Horizontal gene transfer in the human gastrointestinal tract: potential spread of antibiotic resistance genes
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Abstract: Bacterial infections are becoming increasingly difficult to treat due to widespread antibiotic resistance among pathogens. This review aims to give an overview of the major horizontal transfer mechanisms and their evolution and then demonstrate the human lower gastrointestinal tract as an environment in which horizontal gene transfer of resistance determinants occurs. Finally, implications for antibiotic usage and the development of resistant infections and persistence of antibiotic resistance genes in populations as a result of horizontal gene transfer in the large intestine will be discussed.
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Introduction
The emergence of antibiotic resistant infections is considered to be a major global health issue.¹ It is feared that the golden age of antibiotic therapy is coming to an end, and that we will soon return to a pre-antibiotic era, where there are limited successful treatments for infectious diseases caused by bacteria. These resistant infections are costly to society in economic impact as well as in the morbidity and mortality of affected individuals. Taking into account just methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and third-generation cephalosporin-resistant Escherichia coli infections alone, each year there are thousands of deaths, exceedingly more infections, and millions of international dollars spent on health care costs.² Even though infectious diseases still remain a leading cause of death worldwide,³ antibiotic development by pharmaceutical companies has decreased dramatically, only contributing to about 0.2% of new drug development.⁴ Evolution of antibiotic resistance continues, especially that of multidrug resistant strains, complicating treatment options.¹

Bacterial populations susceptible to antibiotics become resistant either through genetic mutation or through horizontal transfer and expression of resistance genes from other strains, either distantly or closely related. The human gastrointestinal tract provides an ideal combination of factors for antibiotic resistance genes to arise and spread through bacterial populations. One of these factors is high cell density. Other factors favoring spread of resistant infections include antibiotic exposure and subsequent selection followed by the innate ability for gene transfer through a variety of different mechanisms.

The aim of this review is to provide a brief overview of the bacterial processes that facilitate horizontal gene transfer, and to propose how this phenomenon may be taking place in the lower human gastrointestinal tract, specifically the large intestine,
Intensifying the antibiotic resistance crisis we are currently facing and setting the stage for future resistant infections.

Mechanisms and evolution of horizontal gene transfer

The three major mechanisms by which bacteria transfer genes horizontally are conjugation, natural transformation, and transduction. Conjugation is the most studied mechanism of horizontal gene transfer in the human intestine or otherwise.\(^2\) Conjugation is the transfer of DNA fragments that can be very small, up to large chromosomes. The general order of the events of conjugation is a) cell-to-cell contact, b) mating pair formation, and finally c) transfer of plasmid DNA through a conjugative pilus. The conjugative pilus is considered to be machinery of the Type IV secretion system.\(^8\) The genes for conjugative machinery are encoded by autonomously replicating plasmids or by integrative conjugative elements in the chromosome.\(^9\)

Conjugation requires cell-to-cell contact, usually via a pilus or pore that forms a channel that allows for the passage of plasmids. Generally, plasmids are covalently closed, circular molecules of DNA that replicate independently from the host chromosome. Some plasmids are conjugative, that is self-transmissible, while others are not. Conjugative plasmids harbor genes for the transfer machinery. These non-conjugative plasmids can be mobilized if they can be transferred through conjugation when a helper self-transmissible plasmid is present.\(^10\) An in-depth model for the evolution of the mechanisms of conjugation has recently been elucidated, and the reader is referred to Guglielmini et al for further details.\(^11\)

The role of conjugation in horizontal gene transfer is further complicated by the fact that other mobile genetic elements besides plasmids can be transferred by conjugative machinery. Conjugative transposons are transposons which excise themselves from covalently closed circular DNA, such as a plasmid or chromosome, and integrate into another location in the same genome or are transferred by conjugation and then integrated into a different genome. These elements can also mobilize non conjugative plasmids or other DNA sequences in a cell and transfer them.\(^12\) Another level of complexity is added when other mobile genetic elements such as integrons or integrating conjugative elements (ICE) are considered in tandem with plasmids and conjugative transposons.\(^13\) Integrons are mobile genetic elements that encode integrate and are capable of site-specific recombination and typically carry antibiotic resistance genes.\(^14\) ICE are transferred through cell-to-cell contact and can integrate into the chromosome of the recipient. SXT, an approximately 100 kb fragment of DNA, is one of the most widely studied of these elements and confers resistance to chloramphenicol, sulfamethoxazole, and trimethoprim and has both plasmid and phage sequences.\(^9,15\) Virtually any gene in intestinal bacteria can acquire the ability to be mobilized and transferred via a mobile genetic element.\(^16\)

The main mechanism of horizontal transfer of plasmids is through conjugation.\(^17\) However, natural transformation does allow for the uptake of plasmid DNA as well as chromosomal DNA. Because of this, it is widely accepted that natural transformation is a major mechanism in the evolution of microbes. However, it is still unclear as to how and why the process itself evolved. Natural transformation is the process whereby bacterial cells take up free DNA from the environment and incorporate it into their genomes. There are over 80 known different species of naturally transformable bacteria, which includes members from different lineages including photolithotrophs, chemolithotrophs, heterotrophs, and methylotrophs.\(^18,19\) At least a dozen of these strains are pathogens which have been isolated from the clinical setting.\(^18\) When evaluating bacterial or archaeal strains as naturally transformable, laboratory conditions known to induce competence are used. There are unquestionably many more strains that are naturally transformable, but have not been designated as such, because it is still unknown what environmental factor or combinations of factors trigger competence development. The evolutionary origin of natural transformation is unclear because the process is widely distributed over the major clades of bacteria and yet members of the same genus or even species can differ in their ability to be naturally transformed. This suggests that either there is a common origin for transformation that has been lost many times or that it has arisen independently on multiple occasions.\(^20\)

The overall process of natural genetic transformation can be broken down into six major steps.\(^18\) They are a) DNA is released from donor cells, b) DNA is dispersed, c) DNA persists in the environment, d) recipient cells become competent for DNA uptake, e) competent cells interact with and take up the DNA, incorporating it into the genome either through homologous or illegitimate recombination, and finally f) genes encoded on the donor DNA are expressed in the recipient cells.\(^18\) In the former three steps, the donor cell need not be alive or even in close proximity to the recipient, whereas the recipient cell is actively involved in the latter three steps.

There are five models explaining reasons why bacteria take up DNA from the environment. With the exception of...
Neisseria gonorrhoea, all known transformable bacteria must be induced to competence. Competence is the physiological state that allows for uptake of DNA into a bacterial cell. During competence development, genes for protein components of the uptake machinery are expressed. There are many triggers for competence development, which also makes it difficult to understand the evolutionary origins of the process.

The first model suggests that DNA is taken up into the bacterial cell to be used as a nutrient source. This model is supported by studies that show some bacterial strains become competent to take up DNA under nutrient-limited conditions.

Many bacterial species, such as Gallibacterium anatis, Haemophilus influenzae, and Aeromonas salmonicida are induced to competence in vitro by transferring the cultures from a rich medium to a starvation medium. More specifically, H. influenzae becomes competent as a result of purine starvation.

The second model explaining the evolutionary origins of competence development and natural transformation is that natural transformation evolved so that transformed DNA molecules could serve as templates for DNA repair. Bacillus subtilis cells exposed to ultraviolet light have greater survival when they are treated with DNA after damage than if they are treated with DNA before damage. The added DNA presumably serves as a template in recombinational repair.

Competence, specifically the com regulon, can be induced in Streptococcus pneumoniae upon exposure to mitomycin C, a DNA-damaging agent.

A third model is that natural transformation evolved to allow the cell to purposely obtain novel genetic information to increase genetic diversity in order to respond more favorably to natural selection. However, a study on transformability in Acinetobacter baylyi revealed that both competent strains and noncompetent strains adapted to laboratory conditions at the same rate. Competence did not confer any discernible advantage, and the competent lineages even evolved a lower level of transformability under laboratory conditions. Engelstäder and Moradigaravand recently proposed a complementary model in which competence evolved because it allows bacterial cells to take up DNA from the environment that has persisted for an indefinite period of time to incorporate genes into genomes in order that the cell may revert to a prior genetic state.

The fourth model is that natural transformation evolved and is maintained because of episodic stressful conditions that may indirectly select for non-growing competent cells. Competent populations of cells, like Bacillus subtilis, do not grow for several hours. This is considered to be a “persister” state. The persister state was first recognized in 1944 in surviving Staphylococcus cells that were treated with penicillin. These cells survived because they were not growing and unaffected by the antibiotic, not because they were mutants with altered antibiotic targets. These cells are now considered to be tolerant to antibiotics instead of resistant to them. Episodic selection of persister cells occurs when the cell population experiences stressful conditions that kill the growing cells at a higher rate than the non-growing persister cells. If these persister cells are also competent as some are, then natural transformation ability is also indirectly selected by the stress.

This hypothesis has been supported using antibiotics (penicillin-G) as the episodic selection in populations of B. subtilis where the competent cells had better survivability than the non-competent mutants.

The fifth, and final, model posits that natural transformation is an unintended consequence of the type IV pili actions of twitching motility and cell adhesion. Type IV pili are known to take up free DNA during natural transformation. As the cells move across surfaces using their type IV pili for twitching motility, it is possible that they accidentally bind DNA at PilC, the tip of the pilus. As the pilus retracts, the bound DNA blocks the PilQ pore present in the outer membrane and passes through it after one strand is degraded by nucleases. In this model, environmental stress triggers the SOS response (an error-prone DNA repair system), inducing the expression of type IV pili so that the cell can move using twitching motility to a more favorable environment. Free DNA is bound and taken up by the cell as a consequence of the active type IV pili. In this model, natural transformation ability is accidental, although it can be beneficial when the cell is able to use the new DNA as a nutrient source or as a template for genome repair. Whatever the biological reason for the uptake, one consequence of transformation is a changed genotype of the recipient cell. Transformation is widespread among microorganisms and has a lasting impact on bacterial genomes that is difficult, if not impossible, to assess.

Transduction is another mechanism of horizontal gene transfer that has shaped the evolution of bacterial genomes. The abundance of information about antibiotic resistance gene transfer via bacteriophages is limited when compared to natural transformation and conjugation. However, the role of bacteriophages in transducing antibiotic resistance genes is now becoming clearer. Bacteriophages are viruses that infect bacteria and are considered to be temperate if they integrate into the host genome and become prophages until environmental conditions trigger their lytic growth.
state can last indefinitely and can confer resistance to infection by bacteriophages of the same group.41

Transduction occurs when a bacteriophage that has previously replicated in another bacterial cell packages a portion of the host genome (donor) into the phage head and transfers the genes to another (recipient) bacterial cell. Transduction is thought to be an unevolved process that occurs as a consequence of mistakes in excision of bacteriophage DNA from the donor genome. Transduction can be classified as either specialized or generalized. Specialized transduction occurs when only bacterial DNA adjacent to the attachment site of a temperate bacteriophage, as in λ in E. coli, is accidentally packaged into the bacteriophage and subsequently transferred to a recipient cell. Generalized transduction occurs when any gene from a host is randomly packaged along with viral DNA into a bacteriophage head, such as occurs in P22 of Salmonella, and then transferred to a new recipient. The donor strain and the recipient strain need not be in close proximity in either geographical location or time to one another, as bacteriophages can persist in the environment for varying time periods.42 Virtually any DNA sequence, including antibiotic resistance, found in a bacterial genome can be transferred.43 This includes chromosomal sequences and mobile genetic elements like plasmids, transposons, and insertion elements.44

Transduction as a significant mechanism of horizontal gene transfer in naturally occurring environments has traditionally been underestimated. A metagenomic analysis of viromes suggests that functional bacterial genes of all types exist in up to 50% to 60% of bacteriophages and that these particles can serve as a reservoir for genes in a diversity of environments and also a mechanism for their transfer among bacteria.45 Transduction as an important mechanism of horizontal gene transfer has gained support with significant studies showing that antibiotic resistance genes are found in abundance in phage particles in nature.42 Transduction has only recently been considered as playing a significant role in the transfer of antibiotic resistance among potential pathogens as demonstrated from Enterococcus gallinarum to Enterococcus faecalis during in vitro experiments.46 Other in vitro experiments with Salmonella transducing bacteriophages demonstrated that ampicillin, chloramphenicol, and tetracycline resistance genes could be transduced.43

Role of horizontal gene transfer in the spread of antibiotic resistance genes in the large intestine

Conjugation, natural transformation, and transduction have served as important mechanisms in the evolution of bacteria. However, there is evidence that these mechanisms play a role in evolution that is currently occurring in the large intestine under the selective pressure of antibiotics. The most common mechanism of horizontal gene transfer in other natural environments is thought to be by plasmids through conjugation.17 It stands to reason that this is also true for the gastrointestinal tract.

The lower gastrointestinal tract is the largest microbial community in the human host, consisting of up to 10^{14} individual bacterial cells of over 500 species from nine bacterial divisions, including Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Cyanobacteria, Firmicutes, Fusobacteria, Proteobacteria, Spirochaetes, Verrucomicrobia, and VadinBE97.47,48,49 Biofilms are found in the human intestinal tract and are thought to be ideal environments for horizontal gene transfer because they allow for high bacterial density and physical protection for the cells. The biofilm environment supports the cell-to-cell contact needed for conjugation to occur.50,51 E. coli cells are found as coccoid cells embedded in the mucosal layer of the large intestine.52,53 As a side note, populations of Streptococcus pyogenes growing in laboratory biofilms become naturally transformable.44 These biofilms consist of many species and have a greater resistance to antibiotics than their free-living counterparts.55,56

Salyers et al proposed the resistance gene reservoir hypothesis in which bacteria of the human gastrointestinal tract serve as a reservoir for antibiotic resistance genes that can be transferred to other resident intestinal bacteria or transient bacteria that pass through, but do not colonize, the large intestine for a significant period of time. Several recent studies have demonstrated the magnitude of antibiotic resistance gene reservoir in the human intestinal tract. The most current version of the Antibiotic Resistance Genes Database (ARDB) lists over 23,000 known gene and protein sequences for at least 240 different antibiotics (version 1.1).58 A study comparing the gut metagenomes from 275 individuals to the sequences deposited in the ARDB database showed resistance to 53 antibiotics. The study also found multiple antibiotic resistance genes on contigs with integrase and transposase, indicating the possibility that these clusters are part of mobile genetic elements, such as conjugative transposons. Furthermore, 97% of the gut metagenomes harbored resistance genes to tetracycline, 95% to bacitracin, and 95% to tetracycline.59 Another metagenome analysis evaluated the gut microbiome from 162 individuals (encompassing 4.1 million genes) and found 1,093 antibiotic resistance genes.60 A single erythromycin gene type, ermB, was found in all but one of the samples. A gene, b12e_cfxa, conferring
resistance to cephalosporin and baca (recently renamed as uppP), conferring resistance to bacitracin, and vanRG, conferring resistance to vancomycin, were also found at high relative abundance in the samples. The human intestinal tract is a reservoir for more antibiotic resistance genes than any other studied natural environment, such as soil, marine, or lake environments.

Forslund et al compared metagenomes analysis from 252 fecal samples from individuals from Spain, Denmark, and the United States. The antibiotic resistance genes were more abundant in all metagenomes for those antibiotics that have been used in the clinical setting longer and for those that are approved by the national governments for use in livestock than the antibiotics introduced later and not used in animals. In fact, resistance genes for antibiotics approved for use in livestock are the most abundant resistance genes in the human gut microbiome. This evidence supports the “farm-to-fork” hypothesis that the non-therapeutic use of antibiotics in animal husbandry leads to increased antibiotic resistance in humans through consumption of affected food or water. Antibiotics can pass into the human gastrointestinal tract through the consumption of undercooked or precooked meat exposing the resident microflora and the transient bacterial cells to the effects of the antibiotics.

Specifically, a source of antibiotic resistance genes in the human large intestine is the lactic acid bacteria. These bacteria convert fermentable carbohydrates into lactic acid. They are typically Gram-positive, catalase-negative, have low C+G content, and include Enterococcus, Lactobacillus, Lactococcus, Leuconostoc, Pediococcus, and Streptococcus. They are used in the production of dairy products such as yogurt, buttermilk, and some cheeses as well as in the production of sausage, hams, and wine. Lactic acid bacteria colonize the human large intestine but can cause opportunistic infections in immunocompromised patients. The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) declared lactic acid bacteria as “Generally Regarded As Safe” (GRAS) in terms of ingestion of these microbes, but there are new questions as to whether these strains may serve as a source of antibiotic resistance genes. Atypical isolates resistant to erythromycin and tetracycline have been found, as well as strains harboring plasmids encoding resistance to chloramphenicol that were derived from staphylococcal sequences and a tetracycline gene that is identical to one found in Listeria monocytogenes.

Further in vivo and in vitro studies into transmissibility of genes among lactobacilli have demonstrated that, in the absence of selection, tetracycline resistance is not widely transferred. However, in an in vivo study, an Enterococcus faecium isolate from animal origin transferred vanA, a gene for vancomycin-resistance, to a vancomycin-susceptible E. faecium of human origin in the intestines of half (3/6) of the human volunteers. The donor strain from animal origin did not persist and disappeared within two weeks of inoculation. This lends further support to the “farm-to-fork” hypothesis in which transient strains can be ingested in food and then transfer antibiotic resistance to commensals in the human intestine.

Another similar in vivo study where human volunteers ingested E. coli shows similar results. In this case, instead of the transfer of genes between the two administered strains in the gastrointestinal tract as the experiments were designed, sulfonamide and ampicillin resistances were transferred on a conjugative plasmid from the indigenous E. coli to the administered E. coli.

Reports where horizontal gene transfer was demonstrated to have occurred in the lower gastrointestinal tract are becoming more frequent. An analysis of a nosocomial outbreak of Enterobacter cloacae revealed that there was probable conjugal transfer of an OXA-48 (carbapenem-resistance) encoding plasmid from E. cloacae to other members of Enterobacteriaceae in the intestines of a patient, which was then spread to other patients. Another report showed that an E. coli donor strain transferred ampicillin resistance on a plasmid to another E. coli strain in the intestines of an infant. It is presumed that treatment with ampicillin for a urinary tract infection provided the selection needed in order for the donor density to increase and favor the transfer of the plasmid to the recipient strain.

Another case study reported the presumable transfer of a multidrug resistance plasmid from Klebsiella pneumoniae to E. coli in the gastrointestinal tract of a patient. Before treatment with ertapenem, metronidazole, colistin, and vancomycin, no carbapenem-resistant E. coli could be isolated from the patient, but after treatment a resistant strain was found. This resistant E. coli had the Klebsiella pneumoniae plasmid, blaKPC-3, which conferred resistance to cephalosporins, monobactams, and carbapenems. Again, antibiotic treatment is thought to have triggered the transfer and maintained the selection of the resistance plasmid.

Other studies have demonstrated the conjugal transfer of resistance plasmids in the gastrointestinal tracts of animal models. A plasmid encoding resistance to β-lactams, aminoglycosides, tetracyclines, sulfonamides, and phenicols was transferred from Serratia liquefaciens to E. coli in the intestinal tracts of gnotobiotic mice with human fecal flora. Gentamicin resistance was transferred from
Enterococcus faecalis to E. coli,\textsuperscript{76} while aminoglycoside and macrolide resistance was transferred via conjugation among Enterococcus strains.\textsuperscript{77}

The conjugation of plasmids encoding antibiotic resistance machinery has led to worldwide dissemination of these genes, particularly in Enterobacteriaceae. These plasmids can encode resistance to β-lactamases (CMY, DHA, GES, LAP, NDM, SHV, TEM), extended spectrum β-lactamases (CTX, VEB), metallo-β-lactamases (IMP), carbapenemases (KPC, VIM); quinolone resistance (Qep, Qnr), aminoglycoside resistance (AAC, Arm, RmtB), tetracycline resistance (Tet), sulfonamide resistance (Sul), colistin resistance. They also encode the Cfr rRNA methyltransferase which confers resistance to phenicols, lincosamides, oxazolidinones, pleuromutilins, and streptogramin A.\textsuperscript{78–82}

A study evaluating fecal fosmid libraries for the presence of tetracycline resistance gene found a single individual to have distantly related bacteria harboring identical resistance sequences. The authors propose that this individual most likely acquired the genes through horizontal gene transfer in the gastrointestinal tract.\textsuperscript{83}

An investigation by Shoemaker et al revealed that Bacteroides strains have undergone extensive horizontal gene transfer over the past 30 years leading to widespread resistance to tetracycline and erythromycin encoded by just a few identical determinants carried on conjugal elements.\textsuperscript{84} It is hypothesized that the human colon provides an environment in which Bacteroides can engage in horizontal gene transfer within the genus and across distantly related genera.\textsuperscript{84}

Horizontal gene transfer in the large intestine occurs at levels that cannot be detected because of the complex nature of the microbial community structure both in diversity and in cell numbers. The physical and chemical conditions in this environment allow for natural transformation, conjugation, and transduction to occur. However, horizontal gene transfer through natural transformation may occur at greater frequencies than previously expected due to the presence of triggers of competence that we have not yet discovered. The importance of natural transformation in this environment, and possibly all environments, is underestimated.

Implications for antibiotic usage and the development of resistant infections

It has long been known that antibiotic resistance arises as a consequence of exposure to antibiotics. The resistant cells in a population have an advantage over sensitive cells when exposed to this strong selection. Therefore, the population becomes resistant to antibiotics. However, it is now known that antibiotics not only select for resistant populations through the clonal expansion of already-resistant cells, but they also create them by inducing the horizontal transfer of resistance genes.

Antibiotic exposure is a source of stress that can create stress-induced resistance among bacteria as part of the SOS response. The SOS response is an inducible DNA repair network, first discovered in E. coli by Radman in 1975.\textsuperscript{85} Under the response, mutations and genetic exchanges within the cell increase in order for damaged DNA to be repaired and the cell to survive. As a consequence of these genetic manipulations, any gene sequence, including those for antibiotic targets can be altered, providing the possibility for evolution of resistance.\textsuperscript{86} Furthermore, antibiotics that cause double-stranded breaks, such as ciprofloxacin induce the SOS response. The SOS response is both induced by antibiotics and allows for antibiotic resistance to arise.\textsuperscript{87} Chromosomal mutations accumulate rapidly which, as a consequence, confer resistance, as demonstrated by Streptococcus uberis and Pseudomonas aeruginosa gaining resistance to multiple antibiotics when exposed to sub-lethal concentrations of single antibiotics.\textsuperscript{87,88} In a study with Acinetobacter oleivorans, it was demonstrated that treatment with norfloxacin provided selection of resistant variants, induced genetic changes which resulted in a beneficial mutation in the molecular target of norfloxacin, gyrA, and also caused an upregulation of the SOS response.\textsuperscript{89}

It is well established that antibiotic stress induces the SOS response, but for some of those bacterial strains that lack the response another mechanism is induced. Legionella pneumophila, a pathogen of human alveolar macrophages which lacks the SOS response, is induced to competence for natural genetic transformation by fluoroquinolones and other DNA-damaging antibiotics and chemicals.\textsuperscript{90} The same is true for the human pathogen S. pneumoniae, which uses competence as a general response to stress, and has been shown to become competent under exposure to aminoglycoside and fluoroquinolone antibiotics.\textsuperscript{91} Antibiotics can provide enough stress to induce competence in strains without the SOS response, and the environment of the gastrointestinal system is conducive to natural transformation. Presumably, DNA is present from recently lysed cells and could be protected from degradation by biofilms. Extracellular DNA is also a major constituent of most biofilms.\textsuperscript{91} At this time it is still unknown if competence can be induced by antibiotics in other pathogenic strains that lack the SOS response, like...
It has long been believed that antibiotic-resistant bacterial cells have reduced fitness compared to their susceptible counterparts and that, in the absence of antibiotic selection, populations of antibiotic resistant strains will decrease, but, unfortunately, there is no solid evidence for this. Some cells do revert back to their previously susceptible state, but most cells will evolve compensatory mutations. For example, a study with Salmonella typhimurium and nalidixic acid resistance, showed a compensatory mutation in gyrA that improves the fitness of the resistant strain. Not all antibiotic-resistance genes carry with them an associated fitness cost. Another study with S. typhimurium, demonstrated that about half of their fluoroquinolone-resistant isolates had a selective advantage over the sensitive parent strain in the absence of the antibiotic, indicating that this type of resistance is not associated with a reduction in fitness.

An in vivo study in which Bacteroides strains were analyzed from fecal samples from human volunteers exposed to clindamycin showed that within 7 days they had acquired erm genes (for erythromycin resistance) through conjugation and were resistant to clindamycin. This pattern continued through at least 18 months after the initial antibiotic administration suggesting that any fitness cost was quickly compensated. Another similar study from the same research group found that resistant populations of Bacteroides persisted at least 2 years after antibiotic administration. Resistant Staphylococcus epidermidis have been found 4 years after antibiotic treatment and resistant Enterococcus after 3 years. These and other studies suggest that even with the reduced usage or absence of antibiotics that antibiotic resistance determinants can be stably maintained in the bacterial population.

**Conclusion**

Horizontal gene transfer in the gastrointestinal tract is of particular importance since antibiotic resistance genes can be acquired through transient bacteria or generated through mutations or rearrangements in a variety of elements in this environment. The intestinal microflora is a potential source of antibiotic resistant pathogen. These pathogens can cause infections via the fecal–oral route or through nosocomial infections such as bacteremia, endocarditis, urinary tract infections, and contamination of surgical sites.

The human gastrointestinal tract is a reservoir of enormous species diversity and density as well as a reservoir for hundreds to thousands of known antibiotic resistance genes with the mechanisms in place to horizontally transfer any gene. The capability of emergence of resistant pathogens from this habitat is astounding. The cure for the crisis of antibiotic resistance will not be as simple as encouraging and implementing the judicious use of antibiotics in agriculture and medicine, since resistant strains are very well adapted to growth. It is still unknown how long it will take...
and if ever resistance gene determinants will be lost from the populations of trillions of bacterial cells that reside in human gastrointestinal tracts worldwide.
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