Optimal management of subfertility in polycystic ovary syndrome
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Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to provide a stepwise approach to treating the infertility/subfertility associated with polycystic ovary syndrome. Defining polycystic ovary syndrome in a patient requires first investigating other possible causes for polycystic ovary morphology, acne, hirsutism, obesity, and the metabolic derangements that often accompany polycystic ovary syndrome. Beginning with lifestyle modification and use of metformin, the progressive inclusion of more intensive therapies for induction of ovulation is described. Second-line treatments are discussed and the new findings from a large multicenter trial are discussed in the context of evidence-based treatment strategies for first-line agents. Finally, monofollicular development as a treatment goal and in vitro fertilization are discussed for those with recalcitrant disease.
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Introduction

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) may be the most common endocrine disorder in reproductive-aged women with a prevalence of 8%–18%.1 This number changes little when comparing different races or ethnicities,2–4 although the phenotypic expressions do differ slightly.5 The syndrome gets its name from the polycystic appearance of the ovaries that result from arrested follicular development and ovulatory dysfunction. Although the very name, PCOS, focuses on the ovary, this syndrome is associated with a wide range of reproductive and metabolic disorders. The metabolic concerns include obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease.5–7 Reproductive health issues include abnormal uterine bleeding, endometrial abnormalities, and infertility. Patients with PCOS commonly present to health care providers for acne, hair growth, and weight gain. Although not required diagnostic criteria, up to 95% of women with PCOS also have menstrual irregularities such as oligomenorrhea or amenorrhea,8 thus making PCOS one of the most common causes of infertility. The wide spectrum of clinical presentations, including subfertility, require individualized treatment approaches. Although the diagnosis of PCOS is fraught with controversy, a basic understanding of the most common criteria is crucial to effective management of the condition.

Since its description in the medical literature by Stein and Leventhal,9 there have been numerous attempts to define and standardize the diagnostic criteria for this syndrome. However, after four consensus workshops consisting of many of the world’s experts on PCOS, there is still no standard definition of the disorder.10–13 The classic criteria, developed at a US National Institutes of Health (NIH) consensus conference,14...
require chronic anovulation and clinical or biochemical signs of hyperandrogenism and absence of other metabolic/endocrine causes for the diagnosis of PCOS. The Rotterdam criteria focus on the clinical picture of polycystic ovarian morphology on ultrasound, a history of ovulatory disorders with menstrual irregularity, and clinical/biochemical signs of hyperandrogenism requiring two of three features with a few caveats. Although the Rotterdam criteria are commonly used in patient care, there are potentially nine different phenotypes representing a wide spectrum of disease and requiring different treatment approaches. The Androgen Excess-Polycystic Ovary Syndrome Society criteria, and their subsequent revisions, stress hyperandrogenemia as the primary pathophysiologic feature. Although it has been demonstrated that women who fulfill the original NIH criteria are at higher risk in terms of metabolic dysfunction when compared with the Rotterdam criteria, it is unclear how much the various criteria predict infertility, given that a lack of normal menstrual pattern, and thus ovulatory dysfunction, is a component of each (Table 1).

**PCOS and infertility**

The principal clinical manifestations of PCOS are hyperandrogenism and ovulatory dysfunction. Thus, many patients seek medical treatment for acne, hirsutism, and irregular or absent menses. The underlying pathophysiology is beyond the scope of this paper, but there is interplay between the perpetually elevated levels of androgens (both locally and peripherally), insulin sensitivity, and the hypothalamic-pituitary-axis. It is the lack of regular ovulation that often leads to infertility. As such, a typical evaluation for a patient presenting with infertility and irregular periods or ovulation includes a targeted history and physical examination with laboratory analysis for features of PCOS and other etiologies for anovulation (Table 2).

Ovulatory dysfunction affects approximately 25% of infertile couples, and the World Health Organization has classified anovulatory dysfunction into three broad categories based on the underlying pathophysiology, which is defined by levels of serum gonadotropins, ie, follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), luteinizing hormone (LH), and estradiol. Category 1 anovulatory disorder is comprised of patients with low gonadotropin levels, often referred to as hypogonadotropic hypogonadism. Category 1 essentially refers to hypotalamic or pituitary dysfunction resulting in abnormal or absent stimulation of ovarian function, including follicular development and steroidogenesis. Category 2 is comprised of patients with normal FSH, LH, and estrogen levels and is referred to as eugonadotropic eugonadism. Although an elevated LH to FSH ratio (>2) is a common finding in PCOS, it is not universally seen and is not diagnostic of PCOS. PCOS sufferers constitute upwards of 80% of category 2 anovulation, and the majority of all-cause anovulation. Finally, category 3 anovulation refers to patients with elevated FSH and LH levels but low estradiol, which is associated with diminished ovarian reserve or premature ovarian insufficiency. Distinguishing the specific category of anovulation is crucial to developing a treatment strategy. However, these types of anovulation are not mutually exclusive, and in some cases, PCOS patients may also have altered or diminished release of gonadotropins (category 1) or ovarian senescence (category 3). Finally, other endocrinopathies,
including thyroid, pituitary, and adrenal disease, must be excluded and metabolic comorbidities addressed prior to initiating infertility treatment.

This paper provides a stepwise approach to the treatment of subfertility found in women with PCOS. Lifestyle interventions are described first, followed by oral treatments, and ending with more intensive strategies such as surgical interventions or assisted reproductive techniques.

**Lifestyle modification**
The prevalence of overweight and obesity in patients with PCOS ranges between 10% and 80% in all populations studied. In the USA, the prevalence of obesity in women with PCOS is up to 80%, while in European countries the rate varies from a low of 12% in Italian women to a high of 26.3% in German women.

In light of the potential metabolic dysfunction associated with obesity and PCOS, the first line of treatment should be lifestyle modification in the form of diet and exercise. Weight loss has been shown to decrease the effects of androgens, increase ovulation, and improve insulin sensitivity. The randomized controlled trials that have studied lifestyle interventions point towards significant reproductive and metabolic benefits of weight loss, and have demonstrated that these benefits can be achieved with little absolute weight change. Adopting a diet with as little as a 500 kcal/day reduced energy content can lead to a decrease in up to 10% of body weight by one year. Some experts recommend a balanced diet with less than 30% from fat and less than 10% from saturated fat, with increased fiber, whole grains, and vegetables. Exercise added to weight loss regimens showed benefits that were independent of weight loss. In spite of copious data in regards to the benefits of weight loss, there is conclusive evidence that dietary changes can be sustained with weight loss over the long term. It is clear from the literature, though, that obese women with PCOS exhibit an attenuated response and lower pregnancy rates with medications for induction of ovulation.

The current options for pharmacologically induced weight loss are limited. The results obtained using these drugs are very modest, and the side effects and risk profile have limited their use in the populations they are intended for. In the USA, there are only three weight loss drugs approved by the US Food and Drug Administration, and all have been shown to be minimally effective in producing clinically significant weight loss at one year (a decrease of 5%), but none have been shown to decrease cardiovascular morbidity or mortality when compared with placebo by the end of one year. Orlistat, the only drug of the three to be approved in both Europe and the USA, works by inhibiting pancreatic lipase, thereby partially blocking the hydrolysis and absorption of dietary triglycerides. It is limited by its side effect profile, which includes oily spotting and flatus with discharge. Lorcaserin, a serotonin receptor agonist, and phentermine/topiramate, a stimulant, act centrally via slightly different mechanisms to depress appetite. Both have drug labels that include warnings about memory, attention, language problems, and depression. Unique to lorcaserin are warnings of valvular heart disease and euphoria, while the phentermine/topiramate combination has the added label warnings of metabolic acidosis, increased heart rate, anxiety, insomnia, and elevated creatinine levels. The weight loss demonstrated with lorcaserin and the phentermine/topiramate combination was significant, but only when used as adjuncts to low-calorie diets and exercise regimens. All three of the medications have been contraindicated during pregnancy and none have been studied specifically in the obese, infertile, PCOS patient.

If lifestyle modification, diet, and exercise are unsuccessful in achieving normal reproductive function, the final option for weight loss is bariatric surgery in some women. Bariatric surgery has been shown to restore regular menstrual cycles and ovulation in this population. Limited data have suggested a return of normal fertility in an unselected obese population following a bariatric procedure. Recently, data have supported a paradigm shift to offering these surgeries earlier in the treatment process. Early utilization of bariatric surgery in morbidly obese patients has the potential to lead rapidly to sustained weight loss and normalization of many comorbidities, including infertility. However, the standard practice in the USA is still that bariatric surgery should be reserved for patients who are unable to lose weight conventionally and for those whose body mass index would justify such an intervention. UK and German attitudes appear to be coincident with the US view of bariatric surgery as a last resort after lifestyle interventions have failed. Women should wait 12–24 months after bariatric surgery before pursuing pregnancy. It is incumbent on each provider to weigh the benefit of surgery versus this delay, especially in older women and/or those with decreased ovarian function.

**Oral agents**

**Insulin sensitizers**

Metformin is an insulin-sensitizing agent commonly used to treat non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus and has been demonstrated to be safe during pregnancy.
Aromatase inhibitors

This class of drugs, as the name implies, inhibits the actions of the enzyme aromatase, which is responsible for the peripheral conversion of androgens to estrogens. As such, there is an antiestrogenic effect, and less negative feedback to the hypothalamus results in higher circulating FSH, which in turn stimulates follicular development and ovulation. The most common of these drugs are letrozole and anastrozole, but since anastrozole was shown to be less effective than clomiphene citrate in Phase II studies, its use has declined. Unlike clomiphene citrate, the use of letrozole as an ovulation induction agent is off-label. The typical starting dose is 2.5 mg daily, starting on day 3 post an induced bleed or natural bleeding episode, in the same manner as clomiphene citrate is taken. Usually, the dose is increased by 2.5 mg until a response is achieved; however, there are some who advocate for using a single high dose of 20 mg. Unfortunately, there have been no randomized controlled trials regarding optimal dosing.

A recent meta-analysis demonstrated a significantly higher ovulation rate per patient with letrozole versus clomiphene...
citrate, but no other parameter demonstrated superiority of either drug. Based on their findings, the authors could not recommend letrozole as a first-line therapy to replace clomiphene citrate. Recently, the NIH-funded Reproductive Medicine Network performed PPCOSII (Pregnancy in Polycystic Ovary Syndrome II), a double-blind, multicenter, randomized, controlled study that directly compared clomiphene citrate versus letrozole for anovulatory infertility in women with PCOS. This landmark trial showed a significant difference in ovulation (61.7% for letrozole versus 48.3% for clomiphene citrate, \( P<0.0001 \)) and live birth rates (27.5% for letrozole versus 19.2% for clomiphene citrate, \( P=0.007 \)). It should be noted that the 44% higher live birth rate seen with letrozole was achieved in a population with a high body mass index and longstanding infertility. The authors postulate that their findings will lead to a change in first line therapy for ovulatory dysfunction associated with PCOS (paper in press, NEJM, 2014).

### Combination or sequential oral treatments

Metformin and clomiphene citrate have been compared extensively with each other and in combination.\(^{31,52,70}\) There appears to be an increase in ovulation rate and pregnancy rate when the two are used in combination, but not in the live birth rate in comparison with clomiphene citrate alone.\(^{71}\) Patients with insulin resistance may benefit from the combination of metformin and clomiphene citrate compared with either agent used alone.\(^{51,71}\) A large multicenter trial also suggested that obese women, with a body mass index \( \approx 27 \), had improved outcomes following pretreatment with 2,000 mg of metformin daily. Addition of metformin to standard infertility treatment increased the pregnancy rate by 1.6-fold.\(^{72}\) The oral contraceptive pill is commonly used to treat menstrual dysfunction and decrease symptoms of androgen excess (hirsutism and acne) in patients with PCOS. Although many patients are not interested in delaying the start of fertility treatment, 2 months of treatment with the oral contraceptive pill prior to induction of ovulation with clomiphene citrate may improve the observed rate of ovulation and pregnancy by suppressing androgen levels.\(^{73}\)

Another approach to nonresponders could be sequential use of oral ovulation induction agents without a progesterone withdrawal bleed. One such protocol involves starting clomiphene citrate at the typical 50 mg dose. If inadequate follicular growth is noted, the dose can be increased by 50 mg for another round of treatment, without an intervening menses, until ovulation is achieved or the maximal dose of 150 mg daily is used.\(^{74,75}\) A study that included this protocol demonstrated a significantly higher ovulation rate with the stair-step protocol (64% versus 22%) and a shortened time to ovulation (23–35 days versus 55–88 days) when compared with the traditional regimen. Similar clinical pregnancy and live birth rates were demonstrated with the traditional approach as compared with sequential therapy.\(^{73}\)

### Advanced therapy

#### Gonadotropins

A subset of PCOS patients will require injectable gonadotropins for induction of ovulation. These treatment regimens require close monitoring by serum sampling and transvaginal ultrasound to reduce the risk of multiple pregnancies and ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome. In the commonly used low-dose step-up protocol, exogenous FSH is initiated at a low dose and gradually increased until follicular development is noted, thus limiting the likelihood of high-order multiple pregnancies resulting from multiple follicular growth.\(^{73,76}\) The starting dose is 37.5–75 IU/day, maintained for 1 week and then increased every 7 days until follicular growth is demonstrated.\(^{77,78}\) Successful stimulation is defined as a maximum of two dominant follicles measuring at least \( \approx 16 \) mm, or one follicle \( \approx 16 \) mm and two smaller follicles no more than 14 mm in diameter. Cycle cancellation is recommended if follicular development exceeds these parameters.\(^{79}\) This regimen can be repeated for a maximum of six cycles, with subsequent cycles starting closer to the dosage that led to a response the first time around. When used following failure of clomiphene, the cumulative 2-year singleton live birth rate exceeded 70%.\(^{80}\)

#### Surgery

Laparoscopic ovarian surgery is considered a second-line or third-line therapy for ovulatory dysfunction associated with PCOS.\(^{71}\) Traditionally, a wedge of the ovaries was removed to suppress androgen production and allow the ovary to resume normal steroid production and follicular development. Minimally invasive approaches with ovarian drilling have replaced this traditional method. One approach is to drill multiple small holes through the surface and stroma of the ovary using either laser or diathermy in as few as four but no more than ten separate locations. Ovarian drilling has results that are comparable with the more invasive wedge resection. Potential adverse outcomes include surgical complications, post-surgical adhesion formation, and possible premature ovarian failure.\(^{81,82}\) Proponents of ovarian drilling argue that it has lower multiple order pregnancy rates and no
risk of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome compared with gonadotropins. It has the added advantage of being a single treatment as opposed to multiple rounds for induction of ovulation. Thus, it may have lower direct and indirect costs. However, controversy exists regarding pregnancy outcomes following ovarian drilling. A 2007 Cochrane review, which included nine studies, showed pregnancy and live birth rates comparable with those of induction of ovulation with gonadotropins. Conversely, a 2012 Cochrane review by the same lead author demonstrated a lower live birth rate with ovarian drilling when compared with clomiphene citrate and metformin, and comparable rates of success when compared with clomiphene citrate or letrozole alone.

Assisted reproductive techniques

Intrauterine insemination has been shown to improve the success rates compared with timed intercourse for couples with unexplained infertility following clomiphene citrate and gonadotropins. However, there are no randomized controlled trials comparing the pregnancy rates of intrauterine insemination versus timed intercourse for women with PCOS. In the absence of male factor infertility or abnormal semen parameters, timed intercourse is recommended by most authors. Intrauterine insemination would be required for women who need to use donor semen, given that intrauterine insemination has been demonstrated to be superior to intracervical insemination.

Although traditionally, the last line of fertility treatment for women with PCOS would be assisted reproduction or in vitro fertilization, some clinicians have advocated for early utilization of this treatment option. In vitro fertilization involves controlled ovarian hyperstimulation or superovulation with injectable gonadotropins. Follicular development and oocyte maturation is determined by monitoring with transvaginal ultrasound and measurement of serum estradiol levels. The optimal stimulation protocol for PCOS patients has not been established, but overall, oocyte retrieval is typically done transvaginally following superovulation with gonadotropins. The gametes are then fertilized in vitro and undergo culture for 3–5 days. Cleavage or blastocyst stage embryos can be transferred back to the patient in a fresh cycle or frozen for future use. Although PCOS patients have cycle cancellation and ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome more often than non-PCOS patients when undergoing assisted reproduction, the fertilization and clinical pregnancy rates appear to be similar. The true benefit of in vitro fertilization may lie in its ability to reduce the risk of multiple pregnancies by limiting the number of embryos transferred with increasing emphasis on single embryo transfers.

Conclusion

A stepwise approach to the treatment of infertility in PCOS will allow most patients to achieve pregnancy and a live birth. The treatment approach should begin with lifestyle modifications such as diet and exercise in order to effect weight loss. The first-line oral agents include clomiphene citrate and letrozole, with recent evidence favoring the use of letrozole. Metformin plays a key role in patients with glucose intolerance and obesity as an adjunct to induction of ovulation. Consideration must be given to avoidance of in vitro fertilization and multiple pregnancies with careful monitoring when utilizing superovulation with gonadotropins. Laparoscopic ovarian drilling may be considered as a second-line treatment in select populations. In vitro fertilization also plays a role in the treatment of PCOS-associated infertility when one considers the high success rates and potentially lower rate of multiple gestations. Although the optimum management of subfertility in PCOS has not been determined and may vary considerable amongst the different phenotypes, this review provides a framework for practitioners to formulate an individualized treatment plan for their patients.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References


57. Adashi EY. Clomiphene citrate: mechanism(s) and site(s) of action – a hypothesis revisited. Fertil Steril. 1984;42(3):331–344.


