Neoadjuvant therapy for locally advanced melanoma: new strategies with targeted therapies
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Abstract: Neoadjuvant chemotherapy has been successfully tested in several bulky solid tumors, but it has not been utilized in advanced cutaneous melanoma, primarily because effective medical treatments for this disease have been lacking. However, with the development of new immunotherapies (monoclonal antibodies specific for cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 [anti-CTLA-4] and programmed death protein-1 [anti-PD1]) and small molecules interfering with intracellular pathways (anti-BRAF and mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase [anti-MEK]) the use of this approach is becoming a viable treatment strategy for locally advanced melanoma. The neoadjuvant setting provides a double opportunity for a better knowledge of these drugs: a short-term evaluation of their intrinsic activity, and a deeper analysis of their action and resistance-induction mechanisms. BRAF inhibitors seem to be ideal candidates for the neoadjuvant setting, because of their prompt, repeatedly confirmed response in V600E BRAF-mutant metastatic melanoma. In this report we summarize studies focused on the neoadjuvant use of traditional medical treatments in advanced melanoma and anecdotal cases of this approach with the use of biologic therapies. Moreover, we discuss our experience with neoadjuvant targeted therapy as a priming for radical surgery in a patient with BRAF V600E mutation-positive advanced melanoma.
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Introduction

Lymphotropism related to cutaneous melanoma is typically treated with therapeutic strategies such as sentinel lymph node biopsy or radical dissection of the lymph node basin. However, macroscopically radical surgery, usually considered an elective therapeutic choice, can be difficult because of lymph node position or size; in this case, an effective medical treatment prior to surgery is desirable in order to treat the initially unresectable disease. This strategy has been successfully tested in several solid tumors, such as head and neck, breast, and lung carcinomas, but it has not been utilized in advanced cutaneous melanoma, primarily because effective medical treatments for this disease have been lacking.

Great expectations are nowadays placed on biologic therapies, especially BRAF inhibitors, because of their high and reproducible activity against V600E BRAF-mutant advanced or metastatic melanoma.

In this report, we discuss our experience with neoadjuvant targeted therapy as a priming for radical surgery in a patient with BRAF V600E mutation-positive advanced melanoma.
Case report

A 42-year-old male, after surgical exeresis of a pigmented lesion on the anterior abdominal wall, received a diagnosis of “nodular cutaneous melanoma, mainly composed of epithelioid cells, vertical phase of growth; Breslow thickness: 15.38 mm, Clark level: IV, Mib-1 >40%.” Positron emission tomography (PET) and thoracoabdominal computed tomography (CT) staging revealed abnormal uptake and enlargement at the level of the right inguinal, iliac, and obturator lymph nodes.

Radical resection of these synchronous iuxtaregional lymph node metastases was judged to be impracticable due to deep localization and an extensive growth pattern. Therefore, the patient began chemotherapy treatment with a cisplatin–vindesine–dacarbazine regimen and completed three cycles with reasonable tolerability. CT re-evaluation showed stabilization of disease, so two additional cycles of the same chemotherapy were given; mutational analysis of BRAF showed presence of V600E mutation.

After the fifth chemotherapy cycle, further evaluations showed increase in the diameters of the right inguinal, iliac, and obturator lymph nodes (CT scan, Figure 1) and abnormal fluorodeoxyglucose uptake in the same sites. The patient complained of oppressive pain in the right inguinocrural and pelvic regions and was treated with transdermal fentanyl (50 mcg over 72 hours) and acetaminophen (1,000 mg twice daily) and was started on vemurafenib treatment (960 mg twice daily). After the first week of treatment, a prompt improvement in pain (a reduction from 8 to 3 on a 0–10 numerical rating scale) was registered. Medical treatment was very well tolerated, with rapid weaning from the analgesics. After the first month of vemurafenib treatment, evaluation with PET and CT scan revealed volume reduction and metabolic silencing of pelvic and inguinal adenopathies (Figure 2). The patient thus continued treatment with full-dose vemurafenib, without dose reductions or delays, along with monthly hematological checking and quarterly CT and PET scans.

After 10 months of vemurafenib treatment, radiographic control showed complete morphological and metabolic remission of disease (Figure 3). After multidisciplinary evaluation, surgical exeresis of affected lymph node sites was planned, on the basis of three considerations: 1) lymph nodes were the only site of disease since diagnosis and after therapy; 2) in these sites, melanoma showed marked volumetric reduction and complete metabolic silencing; and 3) 10 months is longer than the median duration of objective responses to vemurafenib (usually 6–8 months).

Right inguino–iliac–obturator lymph node dissection was performed with the following histological response: “metastasis from epithelioid melanoma, intensely pigmented in three on 18 inguinal lymph nodes; no metastatic deposits in remaining 25 external iliac and obturator lymph nodes.” As a precaution, vemurafenib was suspended 2 days before surgery and restarted 2 days afterward.

Five months after surgery, the patient is continuing with vemurafenib therapy, requiring no analgesics, and reporting neither wound problems nor other side effects.

Neoadjuvant treatment in locally advanced melanoma: premises

The development of new immunotherapies (monoclonal antibodies specific for cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated
Figure 2. Comparison between PET at baseline (upper row) and PET after 1 month of vemurafenib therapy (lower row).
Abbreviation: PET, positron emission tomography.

Figure 3. CT scan, July 2013, after 10 months of vemurafenib therapy.
Note: Imaging shows near-complete resolution of previously enlarged pelvic and inguinal nodes.
Abbreviation: CT, computed tomography.
antigen 4 [anti-CTLA-4] and programmed death protein-1 [anti-PD1]) and small molecules interfering with intracellular pathways (anti-BRAF, anti-mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase [MEK]) is expected to revolutionize medical treatment of advanced cutaneous melanoma (Table 1). These molecules are being evaluated through a series of recently begun adjuvant trials whose results will not be available for several years.\textsuperscript{1} Neoadjuvant treatment settings provide, instead, a double opportunity for a better knowledge of these drugs: a short-term evaluation of their intrinsic activity, and a deeper analysis of their action and resistance-induction mechanisms.\textsuperscript{2}

Chemothapeutic or biochemotherapeutic approaches to locally advanced melanoma have been considered as a therapeutic modality capable of improving overall prognosis, rather than aiming to improve the rate of resectability, as in other solid tumors.

**Traditional medical treatments (immunotherapies; chemotherapy; others)**

Early on in the treatment of melanoma, historically available therapeutic choices, such as chemotherapy and immunotherapy with interferon or interleukin-2, were employed. The literature does not provide relevant information in this field, either because of insufficient sample sizes or poor quality of evidence.\textsuperscript{3}

For example, the largest study using interferon in the neoadjuvant setting examined only 17 patients with stage 3 melanoma; the American high dose schedule was provided (20 mg/m\(^2\); 5 days per week for 4 weeks), followed by surgery. The results were relevant, with a 55% objective response rate and 15% pathological complete remission; however, technical difficulties and severe side effects precluded further study with this schedule.\textsuperscript{4}

The largest neoadjuvant therapy trial was conducted in 92 patients with stage 3 melanoma, histologically proved by sentinel node biopsy (42 patients) or clinically apparent lymphadenopathy biopsy (50 patients), using a “sandwich” biochemotherapy schedule. Treatment consisted of two preoperative and two postsurgical cycles with a regimen of cisplatin, 20 mg/m\(^2\), days 1–4; dacarbazine 800 mg/m\(^2\), day 1; and vinblastine 1.6 mg/m\(^2\), days 1–4. This regimen was augmented with interleukin-2 (36 MU/m\(^2\) total dose over 4 days) and alpha-interferon (5 MU/m\(^2\), days 1–5); granulocyte-colony stimulating factor was associated from the outset. Of the 50 patients with measurable disease, 26% achieved objective responses and 4% achieved complete remission; at median 40 months follow-up, relapse-free survival and overall survival rates were 64% and 78%, respectively. The prevalence of grade 3–4 toxicities, reported as “manageable” by the authors, was indeed significant: neutropenia in 77% of patients, emesis in 20%, and electrolytic imbalances in 15%.\textsuperscript{5}

This work duplicated a previous pilot study in 64 patients with the same subset of disease and the same biochemotherapeutic schedule; overall response rate was 50%, with four (6.5%) complete pathological responses, mainly related to a lesser initial tumor burden.\textsuperscript{6}

Early activity demonstrated by biochemotherapy in Phase II studies of metastatic melanoma was not confirmed in two wide randomized Phase III studies comparing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Mechanism(s) of action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-interferon</td>
<td>Glycoprotein</td>
<td>Antiviral, immunomodulatory, and antiproliferative effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin-2</td>
<td>Glycoprotein</td>
<td>Regulating activated T-cell proliferation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temozolomide</td>
<td>Organic polycyclic compound containing an imidazole ring fused to a tetrazine ring</td>
<td>Promoting regulatory T-cell development, survival and maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleomycin</td>
<td>Glycopeptide antibiotic</td>
<td>Promoting B-cell proliferation and immunoglobulin production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ipilimumab</td>
<td>Humanized IgG monoclonal antibody</td>
<td>Cytotoxic action by DNA alkylation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vemurafenib, dabrafenib</td>
<td>Synthetic small molecules</td>
<td>Overcoming CTLA-4-mediated T-cell suppression to enhance the immune response against tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trametinib</td>
<td>Synthetic small molecule</td>
<td>Binding to the ATP-binding site of BRAF (V600E) kinase with inhibition of downstream pathway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nivolumab, lambrolizumab</td>
<td>Humanized IgG monoclonal antibodies</td>
<td>Inhibition of MEK 1 and 2 signaling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPDL3280A</td>
<td>Humanized IgG monoclonal antibody</td>
<td>Binding to PD-L1 resulting in enhancement of the immune response against tumors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1 Cytotoxic and biologic agents against malignant melanoma**

Abbreviations: ATP, adenosine triphosphate; CTLA-4, cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4; MEK, mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase; PD-1, programmed cell death protein-1; PD-L1, programmed cell death protein ligand-1.
biochemotherapy with monochemotherapy. On the basis of these poor results, and in consideration of the growing rate of severe adverse events related to biochemotherapy, the possible role of biochemotherapy in a neoadjuvant setting for locally advanced melanoma has not been further explored in randomized studies.

A 1996 study from Yale University was based on a different rationale: 16 patients with metastatic melanoma were subjected to surgery for residual disease after induction chemotherapy; visceral metastases, except brain and bones, were included. In historical comparison with a subset of patients with similar cancer stage but treated with chemotherapy only, a clear benefit emerged from the inclusion of surgery following chemotherapeutic induction.

It should be noted that presurgical biochemotherapy does not seem to increase surgical complications, at either the cutaneous or nodal level. A favorable response to primary chemotherapy has also been proposed as a selection criterion for candidate patients for metastasectomy, with complete pathological response being a predictor of long-term survival.

Temozolomide activity has been tested in a small series of studies in patients with locally advanced melanoma. Nineteen patients with operable lymph node metastases (14 stage 3, five stage 4 M1a) were treated with temozolomide in the neoadjuvant setting (75 mg/m² daily for 6 weeks, followed by 2 weeks of rest) and subsequent surgery. The objective response rate was 16% with two complete responses, not linked to tumor O-6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase promoter mutational status, similar to results obtainable in metastatic melanoma.

A Mayo Clinic study examined preoperative chemotherapy in vulvar and vaginal melanoma. A female with recurrent melanoma was treated with temozolomide followed by pelvic exenteration; she died within 3 months. Two other patients with recurrent melanoma were treated with primary chemotherapy consisting of carboplatin, paclitaxel, and bevacizumab followed by radical surgery, and finally treated with the same chemotherapeutic schedule in adjuvant setting; both the patients are alive and free of disease at 5 years and 3 years, respectively.

In light of the overall modest activity of chemotherapy in melanoma, alternative therapeutic choices have been explored in the neoadjuvant stage, such as electrochemotherapy and radiotherapy. Electrochemotherapy with bleomycin has been tested in order to improve surgical outcomes; an Italian case report of scalp melanoma that recurred in the cheek reported optimal results from preoperative electrochemotherapy in term of tolerability, feasibility, and cosmetic effects. In 12 patients with histologically confirmed stage 3 melanoma, preoperative radiotherapy was administered at a maximum dose of 48 Gy in 20 fractions. Two patients developed minor surgical complications and the 1-year in-field control rate was 92%; this strategy was suggested particularly for large-volume disease.

**Innovative medical treatment: targeted therapies**

Literature reports regarding biologic and targeted therapies are inevitably anecdotal. Only early indications concerning the role of new biologic therapy in neoadjuvant setting can be found.

An exploratory trial using preoperative ipilimumab in histologically proved, locally advanced melanoma is in progress at the University of Pittsburgh. After biopsy, two doses of ipilimumab 10 mg/kg were administered every three weeks, followed by radical lymphadenectomy; 1 month after surgery, ipilimumab treatment was resumed for two additional cycles. Preliminary results presented at the American Society of Clinical Oncology 2012 Annual Meeting showed median progression-free survival of 15.5 months and a probability of progression-free survival of 82% and 53% at 6 and 12 months, respectively. The study included a correlated serological and histopathological substudy in order to characterize the tumor microenvironment and pre- and post-ipilimumab immunological modifications.

Ipilimumab’s mechanism of action and pattern of time response, with typically rare objective responses and long-term disease stabilization, make it less effective for neoadjuvant use, where a higher response rate and rapid tumor shrinking are critical. In this sense, BRAF inhibitors seem to be ideal candidates because of their prompt, repeatedly confirmed response in V600E BRAF-mutant metastatic melanoma. Some literature reports seem to confirm these theoretical premises.

Vemurafenib was successfully employed in a young patient with wide brain melanoma metastases, in an example of multifocal disease previously surgically treated for diguinal metastases and treated with ipilimumab. Full-dose vemurafenib obtained resolution of left hemiparesis at day 4; after 37 days of therapy, tumor shrinkage (>50%) allowed macroscopically complete brain metastasectomy, followed by stereotactic radiotherapy and vemurafenib restarting at the seventh day postsurgery. Neither melanoma relapse nor relevant adverse events were registered in the following
12 months of vemurafenib treatment. This report evidences: 1) the activity of vemurafenib in a tricky disease site such as brain metastasis, even in a patient pretreated with ipilimumab; 2) a prolonged complete clinical response (>1 year); and 3) the feasibility of vemurafenib in close preoperative and postoperative timing, without negative wound effects.17

In another case report, preoperative vemurafenib treatment was employed in a patient with axillary, fixed bulky nodal disease from primary unknown melanoma. After 4 months of BRAF inhibitor treatment, the axillary mass dimension shrank from 15 cm to 8 cm, allowing detachment from the chest wall in subsequent ablative surgery with myocutaneous autograft. The patient was disease-free 5 months after surgery, having continued vemurafenib treatment during this time.18

A bulky axillary and laterocervical recurrence from cutaneous elbow melanoma was brought back to surgical suitability (axillary and modified neck dissection) after 4 months of vemurafenib treatment, obtaining an objective response >50%; vemurafenib was then resumed and continued for 6 months without recurrence.19

These early studies illustrate that vemurafenib in the melanoma neoadjuvant setting produced a prompt objective rate difficult to imagine with previous traditional therapy in locally advanced cutaneous melanoma.

Conclusion

Locally advanced or metastatic melanoma is probably turning from an orphan, medically optionless disease into a modern laboratory for clinical research, in which there is the possibility of successfully experimenting with the newest therapeutic biological weapons. This revolutionary change in clinical perspectives is primarily sustained by the suitability of new biologic drugs, where BRAF inhibitors represent the first-line molecules.

Their use, targeted on the basis of selective molecular characteristics, and their optimal tolerability are outlining a substantially new scenario, in which a response rate thus far unthinkable in melanoma can be easily be achieved with biochemotherapy and subsequent surgery.
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