Aortic stiffness: pathophysiology, clinical implications, and approach to treatment
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Abstract: Aortic stiffness is a hallmark of aging, and classic cardiovascular risk factors play a role in accelerating this process. Current changes in medicine, which focus on preventive care, have led to a growing interest in noninvasive evaluation of aortic stiffness. Aortic stiffness has emerged as a good tool for further risk stratification because it has been linked to increased risk of atherosclerotic heart disease, myocardial infarction, heart failure, and stroke. This has led to the invention and validation of multiple methods to measure aortic stiffness. Pulse wave velocity is emerging as the gold standard for evaluation of aortic stiffness. This review focuses on the pathophysiology involved in aortic stiffness, methods available for evaluation of aortic stiffness, the importance of central pressure as a predictor of future cardiovascular events, and therapies that affect aortic stiffness.
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Introduction
Arterial stiffening is a hallmark of the aging process and atherosclerosis, with a reduction in normal aortic compliance. The aorta serves as a conduit for delivery of an adequate blood supply to peripheral tissues, while dampening sudden oscillations in blood pressure produced by intermittent ventricular ejections. During youth, most large arteries are very compliant and elastic, but stiffen as we age. Aortic stiffness is described as elastic resistance to deformation, and is affected by complex interactions between vascular smooth muscle cells and the extracellular matrix containing elastin, collagen, and fibrillin fibers. Historically, three individuals are credited with describing aortic stiffness, ie, Thomas Young in his Croonian Lecture of 1908, and Adriaan Isebree Moens and Diederik Korteweg for the Moens–Korteweg equation.

Pathophysiology
The aorta not only serves as a conduit during systole but also acts as a reservoir for blood. Its elastic properties allow the aorta to store half of the cardiac ejected blood volume per beat. Aortic recoil during diastole pushes the remaining stored volume forward into the peripheral circulation. This phenomenon is known as the Windkessel function, which is the oldest model described for the arterial system (Figure 1). In normal subjects, left ventricular ejection causes a pressure pulse with a relatively slow pulse wave velocity at 5–7 m/s. This pulse wave during diastole gets reflected at different points located mainly in distal arteries at the branching origin of arterioles. This reflected wave interacts with the incident waveform during early diastole and produces a dicrotic notch. The final shape and waveform of aortic blood pressure is
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How to measure aortic stiffness and central blood pressure

The pulse waveform at any point in the vascular tree is determined by the amplitude and duration of left ventricular ejection and the amplitude and velocity of the reflected wave. The velocity of the antecedent wave increases as stiffness of the vascular bed increases. The principle of applanation tonometry is utilized by several commercially available devices, e.g., SphygmoCor (AtCor Medical Inc., Itasca, IL, USA), to generate a peripheral pulse waveform.\(^4\) Pulse wave velocity is calculated by measuring the transit time of the pulse waveform at two sites along the vasculature, thus providing a regional assessment of aortic stiffness. As shown in Figure 4, pulse wave velocity is calculated by dividing the distance (measured manually with a tape measure between the two recording sites; carotid artery and radial artery in this example) by the transit time (measured between the feet of the pressure waveforms at these sites). This is the current gold standard for measurement of aortic stiffness. Pulse wave velocities gradually increase in the peripheral arteries, and are estimated to be 4 m/s in the ascending aorta, 5 m/s in the abdominal aorta, 7 m/s in the brachial arteries, and 8 m/s in the iliac arteries.\(^7\)

In 1993, Karamanoglu et al\(^8\) performed an invasive assessment of 14 patients and confirmed the accuracy of a mathematically formulated generalized transfer function.
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**Figure 3** The aorta contains a high proportion of elastin fibers that allows systolic distension. During the diastolic phase, blood is advanced forward due to elastic recoil. Distal arteries have more collagen and less elastin, so are less compliant. Distally, there is a progressive reduction of pulsatility through the arterial tree. Aortic stiffness occurs when the elastic fibers within the arterial wall (elastin) begin to fray due to mechanical stress. This is seen with increasing cardiovascular risk factors and aging.

**Figure 4** PWV measurement by pressure tonometry in a normal subject.

*Abbreviations*: CV, cardiovascular; PWV, pulse wave velocity; ECG, electrocardiogram; CAR, carotid; RAD, radial; MeanT, mean time; SD, standard deviation; HR, heart rate; bpm, beats per minute; PTT, pulse transit time.

that was devised to determine central blood pressure from easily obtainable peripheral pressure. The accuracy of this mathematical calculation has now been validated in several studies; most recently, Laugesen et al reported on the accuracy of this estimation in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Pulse wave Doppler ultrasound can also be used to measure pulse wave velocity. This technique uses the same principle of transit time as measured by the time delay in the onset of the pulse wave at two points in the vascular tree from the QRS complex. This method has been validated and is closely correlated with the results obtained by applanation tonometry.

**Clinical importance of aortic stiffness**

With the current changes in medical practice, which focus on preventive care, there has been a growing interest in noninvasive methods for evaluation of aortic stiffness to help identify individuals at higher risk of future cardiovascular events. Aortic stiffness is an independent predictor of vascular morbidity and mortality (Figure 5), as evidenced by studies performed in patients with hypertension, diabetes mellitus, end-stage renal disease, and age > 70 years. More recently, central blood pressure has been used to predict long-term cardiovascular outcomes, and values > 130/90 mmHg were
diagnostic for hypertension and predicted a worse prognosis.\textsuperscript{18}

The traditional risk factors associated with atherosclerosis have also been associated with early arterial stiffening; among them are smoking, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and hypercholesterolemia.\textsuperscript{19} Fukuda et al assessed aortic stiffness, as measured by brachial ankle pulse wave velocity, in 192 patients who underwent coronary angiography. Aortic stiffness was not only correlated with a higher incidence of coronary artery disease but also had a negative correlation with coronary flow reserve.\textsuperscript{20}

It has been recognized since the 1980s that normal brachial cuff arterial pressure does not correlate with left ventricular hypertrophy as determined by echocardiography.\textsuperscript{21}\n
Further, the CAFE (Conduit Artery Function Evaluation) trial, a substudy of ASCOT (Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcome Trial), showed that even when target brachial pressure was achieved using different therapeutic regimens, there were differences in central blood pressure between the regimens that translated into different clinical outcomes in the treatment groups.\textsuperscript{22}\n
The Strong Heart Study investigators noticed that pulse pressure was more closely related to vascular hypertrophy and extent of atherosclerosis than was systolic pressure. Central pulse pressure had a stronger relationship with subclinical manifestations of cardiovascular disease (arteriosclerotic heart disease, myocardial infarction, stroke, heart failure, and sudden cardiac death) than brachial pulse pressure.\textsuperscript{23}\n
In this study, men and women with a central pulse pressure $\geq 50$ mmHg had a two-fold increased risk of future cardiovascular events even when corrected for other cardiovascular risk factors. The predictive value of central pulse pressure remained true even in individuals over the age of 60 years.\textsuperscript{24}\n
In addition, a stronger association between aortic stiffness and future cardiovascular events was found in individuals with hypertension in a substudy of ASCOT. This substudy identified that wave reflection index, even when adjusted for age, sex, heart rate, brain natriuretic protein level, fasting glucose, antihypertensive regimen, and carotid intima-media thickness, remained a predictor of future cardiovascular events ($P=0.003$).\textsuperscript{24}\n
A study by Pereira et al showed that, independent of hypertension and other risk factors for arteriosclerotic heart disease, individuals with aortic stiffness had a 1.39 (95% confidence interval 1.08–1.72; $P=0.02$) increase in relative risk of fatal stroke.\textsuperscript{25}\n
Other studies have shown an association between increased aortic stiffness and microvascular cerebral disease, including lacunar infarcts, in individuals with hypertension.\textsuperscript{26}\n
It has been hypothesized that nonenzymatic glycation of the arterial wall, among other factors, results in increased aortic stiffness in patients with type 2 diabetes.\textsuperscript{27}\n
\textbf{Figure 5} Meta-analysis of 17 longitudinal studies that evaluated aortic pulse wave velocity and followed up 15,877 subjects for a mean of 7.7 years.\textsuperscript{13}\n
\textbf{Note:} Data from Vlachopoulos et al.\textsuperscript{13}\n
\textbf{Abbreviations:} CV, cardiovascular; PWV, pulse wave velocity; RR, relative risk; CI, confidence interval.
cause nonenzymatic glycosylation of several proteins, including collagen and elastin. Insulin resistance and high insulin concentrations, elevated triglycerides, and low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol play an important role in diabetes-related vascular stiffness. Even after adjustment for potential cardiovascular risk factors, including microvascular and macrovascular complications, ambulatory blood pressure, and metabolic control, carotid–femoral pulse wave velocity was predictive of cardiovascular events, including myocardial infarction, cardiac death, stroke, peripheral vascular disease, and heart failure. Mansour et al showed that one standard deviation in pulse wave velocity was associated with a 47% increase in cardiovascular events in type 2 diabetics. Pulse wave velocity was a stronger predictor of cardiovascular events than age, systolic blood pressure, sedentary lifestyle, and waist circumference. Since the 1980s, there have been multiple models created to measure aortic stiffness by noninvasive methods. Because of the differences in techniques used, the evidence has become somewhat confusing and contradictory, but in general, pulse wave velocity has been shown to be an independent risk factor for future cardiovascular events, mortality, and stroke. Past studies have shown that pulse wave velocity could be additive to the Framingham risk score for assessment of cardiovascular risk in individuals with diabetes and hypertension. A large prospective trial should be performed to determine if this tool can be used to risk stratify individuals better and if therapy aiming to change the parameters of pulse wave velocity can decrease future cardiovascular events.

**Treatment of aortic stiffness as it relates to hypertension**

The old adage in medicine is that prevention is better than cure. Any treatment for hypertension, particularly in the young, should address concerns regarding subsequent aortic stiffness, systolic hypertension, and the difficulty in controlling systolic blood pressure as the patient ages. Several studies have evaluated commonly used antihypertensives and their effects on aortic stiffness. The CAFE trial demonstrated that not all antihypertensive agents have similar effects with regard to lowering central blood pressure. In that study, perindopril and amlodipine were found to be superior in lowering central blood pressure and pulse pressure than the combination of atenolol and bendroflumethiazide, even though the brachial pressure reduction was similar in both groups. It is hypothesized that it was this difference that led to better outcomes in the former group in the CAFÉ study. In REASON (Preterax in Regression of Arterial Stiffness in a Controlled Double-Blind Study), a very low-dose combination of indapamide (0.625 mg) and perindopril (2 mg) were compared against atenolol (50 mg). Reduction in central blood pressure over the ensuing 12 months was significantly greater on angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/diuretic therapy as compared with beta-blocker therapy. Further, a subset analysis of this study concluded that patients with elevated central blood pressure had a higher left ventricular mass index. Another study known as EXPLOR (Amlodipine/Valsartan on Central Aortic Blood Pressure in Uncontrolled Essential Hypertension With Amlodipine 5 mg) compared amlodipine/valsartan therapy against amlodipine/atenolol, and concluded that the former combination achieved a significantly greater reduction in augmentation index and central blood pressure. The ATLAAST (Aliskiren/hydrochlorothiazide and amlodipine monotherapy in African American patients with stage 2 hypertension) trial compared the efficacy of aliskiren/hydrochlorothiazide therapy in an African American population diagnosed with stage II hypertension and concluded that renin inhibitor/diuretic-based therapy achieved a substantial reduction in central blood pressure as compared with amlodipine monotherapy. Another meta-analysis by Ong et al included 15 randomized, double-blind, parallel-group trials performed over 7 years and showed that angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors were superior to calcium channel blockers and placebo in reducing aortic stiffness. Nonetheless, nonpharmacologic therapies, eg, aerobic exercise training and treatment with continuous positive airways pressure for sleep apnea, have been shown to reduce central blood pressure as well.

**Conclusion**

Aortic stiffness and central blood pressure are strong predictors of adverse cardiovascular outcomes. Therapies targeting reduction in central blood pressure rather than peripheral blood pressure should be instituted in high-risk patients.
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