Choroidal neovascularization after intraocular foreign body
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Abstract: We report an unusual case of choroidal neovascularization secondary to intraocular foreign body (IOFB) penetrating trauma. A 44-year-old man was referred to our department for IOFB trauma in the right eye. Vitrectomy and IOFB extraction was performed with good visual results. However, 2 months after surgery, he returned complaining of a drop in visual acuity. Choroidal neovascularization originating from a direct choroidal rupture at the IOFB impact site was observed. The patient was treated with 6-monthly intravitreal injections of antivascular endothelial growth factor, and showed regression of neovascularization and a final visual acuity of 20/80. IOFB trauma is a serious condition, indeed in spite of initially good results after a favorable surgical outcome. Choroidal neovascularization after direct traumatic choroidal rupture is usually aggressive and requires more active antivascular endothelial growth factor therapy.
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Introduction

Choroidal neovascularization (CNV) secondary to traumatic choroidal rupture is a rare condition normally related to indirect choroidal rupture following nonpenetrating closed globe blunt trauma. Between 10% and 20% of indirect choroidal ruptures are associated with CNV.1,2 Rupture of Bruch’s membrane, the inflammatory process, and the consequent abnormal production of proangiogenic mediators explain its pathogenesis.3 It has been reported to appear between 1 month and up to 4 years after ocular trauma.1,2 However, very few papers document the outcome of direct damage to the choroid following intraocular foreign body (IOFB) trauma in an open globe injury,4–6 and the most frequent complication in these cases is development of proliferative vitreoretinopathy leading to complex retinal detachment. The purpose of this paper is to describe an unusual case of post-traumatic CNV secondary to direct choroidal rupture as the result of a metallic IOFB, with emphasis on the antivascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) dosing regimen.

Case report

A 44-year-old man was referred to our department for IOFB trauma in the right eye caused by a penetrating trauma with a metallic airgun pellet. Best corrected visual acuity was hand motion in his right eye. Slit-lamp biomicroscopy showed a 2 mm self-sealed corneal perforation and a white cataract. Fundus examination revealed vitreous hemorrhage and the retina could not be visualized. The IOFB was confirmed by computed tomography. We proceeded to cataract removal, 23-gauge pars plana vitrectomy, and extraction of the 3 mm metallic IOFB with forceps through a corneal incision. A primary intraocular lens was implanted in the ciliary sulcus. Peripheral
endophotocoagulation at the impact site of the IOFB and fluid-air exchange completed the surgery. A juxtafoveal superior nasal contusion of the IOFB with choroidal rupture was visualized during the procedure, and demonstrated by spectral-domain optical coherence tomography.

Best corrected visual acuity improved to 20/50, but 2 months later the patient complained of blurred vision and metamorphopsia. Ophthalmoscopic examination revealed a juxtafoveal retinal hemorrhage surrounded by white-yellowish retinal edema and perifoveal retinal striae radiating from the retinal impact site. Optical coherence tomography and fluorescein angiography demonstrated a juxtafoveal type 2 CNV originating from the edge of the choroidal rupture (Figure 1). After obtaining informed consent from the patient, an off-label intravitreal injection of bevacizumab (0.05 mL, 1.25 mg) was administered once a month for 4 consecutive months. One month after the fourth injection, regression of the CNV was identified, but best corrected visual acuity remained 20/100 due to subretinal scar tissue. Three months later, the patient returned with a recurrence of CNV activity and increased central macular thickness (Figure 2). Two additional bevacizumab injections were administered, achieving a final reduction in subretinal fluid and central macular thickness (Figure 3). Best corrected visual acuity improved to 20/80, with no recurrence after 2 years of follow-up.

Discussion

Penetrating trauma with IOFB impact into the retina is a serious condition with a poor visual prognosis. The most frequent complication in these cases is postoperative proliferative vitreoretinopathy, with proliferation of fibrous membranes due to communication between subretinal tissues (choroid, sclera) and the inner ocular cavity, leading to recurrent secondary retinal detachment. Nevertheless, other “minor” complications can worsen the visual prognosis after successful reconstructive surgery. CNV after IOFB trauma, as occurred in our patient, is one of the rare complications.

CNV following IOFB trauma may be promoted by several factors. Choroidal rupture after trauma initiates a tissue remodeling process at the injury site, which includes an inflammatory and angiogenic response with fibrovascular proliferation. Higher levels of VEGF have been related to penetrating ocular trauma and IOFB trauma by other authors, and can trigger CNV in these cases.

Anti-VEGF therapy has been shown to be useful in a few reported cases of indirect traumatic CNV following blunt trauma, with good visual and anatomic recovery, often after a single injection. However, to the authors’ knowledge, there is only one reported case in the PubMed database describing the outcome of CNV secondary to IOFB direct choroidal rupture treated with bevacizumab. This case required three consecutive intravitreal injections to achieve regression of CNV and had a final best corrected visual acuity of 20/200. In our case, six intravitreal injections of bevacizumab were required to inactivate CNV, with a final best corrected visual acuity of 20/80.

Among the prognostic factors associated with poor visual outcomes in CNV after traumatic choroidal rupture, rupture
close to the center of the fovea is one of the main ones and was present in our patient. Most patients do not achieve a final visual acuity better than 20/40 in this situation. Moreover, direct choroidal ruptures secondary to IOFB trauma may lead to more aggressive CNV than indirect ruptures, probably due to greater activation of proangiogenic and inflammatory mediators with a direct impact on the retina and causing extensive damage to the subretinal tissues.

On the other hand, considering that this was a vitrectomized eye, the half-life of intravitreal anti-VEGF was probably shorter than normal, so requiring more frequent injections.

Therefore, in view of this report, it seems that direct choroidal rupture after IOFB trauma may lead to more aggressive CNV, requiring a higher anti-VEGF dosing regimen from the outset. The more rapid clearance of intravitreal drugs should also be considered when planning intravitreal injections in these patients, regarding they are vitrectomized.

Further investigations are needed to establish the best dosing regimen in these patients and whether we should consider earlier intravitreal injection of anti-VEGF at the time of primary surgical repair to decrease the degree of fibrovascular proliferation during the healing process.
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