Comprehensive clinical and pathological analysis of aggressive renal epithelioid angiomyolipoma: report of three cases
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Abstract: Renal angiomyolipoma (AML) is recognized as a benign hamartomatous lesion arising in the kidney with no obvious malignant potential. However, epithelioid AML (EAML), a rare variant of AML, is potentially malignant, with aggressive clinical features. It can occur in patients with or without tuberous sclerosis. Because EAML may mimic renal cell carcinoma in imaging studies, differentiation of this tumor from renal cell carcinoma preoperatively is difficult. At times, the lesions may extend into the renal vein and inferior vena cava or metastasize to other organs such as the lung and liver. To clarify the biological nature of EAML, three specific cases that we encountered in clinical practice are analyzed and reported in detail.
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Introduction

Renal angiomyolipoma (AML) is typically a solid lesion, composed of dysmorphic blood vessels, smooth muscle cells, and matured adipose tissue. AML is now deemed to belong to a family of neoplasms known as PEComas (perivascular epithelioid cell tumors). It has an incidence of about 0.3%–3.0%, with a female preponderance. It occurs sporadically or in association with tuberous sclerosis. Epithelioid AML (EAML), first described in 1997 by Eble et al.,¹ is composed of epithelioid cells, polygonal cells, and varying degrees of nuclear atypia, with little or no fat cells. This rare subtype of AML is potentially malignant and may exhibit aggressive biological behavior, including recurrence, invasion into the inferior vena cava (IVC), and metastasis. Here, we report three cases of EAML with highly aggressive clinical features. The diagnosis and treatment of these cases are described in detail and discussed further.

Case reports

Case 1

A 27-year-old man with no stigmata of tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) was referred with left flank dull pain and abdominal fullness for 5 days. Physical examination revealed a large mass in the left upper abdomen. Computed tomography (CT) scan demonstrated multiple masses in both kidneys. Of special interest was a large heterogeneous mass (15.5 cm × 13.0 cm × 10.9 cm) that occupied the upper middle portion of the left kidney (Figure 1). The average diameter was calculated by taking the average of three cases according to the longest diameter of the tumor (the third case taking the longest diameter of the primary tumor in the kidney). No metastatic disease was evident. All findings were diagnostic of bilateral renal AML, and a left nephrectomy was performed.
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revealed a large retroperitoneal mass in the left flank and multiple lesions in the liver. Chest X-ray examination showed left pleural effusion. The diagnosis was thought to be recurrent EAML with multiple hepatic metastases. We considered that the patient was not a surgical candidate because of the characteristics of the tumor and hepatic metastases. Thus, he was treated with conservative therapy. The patient’s general state of health gradually deteriorated, and he died 4 months later.

Case 2
A 41-year-old man was referred to our institution complaining of having had left abdominal fullness for 2 months. The physical examination found a large mass in the left upper abdomen and no evidence of TSC. Routine laboratory investigations were within normal limits, except that urinalysis revealed 2+ blood. CT angiography demonstrated a left renal mass (17.0 cm × 13.6 cm × 9.2 cm) with a tumor thrombus extending into the main renal vein and IVC (Figure 3A). A multifocal tumor ranging in diameter from 0.5 to 1.0 cm was also noted in the right kidney. All findings suggested the diagnosis of bilateral renal AML with the left renal vein and IVC invasion. No metastatic disease was evident. Thus, the patient underwent left radical nephrectomy and IVC thrombectomy.

Preoperative embolization of the left kidney was done, followed by subcostal transperitoneal incision and radical nephrectomy, with removal of the IVC thrombus. We fully mobilized the left kidney, and the tumor thrombus was identified in the main right renal vein and IVC. By mobilizing the liver off the IVC to the level of the main hepatic veins and using Satinsky clamps, vascular control of the IVC and right renal vein was achieved. The tumor thrombus was removed intact, and the IVC was repaired. Since the tumor thrombus did not adhere to the IVC wall, the cava wall resection was not required, and no enlarged lymph nodes were found.

Pathological analysis showed that the left renal tumor was composed predominantly (50%–60%) of epithelioid cells, with smooth muscle, blood vessels, and adipose tissue accounting for the remainder. The epithelioid cells had pleomorphic and hyperchromatic nuclei with densely eosinophilic cytoplasm (Figure 2A and B). Immunohistochemical studies showed the tumor cells to be positive for human melanosome-associated protein (HMB-45) (Figure 2C) and melanoma antigen recognized by T-cells 1 (MART1) (Figure 2D).

At 3 months postoperatively, the patient presented with fever and left flank pain. MRI (magnetic resonance imaging)
Microscopic examination showed mature fatty tissue, a few vessels, and irregular bundles of the smooth muscle cells around the blood vessels in a radial fashion; most were epithelioid cells. Tumor thrombus was seen in the renal vein and IVC (Figure 3B). Immunohistochemistry confirmed the diagnosis of EAML, with focal positivity for HMB-45 and melan-A. At present, 21 months after the operation, the patient is asymptomatic and tumor-free.

**Case 3**

A 55-year-old woman was hospitalized with left flank pain for 3 months. Sonography revealed a solid mass (7.5 cm × 7.0 cm × 6.7 cm) in the left kidney, and radical nephrectomy was performed in May 1994. The diagnosis of renal cell carcinoma (RCC) was made without immunohistochemical examination. Seven years later, CT showed a mass of 8.0 cm × 7.0 cm × 6.5 cm in the lower pole, with another tumor of 1.5 cm in the upper pole of the right lung. Lobectomy of the right lung was then performed. The morphologic features of lung lesion (Figure 4) were consistent with the features of the renal lesion (Figure 5). Both renal and pulmonary tumors were reevaluated by immunohistochemical assays. The results showed that the tumor cells of both specimens were positive for vimentin and HMB-45, but they were negative for cytokeratin and S-100 protein. The corrected diagnosis of malignant renal EAML with pulmonary metastases was made. No chemotherapy or radiotherapy was administered postoperatively. The patient died as a result of respiratory failure due to multiple pulmonary metastases about 15 years after nephrectomy. Partial information of case 3 was reported in preliminary communications.

**Discussion**

EAML belongs to a family of mesenchymal tumors showing perivascular epithelioid cell differentiation. It is a subtype of AML in which epithelioid cells are mainly involved. Classical AMLs occur predominantly in females, with a ratio of 4:1 in both sporadic and TSC cases, while the ratio of occurrence of EAML in females versus males is 6.5:1.0.2 According to Aydin et al,2 patients with EAML are younger than classical AML patients (38.6 years versus 52.3 years), and the mean size of an EAML is also larger than that of a classical AML. EAML has been associated with aggressive clinical behavior, including extension into the vena cava and right atrium, and metastasis. Approximately 50% of reported patients develop metastatic lesions, and one-third of cases die as a result of the disease.3,4 Microscopically, EAML frequently presents with necrosis, hemorrhage, nuclear atypia, and mitotic activity and is thought to be a potentially malignant tumor.5-7 There are no histological criteria for malignant EAML, and different parameters have been proposed to predict malignancy in EAML. Large tumor size, necrosis, frequent mitoses, and atypical mitotic figures could be convincing criteria for malignancy.9 However, some investigators have suggested that only distant metastases can be accepted as a definite sign of malignancy.5,10 According to scattered reports, the disease-specific survival for malignant EAML varies greatly (from 3 months to 9 years).3,4,9,10 The criteria for malignancy are still being developed. However, even without clinical and histopathological signs of malignancy, there are a few patients with EAML who exhibit highly aggressive biologic characteristics. EAML extending into the renal vein and IVC has been frequently reported.5,11,12 Yamamoto et al10 reported...
one patient with EAML who died 3 months after presentation due to widespread metastasis. However, that patient had multiple liver metastases when they made the original clinical diagnosis. In case one, there were no signs of metastases before surgery, yet the patient died 4 months after the surgery due to metastases. Therefore, we believe that this patient may had the most invasive EAML among reported cases.

There are no specific features of EAML in terms of clinical manifestations and imaging modalities. According to its high cellular content and lack of fat, it may appear as a hyperattenuating mass, with variable enhancement on CT. Although the imaging features of classical AML have been well described in radiology literature, the diagnosis of EAML is sometimes difficult because renal EAML can have a range of imaging appearances and can be indistinguishable from high-grade or sarcomatous RCC and AML with minimal fat. Park et al.13 suggested that the diagnosis of EAML should be considered in patients diagnosed with conventional RCC with predominantly sarcomatoid features, especially when patients have clinical stigmata of TSC. Tsukada et al.14 concluded that the radiological appearance of most EAML has a tendency to be hyperattenuating on unenhanced CT images, with low intensities on T2-weighted images on magnetic resonance imaging. They can be heterogeneously solid, homogeneously solid, or a multilocular cystic lesion with massive hemorrhage. EAML should also be included in the differential diagnosis of tumors with spontaneous perirenal hematoma. The average size of all eight EAMLs in Tsukada et al.’s study was 7.4 cm. The larger size of EAML might be related to their malignant potential. In our three cases, the average diameter was 13.3 cm. With all the criteria, there still exists a surprisingly high chance that EAML has been misinterpreted as a carcinoma. Pea et al.15 reassessed the histology of five tumors previously diagnosed as RCC and found that only one of them was confirmed to be a true RCC on review. Three of them showed a phenotype compatible with EAML, and two of these patients subsequently died from metastatic disease. The third patient in our series is a typical example of first-time misdiagnosis. Therefore, the immunohistochemical studies are useful because EAML cells are usually positive for melanogenesis markers such as HMB-45 and melan-A, but negative for cytokeratins and RCC marker.

EAML is thought to be a unique variant of AML mainly because of its potential malignance. There is no definite pathologic feature that can predict the risk of its clinically aggressive behavior. Brimo et al.16 developed a predictive model of four atypical features to predict the increased risk of malignance; while Nese et al.17 proposed five features to predict the malignant behavior of EAML. Table 1 shows the comparison of Brimo et al’s model and Nese et al’s model to predict the malignancy of EAML. Two key features, renal vein involvement and necrosis, were shared in these two predictive models.

Definite diagnosis and tumor resection at an early stage could be important in the management of patients with EAML. The management of EAML depends on the size of the tumor, the radiological features, and the histological appearance. As the tumor mimics RCC on imaging studies, most patients with EAML are treated with surgical excision. Actually, surgical excision is the gold standard treatment for EAML due to its malignant potential. Partial nephrectomy should be reserved for tumors ≤4 cm in size. For tumors >4 cm, radical nephrectomy should be performed. However, in our first case, tumors relapsed dramatically shortly after the surgery, despite extensive excision, and the patient died 7 months after presentation due to widespread metastasis. Similar cases have been reported by other authors,10,13 showing the highly aggressive nature of malignant EAML. Therefore, a close follow-up is necessary once the diagnosis of EAML is confirmed.

Besides surgery, EAMLs are considered chemosensitive. EAML has been reported to respond to doxorubicin.1 In other reports, systemic treatments have included dacarbazine, ifosfamide, cyclophosphamide, and cisplatin.13 Furthermore, the mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway was recently found to be activated in EAML, and use of an mTOR inhibitor such as everolimus has been reported to result in dramatic tumor response for malignant EAML.17

In conclusion, EAML is capable of aggressive clinical behavior and should therefore be regarded clinically closer to malignant kidney tumors than to typical AMLs in terms of clinical behavior and should therefore be regarded clinically closer to malignant kidney tumors than to typical AMLs in terms.
of behavior and treatment. Histologically, it may resemble conventional RCC and can be distinguished by staining for HMB-45. Due to the rarity of these neoplasms, definite criteria of malignancy have not yet been established. As a treatment strategy for EAML, surgical resection is justified because of its malignant potential. Since some cases exhibit highly aggressive biologic characteristics, a close follow-up would be beneficial after surgery.
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