Sacral insufficiency fractures: a case of mistaken identity
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Introduction: Sacral insufficiency fractures are an important cause of lower back pain in the elderly. Clinically and radiologically, they must be distinguished from osseous metastases, which frequently coexist. To date, no case report has comprehensively explored the full range of diagnostic hurdles and potential pitfalls.

Case presentation: We report the case of a 70-year-old Caucasian female who presented to our services with a short history of progressive back pain without any history of trauma. A prior history of breast cancer was noted. Bone scintigraphy was initially reported as consistent with metastatic sacral deposits. Further characterization with magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography revealed bilateral insufficiency fractures of the sacral alar. The patient responded well to conservative management.

Conclusion: To avoid misdiagnosis, particularly in those who are also at risk of osseous metastases, sacral insufficiency fractures must always be considered as a cause of lower back pain.
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Introduction

Stress fractures can be classified as either fatigue or insufficiency fractures.1 Intuitively, the first describes fractures occurring within normal bone architecture that has been exposed to abnormal or repetitive stresses.2 Conversely, insufficiency fractures occur in abnormal bone under normal stress. Insufficiency fractures of the sacrum (SIFs) were first described by Lourie in 1982.3 Patients undergoing radiotherapy to treat malignant tumors may be at particular risk of SIFs,4 with a prevalence of 89% for patients undergoing radiotherapy for cervical cancer.5 Elderly women with osteoporosis are also at high risk,6 with almost all patients with SIFs demonstrating severe osteopenia on dual X-ray absorptiometry.7 Additionally, rheumatoid arthritis, Paget’s disease, renal osteodystrophy, and hyperparathyroidism have been reported as risk factors.8,9 Sacral stress fractures have occasionally been reported during the last trimester of pregnancy and the early postpartum period.10,11 While preceding trauma may be reported, it is usually minor12 or often completely absent.13

Patients suffering from SIFs often present with limited mobility caused by acute and intractable lumbar or pelvic pain without clear evidence of trauma. Neurological symptoms are usually absent, but radiculopathy has a reported incidence of 5%–6%7 and sphincter disturbance has also been described.14 Pain typically improves on lying supine and increases on weight-bearing. Patients may also complain of parasymphyseal discomfort due to the high incidence of concomitant pubic rami fractures.15
The lack of specific clinical findings makes diagnosis challenging and SIFs remain an under-recognized cause of lower back pain. In fact, there is often a delay of up to 2 months before dedicated sacral imaging is performed. In this report, we describe the diagnostic challenge of detecting SIFs and aim to increase awareness of the potential pitfalls.

Case presentation
A 70-year-old Caucasian patient was admitted through the emergency department with severe back pain. She had first experienced discomfort 14 days previously, which had progressively worsened. No history of trauma, however minor, could be recalled. The pain was localized to her lower back with no radicular symptoms. One week after the initial discomfort, the patient was unable to mobilize and had become bedridden. No neurology was demonstrable on examination. Palpation of the lumbar vertebrae was unremarkable but direct pressure over both sacroiliac joints resulted in a marked exacerbation of her pain.

A history of primary breast cancer was reported. The disease was detected during mammographic screening with no radiographic or histological evidence of distant metastasis. As such, the patient had undergone a wide local excision and local radiotherapy 4 years prior. Additionally, she described a longstanding history of rheumatoid arthritis which was controlled with disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs.

Upon admission, our patient was apyrexial and had a normal white blood cell count. Interestingly, raised alkaline phosphatase (ALP) was found at 278 IU/L (reference range: 30–130 IU/L) with normal adjusted calcium. The remaining liver function tests were normal. Marginally raised C-reactive protein was seen at 15 mg/L (reference range: <10 mg/L).

Plain film radiographs of her lumbar spine demonstrated scoliosis but no fractures or suspicious lesions. Similarly, radiographs of her pelvis did not detect any abnormalities. In view of her medical history, bone scintigraphy was performed. This demonstrated a bilateral increase in uptake of technetium-99m in the sacrum and pubic rami (Figure 1). Initially, this was thought to be consistent with metastatic disease. Subsequently, spinal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed to characterize the extent of the lesions. T1-weighted images of the sacrum demonstrated symmetrical hypointense areas within both sacral alar (Figure 2). Whilst symmetry was not in keeping with a neoplastic process, no fracture line was evident. A computed tomography (CT) scan of the sacral region highlighted bilateral fracture lines through the sacral alar in keeping with insufficiency fractures (Figure 3). CT also demonstrated a right-sided anterior pubic rami fracture.

Her pain was successfully managed with a combination of simple and transcutaneous opioid analgesia. The patient was able to mobilize with the aid of a walking frame and was discharged home on day 12 of admission. Subsequent follow-up at 1 month found her pain, mobility, and independence to be gradually improving.
Discussion

Several reports have previously highlighted the variable and nonspecific presentation of SIFs that makes diagnosis challenging.6,9 Whilst not specific for SIFs, Denis et al have classified traumatic sacral fractures into zones,17 which can help predict the clinical features. Zone 1 fractures lie laterally, involving the sacral alar, with zones 2 and 3 fractures progressing medially to involve the neural foramina and sacral bodies, respectively. Consequently, unilateral radicular symptoms are often reported with zone 2 fractures and bilateral neurological symptoms with sphincter dysfunction can occur with involvement of zone 3.

Laboratory investigations are of limited value. Often, no abnormality is found, although a mildly raised ALP, a marker of bone formation, is sometimes seen.18 Of note, ALP is also raised in metastatic disease.19 Radiographs of the pelvis, sacrum, and lumbar spine may initially be used to identify fractures. However, the presence of fecal material, vascular calcifications, and bowel gas can mask incomplete fractures, and radiographs detect SIFs in less than 40% of cases.20 Diagnostic difficulty is compounded by a history of malignancy in approximately 45% of patients with SIFs.21 Consideration of this clinical entity is therefore paramount if overdiagnosis of osseous metastasis is to be avoided. Bone scintigraphy with technetium-99m-labeled medronic acid is one of the most sensitive (96%) examinations.22 While present in less than 40% of patients, the H-sign is considered pathognomonic for SIFs.23 However, isolated sacral metastases presenting with focal sacral uptake have also been reported.24 MRI, with sensitivity approaching 100%, is considered to be the gold standard for identifying SIFs.24 Most cases demonstrate both marrow edema and a fracture line, although the latter is absent in 7% of cases.24 The absence of a fracture line can create uncertainty. Although less sensitive (60%–75%),24 CT can be used in combination with MRI. Previous reports have noted that the absence of cortical destruction and/or a soft tissue mass effectively rules out bone metastases (Table 1).25,26

Traditionally, treatment of SIFs has been limited to conservative management strategies comprising bed rest, pain control, and physical therapy, with symptoms usually improving within 12 months.27,28 However, prolonged bed rest, particularly in elderly patients, has a significant morbidity. In particular, high incidences of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism have been reported.29 Early physical therapy has been advocated as a means of reducing this morbidity and encouraging fracture healing through the promotion of osteoblast-mediated bone formation.30 However, good quality prospective trials supporting early physical therapy in SIFs are currently lacking.

Sacraloplasty has also emerged as a potential treatment and involves fluoroscopic or CT-guided injection of cement.
Study 85% reported complete resolution of pain and CT-guided sacroplasty
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into the fractured sacrum. Initially used as a treatment for sacral metastases, it was first described as a treatment for SIFs in 2002 by Garant. As yet, no randomized trials are available, and the literature is restricted to small retrospective case series with variable, and often invalidated, outcome measures. Whilst these need to be interpreted cautiously, they suggest a rapid and sustained improvement in pain, reduced use of narcotic analgesia, and improvement in mobility scores. In addition, an improved ability to perform activities of daily living has also been reported. The main risk of sacroplasty is leakage of cement outside of the fracture line, with risk of neurological compromise. Leakage of cement has been reported but in only one case did this result in transient radiculopathy, and in none were long-term sequelae described. As a means of reducing complications, augmentation with a balloon-assisted technique, sacral kyphoplasty, has been proposed. The creation of a compacted bony layer outside the balloon could, in theory, reduce cement extravasation. Supporting this technique, a cadaveric study demonstrated that cement deposition was better localized within the cortical boundaries.

Clearly, stronger evidence is required before the widespread use of sacroplasty over conservative management can be supported. It has also been suggested that since sacroplasty disrupts normal osseous healing, it may only be indicated in patients with delayed union or pain not responding to conservative measures. However, the best method of conservative management remains equally uncertain. This is an area of much needed research (Table 2).

Table 1 Summary of articles published on the imaging modalities utilized for the investigation of SIFs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Imaging method</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gotis-Graham et al</td>
<td>CS/MA</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Plain films</td>
<td>Images reviewed retrospectively. Vertical bands of sclerosis (50%) or fracture lines (11%) present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schneider et al</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Nuclear medicine</td>
<td>Described three patterns of radionuclide uptake. H-shaped was the most common (78%). Other patterns include, uptake in a single alar (13%) or a T-shaped uptake, involving the sacral body and only one alar (9%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finiels et al</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>508</td>
<td></td>
<td>H-shaped pattern present in only 42% of reported cases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuji et al</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitivity of NM for diagnosis of SIFs was 96% and PPV 92%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabarrus et al</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Images reviewed retrospectively. Marrow edema demonstrated in 100% and a fracture line in 94%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grangier et al</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>Use of IV gadolinium and/or fat suppression may improve detection of fracture lines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byun et al</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>Diffusion-weighted MRI may help differentiate between marrow edema from fracture or metastasis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gotis-Graham et al</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Images reviewed retrospectively. Fracture lines (42%) or sclerosis (17%) present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabarrus et al</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td>Images reviewed retrospectively. Fractures detected in 75% of proven SIFs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 A selection of previously reported case series on the various treatments of SIFs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aretxabala et al</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Bed rest + analgesia</td>
<td>Mean recovery time of 3.3 months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weber et al</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Analgesia and early physical therapy</td>
<td>100% of patients pain-free at 9 weeks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leroux et al</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Bed rest + analgesia</td>
<td>Mean time to symptomatic improvement of 4 weeks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gotis-Graham et al</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Analgesia ± bed rest</td>
<td>85% reported complete resolution of pain and return to independence at 9 months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brook et al</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CT-guided sacroplasty</td>
<td>Improvement in pre-procedure VAS. Improvement sustained at 8–16 months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frey et al</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>CT-guided sacroplasty</td>
<td>Improvement in pre-procedure VAS. Improvement sustained at 1 year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strub et al</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>CT-guided sacroplasty</td>
<td>Improvement in pre-procedure pain. Improvement sustained at 15 months.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CS, case series; CT, computed tomography; SIFs, sacral insufficiency fractures; VAS, visual analog scale.
Conclusion
The diagnostic difficulty of SIFs has previously been recognized. To our knowledge, this is the first report to highlight the full range of diagnostic hurdles; in particular, we draw attention to the limitations of each imaging modality. Awareness of SIFs is important if an erroneous misinterpretation for metastatic disease is to be avoided.
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