The effects of sulodexide on both clinical and molecular parameters in patients with mixed arterial and venous ulcers of lower limbs
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Introduction

Chronic ulceration of the lower limbs is a serious clinical condition that induces pain and loss of limb function along with an impairment of quality of life and an increase in health care costs.1,2 In Western countries, the incidence of ulceration is rising in the population due to an increase in both life expectancy and risk factors for atherosclerotic stenosis, ie, smoking, obesity, and diabetes.3–5 Chronic venous ulceration (CVU),
the pathophysiologic evolution of chronic venous disease, affects 1% of the adult population and is associated with a marked decrease in the quality of life and an increase in economic burden.6–8 Around 15%–30% of patients with CVU have signs of arterial impairment presenting with a reduced ankle-brachial pressure index ([ABPI] lower than 0.8).9–12 Mixed ulcers are characterized by edema, eczema, hyperkeratosis, maceration, inadequate presence of granulation tissue, rolled wound edges, and delayed healing.12,13 The biomolecular substrate of these manifestations is the change in both structure and function of the extracellular matrix (ECM). ECM is a network of interlacing macromolecules that forms a supporting structure for vascular wall and skin integrity and is maintained by the action of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) (which degrade ECM proteins) and their inhibitors (tissue inhibitors of MMPs).14

Several studies have shown that MMPs play a central role in the pathophysiology of venous and arterial diseases15–26 and in related diseases.27 Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) is a protein belonging to the lipocalin family and is expressed by activated neutrophils. NGAL has the ability to positively modulate the activity of MMP-9 in particular, by forming the NGAL/MMP-9 complex, protecting MMP-9 from proteolytic degradation. Inhibition of MMPs could represent a possible therapeutic intervention to limit the progression of leg ulceration. In particular, some authors have documented the efficacy of glycosaminoglycans in patients with chronic ulcers of the lower limbs.28,29 The term “glycosaminoglycan” refers to a category of related molecules that share common biologic properties, including heparin, low-molecular-weight heparin, heparan sulfate, and mixed glycosaminoglycan formulations, such as sulodexide (SDX). In particular, the role of SDX in vascular disease and its inhibitory effect on the proteolytic activity has been reported.30–34

The aim of this study was to evaluate clinical effects of SDX and its correlation with MMPs and NGAL expression in patients with mixed arterial and venous leg ulcers.

Materials and methods

Study design

We performed an open-label, parallel-groups study, which was conducted between January 2010 and December 2012 in four clinical departments (Catanzaro 1, Catanzaro 2, Messina, and Naples) and with prior approval from the investigational review board of CIFL at University Magna Graecia of Catanzaro, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Before the beginning of the study, all participants provided written informed consent. In all patients, at the time of admission, the medical history was recorded and clinical examination, laboratory findings, and duplex ultrasonography were performed. During debridement, biopsies of the ulcers were taken and frozen (−80°C) for Western blot evaluation of MMPs and NGAL expression.

Venous diseases were classified according to Clinical, Etiology, Anatomy, Pathophysiology (CEAP) classification.35 Superficial and deep vein systems and severity of venous reflux by duplex ultrasound and computed hemodynamic mapping were evaluated, as previously described.36,37 Arterial diseases were classified according to the ABPI:38 normal arteries (ABPI >0.80); moderate arterial disease (0.5 < ABPI <0.85); and severe arterial disease (ABPI <0.5).

Patients

Patients eligible for this study were of both sexes, older than 20 years, with a clinical and instrumental diagnosis of mixed ulcer, presence of venous reflux flow, ABPI >0.5 and <0.8, ulcer duration >6 weeks, ulcer size 2.5–10 cm², and >50% granulation tissue on the wound bed.

Patients were excluded for the presence of diabetes mellitus; rheumatoid arthritis; malignancy; blood disorders; systemic disease; no current episode of ulceration; wound infection; ABPI <0.5 (patients with severe arterial disease at presentation were considered for arterial imaging with a view to revascularization) or >0.8; systolic ankle pressure <60 mmHg; presence of necrotic tissue on the wound bed; use of medications that may impair wound healing; pain at rest; sensory loss (neuropathy); cardiac insufficiency; and medial calcinosis.

Healing evaluation

The healing was assessed in agreement with previous studies.16,20 Briefly, healing was calculated by means of computed planimetry at T1 and T2 of the study compared to initial measurement at T0. The result was divided by the number of weeks that the patient has been observed to obtain the total area healed per week.

For wound healing evaluation, we considered as rapid-healing ulcers those with a healing speed rate ≥1 cm²/week and slow-healing ulcers those with a healing speed rate <1 cm²/week.

Experimental protocol

Blood samples were collected at the time of admission (T0) and 1 month (T1), 3 months (T2), and 6 months later (T3) in all enrolled patients, in order to evaluate plasma levels of...
MMPs and NGAL through enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Moreover, at the time of surgery, biopsies of ulcers were taken to evaluate the expression of MMPs and NGAL through Western blot analysis.

**ELISA test**
In order to evaluate plasma MMPs and NGAL levels, blood samples were collected at the time of the admission in accordance with our previous studies.20–26

ELISA testing was performed with a commercially available generic ELISA kit (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA), using anti-MMP-2, MMP-8, MMP-9, and anti-NGAL monoclonal antibodies (monoclonal antibody kit against activated form of MMPs; EMD Millipore) that recognized only activated MMPs.

For both MMPs and NGAL, the results were evaluated with respect to a control group without ulcers.

**Western blot evaluation**
Wounds were biopsied at the time of the surgery (T1) under a 1% lidocaine local anesthesia and with full sterile precautions. The biopsy was made at a point equidistant from the center and edge of the ulcer. Our experience with biopsies in these patients indicates that the biopsy is well tolerated by the subject and does not influence healing outcomes in venous ulcers. Biopsy was immediately placed into a sterile collection container and sent for quantitative (microbiology) culture.

The biopsies obtained at the time of wound bed preparation (T1 and T2) were lysed for Western blot analysis in 2 mL of tissue protein extraction reagent (25 mM Bicine, 150 mM sodium chloride, pH 7.6; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The extracts were stored at −80°C.

Immunoblotting was performed using anti-MMP-2, MMP-8, MMP-9, and anti-NGAL monoclonal antibodies (monoclonal antibody kit against activated form of MMPs; Millipore Corporation) that recognized only activated MMPs and NGAL, and results have been expressed as arbitrary units, as recently described.20–26 All experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Quality of life measurement**
The EQ-5D™ questionnaire was used in order to measure health outcomes and quality of life of study patients.

**Statistical analysis**
All data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean. Student’s t-test was performed in order to analyze the difference between each group and the control. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to evaluate the differences among the groups. Differences identified by ANOVA were pinpointed by unpaired Student’s t-test. The threshold of statistical significance was set at \( P<0.05 \). SPSS software (version 21.0; IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for the statistical analyses.

We defined this study as exploratory, therefore we did not determine a power calculation. In this light, the results can only be labeled as exploratory.

**Results**

**Patients**
During the study period, 53 patients of both sexes were enrolled and divided into two groups by means of randomization tables.

- Group A (treated group) comprised 18 females and ten males (median age: 68.7 years) with mixed ulcers and evidence of venous reflux at duplex scanning, treated with standard treatment + SDX (600 lipoprotein lipase-releasing units [LRUs]/day intramuscularly) for 15 days followed by SDX 250 LRU every 12 hours orally for 6 months as adjunctive treatment.
- Group B (control group) comprised 17 females and eight males (median age: 64.2 years) with mixed ulcers treated with standard treatment only.

All patients were subjected to the most appropriate surgical treatment (defined as standard treatment), considering also the patient’s wishes. The type of surgery, when it was accepted, was chosen according to anatomical level of vein incompetence: superficial venous surgery (Cure Conservatrice et Haemodinamique de l’Insuffisance Veineuse en Ambulatorie [CHIVA] procedure was used for the correction of superficial venous reflux) and/or subfascial endoscopic perforating surgery after computed hemodynamic mapping, as previously described.15–17,20–22,36,37

All patients received the application of a multicomponent, multilayer, compression bandage with pressure of 20–30 mmHg.

Patient characteristics are reported in Table 1.

**Wound healing**
Our results revealed a nonsignificant difference between groups A and B in both median ulcer area and mean area heal/week at admission (T1). In contrast, at the end of the treatment (T2), we documented a significant improvement in
**Table 1** Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age range, years</td>
<td>48–82</td>
<td>48–87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age, years</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>64.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>10 (35.7)</td>
<td>8 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>18 (64.28)</td>
<td>16 (64.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of venous disease, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (53.57)</td>
<td>13 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venous insufficiency, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial, n (%)</td>
<td>19 (67.86)</td>
<td>16 (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial and deep, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (32.14)</td>
<td>9 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight (BMI 25–29.9 kg/m²), n (%)</td>
<td>13 (46.42)</td>
<td>20 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity (BMI ≥30 kg/m²), n (%)</td>
<td>5 (17.66)</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>18 (64.29)</td>
<td>19 (76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia, n (%)</td>
<td>25 (89.28)</td>
<td>23 (92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 &lt; ABPI &lt; 0.85</td>
<td>16 (57.14)</td>
<td>11 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial disease, n (%)</td>
<td>28 (100)</td>
<td>25 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ileofemoral, n (%)</td>
<td>18 (64.28)</td>
<td>17 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoropopliteal, n (%)</td>
<td>10 (35.7)</td>
<td>8 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claudication, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking distance &gt;200 m</td>
<td>9 (32.14)</td>
<td>10 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking distance &lt;200 m</td>
<td>19 (67.66)</td>
<td>15 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcer area, cm²</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>6.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total patients, n (%)</td>
<td>28 (100)</td>
<td>25 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Group A: patients treated with sulodexide. Group B: patients without sulodexide.

**Abbreviations:** ABPI, ankle-brachial pressure index; BMI, body mass index.

wound healing in Group A with respect to Group B (P<0.01) (Table 2).

**MMPs and NGAL plasma evaluation**

Using ELISA testing, we documented significantly higher levels (P<0.01) of plasma MMP-2, MMP-8, MMP-9, and NGAL in wound patients (Group A and B) with respect to control patients (Group C) (data not shown).

Group C consisted of 14 healthy volunteer patients (seven male and seven female, age range 50–80 years, median age: 66 years). In these patients, blood samples were taken in order to evaluate through the ELISA test both MMPs and NGAL values (Table 3).

We detected significantly lower levels of MMP-2, MMP-9, NGAL, and MMP-8 in patients treated with SDX (Group A) with respect to untreated patients (Group B), with a time-dependent pattern (Table 4).

**MMPs and NGAL tissue expression**

Western blot analysis showed a lower expression of MMP-2, MMP-9, NGAL, (P<0.01), and MMP-8 (P<0.05) in patients treated with SDX, with respect to untreated patients (Figure 1).

**Quality of life**

Quality of life was significantly higher for Group A (treated group) patients than for those in Group B (control group) (Figures 2 and 3) in the following areas of investigation: pain/discomfort; anxiety/depression; mobility; self care; usual activities.

**Discussion**

In this study, we evaluated the effects of SDX on clinical and biomolecular parameters in patients with mixed arterial and venous chronic leg ulcers.

Studies have shown that the majority of leg ulcers are associated with venous disease (estimates range from 40%–80%), since other risk factors, including immobility, obesity, trauma, arterial disease, vasculitis, diabetes, and neoplasia, may also be present.41,42

Mixed ulcers have the features of CVU in combination with signs of arterial impairment; therefore, diagnosis of mixed ulcers is crucial because CVU is best managed using multilayer graduated compression bandaging,43,44 while compression is not appropriate for mixed ulcers45 because it may cause deterioration of tissue vitality and limb loss.46 However, recent studies have shown that compression therapy from 20–30 mmHg can improve arterial perfusion and venous function in patients with ABPI between 0.5 and 0.8 and support the ulcer healing.13,47

As previously described,8,15,19–26 the pathophysiological processes that characterize chronic ulcer onset are the activation of immune system cells and the secretion of specific protease enzymes known as MMPs. Previously, we documented that MMPs are involved in several vascular diseases.48

In the present study, we have documented that MMP-2, MMP-8, MMP-9, and NGAL were strongly expressed in patients with mixed wound etiology with respect to the control Group C. Recently, several authors reported the involvement of MMPs in venous ulcers46,49,30 and their association with NGAL values.20,51 In the present study, we documented higher levels of NGAL in patients with mixed ulcer that could
justify the severity of mixed vascular pathology observed in our study group. NGAL is a 25 kDa protein stored in the granules of human neutrophils and released during the activation of these cells. NGAL positively modulates the activity of MMP-9, forming the NGAL/MMP-9 complex and protecting, in this way, MMP-9 from proteolytic degradation. Another important result is the high concentration of MMP-8, the predominant collagenase present in normal healing wounds. Over-expression and activation of this collagenase may be involved in the pathogenesis of non-healing chronic leg ulcers.22,52

The current results confirm the chronic nature of mixed ulcers and their tendency to slow down the normal healing processes. Recently, we and others documented in CVU patients that treatment with doxycycline as well as with a new nutraceutical substance modifying plasma MMP values improved both clinical symptoms and healing of ulcers.51–53

Evaluating the inflammatory nature of mixed ulcers and the involvement of MMPs in the physiopathology of these ulcers, it has been suggested that some drugs mimicking the action of endogenous tissue inhibitors of MMPs may be used in the treatment of venous and arterial diseases, including mixed ulcers.28–31,54–56 In particular, Mannello et al12 documented that SDX is able to inhibit the MMP-9 gelatinase secretion and activity. SDX is a highly purified mixture of

Table 3 ELISA test evaluation of MMPs, at different times, in patients with CVUs treated (Group A) or not (Group B) with sulodexide for 6 months (end of study)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MMP-2</th>
<th>MMP-8</th>
<th>MMP-9</th>
<th>NGAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>Group B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SEM</td>
<td>Mean ± SEM</td>
<td>Mean ± SEM</td>
<td>Mean ± SEM</td>
<td>Mean ± SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>645.4±18</td>
<td>649.8±19.3</td>
<td>2.41±0.15</td>
<td>2.45±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>591.2±16.5</td>
<td>634.6±20.2</td>
<td>2.21±0.13</td>
<td>2.35±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>520.9±31.1</td>
<td>594.4±20.4</td>
<td>1.76±0.1</td>
<td>2.01±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>478.3±15.6</td>
<td>517.2±12.9</td>
<td>1.46±0.08</td>
<td>1.78±0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: T0: admission; T1: 1 month later; T2: 3 months later; T3: 6 months later.
Abbreviations: CVU, chronic venous ulceration; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; MMP, matrix metalloproteinase; NGAL, neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin; SEM, standard error of the mean.

Table 4 Paired samples t-test evaluation of plasma MMP levels in patients treated (Group A) or not (Group B) with sulodexide

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MMP-8</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>Significance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(two-tailed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP-8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0 A vs B</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>–0.9</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 A vs B</td>
<td>–0.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>–0.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>–1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 A vs B</td>
<td>–0.30</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>–0.4</td>
<td>–0.15</td>
<td>–4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3 A vs B</td>
<td>–0.33</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>–0.5</td>
<td>–0.18</td>
<td>–4.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0 A vs B</td>
<td>–9.4</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>–23.03</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>–1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 A vs B</td>
<td>–42.2</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>–74.8</td>
<td>–9.6</td>
<td>–2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 A vs B</td>
<td>–64.3</td>
<td>21.01</td>
<td>–107.6</td>
<td>–20.9</td>
<td>–3.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3 A vs B</td>
<td>–33.3</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>–66.9</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>–2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP-9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0 A vs B</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>–1.22</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 A vs B</td>
<td>–20.56</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>–32.07</td>
<td>–9.05</td>
<td>–3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 A vs B</td>
<td>–27.08</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>–35.6</td>
<td>–18.5</td>
<td>–6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3 A vs B</td>
<td>–18.16</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>–25.4</td>
<td>–10.9</td>
<td>–5.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0 A vs B</td>
<td>–6.36</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>–12.69</td>
<td>–0.02</td>
<td>–2.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 A vs B</td>
<td>–18.32</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>–29.75</td>
<td>–6.9</td>
<td>–3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 A vs B</td>
<td>–64.16</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>–74.7</td>
<td>–53.5</td>
<td>–12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3 A vs B</td>
<td>–50.32</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>–60.4</td>
<td>–40.2</td>
<td>–10.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: T0: admission; T1: 1 month later; T2: 3 months later; T3: 6 months later. *P<0.05; **P<0.01.
Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval of the difference; MMP, matrix metalloproteinase; NGAL, neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin; SEM, standard error of the mean; t, paired samples t-test values.
glycosaminoglycans composed of low-molecular-weight heparin (80%) and dermatan sulfate (20%).

SDX, as well as heparin, may be able to inhibit leukocyte function and the release of elastase; moreover, SDX also shows in vitro and in vivo profibrinolytic actions.

SDX is useful in the treatment and secondary prevention of ischemic arterial cardiovascular events, deep vein thrombosis, and systemic and local inflammations. Moreover, Andreozzi reported that SDX treatment is associated with significant improvements in the clinical signs and symptoms of venous ulcers, while Coccheri et al. documented in patients with venous leg ulcers that SDX associated with local treatment induced an improvement in ulcer healing without the development of side effects. In our study, we documented that SDX is able to reduce plasma and tissue levels of MMPs and NGAL. These effects may be related with the action of SDX on proteases that possess cysteine residues; we did, in fact, find that SDX has early effects on gelatinase (MMP-2 and MMP-9).

In this study, SDX treatment increased the healing of ulcers with an improvement in clinical symptoms and in quality of life of the enrolled patients. These effects showed a time-dependent pattern, with an initial improvement in the first month and with a complete remission within 3 months. During the follow-up at 6 months, we did not record any signs of wound disease and observed no side effects related to SDX treatment.

**Figure 2** Results of quality of life questionnaire in chronic venous ulceration patients treated with sulodexide for 6 months (at the end of the study) (Group A).
Conclusion

SDX represents a safe and efficacious treatment for patients with ulcers of mixed etiology; however, further studies are necessary to validate the observations presented here.
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