Impact of rivaroxaban on stent thrombosis and secondary prevention of cardiovascular events in acute coronary syndrome
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Abstract: Angioplasty and stent implantation have greatly improved the outcome of patients with acute coronary syndrome. However, stents come with the risk of stent thrombosis, which is associated with a high rate of revascularization, myocardial infarction, and death. The inhibition of factor Xa due to rivaroxaban leads to an interruption of the intrinsic as well as the extrinsic coagulation pathway, which reduces thrombus formation as a potential mechanism to diminish the rate of stent thrombosis. In this review, we evaluate the role of rivaroxaban in the prevention of stent thrombosis and its general role in patients with acute coronary syndrome.
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Introduction

Before the era of coronary artery stent implantation, the high rate of restenosis was one of the major problems after angioplasty. With the introduction of drug-eluting stents, the rate of restenosis was significantly reduced. However, drug-eluting stents come with the disadvantage of delayed endothelial healing, which can result in stent thrombosis. Since stent thrombosis is related to a high rate of repeated revascularization, myocardial infarction, and death, a lot of effort has been invested in reducing its rate.

During the early years, different definitions of stent thrombosis made the comparison between trials difficult, and led to conflicting results. In the meantime, the Academic Research Consortium (ARC) developed uniform definitions weighting and defining the likelihood of stent thrombosis (see Table 1). The ARC definitions added a lot of uniformity between the endpoints in clinical trials related to myocardial infarction and coronary revascularization, making interpretation and comparison easier, while still being imperfect.

There are many known factors for stent thrombosis. In a study with sirolimus-eluting stents, stent underexpansion and residual stenosis were significantly associated with stent thrombosis after successful stent placement. Late stent thrombosis seems to be related to incomplete healing and/or inadequate neointimal coverage of the stent. While it is still under debate whether the rate of stent thrombosis is higher in drug-eluting stents, it can definitely be found with bare-metal stents as well. Since the rate of stent thrombosis is higher in patients after acute coronary syndrome (ACS) compared to patients with stable coronary disease, regardless of the type of stent placed, the grade of the underlying vascular inflammation seems to play an important role in stent thrombosis. Due to the devastating outcome of stent thrombosis, much effort is invested into reducing its rate of occurrence.
The pharmacokinetics of rivaroxaban are adequately described by an oral one-compartment model, and the bioavailability of rivaroxaban is dose-dependent. \(^\text{15,16}\) Age and renal function influence the clearance of rivaroxaban, while the body surface area affects the volume of distribution. \(^\text{15}\) However, the influence is small, and over a wide range of renal function no dose adjustment is needed, and no reactive metabolites of rivaroxaban are formed in humans. \(^\text{17}\) Therefore, it has highly predictable pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, which may improve clinical outcomes and reduce unwanted bleeding events without a need for dose adjustments. \(^\text{16}\)

The evidence for rivaroxaban

The efficacy and safety of rivaroxaban have been studied in several large clinical trials for different indications. These studies led to an approval of rivaroxaban for thromboprophylaxis in patients after total knee or hip arthroplasty, \(^\text{18–21}\) stroke prevention in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation, \(^\text{22}\) treatment of acute deep-vein thrombosis, \(^\text{23}\) and pulmonary embolism \(^\text{24}\) by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and European Medicines Agency (EMA) for these indications.

During the last few years, the role of different new oral anticoagulants as an antithrombotic option after ACS has been investigated. The first study of this kind was the ESTEEM (Efficacy and Safety of the oral direct Thrombin inhibitor ximelagatran in patients with recent Myocardial damage) \(^\text{25}\) trial, which used the oral direct thrombin inhibitor ximelagatran. In this study, a significant reduction in the composite end point of death, myocardial infarction, and stroke was shown for the combined treatment compared to aspirin monotherapy after 6 months. Ximelagatran was later withdrawn from the market, due to increased liver
toxicity. In the RE-DEEM (Randomized Dabigatran Etxilate Dose Finding Study in Patients with Acute Coronary Syndromes) study, a Phase II study testing doses of 50–150 mg of the direct thrombin inhibitor dabigatran in addition to dual-antiplatelet therapy in patients with ACS, treatment with dabigatran was associated with a dose-related two- to fourfold increase in bleeding, while reducing surrogate parameters of coagulation activity. While the study was not adequately powered for this end point, no significant difference in death, myocardial infarction, or stroke was seen with the different doses of dabigatran compared to placebo. The APPRAISE (Apixaban for Prevention of Acute Ischemic Events)-2 study, a Phase III study using apixaban in high-risk ACS patients, was terminated prematurely, due to increased bleeding events without evidence for efficacy. In APPRAISE-2, the same dose of apixaban was used as for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation. Therefore, the disproportionately high rate of bleeding might have been related to this high dose in the range of therapeutic anticoagulation.

In the ATLAS ACS (A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Event-Driven Multicenter Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Rivaroxaban in Subjects With a Recent Acute Coronary Syndrome) 2–Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) 51 study, only a fraction of the dose of rivaroxaban as used for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation was added to dual-antiplatelet therapy. To test the hypothesis of a further reduction of stent thrombosis in ACS patients, the ATLAS ACS 2-TIMI 51 study randomly assigned 15,526 patients in a 1:1:1 fashion to twice-daily administration of either 2.5 mg or 5 mg of rivaroxaban twice daily (bid) or placebo, with a maximum follow-up of 31 months (see Figure 2). This therapy was added to standard medical therapy at the enrolling physician’s discretion. Of the 15,342 patients included in the modified intention-to-treat analysis, 9,631 (63%) underwent percutaneous coronary intervention and had at least one stent placed either prior to randomization or during their index event. Apart from a slightly greater age among rivaroxaban patients (mean age 61.7 versus 61.1 years, \( P < 0.001 \)), no difference in baseline characteristics between stented patients randomly assigned to rivaroxaban versus placebo was noticed. When compared to placebo, the administration of 2.5 mg bid of rivaroxaban in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>RE-DEEM</th>
<th>APPRAISE-2</th>
<th>ATLAS ACS-TIMI 51</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of study</td>
<td>Double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-escalation Phase II trial</td>
<td>Double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized Phase III trial</td>
<td>Double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized Phase III trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>1,861</td>
<td>7,392</td>
<td>15,526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary efficacy end point</td>
<td>Composite of cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonhemorrhagic stroke</td>
<td>Composite of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, or ischemic stroke 4.6% (50 mg), 4.9% (75 mg), 3.0% (110 mg), 3.5% (150 mg) versus 3.8% (placebo)</td>
<td>Composite of death from cardiovascular causes, myocardial infarction, or stroke (ischemic, hemorrhagic, or stroke of uncertain cause) 9.1% versus 10.7%, ( P = 0.02 ) (2.5 mg) 8.8% versus 10.7%, ( P = 0.03 ) (5 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary efficacy end point</td>
<td>Reduction in D-dimers 81% (50 mg), 82% (75 mg), 86% (110 mg), and 89% (150 mg); ( P &lt; 0.001 )</td>
<td>Composite of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, ischemic stroke, or unstable angina; composite of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke, or fatal bleeding; composite of death from any cause, myocardial infarction, or ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke 7.2% versus 7.5%, ( P = 0.006 )</td>
<td>Death from any cause, myocardial infarction, or stroke 9.3% versus 11.0%, ( P = 0.02 ) (2.5 mg) 9.1% versus 11.0%, ( P = 0.02 ) (5 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary safety end point</td>
<td>Composite of major or clinically relevant minor bleeding (ISTH, TIMI, GUSTO) 3.5% (50 mg), 4.3% (75 mg), 7.9% (110 mg), and 7.8% (150 mg) versus 2.2%, ( P &lt; 0.001 )</td>
<td>Major bleeding (TIMI) 1.3% versus 0.5%, ( P = 0.001 )</td>
<td>Major bleeding (TIMI) not related to CABG 1.8 versus 0.6%, ( P = 0.001 ) (2.5 mg) 2.4 versus 0.6%, ( P &lt; 0.001 ) (5 mg)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Adapted from International Journal of Cardiology, 167(6), Costopoulos C, Ntsepiouloska-Studen M, Kukreja N, Gorog DA. Novel oral anticoagulants in acute coronary syndrome, 2449–2455. Copyright © 2013, with permission from Elsevier.

**Abbreviations:** RE-DEEM, Randomized Dabigatran Etxilate Dose Finding Study in Patients with Acute Coronary Syndromes; APPRAISE-2, Apixaban for Prevention of Acute Ischemic Events; ATLAS, A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Event-Driven Multicenter Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Rivaroxaban in Subjects with a Recent Acute Coronary Syndrome; TIMI, Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction; bid, twice daily; ISTH, International Society Thrombosis and Haemostasis; GUSTO, Global Utilization of Streptokinase and Tpa for Ocluded Arteries; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting.
Inclusion criteria
>18 years of age, STEMI, NSTEMI, unstable angina
<55 years’ diabetes or previous myocardial infarction
enrollment after stabilization within 7 days

Exclusion criteria
Warfarin use, platelets <90,000 mm³, Hb <10 g/dL, CrCl <30 mL/minute, GI bleeding within 12 months, prior ICH, stroke under aspirin and a thienopyridine

Low-dose aspirin + clopidogrel or ticlopidine (based on national guidelines)

Randomly assigned in a 1:1:1 fashion

Placebo
n=5,176

Rivaroxaban 2.5 mg bid
n=5,174

Rivaroxaban 5.0 mg bid
n=5,176

Primary end points
Efficacy: cardiovascular death, MI, any stroke
Safety: TIMI major bleeding not associated with CABG

Study design of the ATLAS ACS 2-TiMi 51 trial. The trial included 15,526 patients with STEMI, NSTEMI, and unstable angina. Two doses of rivaroxaban were tested against placebo in addition to low-dose aspirin and a thienopyridine (clopidogrel or ticlopidine). The primary efficacy end point was a composite of death from cardiovascular causes, myocardial infarction, or stroke. The primary safety end point was TIMI major bleeding not related to coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG).

Abbreviations: ATLAS, ACS, A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Event-Driven Multicenter Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Rivaroxaban in Subjects With a Recent Acute Coronary Syndrome; TiMi, Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction; NSTEMI, non-ST elevation myocardial infarction; Hb, hemoglobin; CrCl, creatinine clearance; GI, gastrointestinal; ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; bid, twice daily; MI, myocardial infarction.

Safety and tolerability
In general, rivaroxaban is well tolerated, and the rates of adverse events not related to bleeding including clinical and laboratory liver abnormalities were similar in the rivaroxaban and the placebo group. Rivaroxaban can be used in a broad range of patients, but it is not recommended in patients with a creatinine clearance of less than 15 mL/minute (<30 mL/minute for venous thromboembolism patients in the US). Special precaution should be taken in patients with liver disease and coagulopathies.

In the ATLAS ACS 2-TiMi 51 trial, rivaroxaban significantly increased the major bleeding rates not related to coronary-artery bypass grafting (TIMI major bleeding, 2.1% versus 0.6%; P<0.001), as well as the rate of intracranial hemorrhage (0.6% versus 0.2%, P=0.009), without a significant increase in fatal bleeding (0.3% versus 0.2%, P=0.66). The rates of TIMI minor bleeding (1.3% versus 0.5%, P=0.003) and TIMI bleeding requiring medical attention (14.5% versus 7.5%, P<0.001) increased significantly as well.

While the presence of rivaroxaban can be detected by prolonged prothrombin time as well as activated partial thromboplastin time, so far no routine specific coagulation assay for the monitoring of the therapeutic dosing of rivaroxaban is available. This is offset partially by the highly predictive pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of rivaroxaban. However, in some clinical circumstances, such as active bleeding, a better way of monitoring rivaroxaban might be helpful. In addition, no specific antidote for the reversal of rivaroxaban is available, and due to its high plasma protein binding, it is not expected to be dialyzable. A study of a recombinant protein that was able to reverse the anticoagulative effect of rivaroxaban raises hopes that this might change in the near future. So far, in the case of severe bleeding under active treatment with rivaroxaban, reversal with prothrombin complex concentrate, activated prothrombin complex concentrate, or recombinant factor VIIa is recommended. These recommendations are not based on clinical trials, but on...
Rivaroxaban and stent thrombosis in ACS

Efficacy and safety end points with rivaroxaban 2.5 mg bid

Physiologic considerations and expert opinions. Therefore, the problem of severe bleeding under active treatment and how to manage it is still waiting for a solution.

Limitations and outlook

While the role of rivaroxaban has been established in such indications as treatment of patients with atrial fibrillation and venous thromboembolism, its role in patients with ACS is still developing. In the ATLAS ACS 2-TIMI 51 trial, rivaroxaban significantly improved ischemic outcomes in ACS patients on dual-antiplatelet therapy. However, the FDA declined approval of rivaroxaban for the treatment of patients with recent ACS three times. One of the main reasons for the first decline was the high rate of missing data. Therefore, the distributor submitted vital status on 843 of the 1,338 subjects in ATLAS for whom vital status was unknown at the end of the trial. However, approval was declined again, since the strength of evidence for the primary end point was judged to be inadequate by the Division of Cardiovascular and Renal Products (DCaRP) of the FDA.

The third time, the distributor was seeking approval for rivaroxaban for a limited duration of treatment – 90 days. The DCaRP questioned the superiority of the 2.5 mg bid dose to the 5 mg bid dose for the primary efficacy end point, because it would lack biological plausibility, and the only independent information from the much-smaller Phase II dose-ranging study ATLAS ACS TIMI 46 did not suggest such superiority. Furthermore, they disputed the exclusion of the three Indian study sites for misconduct, inclusion of which would modestly increase the P-value for the primary efficacy analysis from 0.024 to 0.032. Since ATLAS was not designed to provide information about the best duration of therapy and the P-value was deemed not sufficiently low for the approval of rivaroxaban for a limited duration of treatment on January 16, 2014.

The benefit of an additional treatment with rivaroxaban in ATLAS was especially pronounced during the first 30–90 days of treatment. The strong appeal of such shorter duration of treatment over only 1–3 months was appreciated by the DCaRP; nevertheless, the data from the ATLAS trial were deemed not sufficient to proof this theory, since the trial was not designed to discriminate between different treatment durations. In March 2013, the EMA Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use granted an indication for rivaroxaban 2.5 mg bid in patients with ACS.
Besides these issues, rivaroxaban might have a much more important role in the treatment of ACS without the use of the new P2Y$_{12}$-receptor antagonists ticagrelor and prasugrel. Both have become new options for patients with ACS at high risk for coronary events or stent thrombosis. Since rivaroxaban has only been tested in addition to a combined treatment with aspirin and clopidogrel or aspirin and ticlopidine, but not with one of the new P2Y$_{12}$-receptor antagonists, its role in combination with one of the new P2Y$_{12}$-receptor antagonists remains unclear. Due to a potential further increase in adverse bleeding events, such a combination cannot be recommended at present.

One potential treatment area for rivaroxaban in ACS might be in patients at very high risk for another cardiovascular event, for a limited duration of time of about 30 days. Treatment with rivaroxaban for a limited period of time after an ACS has an intuitive appeal, since the absolute rate of cardiovascular events is highest immediately after an episode of ACS and declines to a rather steady level thereafter. The hazard ratio for efficacy was best at 30 days in ATLAS, and a good net clinical benefit was reached. However, as mentioned earlier, ATLAS was not designed for answering questions about treatment duration, and even at the 30-day time point the confidence intervals are broad. Therefore, another trial would be needed to definitively prove the superiority of rivaroxaban for a limited duration of treatment.

Another potential area of improved outcomes with rivaroxaban might be in patients with an indication for a therapeutic anticoagulation (eg, atrial fibrillation) and an ACS with a newly placed stent. Here, the combination of rivaroxaban with a P2Y$_{12}$-receptor antagonist with or without aspirin might show improved outcomes when compared to warfarin. Further clinical trials are needed to address these questions.

**Conclusion**

Rivaroxaban has become a preferred treatment in many indications. In patients with ACS, the ATLAS ACS 2-TIMI 51 study showed that rivaroxaban reduces the rate of stent thrombosis, with the downside of increased bleeding complications. However, it remains an interesting and promising option in selected patients. Especially for patients at high risk of a secondary cardiovascular event, a short duration of treatment with low-dose rivaroxaban for about 30 days might be beneficial. In ACS patients with an additional indication for a therapeutic anticoagulation, such as atrial fibrillation, rivaroxaban might be a promising therapeutic strategy as well. Further studies will be needed to answer these questions.
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