Is increasing the dose of Entecavir effective in partial virological responders?

Objective: To analyze the effect of increasing Entecavir (ETV) dosage in patients with chronic hepatitis B (CHB) who partially responded to ETV after 1 year.

Methods: Twenty-three hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg)-positive and 36 HBeAg-negative patients with CHB were treated with ETV 0.5 mg daily. After 1 year of the treatment, those with detectable hepatitis B virus (HBV-DNA) were randomized to either ETV 0.5 mg or 1 mg daily. The resistance to ETV was excluded. Both groups received ETV for 3 years. The groups were compared in aspects of undetectable DNA.

Results: Group 1 was given 0.5 mg ETV and included 32 patients (20 HBeAg-negative and 12 HBeAg-positive). Group 2 was given 1 mg ETV and consisted of 27 patients (16 HBeAg-negative and eleven HBeAg-positive). Group 2 had more effective suppression of HBV-DNA while both groups had comparable rates of HBeAg loss (58% and 63% for group 1 and group 2, respectively) and alanine transaminase (ALT) normalization at the end of 4 years.

Conclusion: Increasing ETV dose from 0.5 mg to 1 mg after 1 year of ETV treatment may provide an effective suppression of viral replication.
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Introduction

Chronic hepatitis B (CHB) virus infection is still an important health issue in the world. The aim of hepatitis B treatment is to improve the quality of life and survival via preventing the disease progression to cirrhosis, decompensated cirrhosis, end-term liver failure, hepatocellular carcinoma, and death. This aim can only be achieved by a sustainable way of suppressing hepatitis B virus (HBV) replication. The ultimate goal of the treatment is hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) loss. However, this can be rarely achieved by recent anti-HBV agents. The more realistic aim is to stimulate viral remission by suppressing HBV-DNA permanently.

Entecavir (ETV), an oral deoxyguanosine nucleoside analogue (NA), is generally well tolerated in patients with CHB who have compensated liver disease. Some studies have demonstrated ETV to be as safe as a monotherapy for first-step treatment.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) Additionally, several studies have shown this high-genetic barrier medication to not cause any serious side effects that require discontinuation of the drug.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) Adult ETV dose is 0.5 mg per day for medication-naive persons and 1 mg per day for medication-resistant patients.

It has been argued that ETV shows similar in vitro sensitivity in all HBV genotypes (A, B, C, D, F, and G).\(^7\) In patients with nucleoside-naive hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg)-negative CHB, the genotypic resistance rate was reported to be as low as...
1.2% after a 5-year period of treatment. However, virological breakthrough (which means after a virological response [VR] to treatment there is an increase of HBV-DNA levels at 10 times [≥1 log]; or an increase HBV-DNA positive after being polymerase chain reaction [PCR] negative assessment) has been found to be as 0.8% ratio. The virological remission rates in which patients with HBeAg-positive and HBeAg-negative CHB had compliance to the treatment (>90% and >95%, respectively) can be protected by continuing ETV treatment after ≥3 years. During ETV treatment, viral suppression cannot be achieved in a group of patients. After 48 weeks of treatment, the management of those with any detectable HBV-DNA is not clearly known. After exclusion of ETV resistance, the drug can be continued or a modification of the treatment can be considered.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of increasing ETV dosage from 0.5 mg to 1 mg in CHB patients without resistance but who still had detectable HBV-DNA.

### Material and methods

#### Patients

This study was conducted in Recep Tayyip Erdogan University, School of Medicine, Departments of Infectious Diseases and Gastroenterology, Rize, Turkey and Ataturk University, Department of Infectious Diseases, Erzurum, Turkey with 59 patients who had CHB-related liver disease. The study was approved by local ethics committees and informed consent was obtained from each participant (approval date: 2013/18).

Patients with other concomitant causes for chronic liver disease (hepatitis C virus infection, alcohol consumption, autoimmunity) or with human immunodeficiency virus infection were excluded from this study. Seven patients who were not compatible with treatment-control were excluded. Twenty-three patients had a positive HBeAg while 36 patients had a negative HBeAg. Male sex was 69% of patients. The mean age was 44.06 ± 13.19 years. The mean weight was 75.5 ± 8.98 kg. All patients were given ETV 0.5 mg daily. At the beginning of the treatment, alanine transaminase (ALT), HBV-DNA, and HBeAg levels were monitored at a minimum of 24-week intervals. Patients who showed a partial virological response at the end of the 12th week were identified by an increase in their liver enzymes and a minimal activation of HBV-DNA levels, but there was no resistance to ETV at the end of the 48th week.

Patients were subdivided into two groups and treated as follows: ETV treatment was continued at 1 mg per day (patients who had previously received treatment were given an antiviral therapeutic dose) in 27 of the 59 patients and results collected over the 4 years were evaluated.

### Laboratory measurements

ALT was analyzed using an Olympus AU 600 autoanalyzer (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) using Olympus kits. HBsAg, anti-HBs (hepatitis B surface antibody), HBeAg, and anti-Hbe (hepatitis B ‘e’ Antigen antibody) were determined with the enzyme linked immunosorbent assay method using the Architect i1000 (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL, USA).

HBV-DNA was measured by PCR using a CobasTaqman 48 analyzer (F Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland) and the results were reported as 1 IU/mL = 5.82 copies/mL. Lower limit of quantification was 35 copies/mL and a linear range of upper detection limit was 6.4 × 10⁷ copies/mL. For results exceeding the upper detection limit, HBV-DNA levels were remeasured after a 100,000-fold dilution. Primary nonresponse was defined by serum HBV-DNA reduction of less than 1 log copies/mL at the 12th week or 2 log copies/mL at the 24th week from baseline. Partial VR (PVR) is defined as a decrease of ≥1 log IU/mL. Virologic breakthrough was defined by either an increase of serum HBV-DNA by at least 1 log copy/mL.

### Statistical analysis

Results are reported as mean ± standard deviation. Data analyses were performed using the statistical software SPSS for Windows (version 13.1; IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests were applied to all data to determine normal and nonnormal data distributions. Nonparametric tests were used to compare the data of some parameters that showed nonnormal distributions beside the sample size being low. A Wilcoxon test was performed to analyze the laboratory tests of baseline and treatment weeks. Mann–Whitney U test was used to compare the patients taking dose titration of 0.5 and 1 mg ETV. The comparison of VR levels according to weeks was analyzed by chi-square test. \( P<0.05 \) was determined as statistically significant.

### Results

Serum HBV-DNA and ALT levels of the patients at baseline and at weeks 12, 24, and 48, and at intervals of 24 after the 96 weeks of the ETV treatments (group 1 and 2), are shown in Table 1.

Antiviral-naïve patients with CHB received initial therapy as ETV 0.5 mg, 23 patients whose HBeAg were positive and
In our study, some patients had minimal increase in HBV-DNA levels (0.02±0.02 log_{10} copies/mL) at the 48th week compared to the 24th week. This was followed by an increase in ALT levels (mean increase of 5.64±10.68 U/L) at the 72nd week compared to the 48th week. Despite regular follow-up of the participants, the treatment compliance was not enough.

Discussion

In this study, HBV-DNA levels were found to be decreased (6.22±6.76 log decline) at the end of the 192nd week compared to the baseline, and long term ETV therapeutic response was found to be significant when considering the reductions, which were determined at the 12th and 24th week. When considering VR ratio as 100%, it was found to be 15.3% of PVR and 84.7% of the total HBV-DNA negativity. There were no resistance and also no HBsAg seroconversion. At the end of the first treatment period, in group 2—patients who used 1 mg daily—the reduction of HBV-DNA levels was more prominent at the end of 72nd, 96th and 192nd week compared to group 1 patients. There was also another significant reduction in favor of group 2 at the end of the 144th and 168th week. However, biochemical response of ALT was not significantly different. Patients with CHB who were nonresponsive to 0.5 mg of ETV had nearly complete VR after being given 1 mg of the drug. A broad population study conducted on CHB patients by Zoutendijk et al has reported 81% of VR at the end of 3 years of treatment. They reported that the probability to acquire a VR with ETV has not been affected by the severity of liver disease. VR obtained from ETV has been found to be correlated with lower progress of the disease (hepatocellular carcinoma, liver decompensation, death) even in patients with cirrhosis. In another study with a broader population (Virgil study), CHB patients have been reported to have 76%–96% VR after 4 years of ETV treatment of 0.5 mg daily dose.

In group 2, treated with a dose of 1 mg once a day, at the end of the 1st year, there was a significant reduction in HBV-DNA levels at the 72nd, 96th, and 192nd week compared to group 1 (P<0.01). It was also significant at the 144th and 168th week (P<0.05 level). Biochemical response of ALT levels was not significant (Table 2). HBV-DNA and ALT changes over the follow-up period are summarized in Table 2.

Table 1 The change in ALT and HBV-DNA levels in regard to treatment periods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time span</th>
<th>ALT (U/L)</th>
<th>HBV-DNA log_{10} copies/mL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>176.3±277.81</td>
<td>9.26±9.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th week</td>
<td>70.1±69.89</td>
<td>5.73±6.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24th week</td>
<td>44.5±24.68</td>
<td>4.32±4.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48th week</td>
<td>35.3±14.00</td>
<td>4.34±4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72nd week</td>
<td>41.0±16.61</td>
<td>4.17±4.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96th week</td>
<td>38.2±15.72</td>
<td>3.16±3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144th week</td>
<td>34.6±13.59</td>
<td>2.47±3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168th week</td>
<td>35.2±14.14</td>
<td>2.63±3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192nd week</td>
<td>33.3±25.65</td>
<td>3.04±2.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data presented as mean ± deviation. Wilcoxon test: *P<0.001 versus baseline value. P<0.001, P<0.05 versus 12th week, P<0.001, P<0.05 versus 24th week, P<0.001, P<0.05 versus 48th week, P<0.001, P<0.05 versus 72nd week, P<0.001, P<0.05 versus 96th week, P<0.001, P<0.05 versus 144th week, P<0.001, P<0.05 versus 192nd week.

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine transaminase; HBV, hepatitis B virus.

36 of patients with negative HBeAg were HBeAg-positive and HBeAg-negative. The mean reduction in serum HBV-DNA level at the 12th week was 3.52±3.33 log_{10} copies/mL and at the 24th week was 4.93±4.74 log_{10} copies/mL in total. The decrease in ALT and HBV-DNA levels at the 12th week was statistically significant compared to the rest of the weeks (P<0.01, see Table 1). Some patients were observed to have a minimal increase in their HBV-DNA levels at the 48th week (0.02±0.02 log_{10} copies/mL compared to the 24th week). This was followed by an increase in ALT levels (5.64±10.68 U/L at the 72nd week compared to the 48th week). At this stage, the treatment of 27 patients (45.7%) was continued with ETV 1 mg daily. Thirty-two patients (54.3%) continued to receive 0.5 mg daily. The titration of doses according to weeks is shown in Figure 1. The results of this study included the analysis of 4 years of treatment.

The mean age and weight of each of the two groups were: group 1 (n=32) 43.56±13.40 years, 75.11±8.99 kg; and group 2 (n=27) 44.56±12.98 years, 75.97±8.97 kg. There was no statistically significant difference between the values for group 1 and group 2 of baseline HBV-DNA log_{10} copies/mL (9.34±9.54 and 9.17±9.40, respectively) and ALT U/L (195.26±56.51 and 160.35±173.01, respectively).

Figure 1 Viral responses of the two groups as percentages according to weeks.

Notes: *P<0.001; P<0.05. The treatment success was 84.7% of total HBV-DNA negativity and at the end of the 192nd week it was 15.3% of PVR.

Abbreviations: ETV, Entecavir; HBV, hepatitis B virus; PVR, partial virologic response.
Table 2 Sociodemographics and laboratory measurements of the two patients groups demonstrating their ALT and HBV-DNA changes over the treatment period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time span</th>
<th>Group 1 (n=32)</th>
<th>Group 2 (n=27)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALT</td>
<td>HBV-DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>195.2±36.85</td>
<td>9.34±5.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th week</td>
<td>66.6±48.9</td>
<td>5.82±6.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24th week</td>
<td>46.3±27.1</td>
<td>4.64±5.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48th week</td>
<td>36.6±12.2</td>
<td>4.37±6.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72nd week</td>
<td>44.0±17.3</td>
<td>4.48±4.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96th week</td>
<td>38.8±14.1</td>
<td>3.38±3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144th week</td>
<td>34.5±11.9</td>
<td>2.71±3.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168th week</td>
<td>37.5±15.2</td>
<td>2.70±3.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192nd week</td>
<td>39.4±35.1</td>
<td>3.68±3.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Mann–Whitney U test: *ALT was not significant in group 1 versus group 2. HBV-DNA had: **P<0.001; †not significant in group 1 versus group 2. Wilcoxon test: *P<0.001 versus baseline value. †P<0.001, ‡P<0.05 versus 12th week, §P<0.001, ¶P<0.05 versus 24th week, ¶P<0.001, ∥P<0.05 versus 48th week, □P<0.001, *P<0.05 versus 72nd week, ′P<0.001, ″P<0.05 versus 96th week, ′′P<0.05 versus 144th week, ′′′P<0.05 versus 192nd week.

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine transaminase; HBV, hepatitis B virus.

Recurrent viremia or increased ALT were detected while treatment was continued without a break at the end of the 48th week. However, this result has not been interpreted as virological breakthrough because it was less than 1 log_{10} IU/mL. This result justified that the treatment should be continued. The treatment was continued as there was no genotypic resistance detected in the patients. After this stage, the resistance test results were found to be negative. At this stage, the treatment of 27 patients (45.7%) was continued as ETV 1 mg daily and 32 patients (54.3%) continued to receive 0.5 mg per day. In this study, we compared a group receiving 1 mg ETV and a group treated with 0.5 mg. HBV-DNA was observed to be negative in the majority of patients. There was a sustained viral suppression in the 192nd week in patients receiving ETV 1 mg daily. Our study had a longer duration of treatment (4 years) compared to previous studies which were followed at weeks 48, 96 and 144, respectively. In a previous study, 40 HBeAg-positive treatment-naïve CHB patients were treated with ETV 1.0 mg and compared with a control group receiving 0.5 mg daily during a period of 5 years. The results of the 24th and 48th week were evaluated. There were no significant differences in the proportion of patients with complete viral suppression treated with ETV 0.5 mg daily or the higher daily dose of 1.0 mg. Our study lasted for 192 weeks. At the end of this period, more patients had statistically significant virological response (VR) after receiving 1 mg of ETV.

Moreover, there was not any adverse event stemming from ETV and the applied treatment was safe for the patients. Studies have reported ETV to be a safe medication; it has not affected renal functions, and has not caused lactic acidosis. Side effects were mostly reported in patients who have severe hepatic failure with decompensated liver disease. According to the European Association for the Study of the Liver, ALT normalization ratio was 68% and anti-HBe seroconversion ratio was 21% for both ETV and tenofovir treatments. The ratio of HBsAg loss was 3% of the patients treated with tenofovir and 2% after 1 year of treatment. Anti-HBe seroconversion rates increase with continuing nucleoside analogue treatment in HBeAg-positive CHB. However, if the resistance occurs, it affects seroconversion.

At the beginning of the current study, 24 patients (40.6%) had a positive HBeAg and 22 patients (37.2%) had a positive anti-HBe. At the end of the 4 years, HBeAg positivity was determined in 12 patients (20.3%), HBeAg clearance was detected at a ratio of 50%, and anti-HBe positivity was found in 23 patients (54.2%) which was an increase of 17%. Dose modification was not found to be significant on clearances between the groups (58.2% for group 1 and 63% for group 2). HBeAg-negative CHB is the major variant of the disease worldwide. It has been revealed that VR (negativity of HBV-DNA: <60–80 IU/mL) to the ETV (0.5 mg per day) and tenofovir (245 mg per day) treatments at the end of the 12th month (48th or 52nd week) was at a ratio of 90% and 93%, respectively. Likewise, ALT normalization ratios were 78% and 76%, respectively. Previous studies have shown that a 1-year treatment period is highly likely to be accompanied with antiviral resistance due to insufficient HBV-DNA suppression. ETV resistance was found to be rare in nucleoside/nucleotide-naïve HBeAg-positive and -negative patients after 96 weeks of treatment. Additionally genotypic resistance and cumulative probability of viral breakthrough was found to be 1% and <1% after a 5-year-treatment.
period, respectively. None of the current study patients with CHB lost HBsAg (neither at the end of the 48th week nor at the 96th week). However, the negative HBV-DNA (<100 copies/mL or 17.2 IU/mL) ratio was observed to be 54.3% of PVR (a decrease of ≥1 log IU/mL) and 45.7% of VR. All patients’ ALT levels were normalized.

**Limitation of study**

The number of subjects in our study may be insufficient to represent the general population. This is only a pilot study and further studies are needed on this subject. Further and larger studies are needed with long-term follow up in terms of monitoring response for the treatment.

**Conclusion**

ETV treatment with a dose of 1 mg was more effective than 0.5 mg in reducing HBV-DNA levels without any side-effects during treatment. The success rate of the treatment increased with continuous treatment and, where necessary, with drug dose modification. The results of this study suggest ETV to have a long-term usage safety. If ETV dose needs to be increased to 1 mg, the dosage may reduce the rate of resistance to treatment.
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