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Abstract: Acute renal failure associated with a fulminant, life-threatening systemic disease is rare in previously healthy young children; however, when it occurs, the most common cause is hemolytic-uremic syndrome (HUS). In most cases (90%), this abrupt and devastating illness is a result of ingestion of food or drink contaminated with pathogens that produce very potent toxins. Currently, there are no proven treatment options that can directly inactivate the toxin or effectively interfere with the cascade of destructive events triggered by the toxin once it gains access to the bloodstream and binds its receptor. However, HUS is self-limited, and effective supportive management during the acute phase is proven to be a life saver for children affected by HUS. A minority of childhood HUS cases, approximately 5%, are caused by various genetic mutations causing uncontrolled activation of the complement system. These children, who used to have a poor prognosis leading to end-stage renal disease, now have access to exciting new treatment options that can preserve kidney function and avoid disease recurrences. This review provides a summary of the current knowledge on the epidemiology, pathophysiology, and clinical presentation of childhood HUS, focusing on a practical approach to best management measures.
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Introduction

Hemolytic-uremic syndrome (HUS) is one of the most common etiologies for acute kidney injury and an important cause of acquired chronic kidney disease in children. HUS is generally classified into two main types: typical or diarrhea-associated (D+HUS) and atypical (aHUS) or diarrhea-negative HUS. In children, 90% of HUS cases are associated with a prodrome of diarrhea caused by infections with Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* that are able to attach to the intestinal wall; these are known as enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC). A rare form of HUS caused by *streptococcus pneumoniae*, usually following invasive pulmonary infection, is occasionally classified with D+HUS in the group of infectious HUS, or is included in the aHUS group as it is not preceded by diarrhea. The majority of the remaining cases are affected by aHUS, which can be sporadic or familial. Most cases of aHUS are caused by genetic or acquired dysregulations of the complement system. Thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA) is the common pathogenetic pathway leading to all forms of HUS as well as thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP). However, TTP is rare in children and is usually caused by congenital mutation in the ADMA13 gene, whereas in adults it occurs more frequently due to abnormal autoantibodies against the ADMA13 enzyme. This paper will review the clinical presentation, epidemiology,
and pathogenesis of HUS in children, focusing on current
management options.

Clinical presentation

D+HUS

D+HUS is usually preceded by a severe form of infectious
gastroenteritis that typically begins 3 days after exposure to
food or water contaminated with EHEC. However, follow-
ing ingestion of EHEC, incubation periods ranging from
1–12 days have been reported.\(^8,9\) Symptoms are nonspecific,
including nausea, cramping, abdominal pain, and diarrhea
that is initially watery but becomes bloody in more than 70%
of cases within 2–3 days from beginning of symptoms.\(^7,9\)
Infections with Shiga toxin-producing \textit{E. coli} belonging
to the EHEC family can cause severe colonic injury with
excruciating cramping pain and a severe hemorrhagic colitis;
however, milder forms with minimal symptoms and non-bloody diarrhea have been described.\(^7,10,11\) In 85%–90% of
cases, the gastroenteritis is self-limited and the symptoms
subside or disappear 5–8 days from start of symptoms, lead-
ing to full recovery.\(^5,11–14\) Complications of the infectious
colitis itself, including bowel perforation or massive hemor-
rhage, can be fatal; however, the most common and serious
complication of EHEC infections is HUS, which develops
in 10%–15% of affected patients 7–10 days after beginning
of symptoms.\(^15\)

Patients that go on to develop HUS continue to be very
sick; many are lethargic or irritable. Lab work is character-
ized by thrombocytopenia with platelet counts less than
150\(^\times\)10\(^3\)/L, hemolytic anemia with schistocytes on blood
smear, and progressing azotemia. In mild cases of D+HUS
in previously healthy children, the azotemia may be missed
or difficult to document as serum creatinine levels can be
within normal range despite a more than 50% increase from
baseline.\(^16\) D+HUS is a systemic disease that can affect
many organs; however, some of the most serious complica-
tions relate to involvement of the central nervous system.
Lethargy, which is observed in many patients on presentation,
can progress to development of stupor and coma as well as
seizures and strokes.\(^17\) Acute kidney injury is characterized
by progression to oligoanuria and severe electrolyte imbal-
cances that require establishment of acute renal replacement
therapy in 50%–70% of patients.\(^18\) Despite the fulminant
disease course and multi-organ involvement, D+HUS is
self-limited in most cases, with eventual gradual improve-
ment and full recovery.\(^18\) Nevertheless, up to 25% of patients
are left with ongoing sequelae such as chronic renal failure,
hypertension, and, rarely, chronic pancreatitis and diabetes.\(^18\)

Central nervous system complications resolve in most cases;
however, these are responsible for the majority of D+HUS-
related fatalities, and permanent neurological sequelae have
been reported in more than 20% of cases.\(^17\)

aHUS

aHUS, by definition, is not preceded by the characteristic
bloody diarrhea of EHEC infection; however, since in the
majority of cases it involves dysregulation of the comple-
ment system, it is frequently triggered by an infectious event
including gastroenteritis with diarrhea.\(^19\) Therefore, initially
it may be difficult to differentiate from D+HUS. More than
60% of patients with aHUS present in childhood – the
majority at less than 2 years of age, which is also common
for D+HUS.\(^19,20\) Nevertheless, unlike aHUS, D+HUS rarely
affects children younger than 1 year of age and almost
never presents before 6 months of age.\(^21\) The presenting
symptoms are nonspecific, related to the triggering infec-
tious event or the developing systemic TMA, resembling
D+HUS symptoms.

Epidemiology

HUS is a rare disease with an incidence ranging from
0.7–8 cases/100,000 population per year with significant
geographical and seasonal variability. The highest inci-
dence of D+HUS is reported among children younger than
6 years who typically present in the late summer and autumn
months.\(^8,14,16,22–25\) Prevalence of D+HUS is closely related to the
incidence of EHEC infections and the main reservoir for
EHEC pathogens is the gastrointestinal tract of healthy cattle.\(^26\)
Higher incidence of D+HUS in rural areas with a developed
meat industry has been reported.\(^8,21\) The highest incidence
of D+HUS in the world was reported in Argentina, while,
in Canada, it has been observed in the province of Alberta;
both geographic regions have developed beef production
industries.\(^16,27\) Large outbreaks originating in contaminated
food have been extensively publicized; one of the most
well-known outbreaks in the US was caused by contami-
nated ground beef hamburgers served in a restaurant chain,
hence the popular name for D+HUS: “hamburger disease”.\(^5\)
However, contrary to popular belief, most cases of D+HUS are
sporadic or occur in small clusters and are not related to poorly
cooked contaminated ground beef.\(^8,14,15,22,24,28,29\) The majority of
sporadic cases, as well as small and large outbreaks, are
caused by other contaminated foods and beverages, such as
vegetables, cider, or milk.\(^30\) and transmission from person
to person has also been reported.\(^5,12,31\) Moreover, not all out-
breaks of D+HUS are associated with EHEC infections; other
pathogens may be responsible, the most common of which is *Shigella dysenteriae* type 1 (SD1).32

aHUS is responsible for 10% of the total number of HUS cases, with an annual incidence that is roughly one-tenth of the incidence of D+HUS.33 Up to 40% of these cases (5% of the total HUS incidence) are caused by invasive pneumococcal infections, and the rest are generally caused by complement dysregulations presenting sporadically or inherited in familial clusters.33 In North America and Europe, the incidence of noninfectious aHUS has been estimated to be two per million population per year.33,34 Patients can present at all ages; however, the majority of new cases present in childhood, with an estimated incidence of seven per million children per year in the European Community.34

**Physiopathology**

**D+HUS**

Gasser et al were the first to describe the triad of symptoms and coin the term “hemolytic-uremic syndrome” in 1955;35 however, only in 1983 were Karmali et al able to identify the toxin produced by EHEC as a causative factor.36,37 Notwithstanding Karmali et al’s important discovery, D+HUS was reported to be associated with SD1 infectious diarrhea as early as 1975.32,38 The toxin isolated from stools of patients with EHEC infections and D+HUS was first named “verotoxin” due to its extremely toxic effect on Vero cells—an epithelial cell line isolated from the kidneys of an African green monkey.37 Subsequently, two toxins were identified and reclassified as “Shiga-like toxins” (STX1 and STX2) due to their close similarity to the Shiga toxin produced by SD1.39 The Shiga toxins are produced by pathogens in the gut and are absorbed into the blood circulation, which delivers them to various organs, including the kidneys.

The Shiga toxins are composed of 5 β-subunits that bind with high affinity to the globotriaosylceramide receptor (Gb3) and an α-subunit, which, after being internalized by cells, interferes with the ribosomal apparatus, effectively blocking protein synthesis leading to cell death.39 The currently accepted mechanism leading to HUS is a TMA triggered by endothelial cell death, exposure of the sub-endothelial space, and activation of the thrombotic cascade.40 Extensive microangiopathic intravascular thrombosis is responsible for the hemolysis of red cells by fragmentation, platelet consumption, and decreased glomerular perfusion leading to kidney injury.40 However, in addition to a prothrombotic effect, the presence of STX in microvasculature has been shown to be vasoconstrictive, proadhesive, and proinflammatory. Recent scientific evidence suggests that the extent of endothelial cell injury observed in STX-related HUS exceeds expectations from interference with protein synthesis alone.40 In vitro experiments demonstrated that bacterial lipopolysaccharide (endotoxin) acts synergistically with SD1 and EHEC toxins to enhance endothelial damage and the coagulative microangiopathy.41 One of the additional emerging mechanisms for cell injury in STX-related HUS involves activation of the complement system.40,42 In fact, evidence involving activation of the complement system in D+HUS forms the basis for a common pathogenetic mechanism that unifies all forms of TMA, including aHUS and TTP.42

Pathogens producing the STX2 toxin have been associated with more severe cases of D+HUS. *E. coli* O157:H7 is a pathogen that produces both forms of STX and is the most common serotype isolated from stools of D+HUS patients; however, many other serotypes have been reported to trigger D+HUS: O11:H8, O103:H2, O123, O26, and more. In the most recent mega-outbreak from Germany that occurred in 2011, the responsible pathogen was *E. coli* 0104:H4, which previously was not known to cause large outbreaks.40

**aHUS**

The pathogenesis of aHUS associated with invasive *Streptococcus pneumoniae* infections is related to the effect of the pneumococcal enzyme neuraminidase. It is postulated that by exposing the T-antigen on the surface of endothelial cells, this enzyme renders the endothelial cells vulnerable to injury by an immune response, which triggers the cascade of events leading to TMA and HUS.2

Approximately 50% of the rest of aHUS cases are caused by genetic variations of genes encoding proteins that participate in the tight control of the complement system.21 As shown in Figure 1, mutations in genes encoding complement factors H, I, and B, thrombomodulin, C3, and membrane cofactor protein have been reported to cause abnormal activation of the complement system.3 Autoantibodies against factor H with or without associated mutations in complement factor H-related proteins have also been described.3 Recently, a mutation in the gene encoding the enzyme diacylglycerol kinase ε has been shown to be responsible for aHUS in a small number of familial cases by a complement unrelated mechanism.43

**Management and prognosis**

**Management of EHEC infections and prevention of HUS**

Gastrointestinal infection with EHEC causes one of the most severe forms of gastroenteritis, associated with excruciating
abdominal pain and patient discomfort; yet, in most cases, it is self-limited, resolving without specific intervention. This fact must be taken into consideration in management, and intervention that may eventually aggravate or complicate outcomes must be avoided. For example, antiperistaltic agents have been shown to increase risks for systemic complication associated with EHEC infections and should therefore be avoided. Antibiotic treatment of EHEC infections that should also be avoided is discussed in detail in the antibiotic treatment section. Best management of patients with EHEC infections includes close monitoring for development of complications such as HUS coupled with supportive therapy. Fluid management is one of the most important components of supportive management in EHEC infections in the days before development of D+HUS. This period is characterized by diarrhea associated with nausea, vomiting, and extreme abdominal cramping pain, which are likely to lead to dehydration, intravascular volume depletion, and reduced renal perfusion. This situation can further intensify the prothrombotic environment created by STX-associated endothelial injury. Early volume expansion in patients affected by EHEC, implemented within the first 4 days from the onset of diarrhea, can improve renal and general outcomes of D+HUS. Type of fluids and way of administration should follow the general guidelines for hydration and rehydration in children with acute gastroenteritis.

Antibiotic treatment

Antibiotic treatment of EHEC infections before and after development of D+HUS has been a subject of great interest for researchers. However, most available data were obtained from retrospective and some prospective cohort studies demonstrating conflicting results. Prospective, blinded, and randomized studies have not been conducted, and observational studies have been affected by bias toward use of antibiotics in initially “sicker” patients. A number of meta-analyses and systematic reviews have attempted to summarize the results of available studies, reaching inconclusive results and stating that more prospective, appropriately powered studies are needed. Most studies found no difference or favored a negative impact of antibiotics on risk for D+HUS and outcome. Only one retrospective cohort analysis of a large outbreak that occurred in Japan, demonstrated benefit for using the antibiotic fosfomycin within the first 2–3 days from beginning of EHEC infection symptoms. The negative impact of antibiotic use on risk and outcomes of HUS is not well understood. In vitro exposure of bacteria to antibiotics induces lysis with release of toxin, which, in the gut, could potentially be more likely to be absorbed. However, a toxin binder designed to diminish toxin absorption was not proven effective in a prospective randomized controlled trial. Antibiotics have not been shown to directly influence interaction between toxins and their Gb3 receptor, suggesting that the antibiotic influence on risk and outcome of HUS is mediated by modulation of toxin bioavailability. Recently, further evidence for a possibly beneficial role of early use of antibiotics in patients with D+HUS was provided by the retrospective analysis of antibiotic use during the 2011 German mega-outbreak. Nevertheless, based on best available evidence, the current recommendations are to avoid use of antibiotics in treatment of EHEC infections.

Shiga toxin binding and blocking agents

STX1 and STX2 are highly potent toxins in very small concentrations. Blocking STX access into the bloodstream or inactivation of blood-borne STX are major areas of interest in the search for D+HUS treatment options. SYNSORB Pk (Synsorb Biotech Inc., Calgary, AB, Canada) was a synthetic potent STX binder that was designed to bind STX in the gut, thus blocking absorption of STX; unfortunately, a large Phase III trial with this agent failed to demonstrate any benefit. Blocking the effect of blood-borne STX with inactivating antibodies has also been attempted, and, currently, a trial with monoclonal anti-STX1 and anti-STX2 antibodies is taking place in South America. Nevertheless, the main challenge with STX binding and blocking agents has been timing of administration, which needs to occur before the STX effect is further amplified by a cascade of events involving the thrombotic, inflammatory, and complement systems.
Management of D+HUS
Mortality associated with the acute phase of D+HUS has decreased over the years since first description of this syndrome, from up to 30% to less than 1% in some series. Early recognition of symptoms followed by prompt and diligent supportive therapy is most likely responsible for this achievement. Specific supportive therapy strategies in children with D+HUS such as fluid management, renal replacement therapy and blood products transfusions, are detailed in their respective sections later in this review. A practical flowchart approach to the child at risk or presenting with HUS is shown in Figure 2.

Early, effective supportive intervention depends on a high level of suspicion of D+HUS followed by diligent close monitoring for emerging HUS signs, combined with prompt and accurate diagnosis. Isolation of EHEC from stool
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**Figure 2** Practical approach to the child at risk for or presenting with HUS.

**Abbreviations:** aHUS, atypical HUS; HUS, hemolytic-uremic syndrome; STEC, Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli; STX, Shiga-like toxins.
fluid overload that is present at the time of initiation of urgent renal replacement therapy in critically ill children with multisystem involvement has been shown to be a bad prognostic factor. Among other benefits, close monitoring during the EHEC infection is important to facilitate early detection of evolving HUS and allow timely necessary adjustments to fluid management. As HUS and acute kidney injury evolve, hypertension frequently develops along with fluid and salt retention. In this situation, the general approach to management of acute kidney injury in children should be applied. Careful attention should be given to the type of fluids administered, aiming to minimize salt load, while total administered volume should not exceed the total of all losses such as urine output, insensitive losses, ongoing diarrhea, etc. Volume required for adequate nutrition should be given priority and be included in the total fluid balance. Judicious use of loop diuretics can help manage hypertension as well as maintain adequate urine output, which can facilitate fluid management and nutrition and improve outcomes. Additional use of antihypertensive agents may be needed to control hypertension.

Renal replacement therapy

Renal replacement therapy is required in 50%-70% of cases in the acute phase of HUS. There are no specific indications or benefit for early initiation of acute dialysis in D+HUS. General indications for acute renal replacement therapy in the management of acute kidney injury should be applied. Oligoanuria, severe azotemia, electrolyte abnormalities, acidosis, and need for nutritional support are among the common indications for acute dialysis. There is no clear benefit for a specific type of renal replacement therapy; however, peritoneal dialysis has been extensively and successfully used in children with D+HUS. Insertion of a peritoneal dialysis catheter can usually be safely performed in thrombocytopenic patients without the need for platelet transfusion, and trained staff can safely perform peritoneal dialysis on general pediatric wards. Complications and technical failure are rare despite the severe intestinal injury caused by the EHEC infection, which is not a contraindication for peritoneal dialysis and does not interfere with the effectiveness of this modality. Hemodialysis or continuous veno-venous hemodiafiltration are also very efficient, but require appropriate venous access and admission to an intensive care unit. Nevertheless, in patients with aHUS or in whom aHUS is suspected, hemodialysis or veno-venous hemodiafiltration may be preferred modalities since the venous access can also be used for administration of plasma exchange, which is generally indicated in these patients. Finally, despite the fact that there is no proven benefit for early renal replacement therapy, efforts should be made to start dialysis before development of fluid overload, which may be associated with a worse prognosis in a critically ill child.

Transfusions

Platelet consumption and hemolysis are integral consequences of the TMA in HUS. The thrombocytopenia in these cases can be extreme, yet platelet transfusions are not indicated unless there is evidence of active bleeding. Transfused platelets can enhance the thrombotic events occurring in various microvasculature beds of affected patients. Severe anemia secondary to hemolysis, on the other hand, can further destabilize patients by negative effects on the cardiovascular and respiratory systems. Erythrocyte transfusions are considered an important component of the supportive therapy in HUS, and have been reported to be required in 80% of patients. Use of erythropoietin early in the course of HUS has been proposed as a possible measure toward reducing the need for erythrocyte transfusions.

Treatment of aHUS – plasma exchange (PE)

Current evidence does not support use of fresh frozen plasma infusions or PE in patients with D+HUS. In contrast, PE should be instituted without delay in patients with non-pneumococcal aHUS. Initial management of aHUS is frequently similar to D+HUS due to the infectious prodrome that typically triggers the first episode; however, once aHUS is suspected, PE treatment should be offered, given its proven efficacy in aHUS.

In a majority of non-pneumococcal aHUS cases, abnormal complement activation is responsible for the TMA, which can be efficiently controlled with daily or even twice-daily PE. Therefore, when aHUS is suspected, PE should be
Complement inhibitors

Currently, the single commercially available complement inhibitor is eculizumab, a recombinant, humanized monoclonal antibody against complement component C5. This antibody effectively blocks cleavage of complement factor C5 and the formation of the complement membrane attack complex C5b-9. Clinical trials have shown eculizumab to be more efficient than plasma therapy in prevention and treatment of aHUS episodes caused by dysregulations of the complement system. A favorable outcome after use of eculizumab in three patients with severe D+HUS and central nervous system involvement was described in a case report. However, a retrospective review of the extensive uncontrolled use of eculizumab, during the last large outbreak of D+HUS in Germany, did not demonstrate a benefit for patients who were treated with this agent, compared to matched patients who did not receive eculizumab. Despite recent reports involving the complement system in the pathogenesis of D+HUS, current evidence is not sufficient to support the use of eculizumab for patients with D+HUS.

Prognosis and long-term follow-up

The TMA underlying D+HUS is self-limited; a majority of patients with D+HUS, including children with very severe acute disease courses, experience a full recovery based on clinical and laboratory assessments. Nevertheless, a significant percentage are affected by long-term, mostly renal, sequelae. Unlike mortality rate, risk for long-term renal sequelae has not significantly changed over the years, remaining, on average, around 30%. Pneumococcal HUS is managed based on similar principles, but tends to be associated with a worse prognosis. Children with identifiable sequelae such as hypertension, proteinuria, or impaired renal function should be treated accordingly; generally, long-term treatment with an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor is indicated. Ideally, these patients may benefit from ongoing nephrology follow-up. However, children that seem to have made a full recovery may also benefit from long-term monitoring for development of hypertension and proteinuria, as the extent of lost nephrons during the acute phase of the disease may be significant but masked by compensation of remaining nephrons.

aHUS, particularly the familial form, has been reported to be associated with a poor prognosis; without specific treatment, patients experience relapses and ongoing disease activity leading to end-stage kidney failure in most cases. The disease tends to recur after kidney transplantation, leading to graft loss in a majority of patients. Nevertheless, recent advances in understanding the pathophysiology of aHUS have opened the way to new treatment protocols using PE and complement inhibitors, which are likely to significantly improve outcomes in these patients.

Summary

HUS is a rare but very serious complication of infections with toxin-producing pathogens or genetic mutations leading to a TMA. The vast majority of cases in childhood, 90%, are caused by EHEC/Shiga toxin-producing E. coli infections. Currently, no specific treatment modalities have been shown to influence outcomes of D+HUS. Comprehensive supportive nutritional and hemodynamic management coupled with peritoneal dialysis or hemodialysis when indicated are associated with best outcomes and minimal mortality. Prevention of EHEC infections continues to be the best and most efficient strategy against D+HUS. In patients suspected of aHUS, PE should be instituted without delay and, once diagnosis is confirmed, treatment with a complement inhibitor should be considered.
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