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Abstract: Cryptococcal meningitis causes morbidity and mortality worldwide. The burden of disease is greatest in middle- and low-income countries with a high incidence of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection. Patients taking immunosuppressive drugs and some immunocompetent hosts are also at risk. Treatment of cryptococcal meningitis consists of three phases: induction, consolidation, and maintenance. Effective induction therapy requires potent fungicidal drugs (amphotericin B and flucytosine), which are often unavailable in low-resource, high-endemicity settings. As a consequence, mortality is unacceptably high. Wider access to effective treatment is urgently required to improve outcomes. For human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients, judicious management of asymptomatic cryptococcal antigenemia and appropriately timed introduction of antiretroviral therapy are important.
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Introduction
Cryptococcosis is an important infectious disease globally. The majority of illness is among patients with defective cell-mediated immunity. Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection is the main risk factor, accounting for 95% of cases in middle- and low-income countries (MLICs) and 80% of cases in high-income countries (HICs). Individuals taking immunosuppressive drugs (eg, transplant recipients) constitute most of the remaining caseload, although immunocompetent hosts are susceptible in some settings.

The most common clinical presentation is cryptococcal meningitis (CM), with over 1 million cases and 600,000 deaths per year. Nonmeningeal (eg, pulmonary and cutaneous) presentations also occur, and bloodstream infection (cryptococcemia) may disseminate to multiple sites.

This review describes the epidemiology and management of cryptococcal disease. Worldwide distribution of the pathogen is outlined, incidence trends in patients with varying risk factors are assessed, and the prognostic implications of differing treatment protocols are highlighted.

Epidemiology of the infectious pathogen
Cryptococci are encapsulated saprophytic yeasts. Two species, transmitted by inhalation, are the principal human pathogens: Cryptococcus neoformans and Cryptococcus gattii. C. neoformans was identified by Sanfelice in 1894, and may be divided into two subtypes on the basis of capsular agglutination assays. C. neoformans var.
grubii (capsular serotype D) is the most common, and causes 82% of cryptococcal disease worldwide. Var. neoformans (capsular serotype A) is responsible for 20%–30% of HIV-associated CM in northern Europe (notably France, Italy, and Denmark), but is less common in other global regions. 12,13 Although both subtypes predominantly cause disease in immunocompromised individuals, several reports from the US14 and Asia15 suggest that var. grubii cryptococcosis in patients with normal immune systems is more common than previously assumed. The environmental reservoir of both subtypes is avian guano, decaying organic matter, and soil.5,16

C. gattii is traditionally associated with illness in immunocompetent individuals17–19 from tropical and sub-tropical regions, including Thailand,20 northern Australia,21,22 New Zealand, and Papua New Guinea.23–26 More recently, four molecular subtypes of C. gattii have been identified with distinct epidemiological characteristics that challenge this perspective.6 Whilst VGI (var gattii I) is the main subtype in Australasia, an outbreak of disease attributable to VGII has been described in immunocompetent patients from British Columbia, Canada.27–29 Between 1999 and 2010, 218 cases were identified on Vancouver Island.30,31 In 2006, a further case was reported on Orcas Island, Washington, USA,32 and C. gattii is now endemic throughout the Pacific Northwest of the US.29 Sporadic disease has also been notified in other parts of North America, including Florida, North Carolina, Rhode Island, New Mexico, Michigan, Georgia, and Montana.33 Additionally, C. gattii subtypes VGIII and -IV are more likely to be found in HIV-infected than immunocompetent patients. These strains may account for 2.4%–30% of HIV-associated cryptococcosis in some parts of Central and South America6 and southern Africa.34–37 The burden of human disease due to C. gattii is probably underrecognized, as many laboratories do not undertake detailed speciation of cryptococci.6

The environmental reservoirs of C. gattii are incompletely understood. In Australia, India, and other Asian countries, it has been isolated in eucalyptus trees.38 In British Columbia, it has been isolated from noneucalyptus tree species, soil, air, freshwater, and seawater.29 Discovery of this organism in heterogeneous biogeoclimatic zones suggests that its ecological niche was previously underestimated or that its distribution is expanding.39,40 Possible explanations for a changing distribution include climate change or altered land-use practices, such as logging.

**HIV as a risk factor**
The largest influence on the epidemiology of cryptococcal disease over the last 30 years has been the evolution of the HIV pandemic. Figure 1 shows that a fivefold increase in the incidence of cryptococcosis in France from 1985 to 1993 was almost entirely due to burgeoning disease in HIV-infected patients, while the number of cases in HIV-uninfected patients remained stable.41 Similar trends were observed in other HICs. In the UK, the number of annual cryptococcal case notifications rose from 13 (8% HIV-associated) in 1982 to 66 (83% HIV-associated) in 1991.42 Of 517 cryptococcal infections in New York City in 1991, 96% were HIV-related.43

HIV-infected patients are mainly at risk of cryptococcosis when they become very immunosuppressed and their CD4 count drops below 100 cells/µL.44,45 Consequentially, Figure 1 indicates that after the development of effective combination antiretroviral therapy (ART) in 1997, the upsurge in new cases of cryptococcal disease from HICs was reversed and incidence began to decline.46–48 From 1997–2001, France saw a 46% decrease in cases,41 from 1996 to 2007, incidence per 1,000 persons in the UK fell from three to 0.2,49 and from 1992 to 2000, incidence per 1,000 persons in Atlanta, Georgia, USA fell from 66 to seven.50

Figure 2 shows that disease trends in MLICs have been much worse. It is well recognized that sub-Saharan Africa has been the global region most heavily affected by HIV, with an estimated 2.6 million new infections per year at the peak of the epidemic in 1997.51 Contemporaneously, in the 1990s, CM became the leading cause of adult meningitis in many African countries, including Malawi.
Cryptococcal meningitis (27% of cases), Zimbabwe (45% of cases), and South Africa (31% of cases). Delayed and incomplete access to ART meant that unlike Europe and North America, the incidence of CM did not recede after the turn of the millennium; a tertiary referral hospital in Botswana described C. neoformans growth from 15% of all cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) samples submitted for analysis in 2003, and a report from Cape Town, South Africa noted that CM still accounted for 31% of all inpatient days in new ART patients in 2007. A similar persistent elevation of the cryptococcal disease burden since the 1990s has been described from MLICs in Southeast Asia and Latin America.

In addition to disparities in incidence between HICs and MLICs, there are well-documented differences in outcomes (Figure 2). A combination of earlier access to ART and availability of fungicidal drugs has contributed to falling mortality in HICs, while the death rate in MLICs has been relentlessly high. Analysis of pooled data from case series, surveillance reports, and clinical trials has estimated that the 90-day case-fatality rate from HIV-associated CM in East Asia, Oceania, Western Europe and the US is 9%, compared with 55% in other parts of Asia and South America and 70% in sub-Saharan Africa. Even acknowledging that some North American studies exclude the sickest patients, and some studies in MLICs show better case-fatality rates than others, these results are unacceptable.

Other risk factors
Although HIV is the largest driver of cryptococcal disease, it is important to acknowledge other factors. Prior to the HIV era in the UK, the incidence of cryptococcosis per 1,000 persons increased from 1.4 in 1963–1968 to 7.4 in 1973–1978. This was predominantly attributable to disease in patients on immunosuppressive medications. Use of potent immunosuppressants (eg, corticosteroids, calcineurin inhibitors, cytotoxic agents, and monoclonal antibodies) for transplant conditioning or treatment of cancer and inflammatory conditions has continued to expand in HICs over the last 30 years, sustaining a small but important minority of cryptococcal illness in settings where HIV-related cases are in decline.

Cryptococcosis disease occurs after 2.8%–8% of solid-organ transplants, and is the third-commonest invasive fungal infection in this setting, after Candida and Aspergillus. In a retrospective review of US data from 1996 to 2010, kidney-transplant recipients were most often affected, followed by liver, heart, lung, and pancreas recipients. The median time to diagnosis after solid-organ transplantation is 20 months, and the etiology is normally reactivation of latent disease. Symptoms may emerge sooner after lung or liver transplants, perhaps because the required level of postoperative immunosuppression is higher. The overall likelihood of cryptococcal disease does not vary between patients using tacrolimus, cyclosporine, or azathioprine as the primary immunosuppressive agent, but patients who...
are coadministered high-dose corticosteroids may be at higher risk.5

Infrequently, cryptococcal infection is acquired from donor tissue. This is particularly suspected if disease occurs within 30 days of transplantation, at surgical graft sites, or in multiple organ recipients of a single donor.75–77 Screening of donors is not routinely performed, but should be undertaken if the donor has unexplained pulmonary lesions, undiagnosed neurological illness, or unexplained fever with relevant comorbid risk factors.76,77

Cryptococcal disease is rare following hematopoietic stem cell transplant or corneal tissue transplant.78 Data from a consortium of US transplant centers (Transplant-Associated Infection Surveillance Network) revealed an incidence of only 0.6% in hematopoietic stem cell-transplant recipients between 2001 and 2006.79,80 For unknown reasons, the risk is higher in autologous than allogenic transplants.78

Non-HIV-infected, nontransplant recipients with cryptococcosis are a heterogeneous group. Except for C. gattii outbreaks in immunocompetent hosts, most patients have immune dysfunction related to the pathophysiology or treatment of an underlying autoimmune disease, malignancy, or innate immunological disorder. It is difficult to generalize about these cases, but in HICs they tend to experience higher mortality than their HIV-infected counterparts. The reasons for this include the effects of underlying illness and late diagnosis, because the pathogen was not initially suspected.5,73,81

Clinical presentation

Epidemiological variables, including the nature of immunosuppressive risk factors and pathogen species, influence the presentation of cryptococcal disease. CM is the leading presentation overall,19,41,42,78 but nonmeningeal manifestations are proportionally more frequent in non-HIV-infected individuals.5,42,73,82 Transplant-associated cryptococcosis is often limited to the lungs,53,84 with disseminated or neurological disease in 52%–61% of cases.69,84

Presentation of C. gattii infection in immunocompetent hosts varies according to molecular subtype. In Australia, where the majority of disease is due to VGI, CM is most common,6,85 but in North America, VGII disease presents with respiratory symptoms in 76%, neurological symptoms in 7.8%, and both respiratory and neurological symptoms in 10.1% of cases.19,24,31

The commonest features of CM are subacute headache and confusion. Intracranial pressure (ICP) is often elevated, and may cause cranial nerve palsies or seizures. Classical features of “meningism” (eg, neck stiffness) occur in less than 20% of patients.86 Altered mental state is associated with higher mortality.5,50,87

Neurological infection may be complicated by mass lesions (cryptococcomas). This is more common with C. gattii than C. neoformans. Clinical sequelae of cryptococcomas include hydrocephalus and blindness.88,89 Some patients require neurosurgical intervention.17,18

Forty percent of patients with CM have ocular involvement, including papilledema and uveitis with multifocal choriororetinitis.90,91 Immune-mediated optic nerve dysfunction and blindness have been particularly reported amongst C. gattii patients from Papua New Guinea.84,92 The spectrum of pulmonary illness ranges from asymptomatic colonization to severe, progressive pneumonia and cryptococcomas in the lungs. Skin lesions often contain the infecting organism. In severely immunocompromised individuals, disseminated disease (involvement of two or more sites) may present as fever and rash before other symptoms and signs appear.

Investigations

Confirmation of CM requires lumbar puncture (LP) and examination of CSF, as shown in Figure 3A. Lack of LP equipment may result in underestimation of the disease burden in MLICs.93 Typical CSF features include a raised opening pressure (reflecting elevated ICP), lymphocytic pleocytosis, and evidence of inflammation. However, CSF may be normal in 10%–17% of patients.54,94 especially in HIV-endemic populations.5 Identification of the infecting organism is traditionally done by light microscopy after India ink staining, but this method is user-dependent with variable sensitivity. Detection of cryptococcal antigen (CrAg)
by a latex-agglutination test or lateral flow immunoassay (LFA) is better (Figure 3B). The lateral flow immunoassay is cheaper than latex agglutination, and may be applied to urine samples when CSF is unobtainable. Fungal culture of CSF on Sabouraud media is required to isolate the organism for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Characteristic colonies grow after 36 hours (Figure 3C).

Radiology has little role in the diagnosis of CM, but computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging scans are necessary to detect complications (eg, cryptococcomas and noncommunicating hydrocephalus). These modalities are generally unavailable in MLICs.

Nonmeningeal cryptococcosis may be confirmed by tissue sampling for microbiological analysis. Cryptococcosis is identified from fungal blood cultures or CrAg detection in serum samples. Asymptomatic antigenemia (a positive serum CrAg in the absence of clinical disease) has been described in HIV-infected patients, and may predict impending CM. Screening and treatment for asymptomatic antigenemia will be discussed later.

Treatment and prognosis

The Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and World Health Organization (WHO) have recently issued updated treatment guidelines to reflect advances over the last decade, but routine practice in MLICs continues to be impeded by poor drug availability. Figure 4 summarizes current recommendations.

Induction and consolidation antifungal therapy for HIV-associated CM

CM treatment consists of three phases: induction, consolidation, and maintenance. IDSA and WHO guidelines emphasize the importance of potent fungicidal drugs during induction therapy, because the rate of fungal clearance from the CSF during the first 2 weeks, known as early fungicidal activity (EFA), predicts 10-week survival and CSF sterilization by 14 days predicts long-term prognosis.

Amphotericin B (AmB), the drug with the greatest EFA, is administered intravenously for 14 days during induction therapy whenever possible. Its activity is concentration-dependent, but the required dose (0.7–1.0 mg/kg) of the commonest preparation (AmB deoxycholate [AmBd]) can be nephrotoxic. A solution in HICs is the use of lipid drug formulations with fewer renal side effects, including liposomal AmB (LAMB; 3–6 mg/kg/day) and AmB lipid complex (ABLC, 5 mg/kg/day). The cost of these (over US$1,000 per day) is prohibitive for MLICs, so alternative strategies, including preemptive hydration and electrolyte supplementation, have been developed to minimize the toxicity of AmBd. Studies in Kampala, Cape Town, and Bangkok support this approach.

Even a 2-week course of AmBd (US$12–$15 per day) is too expensive for some LICs. In this scenario, data from South Africa and Malawi have demonstrated that 5–7 days of AmBd is better than treatment that is restricted to less fungicidal drugs. AmBd-treated patients who survive the first 6 months have a subsequent 5-year survival rate of 88%. This advocates for investment in early AmBd to achieve satisfactory long-term outcomes.

Flucytosine should accompany AmB during induction therapy at an intravenous or oral dose of 100 mg/kg/day. Omission of this agent has been associated with higher rates of mortality, treatment failure, and late relapse. Toxic cytopenias can occur during flucytosine therapy, and so regular full blood counts and therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) are advised by IDSA guidelines. TDM is arduous, and the absolute need for it in MLICs was questioned by a recent study in Vietnam that did not use TDM but demonstrated safe and effective use of AmBd-flucytosine.

Despite its value, flucytosine remains unlicensed in most African and Asian countries, so alternative agents have been considered for combination with AmB. The obvious contender is fluconazole, which is freely distributed in MLICs, but is fungistatic rather than fungicidal in the normal human dose range. Definitive evidence to support AmB-fluconazole induction therapy is lacking, but reports from several countries describe rapid EFA when intravenous AmBd (0.7–1 mg/kg/day) is prescribed alongside oral fluconazole (800–1,200 mg/day). A meta-analysis of various induction strategies in resource-poor settings has described AmBd-fluconazole as cost-effective. The WHO recommends this approach when flucytosine cannot be obtained.

After a 14-day induction phase, treatment proceeds with a consolidation phase of 400 mg once daily (od) for a further 8 weeks. This dose may be increased to 800 mg when gold-standard induction therapy with AmB-flucytosine is unavailable.

Gaps in drug provision leave fluconazole as the only agent for induction and consolidation therapy in many high-burden countries, contributing to significant variation in prognosis. The response to this requires discussion. Until recently, the routine dose of fluconazole for CM monotherapy was 200–400 mg od, and 8-week mortality was 78%–90%. In
One South African study from 2006, median patient survival on induction- and consolidation-phase fluconazole (400 mg od) was only 76 days.125

Such poor outcomes prompted dose escalation. Clinical studies in Uganda and Malawi based on 800 mg od induction and 400 mg od consolidation therapy reported improved 10-week mortality rates of 58%–60%.87,126 A further induction-phase dose increase to 1,200 mg was associated with faster EFA,126 but did not improve survival,126,127 and a pharmacokinetic–pharmacodynamic bridging study from a mouse model indicated that 1,200 mg od will fail to achieve fungal stasis in the CSF of 33% of patients.128 An exploratory clinical trial has suggested that induction with 1,600–2,000 mg of fluconazole would be more efficacious,126,129 but additional evaluation of these doses is required. WHO guidelines currently advocate that where fluconazole monotherapy is the only option, 1,200 mg od should be used for 2-week induction therapy followed by a consolidation phase of 800 mg od for 8 weeks.99

A final option when intravenous drug administration is not feasible is a fully oral induction phase of fluconazole and fluycytosine. Trials in Malawi have confirmed that fluconazole (1,200 mg od) and fluycytosine (100 mg/kg/day) achieve faster EFA and lower 10-week mortality than fluconazole alone,70,111,127 suggesting that fluconazole monotherapy should be augmented by induction-phase fluycytosine wherever possible.

Overall, reduced availability of fungicidal drugs continues to compromise outcomes in high-burden countries. An ongoing multicenter clinical trial (Advancing Cryptococcal meningitis Treatment in Africa [ACTA]) in sub-Saharan Africa hopes to confirm the shortest, simplest effective regimens, but will require backup by universal provision of medicines for routine care.

**Maintenance antifungal therapy for HIV-associated CM**

After consolidation therapy, secondary prophylaxis with fluconazole (200 mg od) minimizes the risk of CM relapse.131 Alternative, less effective maintenance regimens include oral itraconazole (200 mg od)137 and intravenous AmBd (1 mg/kg/once weekly).132

The required duration of maintenance therapy has recently been examined, particularly as the 1-year default rate from secondary prophylaxis in some African settings exceeds 90%.133,134 In the pre-ART era, lifelong fluconazole was recommended after a presentation with CM, but it now appears that late relapse is unlikely during successful ART.135–138 International guidelines state that immune restoration by ART permits discontinuation of maintenance therapy (Figure 4). However, evidence to support cessation of
secondary prophylaxis is weaker when induction/consolidation therapy is not fungicidal (eg, fluconazole monotherapy), and isolated CM relapses have been described in patients on ART with CD4 counts up to 495 cells/µL.139

Differences in antifungal therapy for non-HIV-associated CM

Treatment of non-HIV-associated CM varies from that described above, dependent on characteristics of the host and pathogen (Figure 4). Patients developing CM after solid-organ transplant often take nephrotoxic immunosuppressants (tacrolimus, cyclosporine or sirolimus) to prevent graft rejection, and 25% of transplant recipients have renal dysfunction at CM diagnosis. Therefore, kidney-friendly liposomal preparations of AmB (eg, LAmB or ABLC) are recommended during induction therapy. It is uncertain whether immunosuppressive therapy should be stopped during CM treatment; this may accelerate eradication of the pathogen, but poses a risk of proinflammatory immune reconstitution syndrome or transplant rejection.140 Although clear evidence is lacking, some authors report good outcomes with a staged reduction in immunosuppressive therapy alongside antifungal drugs.141

Secondary fluconazole prophylaxis in transplant recipients may stop after 6–12 months, as the late cryptococcal relapse rate is only 1%–3%.142 There is no standard regimen for non-HIV, nontransplant patients with CM. Some authors advocate a longer (4–6 weeks) induction phase of AmB/fluconazole (Figure 4), while others favor a standard 2-week induction phase. Consolidation and maintenance therapy are identical to transplant recipients. C. gattii infection should be treated with the same drugs as C. neoformans, but the response to therapy may be slower, due to higher azole minimum inhibitory concentrations143,144 and poor drug penetration of cryptococcomas.17,18,85

Asymptomatic antigenemia and primary prophylaxis

Between 4% and 20% of patients with newly identified HIV infection and a CD4 count <150 cells/µL have a positive serum CrAg test in the absence of clinical cryptococcosis.145-147 Asymptomatic antigenemia predicts impending CM,148 and is associated with increased mortality.149 Serum CrAg screening of new HIV patients is being implemented in South Africa,150 prompting debate on the use of preemptive therapy for those at highest risk.

Treatment of asymptomatic antigenemia is not recommended in HICs,4 but some studies support fluconazole therapy for serum CrAg-positive patients in MLICs.151-153 A management algorithm is shown in Figure 5.154 However, deployment of preventive screening and therapy strategies is operationally difficult, and an implementation study in Kenya achieved effective fluconazole administration for only 52% of eligible patients.155 The benefit of presumptive therapy for asymptomatic antigenemia will depend on the logistics of integrating this strategy into routine practice at ART clinics.

An alternative means of CM prevention is primary prophylaxis with fluconazole for all patients with CD4 counts <100 cells/µL, irrespective of serum CrAg testing. Studies of this approach in Thailand156 and Uganda157 demonstrated that fluconazole 200 mg once daily reduced the incidence of CM, but there was no reduction in overall mortality and rates of fluconazole-resistant Candida albicans infection increased.158 The cost-effectiveness of no preventive treatment, therapy for asymptomatic antigenemia, or primary prophylaxis is likely to vary between regions, based on the underlying epidemiology of cryptococcal infection and availability of ART.

Additional therapeutic considerations

Outcomes from CM may be improved by reduction of raised ICP during early therapy, careful management of immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (IRIS) and appropriately timed ART initiation for HIV-infected patients.

Reducing raised intracranial pressure

Increased ICP (>25 cm/H2O) is associated with greater CSF fungal burden159 and higher mortality.160 Regular CSF drainage by serial LPs is recommended.115,161-164 Insertion of a temporary CSF-drainage catheter165 or ventriculoperitoneal shunt may also be used.166 Drug therapy (eg, acetazolamide or corticosteroids) to reduce CSF pressure or prevent blindness in CM patients is not beneficial, and acetazolamide may cause harm.88,160,167

Managing immune reconstitution inflammatory syndromes

IRIS in CM patients occurs when host immune recovery triggers inflammatory reactions to persistent fungal antigens. There are two main forms: unmasking and paradoxical (Figure 6).168

Unmasking IRIS occurs in HIV-infected patients when subclinical cryptococcal disease emerges after ART is commenced. This might be prevented by careful pre-ART screening. However, late ART is generally associated with
lower survival, and patients who are already on HIV therapy when they present with CM tend to have better outcomes, so excessive delays should be avoided.

Paradoxical IRIS is best described in HIV-associated CM patients who initially respond to antifungal drugs and then deteriorate within 12 months of starting ART. Studies from South Africa and Ethiopia estimate an incidence of 7%–33%. Paradoxical IRIS also affects solid organ-transplant patients when antirejection medications are interrupted during CM therapy, and apparently healthy hosts may suffer from reactivation of immune defenses that were previously overwhelmed by high fungal burden.

Paradoxical IRIS in the central nervous system has a mortality of up to 36%. Risk factors include severe disease at presentation and slow fungal elimination. The benefit of anti-inflammatory drugs (eg, corticosteroids) is unproven. Highly fungicidal induction-phase therapy and rapid CSF sterilization is the best way to minimize unwanted reactions.

Combining anticytotoxic and anti-HIV medications

In HIV-associated CM, IRIS is more likely during immune reconstitution from lower baseline CD4 counts, and is
The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References


Table 1 Prospective open-label randomized trials to assess optimal timing of antiretroviral therapy (ART) initiation in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected patients with cryptococcal meningitis (CM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study and site</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Baseline CD4 count, cells/μL, median (IQR)</th>
<th>CM induction-phase drugs</th>
<th>Initiation of ART during CM therapy</th>
<th>Study outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zolopa et al,2012 USA and South Africa</td>
<td>282 patients with OIs (35 with CM) 48-week follow-up</td>
<td>29 (10–55) Not stated</td>
<td>&lt;2 weeks versus 4–32 weeks</td>
<td>All patients: fewer AIDS events/deaths in early (20 of 141 [14%]) versus late (34 of 141 [24%]) ART (OR 0.5, 95% CI 0.3–0.9) CM patients: no difference in AIDS events/deaths in early (one of 13 [8%]) versus late (three of 22 [14%]) ART (OR 0.5, 96% CI 0.1–5.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makadzange et al,184 Zimbabwe</td>
<td>54 patients with CM 3-year follow-up</td>
<td>37 (17–69) Fluconazole only</td>
<td>&lt;72 hours versus &gt;10 weeks</td>
<td>Higher mortality in early (23 of 28 [82%]) versus late (12 of 26 [46%]) ART (HR 2.3, 95% CI 1.1–4.9) No difference in mortality in early (two of 13 [15%]) versus late (five of 14 [36%]) ART (OR 0.3, 95% CI 0.1–2.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisson et al,183 Botswana</td>
<td>27 patients with CM 24-week follow-up</td>
<td>29 (11–50) AmB + fluconazole</td>
<td>&lt;7 days versus &gt;28 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulware et al,185 Uganda and South Africa</td>
<td>177 patients with CM 6-month follow-up</td>
<td>19 (9–69) AmB + flucytosine</td>
<td>&lt;14 days versus &gt;28 days</td>
<td>Higher mortality in early (40/88 [45%]) versus late (27 of 89 [30%]) ART (HR 1.7, 95% CI 1.1–2.8; P=0.03)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Includes patients from Puerto Rico.

Abbreviations: IQR, interquartile range; AIDS, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; OR, odds ratio; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval AmB, amphotericin B; OIs, opportunistic infections.

influenced by the timing of ART initiation. Balancing the danger of early mortality from advanced immunosuppression against that of accelerated immune recovery is difficult, and best practice remains to be established. A retrospective study of mortality after ART initiation in Thailand at time points 1–12 months into CM therapy did not show any association between timing of ART and outcome.181 Table 1 summarizes subsequent prospective trials.155,156,157,158,159 The most convincing data come from a recent study in Uganda and South Africa, which was terminated early because ART initiation within the first 28 days of CM treatment led to a higher risk of IRIS and death.185 Introduction of ART 4–10 weeks after starting antifungal treatment is currently considered the safest approach.

Conclusion

Advanced HIV infection continues to drive cryptococcal disease worldwide. Patients on immunosuppressive drugs and some immunocompetent hosts are also at risk. Although treatment with potent drug combinations provides effective cure, poor availability of fungicidal drugs in MLICs results in high case-fatality rates. Expanded provision of fungicidal treatment is urgently required. Ongoing research on management of asymptomatic antigenemia and optimal timing of ART initiation is important to improve the prognosis of HIV-associated CM.
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