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Background: The purpose of this study was to evaluate the pattern of bone loss and its consequences in a group of spinal cord injury (SCI) patients managed at the Spinal Unit-Royal Rehabilitation Centre, King Hussein Medical Centre, and its correlation with level and extent of injury, age, and time since injury.

Methods: Bone mineral density (BMD) was measured by dual energy x-ray absorptiometry at the lumbar spine and femoral neck in a group of patients who had suffered an SCI a mean of 8.6 (range 1–31) years earlier and had completed their medical and rehabilitation program during the period July 2003 to December 2013. The patients were diagnosed to have osteoporosis according to World Health Organization criteria and their fracture risk was estimated from this score using published data. The severity of their spinal injuries ranged from class A to D according to American Spinal Injury Association criteria.

Results: Of the 55 patients included in the study, 45 were male and ten were female, with a male to female ratio of 4.5:1. Their mean age was 39.5 (range 13–61) years. Bone loss indicated by low BMD revealed that the femoral region was predominantly affected, with relative preservation of the lumbar spine. Abnormal BMD values were detected in 83.6% of subjects, and fractures occurred in 16.4% following minor trauma. A positive correlation was noted between time since injury and degree of osteoporosis. Individuals with complete lesions showed lower BMD values than those with incomplete lesions. No significant correlation was found with age or sex.

Conclusion: SCI patients are at high risk of developing osteoporosis, which can lead to significant morbidity, particularly lower extremity fractures without significant trauma. Prevention and early treatment of bone loss are important in this patient group to avoid further functional impairment.
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Introduction

Spinal cord injury (SCI) is a multisystem injury with life-threatening complications. Bone loss as a consequence of this injury has been of secondary concern in the literature, despite its serious consequences for affected persons. Osteoporosis is a condition characterized by low bone mass and deterioration of the skeletal microarchitecture, and is a well known complication of SCI.

The mechanism of bone loss in SCI is not completely understood; however, a significant amount of bone loss occurs during the first 4–6 months after injury and stabilizes between months 12 and 16. Bone demineralization reaches almost 50% by the end of the first year following SCI. However, bone mineral loss continues...
Complications arising from fractures and their treatment can lead to long-term hospitalization, increased costs, and further disability. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the extent of bone loss in SCI patients treated at our spinal unit and to correlate this to the level, severity of injury, age, and time since injury.

Materials and methods

The subjects were recruited from inpatients and outpatients managed in the Spinal Unit-Royal Rehabilitation Centre at King Hussein Medical Centre during the period July 2003 to December 2013. The current study is an extension of a previous brief one that included only 30 patients and was reported by the same authors.\textsuperscript{10} We decided to extend the study period in order to recruit more patients for a longer follow-up period, and to achieve more meaningful results.

All participants were medically stable upon entry into the study and gave their informed consent. We included patients with SCI at various levels (C4–L3) for more than one year and with class A, B, C, or D injuries as defined by the American Spinal Injury Association Impairment Scale\textsuperscript{11} (Figures 1 and 2). Bone mineral density (BMD) was measured in all patients by dual energy x-ray absorptiometry at the lumbar spine and femoral region using a Hologic-Delphi\textsuperscript{TM} QDR series machine (Hologic Inc., Bedford, MA, USA).

Patients were classified according to World Health Organization criteria into three BMD groups, ie, normal, osteopenic, and osteoporotic. These groups were compared using simple descriptive statistics (ie, mean, percentage, standard deviation). Calculation of fracture risk was based on data published in international studies.\textsuperscript{4,12} Fifty-five patients met our inclusion criteria (Table 1). All patients underwent an initial clinical evaluation by direct patient and chart review.

Results

The study group included 45 males and 10 females, with a male to female ratio of 4.5:1 (Figure 3). Their mean age was 39.5 (range 13–61) years, and the mean time since injury was 8.6 (range 1–31) years. Forty-six patients (83.6%) were found to have abnormal BMD. According to World Health Organization criteria for osteoporosis, 22 subjects (40%) were osteoporotic, 24 (43.6%) were osteopenic, and nine (16.4%) had normal BMD. Individuals with complete lesions had lower BMD than those with incomplete lesions, suggesting an inverse correlation between BMD and severity of SCI (Table 2). Bone...
loss indicated by low BMD showed a regional pattern, affecting the femoral region more than the lumbar spine. No significant correlation was found with regard to sex in this study. A positive correlation was noted between time since injury and degree of osteoporosis (Table 3). Fractures post SCI occurred in nine patients (16.4%), the majority of these (80%) involving the lower limbs without significant trauma.

### Discussion

SCI leads to devastating neurologic impairment, and also triggers rapid and sustained bone loss. Further, progress in the management of SCI has prolonged patient survival, so the incidence of secondary bone and joint disorders has also increased. The advent of bone densitometry has enabled measurement of bone mass and quantification of fracture risk before a fracture occurs. Szollar et al reported that bone loss was detectable by densitometry in all age groups by 12 months post SCI.

Osteoporosis following SCI needs careful consideration in affected individuals, and special attention is necessary early in the course of their management. Ideally, intervention should be provided early as a large portion of bone loss occurs within the first 6 months after SCI, stabilizing at values of 60%–70% of normal in the femoral neck and 40%–50% in the proximal tibia by 12–24 months.

It is known that fractures of the lower extremities following minor trauma are more prevalent in SCI patients than in the general population, i.e., 2% versus 1% per year, respectively. Fractures frequently occur during falls from a wheelchair, with minimal force during transfers, or while exercising. When fractures occur in individuals with SCI, they often go unnoticed and are diagnosed late. Our study documented loss of bone mass in 83.6% of individuals with SCI, with osteoporosis found in 40% of cases. Patients with osteoporosis had predominantly complete lesions and the time since injury was longer. This is consistent with previous findings that hip BMD declines with increasing age and time since SCI. No significant correlation was found with regard to sex, probably because the majority of the patients were male and relatively young (median age 39.5 years).

The frequency of fracture in this group of SCI patients was 16.4%, which is higher than the 1%–6% fracture rate reported in the literature, with a study by Lazo et al reporting an even higher (34%) incidence of fracture.

In the first year after SCI, 15%–35% of BMD is lost from the three sites at greatest risk for fracture, i.e., the distal femur, proximal tibia, and distal tibia. In our study, the regional pattern of bone loss as indicated by low BMD indicated that the femoral region was the worst affected, while the lumbar spine was better preserved; this is in agreement with other studies and could be due to the weight-bearing role of and impact through the lumbar spine during wheelchair activities and regular standing exercises.

### Table 1 Causes of spinal cord injury in patients followed at King Hussein Medical Centre from July 2003 to December 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traumatic</th>
<th>Nontraumatic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n=40</td>
<td>n=15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72.7%</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 BMD in patients with spinal cord injury followed at King Hussein Medical Centre from July 2003 to December 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMD classification</th>
<th>Patients (n)</th>
<th>T score (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Z score (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Mean BMD, g/cm²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Osteoporotic</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-3.08±0.354</td>
<td>-2.93±0.428</td>
<td>0.564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteopenic</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-1.53±0.358</td>
<td>-2.84±0.399</td>
<td>0.784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-1.0±0.696</td>
<td>0.41±0.858</td>
<td>0.936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>-2.68</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>0.618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-1.85</td>
<td>0.428</td>
<td>0.756</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Abbreviations:

BMD, bone mineral density; SD, standard deviation.

### Table 3 Distribution of BMD according to duration of SCI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years since SCI</th>
<th>Patients (n)</th>
<th>Mean BMD, g/cm²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.594</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion
SCI patients are at high risk of osteoporosis that can be complicated by fracture, cause significant morbidity, and disable the patient further, so prevention and treatment of osteoporosis is an important measure to avoid additional functional impairment. BMD measurements can be used for diagnosis and assessment of fracture risk in SCI patients.
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