Association of dopamine D1 receptor gene polymorphism with schizophrenia: a meta-analysis
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Abstract: To date, the role of dopamine D1 receptor (DRD1) polymorphism in schizophrenia remains controversial. We carried out a meta-analysis to determine whether DRD1 polymorphism influences the risk of schizophrenia. We examined whether rs4532 and rs5326 genetic variants are related to the etiology of schizophrenia, using a meta-analysis. Relevant case-control studies were retrieved by database searching and selected according to established inclusion criteria. A total of ten studies were identified and included in our meta-analysis, nine for rs4532, with 1,941 cases and 2,480 controls, and four for rs5326, with 1,285 cases and 1,195 controls. No significant association was found between the rs4532 locus and schizophrenia. For the rs5326 locus, the guanine-adenine (GA) genotype was associated with schizophrenia as a risk factor (for GA vs guanine-guanine [GG], odds ratio [OR] =1.36, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.15–1.61, P<0.001). The GA genotype of rs5326 increased the risk of schizophrenia, but there was no association between rs4532 and schizophrenia. These data may provide references for case-control studies in schizophrenia in future.
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Introduction
Schizophrenia is a common mental disorder affected by the interaction of multiple genetic and environmental factors, with a median incidence of 15.2/100,000 persons worldwide.1 Evidence from family, adoption, and twins studies supports that its heritability is up to 80%.2 Many efforts have been made over the years, in order to clarify the pathological mechanisms, but a consistent conclusion hasn’t been achieved given that nongenetic factors, such as social and psychological factors, have an impact on the occurrence of schizophrenia and increase the difficulty of the identification of susceptible genes.2 Nevertheless, genome-wide association studies have identified a genetic association by comparing common single nucleotide polymorphisms across the human genome,3 and a number of copy number variants have been suggested as susceptibility factors for schizophrenia.4 To date, dysregulation of dopaminergic neurotransmission has been implicated in the pathogenesis of schizophrenia,5,6 and the reduction of prefrontal cortical dopamine neurotransmission likely leads to schizophrenia.7

Dopamine D1 receptor (DRD1) gene polymorphisms roughly play a role in the occurrence of schizophrenia by affecting the expression of the D1 receptor. Linkage association between DRD1 and schizophrenia has been reported in Chinese,8 American,9 and Portuguese populations.10 Increasing evidence indicates that the polymorphism in the 5′ untranslated region of DRD1 is associated with the mediation of the therapeutic response to antipsychotics.11 Moreover, present studies12–14 show that deficits in the DRD1 transmission are associated with the cognitive and negative symptoms of schizophrenia and that there is a significant decrease in DRD1 expression in the basal ganglia of schizophrenic patients.15 In contrast, some studies have reported that there was no genetic association...
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between the DRD1 gene and the risk of schizophrenia.\textsuperscript{16–18} Therefore, the role of the DRD1 gene in schizophrenia remains controversial, and a consistent conclusion has yet to be achieved. The human DRD1 gene rs4532 (A-48G) and rs5326 (G-94A) loci are two commonly studied polymorphisms that are located on the 5′ UTR region. The Rs4532 locus has been associated with therapeutic response to antipsychotics,\textsuperscript{19} psychotic symptoms, and prefrontal cortex-related cognitive performance.\textsuperscript{20} As for the rs5326 locus, this may act as a short-open-reading frame preceding the receptor cistron and encode a small peptide that inhibits receptor translation.\textsuperscript{21} Thus, it is necessary and sufficient to estimate the role of rs4532 and rs5326 loci in the pathogenesis of schizophrenia.

There are several possible explanations for this conflicting evidence, such as small sample size, ethnic differences, and publication bias. To systematically synthesize these scattered studies, a potentially useful method is to use meta-analytic techniques, which can combine the results of several studies to generate a single estimate of the major effect with enhanced precision.\textsuperscript{22} Results from multiple studies are incorporated in an unbiased fashion.\textsuperscript{22} Meta-analysis is becoming important in psychiatric genetics because of its great improvement and rapid increase of the number and size of data sets. Consequently, in our present study, we carried out a meta-analysis of studies examining the association between DRD1 gene polymorphism and the risk of schizophrenia.

**Methods**

**Identification and eligibility of relevant studies**

To identify studies eligible for our meta-analysis, three online electronic databases (PubMed, Web of Science, and China National Knowledge Infrastructure [CNKI]) were searched (the last search update was December 2013). The following key words were used in the literature search: DRD1, dopamine receptor 1, dopamine D1 receptor, dopamine receptor D1, schizophrenia, polymorphism, and variation. The reference lists from identified articles and potentially relevant review articles were also screened to identify additional relevant studies. Studies included in this meta-analysis met the following inclusion criteria: (1) used a case-control design; (2) included patients with schizophrenia and healthy controls; (3) offered available allele or genotype frequencies; (4) the most recent of studies with the same or overlapping data published by the same authors; and (5) published in an English language, peer-reviewed journal. Major reasons for exclusion of studies were: (1) no control population; (2) duplicate of earlier publication; and (3) no usable genotype frequency data.

**Data extraction**

According to the inclusion criteria listed above, two of the authors extracted information from all eligible publications independently. If there were missing data, we contacted the responsible authors to retrieve additional data not contained in the original paper. Any disagreement was resolved through discussion until the two authors reached a consensus. The following data were included from each study: the first author’s last name, publication year, region, race, study design, source of controls, diagnostic criteria, detection method, and numbers of genotypes between cases and controls.

**Statistical analysis**

The strength of the association between two loci and schizophrenia was measured by odd ratios (OR), with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Pooled effect sizes across studies were performed by a random effects model, which evaluates the likely effect size across different populations and takes heterogeneity across studies into account. The random effects model is different from a fixed effects model, which evaluates the most likely effect size from multiple studies, hypothesizing that they are sampled from a single population, but it can be biased by high heterogeneity across studies.\textsuperscript{22}

The degree of heterogeneity between studies was performed using a Q-statistic.\textsuperscript{23,24} A P-value >0.05 for the Q-test indicated a lack of heterogeneity, and P-value <0.05 indicated an existence of heterogeneity. The I\(^2\) is the proportion of observed variance in effect sizes attributable to the true differences among studies. A conventional interpretation of I\(^2\) is that it defines bounds for low (\(<25\%\)), moderate (\(<50\%\)), and high (\(>75\%\)) heterogeneity.\textsuperscript{24,25} Subgroup analysis was performed by type of region.

An estimate of publication bias was performed by visual inspection of a funnel plot, in which the standard error of log (OR) of each study was plotted against its log (OR). An asymmetric plot indicated a possible publication bias, and the degree of asymmetry was tested using Egger’s test (\(P<0.05\) was considered to indicate significant publication bias).\textsuperscript{26}

Sensitivity analysis was carried out to assess the potential influences of any single study on the pooled effect size. This was performed by sequentially omitting each study within each meta-analysis, in order to check for significant alterations to the pooled effect sizes. All statistical tests were two-sided. The meta-analysis was performed using Stata version 10.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

**Results**

The search strategy retrieved 44 potentially relevant studies. According to the inclusion criteria, ten articles were identified
and included in our meta-analysis (Table 1). Among the ten eligible studies, nine studies with 1,941 cases and 2,480 controls, were included in the meta-analysis for the rs4532 locus and four studies with 1,285 cases and 1,195 controls, in the analysis for rs5326 locus in Table 2.

### Meta-analysis result

Table 3 lists the main results of pooled OR for the loci of rs4532 and rs5326 and schizophrenia. No significant association was found between the rs4532 locus and schizophrenia. As a risk factor (for GA vs GG, OR = 1.36, 95% CI: 1.15–1.61, P < 0.001), while no association between the AA genotype and schizophrenia was observed (for AA vs GG, OR = 0.89, 95% CI: 0.51–1.54, P = 0.675). For rs5326 locus in the allele contrast model (A vs G), OR = 1.24, 95% CI: 1.16–1.32, P < 0.001. The A allele was associated with schizophrenia as a risk factor. The forest plot is shown in Figure 1.

### Subgroup analysis

Examination of heterogeneity among the rs4532 locus group across studies was significant. However, the genotype frequency of rs4532 locus had diverse distribution among different races. We carried out the subgroup analysis, by race, in the rs4532 locus group. Races were divided into a Mongolian subgroup (including Chinese and Japanese) and
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### Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the studies included in meta-analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Source of controls</th>
<th>Diagnostic criteria</th>
<th>Detection method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cichon et al²⁷</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>German</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Population-based</td>
<td>DSM-III-R</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dmitrzak et al²⁸</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Population-based</td>
<td>DSM-IV/ICD-10</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dollfus et al²⁶</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Population-based</td>
<td>DSM-III-R</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Du et al²⁴</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>Mongolian</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Population-based</td>
<td>DSM-IV</td>
<td>Taqman²⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hu et al³⁰</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>Mongolian</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Population-based</td>
<td>CCMD-III</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iwata et al²⁹</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Mongolian</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Population-based</td>
<td>DSM-IV</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kojima et al³¹</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Mongolian</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Hospital-based</td>
<td>DSM-IV</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al²⁷</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>Mongolian</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Hospital-based</td>
<td>DSM-IV</td>
<td>Taqman²⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhu et al³²</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>Mongolian</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Hospital-based</td>
<td>DSM-IV</td>
<td>MALDI-TOF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al³²</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>Mongolian</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Hospital-based</td>
<td>DSM-IV</td>
<td>Taqman²⁸</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Taqman SNP genotyping assay.

**Abbreviations:** CCMD, Chinese Classification and Diagnostic Criteria of Mental Disorders; DSM, American Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders; ICD, International Classification of Disease; MALDI-TOF, matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight mass spectrometry; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; RFLP, restriction fragment length polymorphism; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.
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### Table 2 Genotype frequencies of rs4532 and rs5326 of all studies included in the meta-analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>rs4532 (case/control)</th>
<th>HWE test in control</th>
<th>rs5326 (case/control)</th>
<th>HWE test in control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>AG</td>
<td>GG</td>
<td>χ²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cichon et al²⁷</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>16/20</td>
<td>16/20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dmitrzak et al²⁸</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>64/51</td>
<td>186/489</td>
<td>157/159</td>
<td>131.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dollfus et al²⁶</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>14/40</td>
<td>47/117</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Du et al²⁴</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>90/124</td>
<td>21/52</td>
<td>6/2</td>
<td>1.559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hu et al³⁰</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>39/126</td>
<td>8/18</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iwata et al³⁰</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>114/126</td>
<td>33/22</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>0.954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kojima et al³¹</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>280/265</td>
<td>88/108</td>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>1.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhu et al³²</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>299/252</td>
<td>81/92</td>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>0.532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al³²</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>275/236</td>
<td>85/103</td>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>1.455</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** All P < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

**Abbreviations:** A, adenine; G, guanine; HWE, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium.
Table 3: Main results of pooled ORs for rs4532 and rs5326

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>I² (%)</th>
<th>Ph value</th>
<th>Combination method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rs4532 A/G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG vs AA</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.54–1.07</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>71.9%</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG vs AA</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.64–1.26</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG+GG vs AA</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.62–1.05</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>57.8%</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs5326 G/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA vs GG</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.15–1.61</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG vs AA</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.51–1.54</td>
<td>0.675</td>
<td>59.2%</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA+AA vs GG</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.10–1.52</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.887</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: I² represents the variation in OR attributable to heterogeneity. Ph value of Q-test for the heterogeneity test.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; A, adenine; G, guanine; h, heterogeneity.

Caucasian subgroup (including German, Polish, and French). Table 4 lists the results of the subgroup analysis. No significant association was found between the rs4532 locus and schizophrenia in the Mongolian and Caucasian subgroups. Examination of heterogeneity across studies was also not significant, which showed that the heterogeneity among the rs4532 locus group was generated by different races.

Sensitivity analysis
A sensitivity analysis was carried out for each meta-analysis to assess the influence of every single study. There were no significant differences in the corresponding pooled ORs when removing one study at the time from each meta-analysis, indicating that our results were stable and reliable.

Publication bias
A funnel plot was performed to assess publication bias of the literature. The funnel plot is shown in Figure 2. Egger’s test was used to supply the statistical evidence for funnel plot symmetry. For rs4532 locus, the results did not show any evidence of publication bias (for AG vs AA [t=0.81, P=0.439] or for GG vs AA [t=2.35, P=0.051]). For the rs5326

---

Figure 1: Forest plot for association between rs4532 and rs5326 loci and schizophrenia.

Note: Weights are from random effects analysis.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; A, adenine; G, guanine.
Table 4 Subgroup analysis between rs4532 and the risk of schizophrenia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Summary of pooled ORs</th>
<th>Heterogeneity test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mongolian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG vs AA</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.66–1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG vs AA</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.60–2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG+GG vs AA</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.69–1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG vs AA</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.19–1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG vs AA</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.55–1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG+GG vs AA</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.29–1.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: I² represents the variation in OR attributable to heterogeneity. Ph-value of Q-test for the heterogeneity test.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; A, adenine; G, guanine; h, heterogeneity.

Discussion

This was a meta-analysis testing the association between the genetic polymorphisms of the DRD1 gene loci of rs4532 and rs5326 and the risk of schizophrenia. In our study, the GA genotype of the rs5326 locus increased the risk of schizophrenia, and the A allele was also associated with schizophrenia as a risk factor, while no association was observed between rs4532 locus and schizophrenia.

Dopamine receptors play a pivotal role in regulating emotion, cognition, and motor behavior, as well as in neuroendocrine signaling. Prefrontal dopamine D1 receptor signaling is required for temporal control and for guiding intentional movements. Abnormal modulation of dopamine receptor signaling and disorder of dopaminergic nerve function may cause a series of neuropsychiatric defects. The DRD1 gene has been considered a potential susceptibility gene for various...
neuropsychiatric illnesses. Furthermore, several previous studies have reported that the DRD1 gene was associated with alcohol dependence, hyperactivity disorders, nicotine addiction, and other behavioral disorders.

The DRD1 gene rs4532 and rs5326 loci are located in the 5′ untranslated region, where the nucleotide sequence is involved in transcription but is not being translated into amino acids. A previous meta-analysis indicated that the DRD1 gene might contain a genuine susceptibility allele, for which the OR for rs4532 reached 1.18 (95% CI: 1.01–1.38). Furthermore, rs4532 was associated with antipsychotic treatment in schizophrenia, and also to working memory and cognitive behavior related to the prefrontal cortex. These results suggest that rs4532 likely has a potential link with the etiology of schizophrenia. Rs4532, due to a G to A substitution, may act as a short open reading frame preceding the receptor cistron and encode a small peptide that inhibits receptor translation. However, the positive or negative association between the rs5326 locus and schizophrenia hasn’t been determined.

Heterogeneity is usually a concern in a meta-analysis. In our study, evidence of heterogeneity was observed in the rs4532 group. This might have been partially due to different races because the genotype frequencies changed greatly in different regions. When subgroup analysis was carried out to explain the heterogeneity, we found that differences in races could lead to the heterogeneity among the studies. The funnel plot was not completely symmetrical, which indicated the potential presence of publication bias. This could have resulted from the following causes: firstly, negative results were less often published compared with positive results, which made it hard for us to collect them for analysis; second, the literature collection wasn’t comprehensive, and the number of published studies in our meta-analysis wasn’t sufficiently large; and third, studies written in other languages were difficult to collect and analyze.

Several limitations in our study should be noted. First, the sample sizes of several studies included were fairly small and lacked a strong statistical power. Furthermore, the factors of sex and age may affect the incidence of the disease. In addition, we didn’t consider the role of different environments, and it is possible that environment may also influence the risk of schizophrenia. Further, epigenetic mechanisms altering the chromatin structure, such as histone acetylation and deoxyribonucleic acid methylation, may mediate effects of environmental factors in transcriptional regulation of specific genes and may be a prominent factor in gene–environmental interaction. Thus, further studies are needed to investigate the effect of genetic susceptibility and environment in different ethnicities and between males and females, to fully attest to our results. In the future, research into the role of DRD1 gene polymorphisms in schizophrenia endophenotypes could be useful.

In conclusion, our meta-analysis demonstrated that the A allele of the DRD1 rs5326 polymorphism might be a potential risk factor in schizophrenia. As the number of eligible studies was limited in this meta-analysis, these results need further investigation.
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