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Abstract: Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) integrase inhibitors belong to a novel class of antiretroviral drugs with high potency and better tolerability. Elvitegravir (EVG) is the second integrase inhibitor approved by the US Food and Drug Administration when administered in combination with a novel pharmacoenhancer, cobicistat (COBI), and two nucleoside/nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors, emtricitabine (FTC) and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF). This combination of drugs (EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF) developed and marketed by Gilead Sciences Inc. (Foster City, CA, USA) as STRIBILD®, is the first integrase inhibitor-based single-tablet regimen administered once-daily. In the USA, it has been approved for use in antiretroviral treatment-naïve HIV-1 patients with estimated creatinine clearance of >70 mL/min. The Department of Health and Human Services has approved EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF as one of preferred first-line regimens for HIV-1 treatment. In Europe, the European Medicines Agency has approved STRIBILD in treatment-naïve patients as well as in patients having no resistant mutation to any of the antiviral agents contained in STRIBILD. Its availability as a fixed-dose combination and once-daily dosage makes the adherence highly likely. However, it also discounts the possibility of dosage adjustment if needed.
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Introduction

It was nearly 30 years back when the first case of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) infection in humans was reported and the causative virus was isolated. Since then, there has been remarkable progress in understanding the HIV-1 pathogenesis and development of AIDS (acquired immunodeficiency syndrome). These 30 years have resulted in the approval of an approximately equal number of drugs for HIV-1 treatment (Table 1). The introduction of highly active antiretroviral therapy in the mid-1990s led to a marked reduction in HIV-1 associated morbidity and mortality, accompanied by significant improvement in the quality of life of HIV-1-infected individuals. In the early stages of drug development for HIV-1, most of the drugs were targeted against HIV-1 reverse transcriptase and protease. However, life-long administration of drugs required to contain viral replication to a minimum level causes the emergence of drug-resistant mutants and possibly the failure of an ongoing treatment regimen. The adverse events associated with these two classes of drugs occasionally results in unmanageable conditions, leading to discontinuation of treatment. Hence, the need for the development of new drugs with novel mechanisms of inhibition and better tolerability is an ongoing process. Early drug development efforts targeting the third HIV-1 enzyme, integrase (IN), were not successful, despite the fact that there is no cellular homologue...
Table I FDA-approved drugs for treatment in HIV-1-infected patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reverse transcriptase</th>
<th>NNRTIs</th>
<th>Protease</th>
<th>Integrate</th>
<th>Fusion</th>
<th>CCR5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abacavir (ABC) Ziagen®</td>
<td>Delavirdine (DLV) Rescriptor®</td>
<td>Amprenavir (APV)</td>
<td>Dolutegravir (DTG) Tivicay®</td>
<td>Enfuvirtide (T20) Fuzeon®</td>
<td>Maraviroc (MVC) SELZENTRY®</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didanosine (ddl) Videx®</td>
<td>Efavirenz (EFV) Sustiva®</td>
<td>Agenerase®</td>
<td>Atazanavir (ATV) REYATAZ®</td>
<td>Elvitegravir (EVG)- as part of STRIBILD®</td>
<td>Raltegravir (RAL) ISENTRESS®</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emtricitabine (FTC) Emtriva®</td>
<td>Etravirine (ETR) INTELENCE®</td>
<td>INTELENCE®</td>
<td>Darunavir (DRV) PREZISTA®</td>
<td>Raltegravir (RAL) ISENTRESS®</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamivudine (3TC) Epivir®</td>
<td>Nevirapine (NVP) Viramune®</td>
<td>Fosamprenavir (FPV) Lexiva®</td>
<td>Raltegravir (RAL) ISENTRESS®</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stavudine (d4T) Zerit®</td>
<td>Rilpivirine EDURANT®</td>
<td>Indinavir (IDV) Crizal®</td>
<td>Raltegravir (RAL) ISENTRESS®</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenofovir (TDF, TFV) Viread®</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zidovudine (AZT, ZDV) Retrovir®</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Combination drugs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATRIPLA®</th>
<th>Complera®</th>
<th>KALETRA®</th>
<th>STRIBILD®</th>
<th>Truvada®</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(efavirenz/emtricitabine/tenofovir)</td>
<td>(emtricitabine/ritonavir/tenofovir)</td>
<td>(lopinavir/ritonavir)</td>
<td>(elvitegravir/cobicistat/emtricitabine/tenofovir)</td>
<td>(abacavir/tenofovir)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:*** Copyright © 2011. Adapted with permission from Pandey KK. Raltegravir in HIV-1 infection: safety and efficacy in treatment-naïve patients. Curr Med Rev. 2011;2012(4):13–30.® Drugs are classified on the basis of their viral or cellular targets. Brand name of the drugs are in italics.® Gilead Sciences Inc., Foster City, CA, USA; ¹AbbVie Inc., North Chicago, IL, USA; ²ViiV Healthcare, Brentford, Middlesex, UK; ³Janssen Therapeutics, Titusville, NJ, USA; ⁴Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc., Inglewood, CA, USA; ⁵Bristol-Myers Squibb, Princeton, NJ, USA; ⁶Célexa, Inc., Brentford, Middlesex, UK; ⁷Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc., Whitehouse Station, NJ, USA; ⁸GlaxoSmithKline, Brentford, Middlesex, UK; ⁹Merck & Co. Inc., Whitehouse Station, NJ, USA; and F. Hoffmann-La Roche Inc., Basel, Switzerland.© Abbreviations: FDA, US Food and Drug Administration; HIV-1, human immunodeficiency virus type 1; NNRTI, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors; NRTI, nucleoside (nucleotide) reverse transcriptase inhibitor; CCR5, C-C chemokine receptor type 5.

for IN in humans. One of the main reasons was the lack of a high-resolution structure of full-length HIV-1 IN alone or in complexed form with its cognate deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) substrate. Even today, there is no crystal structure of full-length HIV-1 IN available in either form.

Raltegravir (MK-0518), developed by Merck and Co, Inc. (Whitehouse Station, NJ, USA), was the first HIV-1 IN inhibitor approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), in 2007. It is marketed as ISENTRESS® and has been a component of one of the preferred HIV-1 treatment regimens as per the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) guidelines for the last few years.® Raltegravir in combination with other antiretroviral drugs is also an acceptable regimen when toxicity or other factors prevent the use of a DHHS preferred regimen. Raltegravir has excellent tolerability, with potent antiviral efficacy. Raltegravir has been extensively used in treatment-naïve as well as in treatment-experienced patients as salvage therapy.®-® Raltegravir is administered as a 400 mg tablet twice-a-day (bid) in combination with tenofovir and emtricitabine (FTC). The limitations of raltegravir are a required twice-a-day dosing and a low genetic barrier to emergence of resistant mutations.

Elvitegravir (EVG) was the first HIV-1 IN inhibitor to be approved for HIV-1 treatment by the FDA, in 2012, as a combination drug (brand name STRIBILD®; Gilead Sciences Inc., Foster City, CA, USA). STRIBILD is prescribed as a once-daily single-tablet regimen, which contains 150 mg of EVG, 150 mg coibicitast (COBI), 200 mg FTC, and 300 mg tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF).® COBI acts as a pharmacoenhancer to boost the effective concentration of EVG. FTC and TDF are the nucleoside and nucleotide inhibitors of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase and have been used as first-line HIV-1 therapy for several years. The once-daily single-tablet regimen significantly improves the adherence and decreases the emergence of resistant viral populations.® This review summarizes the development of EVG from an investigational drug to an FDA-approved drug for HIV-1 treatment.
Mechanism of action

During the HIV-1 lifecycle, once the virus enters the cell, its ribonucleic acid (RNA) genome is reverse transcribed to a double-stranded blunt-ended DNA by HIV-1 reverse transcriptase. The resulting viral DNA is transported via the pre-integration complex into the nucleus and is incorporated into the cellular genome by IN. It results in permanent infection of the cell and establishment of latent viral reservoirs. Hence, IN is an essential protein for viral replication. IN is formed by the proteolytic processing of the viral Gag-Pro-Pol precursor. HIV-1 IN contains 288 amino acids and consists of three structural domains connected by flexible linkers. The N-terminal domain (−1–47 amino acids) contains a zinc binding site (HHCC motif). The catalytic core domain (−59–202 amino acids) contains the active site formed by a catalytic triad of three acidic residues called the DDE motif (D64, D116, and E152). The IN inhibitors chelates the two metal ions (Mg$^{2+}$) in the active site, which are essential for catalytic reactions performed by IN. The C-terminal domain (−223–288 amino acids), contains an SH3 (Src homology 3 domain)-like fold that binds both the viral DNA and host DNA. The monomeric form of HIV-1 IN efficiently multimerizes onto the DNA ends to perform the concerted integration of viral DNA ends into target DNA in vitro. Concerted integration is believed to be carried out by a tetrameric IN complex bound to two viral DNA ends.

HIV-1 IN removes the terminal dinucleotide (GT) adjacent to invariant CA nucleotides from each 3′-end of blunt-ended viral DNA, referred to as the cleaved strand. This step is also referred to as 3′-processing. Upon nuclear transport of 3′-OH recessed DNA, IN makes a nick into opposite strands of cellular DNA separated by five base pairs and mediates the joining of viral DNA ends into the host DNA. This second reaction is termed “strand transfer.” This latter step is crucial, as it incorporates a copy of viral genome into the host DNA, thereby making the infection permanent. Transcription and translation of viral genome by host machinery results in the production of the viral RNA genome and polypeptides, which ultimately results in the maturation and production of new viral particles. Most of the IN inhibitors, including raltegravir, EVG, and dolutegravir (three FDA approved drugs), inhibit the strand transfer reaction by preventing the joining of viral DNA ends to the host DNA. Due to their mode of action of inhibition of strand transfer, this class of inhibitors is termed “IN strand transfer inhibitors” (INSTIs). INSTIs, including EVG, bind to and inactivate the IN–viral-DNA complex, thereby preventing the binding of host DNA and thus inhibiting the strand transfer.

Development of EVG

EVG was developed from quinolone antibiotics as the chemical backbone. It was originally discovered at Central Pharmaceutical Research Institute of Japan Tobacco, Inc. and known as JTK-303. Later, JTK-303 was licensed to Gilead Sciences Inc. (named as GS-9137) for clinical development and commercialization of the drug world-wide excluding Japan. EVG maintains the structure similar to the diketo acid moiety (Figure 1) believed to be essential for inhibition of HIV-1 IN through chelation of metal ions in the active site. The diketo acid moiety is a key part of most clinical IN inhibitors that have been developed. The beta ketone and carboxylic acid (mono-keto acid) groups in EVG bind to the active site DNA.
divalent metal ions, and the aromatic hydrophobic groups (halobenzoyl) bind to IN and viral DNA. EVG has an IC$_{50}$ of 7.2 nM to inhibit strand transfer based on immobilized assays. The half maximal effective concentration (EC$_{50}$) was shown to be 0.9 nM in HIV-1 infection assays. EVG also inhibited the concerted integration reaction of HIV-1 IN, with an IC$_{50}$ of 8.5 nM in vitro.\textsuperscript{17}

**Metabolism and pharmacokinetics**

EVG is primarily metabolized via cytochrome p450 isoenzyme 3A4 (CYP3A4) pathway in the liver and intestines.\textsuperscript{21} A small part of EVG is also metabolized via glucuronidation mediated by uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase (UGT1A1).\textsuperscript{22} Metabolites M1 and M4 formed by these pathways have significantly lower antiviral potency than EVG. M1 is produced by CYP3A4, while M4 is produced in the UGT1A1 pathway.\textsuperscript{23} In contrast, raltegravir and dolutegravir are predominantly metabolized via glucuronidation by UGT1A1.\textsuperscript{24,25} EVG is predominantly (94%) excreted though the feces; most of the remaining is excreted through the urine.\textsuperscript{23}

The first study to evaluate the safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of EVG was done in Japanese healthy male individuals (n=32). The subjects in each group (six active, two placebo) were given a single dose of increasing amounts of EVG (100, 200, 400, and 800 mg) in a fasted state.\textsuperscript{26} The group with the 400 mg dose also received a similar dose (400 mg) of EVG under fed conditions after a washout period of more than 10 days. The pharmacokinetics was carried out over 24 hours post-dose. The peak plasma concentration (C$_{max}$), or maximum concentration after a dose is given, and the area under the concentration curve (AUC) increased with an increasing dose of EVG; however, the rate of increase was not proportional to the dose. C$_{max}$ in the plasma was achieved at 0.5–4.0 hours with the increase in dose concentration. Plasma concentration of EVG 12–24 hours post-dose was higher than protein-binding-adjusted EC$_{50}$ (16 nM) in human peripheral blood mononucleated cells. The results suggested that EVG was orally bioavailable. The group which received EVG under fed conditions, had threefold higher C$_{max}$ and AUC compared with the groups in the fasting state. This suggested that EVG should probably be given with food. EVG at all dosages was tolerable, and no serious adverse events were reported.\textsuperscript{26}

Since EVG is metabolized via the CYP450 pathway, it was natural to find out whether its bioavailability was affected by inhibitors targeting the CYP450 pathway. Coadministration of EVG with ritonavir resulted in significant increase in bioavailability and half-life of EVG.\textsuperscript{27} Ritonavir is an HIV-1 protease inhibitor and has been used extensively for more than 10 years for treatment of HIV-1 infected individuals. Apart from its anti-HIV-1 activity, ritonavir also inhibits human CYP3A. Due to this property, ritonavir has been used to improve the pharmacokinetics of other HIV-1 protease inhibitors, which are also predominantly metabolized by CYP3A. A systematic dose–response of ritonavir on CYP3A activity and EVG bioavailability determined 100 mg ritonavir once-daily as the optimal dose.\textsuperscript{28} Healthy individuals (n=12, each arm) were given multiple doses of ritonavir (20, 50, 100, and 200 mg once-daily) in combination with EVG (125 mg) once-daily. Maximum reduction of hepatic CYP3A was observed with 100 and 200 mg ritonavir. C$_{max}$ and trough plasma concentration (C$_{trough}$) for EVG increased with increasing dose of ritonavir from 20 to 100 mg, but there was no significant difference between 100 and 200 mg ritonavir. Hence, a 100 mg dose of ritonavir was selected for further efficacy studies. Coadministration of EVG with ritonavir did not alter the pharmacokinetics of commonly used nucleoside/nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors including FTC and tenofovir.\textsuperscript{29} The probable reason for non-interaction is that FTC and tenofovir follow different metabolic and excretory pathways. FTC and tenofovir at the concentrations used (200 and 300 mg, respectively) do not inhibit the metabolism by CYP450.\textsuperscript{30} The pharmacokinetics of ritonavir-boosted EVG (85 mg) in adolescent HIV-1 infected patients was similar to the adult populations, with mean C$_{trough}$∼7–13-fold above the 95% inhibitory concentration (IC$_{95}$).

Despite the promising effect of ritonavir on enhancing the bioavailability of EVG and other HIV-1 drugs, ritonavir has several limitations when used as a pharmacoenhancer (eg, increased serum lipid levels and gastrointestinal disorders).\textsuperscript{31,32} Emergence of resistance mutations in protease also occurs when ritonavir is used at a suboptimal dose as a booster agent. Due to its lower specificity, ritonavir also inhibits unintended multiple pathways (CYP, UGT) and transporters.\textsuperscript{32}

Efforts to develop an alternative pharmacoenhancer to ritonavir possessing the intended properties resulted in the discovery of COBI (GS-9137). COBI was developed as a novel inhibitor of CYP3A4. It did not possess anti-HIV-1 activity and, similar to ritonavir, was an effective enhancer of EVG and other antiretroviral drugs.\textsuperscript{33} COBI-boosted EVG should be administered with food to gain higher effective concentration.\textsuperscript{34} The AUC to infinity (AUC$_{∞}$) and C$_{max}$ for EVG increased 34% and 22%, respectively, with a low calorie diet (373 kcal, 20% fat). The increase in AUC$_{∞}$ and
C\textsubscript{max} was more significant (87% and 56%, respectively) when EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF was taken with high calorie/high fat food (800 kcal, 50% fat) compared with the fasted state. COBI possesses higher specificity towards inhibiting CYP3A4\textsuperscript{45} and is also being used as a boosting agent for protease inhibitors atazanavir and darunavir.\textsuperscript{36} In a Phase I study with EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF, the fixed-dose regimen containing 150 mg COBI, the bioavailability of EVG was enhanced to a similar level as observed when boosted with 100 mg ritonavir, providing C\textsubscript{trough} values ∼11-fold higher than the protein-binding-adjusted IC\textsubscript{95}.\textsuperscript{37} This 10-day open-label study (n=44) directly compared the pharmacokinetic and boosting properties of COBI against ritonavir on EVG when given in combination with FTC and tenofovir. The half-lives of tenofovir and FTC were unchanged when administered as part of fixed-dose EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF versus concomitant administration.\textsuperscript{37}

The effective plasma concentration of EVG is lower when EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF is administered simultaneously with antacids. The binding of di- and trivalent metal ions present in antacids to EVG likely decreases its effective concentration. However, a 2-hour separation in antacid administration to EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF does not affect EVG absorption.\textsuperscript{38} Similar staggering of antacids is recommended with other IN inhibitors raltegravir and dolutegravir.\textsuperscript{39,40} Detailed descriptions of pharmacokinetics of EVG with ritonavir and COBI and its interaction with other HIV-1 drugs have been previously published.\textsuperscript{23,41}

**Clinical trials to determine efficacy and safety**

The first monotherapy trial in HIV-1-infected patients (n=40) with EVG exhibited potent antiviral activity with acceptable safety and tolerability.\textsuperscript{27} Increasing dose of EVG (200, 400, or 800 mg bid) or 800 mg once-a-day (qd) was administered to treatment naïve and treatment-experienced individuals not receiving antiretroviral therapy in the past 90 days. In another group, 50 mg EVG was boosted with 100 mg ritonavir (qd) in the morning with food (n=6 for each arm, n=10 for placebo). The patients had HIV-1 RNA levels between 10,000 and 300,000 copies/mL and had more than 200 CD4\textsuperscript{+} cells/mL. At higher doses of EVG (400 and 800 mg bid), the mean C\textsubscript{trough} was higher than the protein-binding-adjusted IC\textsubscript{95}. For EVG, the time to reach maximum plasma concentration (T\textsubscript{max}) was ∼3–4 hours and half-life of ∼3 hours when dosed alone. Ritonavir coadministration (100 mg) significantly boosted the EVG (50 mg qd) elimination half-life to ∼9 hours and maintained the C\textsubscript{trough} above the IC\textsubscript{95} for more than 48 hours after the dosing. This proved that boosting of EVG with ritonavir (50 mg/100 mg qd) maintains the EVG concentration in an active therapeutic range, which was not possible even with twice-a-day dosing schedule. Patients in three groups (400 and 800 mg bid and 50 mg boosted with 100 mg ritonavir qd) responded well to the treatment and exhibited mean reduction of HIV-1 RNA of $\geq 1.91 \log\text{copies/mL}$.\textsuperscript{27} Encouraging results from this study paved the way for further refinements in the EVG-based clinical trials.

The efficacy and safety of once-daily single-pill EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF (n=48) against a single-pill EFV/FTC/TDF (n=23) was determined in a Phase 2 study (NCT00869557).\textsuperscript{42} Patients receiving EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF experienced a faster decline in viral RNA load and greater proportion of suppressed viral RNA to less than 50 copies/mL than EFV/FTC/TDF (90% versus 83%) at 24 week and 48 weeks. The EVG C\textsubscript{trough} in the EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF group was consistently higher (approximately tenfold) than the protein-binding-adjusted IC\textsubscript{95}.\textsuperscript{42} Frequency of adverse events was comparable in the two study groups. None of the patients in the EVG arm discontinued treatment due to adverse events, while only one patient discontinued in the EFV arm due to side effects.

A Phase 3 study (NCT01095976, Gilead Study 102) conducted in North America determined the effectiveness of single-tablet regimens containing EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF (150/150/200/300 mg, n=348) against EFV/FTC/TDF (600/200/300 mg, n=352), the standard of care at the time.\textsuperscript{30,44} The abovementioned treatments were given to 700 treatment-naïve HIV-1-infected patients with HIV-1 RNA levels of more than 5,000 copies/mL. The patients had $\geq 70$ mL/min glomerular filtration rates. Results compiled at 48 weeks suggested that EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF was non-inferior to EFV/FTC/TDF. The percentage of patients achieving less than 50 copies/mL RNA was higher with EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF (87.6%) than EFV/FTC/TDF (84.1%). Non-inferiority of EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF versus EFV/FTC/TDF was maintained through a 96-week time point (84% versus 82%). Median adherence to the study drug was similar in both study groups (∼98%). Frequency of adverse events and discontinuation were comparable in the two arms of the study. Nausea was more prevalent in the EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF group, while insomnia, rash, and neuropsychiatric events were less frequent.

Adverse renal events have been a major cause of concern in EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF therapy. The number of patients who discontinued treatment due to adverse renal events in Study 102 was ∼2%.\textsuperscript{44} Five patients had discontinued treatment by 48 weeks due to adverse renal abnormalities.
(two patients each had increased creatinine concentration or renal failure, and one had Fanconi syndrome). Four of these patients had renal impairment issues before enrolling in the study. The rate of renal discontinuation was similar to other regimens which contained tenofovir with ritonavir boosted protease inhibitors.\(^5\) Serum creatinine concentration increased by week 48 in the EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF group (median 13 \(\mu\)mol/L, interquartile range 5–20 \(\mu\)mol/L) compared with (1 \(\mu\)mol/L, –6 to 8 \(\mu\)mol/L) in the EFV/FTC/TDF group.\(^4,\) In most of the patients, serum creatinine level rose in the first 2 weeks and remained stable after that. The increase in creatinine could be associated with non-pathogenic decrease in effective glomerular filtration rate and inhibition of proximal tubular secretion by COBI. COBI inhibits the tubular secretion of creatinine by inhibition of renal secretory transporters, resulting in an increase in serum creatinine concentration, without reducing the actual glomerular filtration rate.\(^6\) Alternatively, this nephropathy might be genuinely caused by TDF, one of the components of EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF.\(^7,\) Through 144 week of Study 102, the changes in serum creatinine observed in the EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF arm remained similar to those observed at 48 weeks.\(^8,\) Between 48 and 96 weeks, only two patients discontinued treatment due to renal events, and none had proximal tubulopathy.\(^4\) The results reported for week 144 did not find any new renal adverse effect-related discontinuation.\(^4\) Close monitoring of creatinine clearance is recommended to distinguish between the non-pathogenic reduction of clearance due to COBI and pathologic nephrotoxicity caused by tenofovir.

Consistent with a raltegravir-based treatment regimen,\(^9,\) the rate of decrease in viral load is faster with EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF compared with EFV/FTC/TDF. Faster decline in viral load seems to be a hallmark of INSTIs. Frequency of development of resistance to EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF was similar to the EFV/FTC/TDF group at the end of 96 weeks.\(^1\) In the EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF group, ten patients (3.48% of total) had emergent resistance mutations. E92Q was the most frequent mutation in IN (nine out of ten patients). All ten patients had nucleoside/nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitor-resistant mutations. Viral load suppression (<50 copies/mL) was maintained until week 144 in both groups, with high rates (80.2% versus 75.3%). These results confirmed long-term efficacy and safety of EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF.\(^4\)

In an independent Phase 3 study (NCT01106586, Gilead Study 103) done in parallel, non-inferiority of EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF (150/150/200/300 mg) (n=535) was determined against ritonavir boosted atazanavir (ATV/RTV, 300/100 mg) with FTC/TDF (200/300 mg) (n=355) in treatment-naive HIV-1-infected patients.\(^10\) Both study drugs were administered once-daily with food. EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF was found to be non-inferior to ATV/RTV + FTC/TDF. The percentage of patients that had HIV-1 RNA levels below 50 copies/mL was similar in both study groups at 48 and 96 weeks. This is an ongoing (total 192 weeks) international study conducted in North America, Australia, Europe, and Thailand. At 96 weeks, the treatment outcome was independent of sex, age, or race. Both treatment regimens were well tolerated, and adverse event-related discontinuation of treatment was similar.

In Gilead study 103, the median increase from baseline in serum creatinine in EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF was higher versus ATV/RTV+ FTC/TDF (12 \(\mu\)mol/L versus 8 \(\mu\)mol/L) at 48 weeks.\(^1\) The initial increase in creatinine in serum is consistent with known effects of COBI; however, the increase in creatinine was stabilized in later weeks.\(^4\) Development of resistance to any component of the regimen in both study groups was low. No resistance mutations emerged in ATV/RTV + FTC/TDF. A total of six patients (1.7%) failed the treatment and developed resistance mutations in the EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF arm, predominantly M184V; however, no IN mutations were observed by 96 weeks in patients who failed the treatment.\(^4\)

A high rate of virologic success (<50 copies/mL HIV-1 RNA) was maintained in both treatment groups at 144 weeks; 77.6% in the EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF group and 74.6% in the ATV/RTV + FTC/TDF group. The mean CD4+ cell increase from baseline was similar for both groups.\(^5\) Over the period of 144 weeks, eight patients (2.3%) in the EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF group developed resistance mutations comprising T66I, E92Q, Q148R, N155H, and T97A in IN, and M184V/I and K65R in reverse transcriptase. In the ATV/RTV + FTC/TDF group, only two patients developed mutations (M184V/I) in reverse transcriptase.\(^5\) The pattern of treatment discontinuation due to related adverse events through week 144 was similar to week 96. EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF was tolerated better than ATV/RTV + FTC/TDF. Through week 144, 21 subjects (5.9%) discontinued due to adverse effects in the EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF group compared with 30 subjects (8.5%) in the ATV/RTV + FTC/TDF group. Adverse renal events were less frequent after 96 weeks in the EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF group. Similarly, the changes in creatinine level from baseline were observed in the first 2 weeks only and stabilized thereafter through week 144. Fractures occurred in ten (2.8%) patients in the EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF group compared with 19 (5.4%) in the ATV/RTV + FTC/TDF.
group. Overall, EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF as a single once-daily pill was non-inferior to ATV/RTV + FTC/TDF and had a better safety profile.53

A recent report from the FDA differed from results presented in Phase III studies on creatinine level increase in individuals on EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF versus the comparator arm EFV/FTC/TDF and ATV/RTV + FTC/TDF.55 The FDA report recommendations included determining estimated creatinine clearance, urine glucose, and urine protein in patients before starting the EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF therapy and closely monitoring it during the treatment. The recommendations included not starting the treatment in patients with estimated creatinine clearance below 70 mL/min. Both the FDA and the Gilead study recommend close monitoring of the patients on EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF therapy who experience an increase in serum creatinine of more than 0.4 mg/dL from baseline.

Efficacy of EVG compared with raltegravir

Direct comparison of EVG, boosted with ritonavir (qd) against raltegravir (400 mg bid) showed similar efficacy and safety in patients in whom previous antiretroviral treatment had failed. This non-inferiority Phase III study (NCT0078162, Gilead Study 145) was done in treatment experienced patients (n=724) who had plasma RNA levels of >1,000 copies/mL. Both study groups, raltegravir (n=363) and EVG with ritonavir (n=361), were also administered a background regimen through 96 weeks. Data reported for 48 weeks suggested non-inferiority of the EVG-based treatment regimen to raltegravir.56 Both the regimens were well tolerated and showed a similar rate of adverse events through 96 weeks.57 The rate of discontinuation was similar in both treatment groups. However, single-pill dosage for EVG is more advisable to enhance the adherence to the treatment compared with the twice-a-day dosing required for raltegravir. Efficacy, safety, and tolerability of EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF was compared in patients who had suppressed viremia on raltegravir (plus FTC/TDF)-based bid therapy. The subjects (n=48) in this Phase 3b switching study (NCT01533259, Gilead Study 123) were on raltegravir (bid) therapy (plus FTC/TDF) and maintained viral load below 50 copies/mL for at least 6 months. Patients were switched to a once-daily single-tablet regimen of EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF and followed for 48 weeks. All patients maintained a viral load below 50 copies/mL and high CD4+ cells at week 24 post-switch. There were no serious adverse events and no drug-related discontinuation. Effective glomerular filtration rate was unaffected over the course of 24 weeks. Hence, switching from twice-a-day treatment to once-daily single-tablet regimen will lead to an effective and simplified treatment regimen with higher adherence.58

Resistance mutations

One of the hallmark features of antiviral drugs for HIV-1 has been their eventual loss of efficacy due to development of resistance mutations in the viral genome. EVG is no exception to this phenomenon; rather it has a low genetic barrier similar to raltegravir. Even a single non-polymorphic mutation in IN diminishes the sensitivity to EVG. In vitro (cell culture) selection with EVG leads to the identification of T66I and E92Q as primary substitutions. These substitutions are located near the active site of IN.59 T66I and E92Q mutations reduce EVG susceptibility nearly 10- and 30-fold, respectively. Other predominant IN mutations which impart reduced susceptibility to EVG are H51Y, T66K, T97A, F121Y, S147G, Q148H/R/K, S153Y, N155H, and R263K.59-64 R263K has also been reported to emerge with dolutegravir62,63 and in patients receiving raltegravir.64 These mutations diminish viral replication fitness and catalytic activities of recombinant IN.17,59,60,65-68 Clinical trials with EVG in HIV-1-infected individuals have corroborated the in vitro findings. In patients on EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF (Study 103), T66I, E92Q, N155H, and Q148R were the predominant resistance mutations through 96 weeks.52 Similar mutations were observed in a parallel investigation (Study 102), where EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF was compared with EFV/FTC/TDF.64 Unfortunately, most of the above IN mutations also provide cross-resistance to raltegravir; hence raltegravir-based therapy cannot be used in patients failing in EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF regimens and vice versa. However, these patients are still susceptible to a second-generation INSTI, dolutegravir. Dolutegravir is administered as a once-daily dose without the need of a booster agent, although it is not yet available as a single-pill regimen.

Place in therapy

EVG is part of the combination of four drugs EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF marketed as STRIBILD, which was approved as first-line treatment for treatment-naïve HIV-1-infected adults. It is the first IN inhibitor-based single-tablet regimen and is the major boasting property of this combination. STRIBILD is currently not approved for use in treatment-experienced patients in the USA. In Europe, STRIBILD is approved for treatment-naïve patients as well as patients who are not resistant to any of the antiviral components contained in
STRIBILD. EVG is not available alone or in combination with other HIV-1 drugs. EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF is one of the “preferred regimens” designated by the DHHS for use in initial treatment of HIV-1 for patients with creatinine clearance rate of >70 mL/min. EVG-based treatment has fewer central nervous system adverse effects and better lipid profile compared with other established treatments. In clinical studies, it is equally effective compared with raltegravir in treatment-experienced patients as well as in patients who switch from raltegravir-based treatment. EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF is non-inferior to other treatment regimens considered to be the gold standard for HIV-1 treatment like EFV/FTC/TDF (ATRIPLA®; Bristol-Myers Squibb, Princeton, NJ and Gilead Sciences Inc., Foster City, CA, USA), another once-daily single-tablet regimen.43 Once-daily dosing of EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF may not be desirable in certain patients where dosing of individual components needs to be adjusted. EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF should not be initiated in patients with creatinine clearance <70 mL/min and should be discontinued if creatinine clearance becomes less than 50 mL/min.

Mild to moderate hepatic impairment in patients does not warrant avoidance of EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF therapy. However, due to lack of any clinical studies, EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF is not recommended in patients with severe hepatic impairment. HIV-1 patients co-infected with mycobacterium tuberculosis, who are on rifampicin or rifabutin treatment, should not opt for EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF therapy. Rifampicin and rifabutin are potent inducers of CYP450, resulting in faster metabolism of EVG and significant reduction in EVG and COBI plasma concentrations.

**Conclusion**

With the availability of several classes of drugs, HIV-1 infection has become a manageable disease for a majority of populations with the access to treatment. Over the last few years, emphasis has been on developing single-tablet formulations to reduce the pill burden and improve adherence. Higher adherence to treatment has been associated with greater success of treatment and lower emergence of resistant mutations. A once-daily single-tablet formulation of EVG enables adherence to the treatment more likely with no loss of effectiveness compared with other standard treatments. It has proven to be non-inferior to other existing regimens in switching studies, suggesting it to be an alternative treatment option for patients. However, proper monitoring of serum creatinine level and renal functioning is highly recommended. Efforts are ongoing to target the other functionalities of IN. Multimerization of IN onto viral DNA ends to produce a tetrameric complex has been a novel drug target. A number of inhibitors referred to as allosteric IN inhibitors targeting the multimerization process have been identified and are in early clinical stages.69,70 Development of novel IN inhibitors in combination with existing treatment regimens should be helpful to patients in controlling HIV-1 replication and maintaining quality of life.
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