Post-prostatectomy erectile dysfunction: contemporary approaches from a US perspective
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Abstract: Success of cancer surgery often leads to life-changing side effects, and surgical treatment for malignant urologic disease often results in erectile dysfunction (ED). Patients that undergo surgical prostatectomy or cystoprostatectomy will often experience impairment of erections due to disruption of blood and nerve supply. Surgical technique, nerve sparing status, patient age, comorbid conditions, and pretreatment potency status all have an effect on post-surgical ED. Regardless of surgical technique, prostatectomy results in disruption of normal anatomy and nerve supply to the penis, which governs the functional aspects of erection. A variety of different treatment options are available for men who develop ED after prostatectomy, including vacuum erection device, oral phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors (PDE5I), intracorporal injections, and penile prosthesis. The vacuum erection device creates an artificial erection by forming a vacuum via suction of air to draw blood into the penis. The majority of men using the vacuum erection device daily after prostatectomy, regardless of nerve-sparing status, have erections sufficient for intercourse. Phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors remain a common treatment option for post-surgical ED and are the mainstay of therapy. They work through cyclic adenosine monophosphate and cyclic guanine monophosphate pathways and are recommended in all forms of ED. Intracorporal injections or intraurethral use of vasoactive substances may be a good second-line therapy in men who do not experience improvement with oral medications. Surgical placement of a penile prosthesis is typically the treatment strategy of choice after other options have failed. Semi-rigid and inflatable devices are available with high satisfaction rates. With careful patient counseling and proper treatment selection, patient satisfaction and improved erectile function can be achieved. We advise that patients use a vacuum erection device daily in the early postoperative period in combination with an oral PDE5I. For patients who do not respond to a vacuum erection device or PDE5I, consideration should be given to intraurethral alprostadil, intracorporal injections, or a penile prosthesis.
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Introduction
Cancer is a very significant health problem in the USA. Despite the fact that cancer remains the second most common cause of death, many patients will achieve cure or prolonged remission phases. The success of cancer treatment often leads to life-changing side effects. The focus of this review will be the erectile dysfunction that develops as a result of surgical treatment for malignant urologic disease.

Prostate cancer is a prevalent disease and the second leading cause of cancer death in American men. Approximately 238,590 men were diagnosed with prostate cancer in 2013.1 The majority of men diagnosed are candidates for localized treatments, such as watchful waiting, surgery, radiation therapy, or chemotherapy. While these treatments may be effective in eradicating or shrinking cancer, they often result in the disruption of blood flow and nerve supply to the penis, which leads to erectile dysfunction.

Vacuum Erection Device
A vacuum erection device (VED) creates an artificial erection by forming a vacuum inside the penis. This is typically achieved by drawing air out of a plastic cylinder and then using a vacuum pump to create a vacuum. When the pump is turned off, the penis and cylinder become engorged with blood, creating an artificial erection. The VED can be used daily after prostatectomy, regardless of nerve-sparing status, to help achieve erections sufficient for intercourse.

Oral Phosphodiesterase 5 Inhibitors
Phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors (PDE5Is), such as sildenafil, tadalafil, and vardenafil, work by increasing blood flow to the penis by inhibiting phosphodiesterase 5 enzymes. These enzymes break down cyclic nucleotides, which are important for the relaxation of smooth muscle in the corpora cavernosa. By inhibiting these enzymes, PDE5Is increase the amount of cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) in the corpora cavernosa, leading to vasodilation and increased blood flow.

Intracorporal Injections
Intracorporal injections involve injecting vasoactive substances directly into the corpora cavernosa. Commonly used substances include alprostadil, papaverine, and phentolamine. These substances work by directly dilating the blood vessels in the penis, which increases blood flow and results in an erection.

Penile Prosthesis
Surgical placement of a penile prosthesis is typically the treatment of choice after other options have failed. Semi-rigid and inflatable devices are available. Semi-rigid prostheses maintain an erect posture, while inflatable prostheses can be deflated to allow for normal intercourse.

Conclusion
The management of erectile dysfunction after prostatectomy requires a multidisciplinary approach. Careful patient counseling and proper treatment selection are essential to achieve patient satisfaction and improved erectile function. We recommend the use of a vacuum erection device daily in the early postoperative period in combination with an oral PDE5I. For patients who do not respond to a vacuum erection device or PDE5I, consideration should be given to intracorporal injections or a penile prosthesis.
as radical prostatectomy, radiation therapy, or brachytherapy. Furthermore, the development and utilization of prostate-specific antigen testing has led to increased detection of prostate cancer and consequent treatment. Similarly, urothelial cell carcinoma is the fourth most common cause of cancer death in American men. Radical cystectomy, a cornerstone in the treatment of muscle invasive bladder cancer, includes removal of the prostate gland. European Association of Urology guidelines recommend bilateral or unilateral nerve-sparing radical prostatectomy for patients with normal preoperative erectile function and organ-confined disease. For patients with high-risk disease, non-nerve-sparing surgery is the recommended approach. Regardless of approach, surgical removal of the prostate gland significantly affects erectile function. It has been shown that this side effect of surgical treatment affects quality of life for the majority of men.

**Prevalence and importance of disease**

Erectile dysfunction may be the most common side effect of surgical treatment for prostate cancer, with a reported incidence as high as 90%. Unfortunately, it is difficult to adequately report this side effect after treatment because there are multiple possible instruments for the assessment of preoperative erectile dysfunction. Preoperative erectile function affects the postoperative subjective assessment of erectile function. Briganti et al reported that several preoperative factors such as patient age, preoperative erectile function, and overall health status significantly affected erectile function 2 years after treatment, regardless of treatment modality. Furthermore, there are multiple approaches for surgical treatment of prostate cancer (eg, nerve versus non-nerve sparing, open versus laparoscopic versus robotic, and with versus without lymph node dissection) and significant surgeon variability.

Some men may believe that radiation therapy provides decreased rates of post-treatment erectile dysfunction compared with surgery. However, studies have shown that cavernosal fibrosis and erectile dysfunction are similar between radiation and radical prostatectomy. Erectile dysfunction after radiation therapy occurs in 20%–80% of patients. Occlusive vascular disease, arteriogenic dysfunction, and venous leakage have been noted after radiation therapy, indicating that erectile hemodynamics are the etiology of post-radiation therapy erectile dysfunction. One predictive factor of erectile dysfunction after radiation therapy is the radiation modality. In a comparison of conventional radiation therapy, three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy, and intensity modulated radiotherapy, the intensity modulated radiotherapy group showed the best results preserving erectile function.

Poor sexual function, including erectile dysfunction, is associated with distress and compromised quality of life. The rate of prostatectomy-related regret increases over time, with up to 47% of men reporting regret 5 years after surgery. Post-surgical physical functioning, including poor sexual function, is associated with greater treatment regret as well. Interestingly, studies have suggested that irrespective of post-surgical urinary or sexual functioning, reducing the regret towards treatment may improve mental health. It is important to note that there are multiple treatment options for post-surgical sexual dysfunction, and post-surgical regret has a significant impact on overall quality of life. There are a variety of different treatment options available for men who develop erectile dysfunction after surgical treatment for prostate or bladder cancer involving prostatectomy (Table 1). The treatment of erectile dysfunction in this group is primarily based on studies in patients undergoing radical prostatectomy for prostate cancer. However, there is substantial crossover in treatment strategies for erectile dysfunction regardless of primary malignancy.

**Assessment of erectile function**

Many questionnaires exist to assess for erectile dysfunction. The International Index of Erectile Function is a commonly used and validated questionnaire for the evaluation of male sexual function. It assesses five domains of sexual function, ie, erectile function, orgasmic function, sexual desire, intercourse satisfaction, and overall satisfaction. The Sexual Health Inventory of Men is a simple five-question instrument that examines erectile function over the previous 6 months. It was created as a simpler and abridged version of the International Index of Erectile Function. The Erection Hardness Score is a single-item, patient-reported outcome for scoring erection hardness, which is a simple and reliable tool as well. Lastly, the Quality of Erection Questionnaire is a six-item measure that measures satisfaction of men and the quality of their erections.
Mechanism of erectile function and dysfunction

The penis contains three distinct cylindrical-shaped structures. The paired corpora cavernosa lie in the dorsal aspect, and the corpus spongiosum, which encases the urethra, lies on the ventral aspect. In the flaccid state, the cavernosal smooth muscles are contracted and allow a light amount of arterial blood flow for normal tissue health. During sexual arousal, neurotransmitters are released from the cavernosal nerve terminals, leading to dilation of the arterial blood vessels. This causes trapping of blood by the expanding sinusoids, compression of venous blood vessels, and reduction of venous flow. The penis then enters the erect state.19

Multiple mechanisms for smooth muscle relaxation exist. One main mechanism involves cyclic adenosine monophosphate and cyclic guanine monophosphate. Prostaglandins and other factors are involved with cyclic adenosine monophosphate signaling. Intracavernosal injection of prostaglandins can be utilized as an effective treatment for erectile dysfunction. Furthermore, it has been determined that hydrolysis, and thus termination, of cyclic adenosine monophosphate and cyclic guanine monophosphate is carried out by phosphodiesterases (PDEs). There are eleven families of PDE, but PDE5 is by far the principal PDE for termination of cavernous cyclic guanine monophosphate signaling. Medications aimed at inhibiting PDE5 are used to treat erectile dysfunction.19

Regardless of surgical technique, prostatectomy results in disruption of the normal anatomy and nerve supply to the penis. These nerves govern the functional aspects of erection. In 1982, Walsh and Donker20 described the innervation of the corpora cavernosa. The neurovascular bundle branches out from the pelvic plexus and courses posterolaterally outside the prostatic fascia and is covered by the levator fascia. The seminal vesicles are removed with the prostate gland; thus, the pelvic plexus, in close proximity to the tip of the seminal vesicles and anterior to the rectum, may be damaged. Prior to the introduction of nerve-sparing techniques, impotence was essentially guaranteed after radical prostatectomy. Walsh’s studies utilizing nerve-sparing techniques improved potency rates to as high as 86% if both neurovascular bundles were spared.21 Besides a nerve-sparing approach, other preoperative risk factors or predictive factors have been evaluated. Multiple studies have shown that younger age, localized disease, good preoperative sexual function, as well as preservation of neurovascular bundles are predictive of higher postoperative potency.22,23

A period of erectile dysfunction is expected immediately postoperatively due to this neuropathic change. This leads to a loss of daily or nocturnal erections. A lack of cavernous oxygenation leads to secondary nonreversible damage of the corporal smooth muscle erectile tissue.24 Apoptosis and fibrosis develop within the corpora, resulting in further destruction of erectile tissue and collagen accumulation.25

Erectile rehabilitation

The practice of erectile rehabilitation is commonly performed in the clinical setting and previous reports have found that up to 87% of physicians utilize some form of erectile rehabilitation.26 Unfortunately, guidelines for this form of therapy are lacking, and this has led to wide variation in practice patterns, including PDE5 inhibitors, intracavernosal injections, vacuum devices, and intraurethral medications. The landmark clinical study in support of penile rehabilitation was reported by Montorsi et al, who developed the idea of penile rehabilitation and the positive effect of intracorporeal alprostadil injection after radical prostatectomy.27 Penile rehabilitation implies medical treatment at the time of or after surgery to improve the restoration of erectile function. The approach is intended to provide a reversal of penile hypoxia, penile atrophy, veno-occlusive dysfunction, and smooth muscle apoptosis, which are implicated in the pathophysiology of erectile dysfunction. Additionally, penile rehabilitation provides a long-term strategy rather than an on-demand strategy for an important quality of life outcome. Because the natural recovery of post-treatment erectile function may be as long as 2 years,28 it is possible that penile rehabilitation may simply accelerate the natural healing time of erections rather than saving patients from permanent erectile dysfunction.

Phosphodiesterase inhibitors have become the mainstay of penile rehabilitation after surgical removal of the prostate.29 Briganti et al reported that patients who underwent penile rehabilitation with PDE5 inhibitors after radical prostatectomy had significant improvement in erectile function recovery 3 years out from surgery.30 There were two large studies regarding penile rehabilitation that had conflicting results. One study compared sildenafil 50–100 mg daily with a placebo and reported significant improvement in the sildenafil group. However, the other study compared daily vardenafil versus on-demand medical therapy and determined that there was no significant difference between the two groups. All in all, there is no consensus on the appropriate PDE5 inhibitor formulation, dose, or timing after surgery.

Vacuum erection device

Early reports of the vacuum erection device concept date to 1874 when American physician John King noted that
application of a “glass exhauster” to the male penis would increase its size. Geddings D Osbon, Sr, is regarded as the individual who popularized the vacuum erection device in the 1960s, and the US Food and Drug Administration approved the first marketed vacuum erection device in 1982. The vacuum erection device creates an artificial erection by forming a vacuum via suction of air to draw blood into the penis. A constricting band can then be placed at the base of the penis in order to maintain the erection. Colombo et al found that patients who practiced early application of a vacuum erection device without the constrictive band to produce “stretching” of the smooth muscle fibers showed significant improvement in spontaneous erectile ability as well.

In the 1980s, initial studies demonstrated the effectiveness and safety profile of the vacuum erection device. Nadig et al performed a study of 35 men with a 91% rate of erection rigid enough for vaginal penetration, and the rate of penile ecchymoses or petechiae was less than 25%. A vacuum erection device can be utilized regardless of the cause of erectile dysfunction and is considered a safe, effective first-line treatment option with no systemic side effects. It also provides a level of long-term cost saving as it is a one-time, flat fee for purchase. It can be used alone or in conjunction with other medical treatments. It does however require manual dexterity from the patient to control the device. Some men may complain of pain from the constriction band. Zippe et al reported a study in which patients successfully used the vacuum erection device after radical prostatectomy and confirmed its safety and tolerability, thus confirming it as a viable option for post-surgical erectile dysfunction.

In a prospective randomized trial, 80% of men using the vacuum erection device daily after a nerve-sparing radical prostatectomy had erections sufficient for intercourse. Further analysis showed that 32% had return of nocturnal erections and 17% had spontaneous erections. Of those patients who were unsatisfied with the device, the addition of sildenafil to device usage led to significant improvement in satisfaction in 77% of patients. Additionally, regardless of nerve-sparing status, early use of a vacuum erection device following radical prostatectomy facilitates early sexual intercourse and early patient and spousal sexual satisfaction. This was noted by Raina et al in a study of 109 radical prostatectomy patients who were randomized to a vacuum erection device daily for 9 months or observation alone. Eighty percent of patients using the vacuum erection device had vaginal intercourse twice per week, with a spousal satisfaction rate of 55%. On a similar note, the vacuum erection device retains high efficacy rates despite a non-nerve sparing operation, with rates as high as 52%. Another prospective randomized trial showed that daily use of a vacuum erection device in combination with a constriction band can preserve penile length at 6-month follow-up from treatment. Overall, the vacuum erection device has shown positive responses for penile rehabilitation, erectile function, and preservation of penile length. It should be considered a first-line option for post-surgical erectile dysfunction.

Phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors

PDE5 inhibitors have been available for more than ten years and have become a mainstay of treatment for erectile dysfunction. The mechanism of action of PDE5 inhibitors includes blocking the breakdown of cyclic guanosine monophosphate, which is involved in the nitric oxide-dependent pathway of erections. An intact neurological mechanism must be present for patients to derive benefit from this class of medications. The three PDE5 inhibitors available are sildenafil, vardenafil, and tadalafil. These medications have minor differences in their half-lives, but all have been shown to improve erectile function.

PDE5 inhibitors remain a common treatment option for post-surgical erectile dysfunction and are the mainstay of therapy. They are commonly utilized in the on-demand setting and are also common in the penile rehabilitation setting with daily use protocols. Utilizing sildenafil every other day after radical prostatectomy can lead to preservation of cavernous body smooth muscle content. Furthermore, patients receiving a higher dose have an increase in smooth muscle content. PDE5 inhibitors can improve erectile function after surgical removal of the prostate from a basic science mechanism.

Typically, response rates to PDE5 inhibitors improve as time passes after radical prostatectomy and rates of response range widely from 15% to 80%. Nehra et al performed a prospective randomized study showing that patients undergoing treatment with vardenafil postoperatively had superior scores for intercourse satisfaction, orgasmic function, erection hardness, and overall sexual satisfaction compared with placebo. PDE5 inhibitors have been used with success in the penile rehabilitation setting as well, as discussed by Montorsi et al, and are now the standard initial treatment option in the community setting. Early use of a PDE5 inhibitor may lead to spontaneous return of erections as well. In a study assessing daily PDE5 inhibitor use for 9 months following prostatectomy, there was a seven-fold improvement in spontaneous erections at one year. These patients had normal preoperative erectile function and began use of medication.
(placebo, sildenafil 50 mg, sildenafil 100 mg) 1 month after prostatectomy. After the 9-month treatment period, patients went untreated for 2 months and the authors found that 4% of the placebo group and 26% of the sildenafil group had spontaneous return of erections sufficient for intercourse.

One of the most successful studies regarding PDE5 inhibitors in the post-surgical setting was a 2008 European, multisite, placebo-controlled trial by Montorsi et al.49 This trial enrolled over 600 patients 9 months post-prostatectomy who were randomly assigned to daily vardenafil penile rehabilitation, on-demand vardenafil, or placebo control. After the 9-month treatment period, there was a 2-month placebo washout period during which no patient received a PDE5 inhibitor for 2 months. After washout, a 2-month vardenafil on-demand period with dose-adjustment was performed. International Index of Erectile Function scores after this time period were 24.8%, 32%, and 48.2% for placebo, penile rehabilitation, and on-demand dosing, respectively, and were statistically significant. This trial suggests that on-demand PDE5 inhibitor dosing after prostatectomy may be the optimum treatment schedule.

**Intracavernosal injection**

Intracorporeal formulations of alprostadil, papaverine, and phentolamine are injected into the corporal bodies to achieve erections by promoting smooth muscle relaxation. They have a wide variety of applications and can be successful in all forms of erectile dysfunction. Intracorporeal injections were first shown to be effective in 1983 by Brindley et al.41 Intracorporeal injections have also been used after radiation therapy and demonstrated very high success rates in a small number of patients.42 Despite neurogenic factors relating to erectile dysfunction, intracorporeal injections have been successful as well.43 Montorsi et al44 have also shown that the injections can be utilized successfully in the penile rehabilitation setting.

Alprostadil injections 6 months after radical prostatectomy led to erections sufficient for intercourse in 67% of patients versus 20% in a control group.44 Other studies have cited response rates as high as 90%.44 A moderately large questionnaire study examined 117 patients with post-prostatectomy erectile dysfunction who had failed a trial of PDE5 inhibitors and were provided with intracorporeal injections.45 In sexually active patients, intracorporeal injections were associated with significantly improved erectile function. Furthermore, a review by Mulhall et al showed greater patient satisfaction rates and a greater return of spontaneous functional erections for intracorporeal injections, as compared with PDE5 inhibitors.46 Unfortunately, despite the high rate of effectiveness, up to 80% of patients may withdraw from therapy due to dissatisfaction with response, pain, or other factors.47,48 One of the greatest difficulties with penile injections is the negative reaction men have towards the thought of a needle inserted into the penis. A small study showed that the majority of patients experienced little to no pain from the needle or medication, and for men with pain the severity was relatively low.49 This is important to note because short-term psychological counseling to educate patients on these factors might improve outcomes and long-term acceptance of injection therapy.50

On a similar note, intraurethral use of alprostadil has been effective for the treatment of erectile dysfunction. The effectiveness of intraurethral medications is in the range of 30%–55% to provide an erection sufficient for intercourse.51 Unfortunately, the use of intraurethral alprostadil has not been extensively studied in the post-surgical setting of radical prostatectomy. Intracorporeal injections or intraurethral use of vasoactive substances may be a good second-line therapy in men who do not experience good improvement with oral medications such as the PDE5 inhibitors.

**Penile prosthesis**

The surgical placement of a penile prosthesis is widely used for erectile dysfunction, regardless of the etiology. It is typically the treatment strategy of choice after other treatment options have failed. Semi-rigid and inflatable devices are available. The inflatable device affords the advantage of a natural experience, given that it can be deactivated and made flaccid when not in use. The main risks are infection and erosion, although infection rates are typically less than 2%.52 Satisfaction rate among patients and partners using penile prostheses is the highest of all the various treatment modalities for erectile dysfunction, being as high as 85%–90%.53 In broader terms, the three-piece inflatable penile prosthesis has one of the highest patient satisfaction rates for all medically implanted devices and the lowest mechanical revision rates.54

A study by Menard et al examined a database of over 400 patients and showed penile prosthesis placement after radical prostatectomy has a complication rate of less than 5% for infection, revision, or mechanical failure, as well as an overall satisfaction rate of 86.1%.55 Furthermore, in this study, patients with post-radical prostatectomy and a penile prosthesis were compared with patients with vasculogenic erectile dysfunction and a penile prosthesis.55 There were no significant differences with respect to surgical complications,
mechanical failure, or infections. In addition, the overall satisfaction rates ranged from 86% to 90% and were similar between the groups. Megas et al performed a comparative study of a penile prosthesis and PDE5 inhibitors, with favorable results for the penile prosthesis. The functional and satisfaction scores improved in both groups, but the overall degree of change was greater for the prosthesis group at 12, 18, and 24 months following surgery.

Conclusion

Patients who undergo surgical removal of the prostate, whether by radical prostatectomy or cystoprostatectomy, will often experience impairment of erection in the early postoperative period due to disruption of blood and nerve supply. Surgical technique, nerve-sparing status, patient age, comorbid conditions, and pretreatment potency status all have an effect on post-surgical erectile dysfunction. Vacuum erection devices, oral PDE5 inhibitors, intracorporeal injections, and penile prostheses are used for treatment and rehabilitation. Erectile rehabilitation has been shown to provide more rapid return of erectile function. With careful patient counseling and proper treatment selection, high patient satisfaction and improved erectile function can be achieved. We advise that patients use a vacuum erection device daily in the early postoperative period in combination with either on-demand or daily PDE5 inhibitors. As the erectile function improves, the dependency on one or the other can be withdrawn. For patients who do not respond to a vacuum erection device or PDE5 inhibitors, consideration should be given to intraurethral alprostadil, intracorporeal injections, or a penile prosthesis.
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