Nonepileptic seizures under levetiracetam therapy: a case report of forced normalization process
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Abstract: Nonepileptic seizures (NES) apparently look like epileptic seizures, but are not associated with ictal electrical discharges in the brain. NES constitute one of the most important differential diagnoses of epilepsy. They have been recognized as a distinctive clinical phenomenon for centuries, and video/electroencephalogram monitoring has allowed clinicians to make near-certain diagnoses. NES are supposedly unrelated to organic brain lesions, and despite the preponderance of a psychiatric/psychological context, they may have an iatrogenic origin. We report a patient with NES precipitated by levetiracetam therapy; in this case, NES was observed during the disappearance of epileptiform discharges from the routine video/electroencephalogram. We discuss the possible mechanisms underlying NES with regard to alternative psychoses associated with the phenomenon of the forced normalization process.

Keywords: nonepileptic seizures, forced normalization, levetiracetam, behavioral side effects

Introduction

Nonepileptic seizures (NES), also known as pseudoseizures or hysterical fits,1 are included in epileptic psychotic manifestations. NES are paroxysmal, time-limited episodes of abnormal behavior or obtunded consciousness, movements, sensations, and psychic states that may be mistaken for epilepsy, but are not accompanied by electroencephalogram (EEG) changes. In accordance with O’Hanlon et al,2 we prefer the acronym NES to the term pseudoseizures. NES are a common clinical problem for family physicians, internists, psychiatrists, neurologists, and neurosurgeons. This type of behavioral disturbance has a poorly defined epidemiology, reflecting difficulties in establishing its prevalence and incidence. Various estimates suggest that 5%–25%3 of patients being evaluated for epileptic seizures actually have NES, and thus NES are a significant health care problem.4 Although concepts concerning the etiology of NES are still in evolution, psychological explanations currently predominate: NES are considered a learned pattern of behavior due to environmental stressors.5 Improved diagnostic capabilities (especially video EEG) have shown that NES are more common than once believed,6 and are not exclusively associated with temporal lobe epilepsy.7,8 Patients with NES are often misdiagnosed as suffering from intractable epilepsy, and are thus potentially exposed to unnecessary anticonvulsant medications and other iatrogenic consequences.6

Although there is variability in the data, there is a general consensus that psychiatric disorders are more prevalent in patients with epilepsy than in the general population.7 Psychosis encompasses a broad and subtle mental condition. Common features include...
impaired content and coherence of thought, reduced connection to reality, hallucinations, delusions, disorganized speech and behavior, and extremes of affect and motivation. The detection of psychosis can be difficult, as many patients actively hide their aberrant behavior and delusional beliefs, and others are quietly psychotic, showing only quirky mannerisms. As in other chronic disorders, the prevalence rates of psychiatric symptoms in epilepsy vary widely among the different studies published in the literature, with higher prevalence among patients with poorly controlled seizures. Psychoses can occur during seizure freedom and during or after epileptic seizures. Epileptic psychoses include also the phenomenon of the forced normalization process (FN) and de novo psychosis following epilepsy surgery. FN is characterized by a subacute/acute onset of psychosis associated with dramatic reduction of epileptiform activity.

Neurologists and psychiatrists have debated the existence of the phenomenon of forced normalization since its description by Landolt in 1953, who introduced the concept with two cases who both developed personality and mood changes in association with normalization of their EEGs. Landolt defined FN as “the phenomenon characterized by the fact that, with the occurrence of psychotic states, the EEG becomes more normal or entirely normal, as compared with previous and subsequent EEG findings”. The literature in the nineteenth century reveals a growing interest in this relationship, particularly in France and Germany, and there are clear descriptions of specific psychopathological states and epilepsy. At this time, such terms as epileptic equivalents, larval epilepsy, and transformed epilepsy seem to have crept into the literature, and generally indicate an alteration in the seizure status and/or the development of a behavioral disorder.

The emergence of new anticonvulsant drugs in the past decade and the increased reporting of behavioral disturbances with several of these drugs, associated with an improvement in seizure status, however, have brought FN again into the focus of scientific attention and curiosity. Patients taking ethosuximide, vigabatrin, levetiracetam (LEV), and topiramate (TPM) with multiple daily seizures (mostly if focal and originating from the limbic lobe), sleep disturbances, and previous psychiatric disorders seem to be more vulnerable. FN has only been rarely reported in children and adolescents.

We describe for the first time NES as expression of the FN process based on Krishnamoorthy and Trimble criteria (Table 1). The patient was taking TPM and LEV. NES after LEV administration has also been reported in a previous study.

### Table 1 Primary and supportive diagnostic criteria for FN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Primary criteria</strong></th>
<th><strong>Supportive criteria</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Diagnosis of epilepsy supported by clinical history, EEG, and neuroimaging</td>
<td>1. Recent change (within 15 days) of the drug regimen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Occurrence of acute/subacute behavioral disturbances</td>
<td>2. Similar behavioral disturbances in the past corroborated by a relative, carer, or general practitioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A. Reduction in the total number of epileptiform abnormalities counted in a 60-min awake EEG recording by over 50% compared to a similar recording performed during a normal state of behavior</td>
<td><strong>Note:</strong> To make the diagnosis of FN, it is necessary to identify primary criteria 1, 2, and 3A or primary criteria 1, 2, and 3B and one supportive criterion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B. Absence of seizures for at least one week corroborated by a relative or carer</td>
<td><strong>Abbreviations:</strong> EEG, electroencephalography; FN, forced normalization.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Case report
A 53-year-old right-handed woman suffered from focal epilepsy, with seizures characterized by abrupt loss of consciousness and tonic and clonic phases. Her clinical history was significant for a family history of febrile seizures, a poor therapeutic compliance associated with recurrences of epileptic seizures, a diagnosis of fibromyalgia, and a recent reactive depression with psychosomatic symptoms and insomnia; the Hamilton rating scale assessed a score of moderate depression. She denied previous pseudoseizures, but her relatives referred to behavioral disturbances in the past (she presented anxiety and irritability often in seizure-free periods). Magnetic resonance imaging findings were normal. Interictal EEG abnormalities in left frontocentrotemporal channels were characterized by repetitive nonperiodic sharp waves at 100 mV with reversal phase on F7 and sporadic anterio synchronous and asynchronous theta activity (6–7 Hz, 50–60 mV). Due to thrombocytopenia, previous treatment with valproic acid was progressively reduced with recurrences of seizures. Considering the obesity of the patient, TPM was titrated up to a total dose of 300 mg/day. Good seizure control was obtained, but 3 months later the patient was admitted to our neurology clinic, due to abnormal behavior and confusion; she presented with spatial/temporal disorientation, she aimlessly moved her hands, and she was slowly turning her head right and left. She presented with postictal aphasia. Video EEG monitoring revealed incoming seizures with a left frontotemporal focus (Figure 1A; Supplementary video). She received 10 mg of diazepam intravenously. The background EEG improved, showing generalized theta activities at a frequency of 6–7 Hz. Subsequently, her therapy was changed: LEV was added and titrated rapidly until 1,000 mg...
twice daily. After 10 days, new episodes occurred: the patient appeared confused, she did not want to get out of bed, and when she answered our questions appropriately, she lamented about abdominal pain and asthenia. In addition, she had brief and repetitive tonic jerks of the trunk unaccompanied by loss of consciousness. During these episodes, which lasted about 50 seconds, her eyes were closed. Then she appeared drowsy for 10–15 minutes and could not recall these events. Immediately, EEG monitoring (Figure 1B) was performed, and it revealed no ictal abnormalities during these episodes, but only sporadic interictal sharp waves noted at baseline, supporting a diagnosis of NES. We observed a reduction of the epileptic activity in more than 50% of the waking EEG recording. The reduction of TPM to 100 mg twice daily, the withdrawal of LEV and the introduction of lacosamide titrated to a dose of 300 mg/day led to immediate resolution of NES. During follow-up examinations, neither seizures nor NES were reported anymore by patients and relatives.

**Discussion**

**Nonepileptic seizures**

NES are classified by the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (fourth edition) as a somatoform disorder. The condition is poorly understood, and is under-recognized by clinicians. The estimated incidence in an epilepsy outpatient clinic is 5%–25%. The correct diagnosis of NES, further complicated by the frequent coexistence of epilepsy, can be difficult if simply based on clinical criteria; a video EEG is always necessary. Several studies have described various semiological features of NES. Physical symptoms are suspected to result from psychosocial stress, and are only rarely intentional, as in malingering. NES are often suspected in patients with a history of somatization, abuse, or psychiatric comorbidity, or when the following clinical signs are identified: long duration, fluctuating course, asynchronous movements, pelvic thrusting, side-to-side head or body movement, ictal crying, memory recall, closed eyes,
who assumed that during “paradoxical normalization”, a most interesting hypotheses have been discussed by Wolf, disorder. The pathogenesis of this condition is debated. The evolutions where the disappearance of epileptiform discharges from medication, with EEG improvement an epiphenomenon. The expression. Since FN often occurs after an effective antiepileptic therapy to prove the disappearance of NES concomitant with the reappearance of the epileptic activity. Fast titration. Probably, lacosamide therapy controlled the reappearance of the epileptic activity. More recently, Bobb analyzed the FN concept, hypothesizing that dissociative and somatoform symptoms mainly occur as a consequence of traumatic events. Even though FN has been recognized for a long time, the majority of published papers are case reports, probably as a result of the lack of validated diagnostic criteria. Only Krishnamoorthy and Trimble have proposed primary and supportive diagnostic criteria (Table 1), which have been revisited more recently. Ideally, such criteria should be tested, suitably modified if required, and adopted by scientific organizations that promote epilepsy research. Uniform diagnostic criteria such as these are the first step in systematic research. Our patient fulfills all the primary and supportive criteria; therefore, she was more susceptible to the occurrence of FN, regardless of other provoking factors. Although a past history of psychosomatic symptoms and moderate depression are significant risk factors for NES, we showed the occurrence of behavioral abnormalities when a reduction of epileptic activity was observed in more than 50% of the waking EEG recording (compared to a similar recording performed during a normal state of behavior over 60 minutes) in a patient with an established diagnosis of epilepsy, with subacute onset of behavioral disturbance and a report of complete absence of seizures for at least 1 week (Table 1, Primary criteria). Furthermore the drug regimen was recently changed and parents referred to behavioral disturbances in the past (Table 1, Supportive criteria). Therefore, for the first time we have described and documented NES during a forced normalization process, pointing out that NES can be one of the multiple expressions of psychosis in FN. We obtained a resolution of the NES after LEV discontinuation, TPM reduction, and lacosamide introduction. For ethical reasons, we could not remove drug therapy to prove the disappearance of NES concomitant with the reappearance of the epileptic activity.

**Conclusion**

We hypothesized that in our case, FN was induced by LEV fast titration. Probably, lacosamide therapy controlled seizures without inducing FN, due to its different mechanism of action, although the impact of drug type and its mechanism of action is unclear. It is known that alterations in the balance of glutaminergic, dopaminergic, and GABA activity may cause seizures and behavioral disorder and can also play a role in the development of FN. The emergence of new anticonvulsant drugs in the past decade and the increased reporting of behavioral disturbances with several...
of these drugs, associated with an improvement in seizure status, underlines the complexity of interaction between several potential pathogenic factors as the possible cause of FN. It is of interest that Landolt himself commented on the increase in the number of cases of FN with the introduction of succinimide drugs. Other researchers also observed this with barbiturates and hydantoins. No data have reported behavioral side effects or FN cases with lacosamide, whereas both LEV and TPM might have induced NES: the patient had already been taking a stable dose of TPM for 3 months; therefore, it is likely that the psychotic episode could be ascribed to LEV introduction. In fact, during add-on therapy, we obtained EEG normalization. An interesting trial with LEV and TPM showed that some patients are prone to develop psychiatric drug-induced adverse events, regardless of pharmacological properties, and that an early limbic injury predisposes people to this type of psychiatric vulnerability. In our opinion, sudden seizure control obtained with fast titration and drug mechanism of action are in some selective cases the relevant factors for the development of psychosis in the FN process, while the association with a specific epilepsy syndrome may represent a bias connected to the probability of achieving complete seizure suppression: patients with symptomatic or multifocal epilepsy are less likely to be seizure-free than patients with idiopathic generalized epilepsy, which is usually associated with a better prognosis. Systematic research that identifies patients who meet Trimble’s criteria in hospital-, institutional-, and community-based population groups, grafted onto modern techniques in molecular genetics and functional imaging, may well be the way forward in understanding this fascinating condition. Effective research in this area requires a combined attempt to establish international protocols and databases, while respecting patient privacy and rights.
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