.\textsuperscript{21,23} Adherence rates for oral cancer therapy vary widely, ranging from less than 20% to 100\%,\textsuperscript{21} and nonadherence is known to be associated with greater use of health-care resources and costs due to more frequent physician visits, higher hospitalization rates, and longer hospital stays.\textsuperscript{24,25} Several factors may contribute to poor adherence, eg, individual patient characteristics, features of the disease and the treatment regimen, and aspects of the medical care system.\textsuperscript{22} However, patient education by physicians, nurses, and other health-care providers, as well as ease of access to health care, may substantially improve adherence.\textsuperscript{26} As a consequence of the increased availability and use of oral chemotherapy, in recent years, the need for a shift from inpatient to home-based care strategies has become apparent.

Home-based health-promotion programs have been shown to offer clinical benefits in a range of clinical settings and to be cost-effective.\textsuperscript{27,28} In the present study, we sought to assess the effect of a structured, active, home-based cancer treatment program – on quality of life, health-care utilization, and patient adherence and satisfaction in a cohort of patients treated with oral chemotherapy.

**Patients and methods**

This was an observational, longitudinal, multicenter study. Participants were selected among adult (≥18 years old) cancer patients being seen at three oncology referral centers in Sicily, Italy (Rete Assistenza Oncologica, Siracusa; Ospedale S Giovanni di Dio, Agrigento; and Ospedale S Vincenzo, Taormina) between April 2012 and February 2013. All patients on an oral chemotherapy regimen were asked to take part in the study, and those willing to participate were enrolled after providing informed consent. In the participating centers, a home-based cancer treatment program (the Active Home Care project) has been implemented for patients treated with oral chemotherapy, and these patients are routinely followed-up in their homes. The study was approved by the local ethics committee. Participation in the study did not affect the management of patients.

**Home-based cancer-treatment program**

In the home-based cancer program offered by the participating centers, weekly home visits are scheduled with a trained nurse who delivers the home-based chemotherapy and reviews patients’ compliance and treatment toxicity. An oncologist evaluates patients and modifies the dosage of oral chemotherapy based on toxicity during the previous cycle at bi-weekly patient home visits. A telephone number is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week for patients’ emergency calls.

**Assessments**

For the purpose of this study, patients were asked to report any unplanned use of primary care, admissions to the emergency department, or hospitalizations. Patient quality of life was measured at baseline and after 3 months or two chemotherapy cycles (whichever occurred first) using the 30-item European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) QLQ-C30 questionnaire, version 3.0,\textsuperscript{29} which includes several functional scales (physical, emotional, cognitive, social), a global-health-status quality-of-life scale, and single measures of symptom severity (fatigue, nausea, vomiting, pain, dyspnea, sleep disturbances, appetite loss, constipation,
by occult primary cancer. One patient had breast and colon cancer, while another patient had breast and uterine cancer. Therapies consisted of the anticancer drugs most often used in oral formulations (capecitabine, vinorelbine, imatinib, sunitinib, sorafenib, temozolomide, and ibandronate).

A total of 460 home visits were performed during the period considered. Only nine hospital admissions were recorded. Of these, five were for emergency care (emergency department) due to reasons not directly related to the primary condition and/or cancer treatment, and the remaining four were day-hospital admissions, none of which required subsequent hospitalization.

Quality of life questionnaire
Fifty-six patients completed the EORTC quality of life questionnaire (QLQ-C30) at baseline and 3 months (or two chemotherapy cycles) after enrollment in the program. Changes in EORTC QLQ-C30 scores are shown in Table 1. Significant improvements ($P<0.05$) were seen in the symptom (fatigue, pain, nausea, and vomiting) and physical-functioning scales and in several individual items (dyspnea, sleep disturbances, appetite loss, and constipation) (Table 1). Of note, moderate-to-large clinically significant changes ($\geq 10$ points) were observed in physical functioning, fatigue, nausea and vomiting, appetite loss, and constipation (Figure 1). There was also a trend toward an improvement in global quality of life and in most of the other scales (Table 1).

Acceptability of oral chemotherapy
Acceptability of oral chemotherapy was high. All patients considered the information received on the oral regimen to be complete and exhaustive, and thought that being on oral therapy was more advantageous than being on intravenous therapies, both in terms of time saved (less time spent in hospital) and of having more time to spend with family and friends. Also, all patients thought that an oral regimen was more convenient, since no bulky infusion devices are involved. Fifty-five patients (88.7%) considered oral chemotherapy to be as effective as chemotherapy infusion regimens, and 80.6% thought that an oral regimen could have fewer side effects than other therapies. Sixty patients (96.7%) considered the home monitoring of compliance to treatment, patient health status, and possible adverse events by a nurse and/or oncologist to be useful. No patient reported difficulties in managing the oral regimen, and all of them reported having taken their medications according to the indications provided by the physician. Forty-two patients (67%) needed help from a caregiver to take their medications.

Results
Sixty-two patients (26 males) with a mean age of 67.8 years (range 33–83 years) on oral chemotherapy were enrolled in the Active Home Care project in the study. More than half of the patients (56%) were 70 years of age or older. Of the participating patients, 27.4% were affected by breast cancer, 24.1% by colon cancer, 19.3% by lung cancer, 6.4% by renal cancer, 6.4% by astrocytoma, 3.2% by hepatocellular carcinoma, 3.2% by gastrointestinal stromal tumor, 1.6% by pancreas cancer, 1.6% by uterine metastatic cancer, 1.6% by ovarian metastatic cancer, 1.6% by Kaposi’s sarcoma, 1.6% by multiple myeloma, 1.6% by bladder cancer, and 1.6%...
Table 1 Differences between European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer QLQ-C30 scores at baseline and at the 3-month assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>After 3 months</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Interquartile range</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global health status/QoL</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>41.6–66.6</td>
<td>64.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical functioning</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>40.0–60.0</td>
<td>61.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role functioning</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>66.6–83.3</td>
<td>74.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional functioning</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>50.0–76.6</td>
<td>66.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive functioning</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>50.0–79.9</td>
<td>66.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social functioning</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>51.9–95.3</td>
<td>66.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>6.9–55.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea and vomiting</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>14.4–50.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>12.4–33.3</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>28.2–38.3</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>16.6–33.3</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appetite loss</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>34.9–66.6</td>
<td>32.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>30.6–44.6</td>
<td>30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0–0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial difficulties</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.0–16.9</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: QLQ-C30, quality of life questionnaire; QoL, quality of life.

Clinical, social, and economic impact of the home-based care

Twenty-nine patients (46.8%) completed the self-administered questionnaire for the assessment of the clinical, social, and economic impact of the home-based care, at baseline and after 6 months. After 6 months, the mean ratings of patients’ and caregivers’ overall satisfaction with the program on a five-point scale were 4.0 and 4.4, respectively. The percentage of patients reporting cancer- and/or treatment-related symptoms decreased from 68.9% at baseline to 62.1% after 6 months. Fatigue and sleep disturbances were the most common symptoms, followed by pain, diarrhea and nausea, fever, vomiting, and dysphagia. The evaluation of symptoms related to the disease or the treatment is reported in Figure 2. All patients reported having been informed on treatment-related side effects potentially associated with the medication they were taking. After 6 months, the percentage of patients who saw their primary-care physician at least once during the month prior to completion of the questionnaire was numerically lower than at baseline (65.5% vs 86.2%, respectively). In most cases, visits to the primary-care physician were arranged to ask for a generic drug prescription or to inform the physician on the patient’s health conditions. Only three patients reported having seen their primary-care physician to ask for a prescription related to the chemotherapy protocol. Of note, the majority of patients (82.7% at baseline and 86.2% at 6 months) would have needed to be accompanied to hospital visits by a family member or friend who, in most cases, had to take time off from work to do so. With the active home-based cancer treatment, patients with a poor performance status have been able to continue the oral treatment.

Figure 1 Mean change from baseline in the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer QLQ-C30 questionnaire after 3 months. Note: *Significant difference from baseline (P<0.05).

Abbreviations: AP, appetite loss; CF, cognitive functioning; CO, constipation; DI, diarrhea; DY, dyspnea; EF, emotional functioning; FA, fatigue; FI, financial difficulties; NV, nausea and vomiting; PA, pain; PF, physical functioning; QLQ-C30, quality of life questionnaire; QoL, global quality of life; RF, role functioning; SF, social functioning; SL, insomnia.

Figure 2 Cancer- and/or treatment-related symptoms reported by patients at baseline and after 6 months.
With regard to psychological stress associated with the underlying disease, the number of patients who reported being scared slightly increased, from 17 at baseline to 19 at 6 months. Specifically, patients were afraid that cancer- and/or treatment-related symptoms such as pain could become impossible to control; expressed concerns about losing their self-sufficiency or becoming a burden for their families; and were scared of dying, of losing their mental self-control or social role, or of being rejected by their families.

Discussion

Over the past decades, the availability of oral chemotherapy agents and the increase in the number of cancer patients requiring long-term care have led to a shift in the focus of care for patients with advanced cancer from institution-based to home-based models. We undertook the study reported here to evaluate the impact of an active, home-based, cancer-treatment program on quality of life, health-care utilization, and patient adherence and satisfaction in a cohort of patients treated with oral chemotherapy.

Participants reported significant improvements in physical functioning and cancer- and/or treatment-related symptoms. Moderate-to-large clinically significant changes were observed in physical functioning, fatigue, nausea and vomiting, appetite loss, and constipation (Figure 1). The Active Home Care project appeared to have a particularly positive effect on the appetite-loss score, which improved by about 25 points. The effective management of symptoms is a major priority in the care of cancer patients. Fatigue is highly prevalent among cancer patients, being present in 70%–100% of patients. Similarly, up to 64% of cancer patients experience pain. Cancer anorexia is a detrimental and highly prevalent symptom that, especially if associated with cancer cachexia, may lead to further worsening of patients’ health status. It has been suggested that the best approach to the so-called cancer anorexia-cachexia syndrome should include optimal symptom management and careful psychosocial counselling. Overall, the observed improvement in physical functioning and symptoms such as pain, fatigue, and appetite loss may be related to easier access to care and better communication between patients and health-care providers, which facilitated earlier detection and management of symptoms.

Further, it has been shown that cancer patients receiving home-based care are more confident in dealing with the effects of their disease. Accordingly, a trend toward an improvement in global quality of life and emotional, cognitive, and social functioning was also observed. Preference for oral chemotherapy over parenteral treatments was high among patients. These results are in accordance with previous reports in the literature indicating that a large proportion of cancer patients prefer oral chemotherapy over intravenous regimens. Further, most patients considered oral chemotherapy to be as effective as other treatments and associated with fewer side effects. It should be acknowledged, however, that oral equivalents have side effects that are similar to their parenteral counterparts. In this light, patient education is essential to increase awareness of the potential side effects that may require dose reductions. All patients enrolled in the Active Home Care project considered the information received on the oral regimen to be complete and exhaustive, and reported having been informed on the treatment-related side effects potentially associated with the medication they were taking. The percentage of patients reporting cancer- and/or treatment-related symptoms decreased from 68.9% at baseline to 62.1% after 6 months. No serious adverse events were reported, and only nine hospital admissions were recorded, none of which was related to the underlying disease or treatment-associated adverse events. Overall, these results suggest that the management of symptoms and oral chemotherapy regimens by physicians and nurses was effective, and that communication between patients and health-care providers was adequate.

Compliance with treatment was high: all patients reported having taken their medications according to the indications provided by the physician. Adherence to long-term treatments is largely dependent on the perception of the individual risks, benefits, and costs of the intervention. Almost 97% of patients considered home monitoring of compliance to treatment, patient health status, and possible adverse events by a nurse and/or an oncologist to be useful, and oral chemotherapy to be as effective as other treatments. Such patient perceptions, along with the improved ease of access to cancer care, may have contributed to the optimal adherence observed in this study. This finding is of particular relevance for the management of elderly patients with cancer, who often have comorbidities and other disabilities that require polypharmacy. In this setting, adherence to physicians’ recommendations is highly important to avoid potentially dangerous drug–drug interactions. In addition, adherence among the elderly may be lower due to decreased social support and the increased incidence of cognitive issues. More than half (56%) of patients enrolled in the Active Home Care project were elderly, which suggests that the initiative was equally effective in improving adherence to treatment in this patient population, as compared with younger patients.
Overall, the high satisfaction with the program may be attributed to the increased convenience of home-delivered chemotherapy, which reduces the need for multiple trips to the hospital while nauseated or fatigued, and avoids in-hospital waiting, enabling patients to benefit from the comfort of a familiar environment and less disruption to daily routine. The Active Home Care initiative also decreased the number of visits to primary-care physicians, which may result in reduced health-care costs. These findings are in accordance with previous studies showing that patients receiving home care make fewer visits to their general practitioner, have reduced contact with other health services, and have fewer inpatient days. In addition, home-based care models are associated with lower costs than inpatient hospital care.

Home-based care may also have other advantages in terms of health-care and social costs. More than 80% of patients enrolled in the Active Home Care program would have needed to be accompanied to hospital visits by a family member or friend. This is particularly true for elderly patients, since access to treatment becomes more difficult with advancing age due to increased disability. Reducing the number of hospital visits would reduce both direct and indirect costs for caregivers, who often cover the costs of transportation and, in most cases, have to take time off from work. In addition, among caregivers, overall satisfaction with the program was even higher than among patients. Quality of life of caregivers is significantly affected by a loved one’s cancer diagnosis. The home-based care model provides an optimal environment for the patient and his/her carers by reducing burdensome and time-consuming trips to hospital and by allowing patients and carers to maintain a normal lifestyle during treatment, which results in a positive impact on their quality of life.

The experience we report here confirms the results of a previous pilot study conducted by our group, which demonstrated that active home care may reduce patient accessing of cancer facilities by more than 98%, promote better patient quality of life, and facilitate access to cancer care for those patients who require to be accompanied by carers. However, caution is needed when interpreting these findings, given the observational nature of this study and the lack of a control group. Further, a variety of cancer types and treatments were included, which could be regarded as a confounding factor, since different diseases and therapies may be associated with different outcomes, side effects, and response rates. Nevertheless, patients enrolled in the Active Home Care project were highly representative of the patient population attending the oncology referral centers involved in the initiative. In many cases, these patients lived some distance from their nearest oncology service, so our initiative allowed many individuals to overcome geographical barriers that would have prevented them from accessing adequate care. This experience is in accordance with the data reported in the literature on the integration of palliative care in the early stages of cancer and combines well with the appearance of the centrality of the oncologist in the management of the care of the patient. The self-reporting of adherence, which is subject to self-presentation bias, could be another limitation of this study. Although the reliability of the questionnaires used in this study will need to be confirmed in larger studies, self-report has high specificity and can provide information regarding the reasons for patient deviation from prescribed regimens.

Conclusion
Home-based cancer treatment represents a new model of care that may lead to improvements in the quality of life of patients and caregivers, better adherence to treatment, and the effective management of therapy and cancer-related symptoms. Home-based cancer treatment may also optimize health-care-resource utilization, with a favorable impact on health-care expenditure. Further studies are needed to confirm the feasibility and benefits of this model.
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