Endocytosis and exocytosis of nanoparticles in mammalian cells
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Abstract: Engineered nanoparticles that can be injected into the human body hold tremendous potential to detect and treat complex diseases. Understanding of the endocytosis and exocytosis mechanisms of nanoparticles is essential for safe and efficient therapeutic application. In particular, exocytosis is of significance in the removal of nanoparticles with drugs and contrast agents from the body, while endocytosis is of great importance for the targeting of nanoparticles in disease sites. Here, we review the recent research on the endocytosis and exocytosis of functionalized nanoparticles based on various sizes, shapes, and surface chemistries. We believe that this review contributes to the design of safe nanoparticles that can efficiently enter and leave human cells and tissues.
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Introduction
Nano-sized materials have been increasingly used in the medical field to improve the target efficiency of drugs.1–3 In order to successfully apply nanoparticles in drug delivery, their physical and chemical properties must first be understood, thereby assisting in controlling the biological responses to their use. Because drug delivery nanosystems transport pharmaceutical compounds in the body, it is important to understand their physiochemical properties to safely achieve a desired therapeutic effect.

However, these drug delivery nanosystems have shown some limitations regarding the toxicity of the nanoscale materials in the body.4,5 In order to reduce their toxicity, it is crucial to study endocytosis, exocytosis, and clearance mechanisms for nanoparticles released from the nanoparticle–drug conjugates. Nanoparticles exposed to the bloodstream interact with opsonin proteins. When opsonin proteins attach to the surface of nanoparticles, they allow macrophages of the mononuclear phagocytic system (MPS) to easily recognize the nanoparticles and hence the nanoparticles eventually accumulate in the MPS organs, such as liver and spleen. These phenomena cause low targeting efficiency and severe systemic toxicity of the drug-delivery nanosystems. Therefore, this review focuses on endocytosis and exocytosis patterns of nanoparticles in mammalian cells with respect to their size, shape, and surface chemistry (Figure 1).

Nanoparticle stability
Nanoparticles have been widely used in the fields of drug delivery and bioimaging because their size, shape, and surface properties can be precisely engineered for specific diseases.6,7 The nanoparticle surface can be modified with various targeting...
molecules (e.g., antibody, peptide, aptamer, etc) in order to achieve efficient targeting to disease sites.

Recently, many scientists have begun to investigate the effects of different sizes, shapes, and surface chemistries on endocytosis, toxicity, and gene regulation. However, aggregates (strongly bonded between nanoparticles) and agglomerates (loosely bonded between nanoparticles or aggregates acting under weak forces, e.g., van der Waals force) formed by forces between nanoparticles and components in biological media have not been fully considered to optimize their physicochemical properties for biological applications. It was recently suggested that the aggregates or agglomerates occur when the van der Waals attractive forces between nanoparticles are larger than the electrostatic repulsive forces (Figure 2). Aggregated or agglomerated forms of nanoparticles would behave differently within biological systems than would nanoparticles in their single form. Thus, size uniformity of nanoparticles should be considered when the effect of physical and chemical properties of nanoparticles on their interactions with biological systems is examined.

In biological solutions, such as blood, saliva, and cell culture media, the surface chemistry of nanoparticles plays a crucial role in determining their behavior because they are directly related to types and compositions of biomolecules attached to the nanoparticle surface. The surface chemistries on the nanoparticle surface are dynamically changed because various biomolecules are attached and detached based on their binding affinity to the surface. Pre-coating of the nanoparticle surface with stabilizing molecules such as polyethylene glycol (PEG), deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), and albumin has been utilized to reduce ionic strength and prevent nanoparticles from aggregation or agglomeration in the biological solutions.

Additionally, individual nanoparticles can be naturally coated with various biomolecules, forming the nanoparticle–protein complex, when solubilized in biological solutions. The stability lifetimes of the nanoparticle–protein complexes range from hours to days in the biological solutions. The proteins covering the nanoparticle surface further prevent the individual nanoparticles from aggregation or agglomeration. Because the formation of nanoparticle–protein complexes is mainly determined by surface chemistries of the nanoparticles, it is important to investigate which surface chemistry is the most favorable to form the nanoparticle–protein complex.
Therefore, natural nanoparticle–protein complexes formed in biological environments would allow us to study how individual nanoparticles interact with various types of cells.

When we study the endocytosis and exocytosis of nanoparticles, cells are treated with the nanoparticles in the culture medium containing various serum proteins. Most of the nanoparticles are first coated with the serum proteins and then met with the plasma membrane of cells. If the nanoparticles are already aggregated or agglomerated prior to binding to the membrane, their endocytosis patterns would differ from the endocytosis patterns of individual nanoparticles. The degree of aggregation or agglomeration of the nanoparticles can be determined by measuring time-dependent change of size and surface charge of the nanoparticles in the culture medium. The (hydrodynamic) size has been mainly analyzed using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and dynamic light scattering (DLS) while the surface charge is determined by zeta potential measurements. In particular, the ultraviolet–visible (UV/Vis) spectrophotometry has also been used to monitor the size of gold nanoparticles because their localized surface plasmon resonance peaks can be shifted to a longer wavelength by increasing their size. The DLS technique has been the most widely used to monitor size change because it directly measures hydrodynamic sizes of protein-coated nanoparticles in the biological solution with nanometer precision. Furthermore, the zeta potentials of protein-coated nanoparticles mostly appeared as a negative surface charge although the nanoparticles had different original surface chemistries. It suggests that most proteins attached on the nanoparticle surface seem to be negatively charged, regardless of their content and composition, although they may alter depending on the surface chemistries of the nanoparticles. Thus, the content and composition of proteins preferentially attached on the nanoparticle surfaces should be studied for the cellular uptake and immune response of nanoparticles.

**Nanoparticle endocytosis**

**Endocytosis mechanism**

All types of cells in the body use the endocytosis process to communicate with the biological environments. This process is an energy-dependent process through which cells internalize ions and biomolecules. In particular, the cells internalize nutrients and signaling molecules to obtain energy and interact with other cells, respectively. The endocytosis pathways are typically classified into clathrin- and caveolae-mediated endocytosis, phagocytosis, macropinocytosis, and pinocytosis (Table 1). Clathrin- and caveolae-mediated

### Table 1 Classification of endocytosis pathways

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific pathway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocytosis</td>
<td>Energy-dependent process by which cells internalize biomolecules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phagocytosis</td>
<td>Actin-dependent endocytic process by which professional phagocytes (macrophages, dendritic cells and neutrophils) engulf particles with sizes larger than 0.5 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-specific pathway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macro-pinocytosis</td>
<td>Endocytic process by which cells internalize fluids and particles together, and large vesicles (0.2–5 μm) are formed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinocytosis</td>
<td>Endocytic process by which cells absorb extracellular fluids, small molecules and small vesicles (~100 nm) are formed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
endocytosis indicates receptor-mediated endocytosis. Many
types of cells use the clathrin- and caveolae-mediated
dendocytosis pathways to internalize nanoscale materials,
including viruses and nanoparticles. These endocytosis
pathways are the most important pathways for the internal-
ization of nanoparticles into cells because the nanoparticles
are directly coated with the plasma proteins when exposed
to physiological solutions. The phagocytosis pathway is
used when phagocytic cells internalize foreign materials
with sizes larger than 0.5 μm. The phagocytosis pathway
is actin-dependent and restricted to professional phagocytes,
such as macrophages, dendritic cells, and neutrophils. The
macropinocytosis pathway is a non-specific process to
internalize fluids and particles together into the cell, whereas
the pinocytosis pathway absorbs biological fluids from the
external environment of a cell. These pathways are very
important to translocate single nanoparticles with sizes
below 10 nm into the cell.

When nanoparticles are systemically administered into
the body, they are confronted with many types of cells. Since
nanoparticles have emerged as effective drug carriers to
treat complex diseases, it has become crucial to understand
nanoparticle endocytosis mechanisms. It is believed that
the endocytosis efficiency of nanoparticles is dependent on
the physicochemical properties, such as size, shape, and
surface chemistry, as well as cell type. Thus, interaction of
nanoparticles with cells depending on their physicochemical
properties is discussed in the following sections.

Factors affecting nanoparticle endocytosis

Nanoparticles circulating in the bloodstream happen to meet
and internalize into many types of cells through the plasma
membrane. The plasma membrane is a selectively permeable
membrane that transfers materials that are essential for sustain-
ing the cell’s life. Naturally, materials necessary for the cell’s
life, such as ions and nano-sized proteins, can pass through
the lipid bilayer using specialized membrane-transport protein
channels. Thus, the plasma membrane of cells would select
the endocytosis pathways of nanoparticles depending on their
size, shape, and surface chemistry (Table 2).

Size

Size-dependent cellular uptake of nanoparticles has been
extensively investigated in various cell lines because the
nanoparticle size has been known to be a key determinant of
the uptake pathways. Many critical in vivo functions of nano-
particles, such as circulation time, targeting, internalization,
and clearance, depend on their size. Thus, the cellular
uptake of nanoparticles with various sizes is reviewed in
this subsection.

Much interest has focused on understanding size-
dependent internalization of nanoparticles in cancer cells
and fibroblasts. The cellular uptake of gold nanoparticles
of various sizes was studied in human cervical cancer cells
(Figure 3). Researchers at the University of Toronto,
Canada, demonstrated that uptake mechanism and saturation
concentration of nanoparticles was dependent on their size.
The 50 nm gold nanoparticles showed the most efficient cel-
lar uptake compared with other sizes. The cellular uptake
of polystyrene (PS) nanoparticles of various sizes was also
tested on a human colon adenocarcinoma cell line. The
PS nanoparticles with a size of 100 nm were taken up into
the cells more efficiently than those with sizes of 50, 200,
500, and 1,000 nm. The internalization efficiency of the
PS nanoparticles with a size of 50 nm was the lowest of all
sizes. These two studies suggest that the patterns of cellular
uptake could also vary according to nanoparticle material
type. Although different cancer cell lines were used for each
experiment, the stiffness of nanoparticles could affect their
cellular uptake. Stiffer nanoparticles would interact tightly
with the plasma membrane of cell, thereby causing rapid
endocytosis.

Single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) coated with
DNA molecules were used to investigate length-dependent
cellular uptake. The results demonstrated that long
(660 ± 40 nm) and short (130 ± 18 nm) SWNTs have lower
uptake efficiencies in the fibroblasts than SWNTs with aver-
age lengths of 430 ± 35 nm and 320 ± 30 nm. The SWNTs
with an average length of 320 ± 30 nm had the greatest
uptake pattern.

In addition to endocytosis in non-phagocytic cells,
much attention has recently been paid to understanding
interactions between nanoparticles and phagocytic cells
such as macrophages, because it could be relevant to the
design of nanoparticles to avoid the immune system, thus
increasing their target efficiency. Nanoparticles with sizes
larger than 0.5 μm have been known to enter phagocytic
cells via phagocytosis pathways. Polymeric microspheres
with diameters of 2–3 μm exhibited the maximal phago-
cytosis rate. Interestingly, this size range coincides with
the general size of the bacteria that are the most common
targets of the MPS.

On the other hand, there have been some efforts to exam-
ine size-dependent phagocytosis of nanoparticles smaller
than 0.5 μm. Among many types of nanoparticles, lipid-based
nanoparticles, including US Food and Drug Administration
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Table 2 Endocytosis of nanoparticles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nanoparticle</th>
<th>Size (dm)</th>
<th>Coating material</th>
<th>Surface charge</th>
<th>Cell types</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spherical AuNP</td>
<td>14, 50, 74, and 100 nm</td>
<td>Citric acid</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Human cervical cancer cell</td>
<td>50 nm AuNPs had the highest cellular uptake</td>
<td>8, 9, 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod-shaped AuNP</td>
<td>40 × 14 nm and 74 × 14 nm</td>
<td>Citric acid</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Human cervical cancer cell</td>
<td>Rod-shaped nanoparticles with a higher aspect ratio showed lower cellular uptake than those with a lower aspect ratio</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spherical and rod-shaped AuNP</td>
<td>15, 50, and 15 × 50 nm</td>
<td>PEO with either hydroxyl, carboxyl, or amine group</td>
<td>Negative or positive</td>
<td>Human macrophage</td>
<td>Nanorods were more efficiently taken up by macrophages than were spherical NPs</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPION</td>
<td>6 nm</td>
<td>Dextran, aminodextran, heparin, or DMSA</td>
<td>Negative or positive</td>
<td>Human cervical cancer cell</td>
<td>Dextran-coated NPs were not taken up by cells. DMSA-coated NPs displayed low cellular uptake. Heparin and aminodextran-coated NPs showed the highest cellular uptake</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWNT</td>
<td>130–660 nm</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Embryonic fibroblast</td>
<td>660 nm and 130 nm SWNTs showed lower cellular uptake than 430 nm and 320 nm SWNTs</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymeric NP</td>
<td>150–500 nm</td>
<td>CMC or CH</td>
<td>Negative or positive</td>
<td>Murine macrophage</td>
<td>CH-coated NPs showed higher cellular uptake than CMC-coated NPs</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLGA NP</td>
<td>260–300 nm</td>
<td>PVA or vitamin E TPGS</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Human colon adeno-carcinoma cell</td>
<td>Cellular uptake of vitamin E TPGS-coated NPs was higher than that of PVA-coated NPs</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apoferritin</td>
<td>12 nm</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Human intestinal epithelial cell</td>
<td>Apoferrin enters the cell via ferritin receptor-mediated endocytosis</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AuNP, gold nanoparticles; CH, chitosan hydrochloride; CMC, carboxymethyl chitosan; dm, diameter; DMSA, dimercaptosuccinic acid; DNA, deoxyribonucleic acid; NLS, nuclear localization sequence; PEG, polyethylene glycol; PEO, poly(ethylene oxide); PLGA, poly(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide); PVA, polyvinyl alcohol; SPION, superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles; SWNT, single-wall carbon nanotubes; TAT, HIV tat protein transduction domain; E TPGS, Vitamin E succinyl polyethylene glycol 1000.

(FDA)-approved liposomes have been of particular interest in the drug-delivery field due to their drug-loading capability and biocompatibility. Lipid nanoparticles with sizes of 20, 50, and 100 nm were taken up into the macrophages by receptor-mediated phagocytosis. In addition, liposomes with sizes ranging from 100 to 2,000 nm were also tested for their intracellular uptake in the macrophages. The amount of liposomes taken up by the macrophages increased with size over the range 100–1,000 nm, but the uptake rate became constant with sizes over 1,000 nm. Size-dependent phagocytosis of gold nanoparticles was also studied in many research groups. It was reported that gold nanoparticles with sizes below 100 nm were phagocytosed via scavenger receptor-mediated phagocytosis. Tsai et al also demonstrated that gold nanoparticles with a size of 4 nm showed the highest uptake in the macrophages based on the number of nanoparticles taken up per cell, compared with those sized 11, 19, 35, and 45 nm. The 4 nm gold nanoparticles exhibited the highest potency in inhibiting tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α production related to TNR9-mediated innate immune systems.

Although the experimental results introduced here showed size-dependent cellular uptake of nanoparticles in many types of cells, the physical size would not be fully reflected when they meet the plasma membrane of the cell. Most nanoparticles tend to aggregate in biological solutions, increasing their overall size. The results regarding the size effect would be influenced by nanoparticle aggregation before entering the cell. Thus, it should be tested whether nanoparticles prepared to study their size-dependent endocytosis retain their singularity in the biological media before they enter the cell.
Surface chemistry

Surface chemistry (or surface charge) of nanoparticles can be determined by the chemical composition on the nanoparticle surface. The surface charge of nanoparticles can affect their efficiency and the pathway of cellular uptake, because biological systems consist of numerous biomolecules with various charges. Therefore, the charge of biomolecules covering the nanoparticle surface can influence the endocytosis patterns of nanoparticles. In this subsection, the effect of nanoparticle surface chemistries on the endocytosis pattern is discussed. For polymeric nanoparticles, carboxymethyl chitosan-grafted nanoparticles as negatively charged nanoparticles, and chitosan hydrochloride-grafted nanoparticles as positively charged nanoparticles, were used to test their cellular uptake efficiency. The different surface charges significantly affected their uptake by macrophages. The positively charged nanoparticles exhibited a higher phagocytic uptake than did the negatively or neutrally charged nanoparticles. Moreover, when the uptake efficiency of the positively charged nanoparticles was compared with that of the negatively charged, neutrally charged, and PEGylated nanoparticles, the positively and negatively charged nanoparticles were internalized more rapidly than the neutrally and PEGylated charged nanoparticles. It was also demonstrated that negatively charged polymeric nanoparticles with diameters of around 100 nm were more efficiently phagocytized by macrophages than positively charged nanoparticles (Figure 4). Cellular uptake was also greater in the macrophages than in the monocytes.

Furthermore, the surface functionalization with PEG, poloxamer, and poloxamine polymers prevented phagocytosis because these polymers protect the nanoparticles from ionic strength, promote particle dispersion, and reduce

![Figure 3](A) Schematic representation of gold nanoparticles. (B) Transmission electron microscope images of citrate-coated gold nanoparticles with various sizes. (C) Transmission electron microscope images of the gold nanoparticles entrapped in cellular vesicles. Graph showing the number of the gold nanoparticles per vesicle diameter.

absorption of proteins in blood on their surface. In addition, the uptake efficiency of PEGylated nanoparticles is closely related to PEG grafting density, which can determine the protein absorption. That is, high PEG-grafting density inhibits protein adsorption on the nanoparticle surface in the biological solution. Interaction between nanoparticles and biological media can further lead to surface modification that eventually affects their phagocytosis through the attachment of complementary proteins and immunoglobulins.

Recently, there has been much effort to utilize biological nanomaterials in drug delivery applications, due to their biocompatibility and natural cell-binding ability. Apoferritin, a demineralized form of ferritin, was suggested as a drug carrier because it has been known to enter the cell via ferritin receptor-mediated endocytosis. Apoferritin can be further utilized for a switchable delivery system because the endocytosis can be reversibly inhibited in various ways. Additionally, the internal cavity of apoferritin can be used to load therapeutic molecules through channels on the protein shell. Other virus- and protein-based biomaterials also have great potential to serve as biocompatible nano-platforms in the drug-delivery system.

Shape
As mentioned in previous subsections, size and surface properties of nanoparticles play crucial roles in controlling the interaction between nanoparticles and biological systems. The nanoparticle shape might also be important in determining biological behaviors of nanoparticles. For example, it has been reported that bacteria with various shapes, such as rods, spirals, and ellipsoids, have implications for macrophage recognition. These shapes can directly affect the endocytosis pattern of bacteria. Recent experiments have demonstrated a shape effect of the nanoparticle on cellular uptake. Nanoscale rods exhibit the highest uptake in human cervical cancer cells, followed by spheres,
Nanoparticle exocytosis

An understanding of the cellular uptake and organ distribution of nanoparticles is important when examining their targeting and therapeutic efficiency in drug-delivery applications. Nanoparticles administered into the body are eventually cleared by organs in the MPS, such as the liver and spleen. Nanoparticles remain in these organs for a long time after being taken up by the macrophages, which increases the likelihood of unintended acute or chronic toxicity. Thus, it is also crucial to study exocytosis of the internalized nanoparticles from many types of cells, particularly macrophages, to evaluate their biosafety. However, compared with investigations of nanoparticle endocytosis, relatively little effort has been made to investigate the exocytosis of nanoparticles that may be responsible for their systemic elimination and toxicity. Thus, the exocytosis of functionalized nanoparticles is reviewed in this section (Table 3).

Many studies have compared the exocytosis of rod-shaped nanoparticles with that of spherical nanoparticles. Chithrani and Chan4 examined differences in exocytosis phenomena between spherical and rod-shaped gold nanoparticles using various cell types (Figure 5). The surface of gold nanoparticles was coated with transferrin proteins for their receptor-mediated endocytosis. Importantly, this work described that the cellular uptake could be considered as a result of competition between the thermodynamic driving force for wrapping and the receptor diffusion kinetics. In that respect, the 50 nm gold nanoparticles showed the fastest wrapping time, and therefore the receptor-ligand interaction could produce sufficient free energy to drive the nanoparticles into the cell. On the other hand, smaller nanoparticles with a slower wrapping time exhibited a faster rate of exocytosis. The exocytosis rate of the 14 nm nanoparticles was much faster than that of the 74 nm nanoparticles. In addition, the fraction of the rod-shaped nanoparticles released outside the cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NP</th>
<th>Size (dm)</th>
<th>Coating material</th>
<th>Surface charge</th>
<th>Cell types</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spherical AuNP</td>
<td>14, 50, and 74 nm</td>
<td>Transferrin</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Human fibroblast, cervical cancer, brain tumor cells</td>
<td>Smaller NPs show a faster rate of exocytosis</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17 nm</td>
<td>KATWLP and KPRQPSLP peptide</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Human endothelial cell</td>
<td>In KATWLP peptide, exocytosis of NPs taken up by cells progressively increase until 6 hours. In KPRQPSLP peptides, some exocytosed particles are re-taken up by the cells after 4 hours</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod-shaped AuNP</td>
<td>20 × 30, 14 × 50, and 7 × 42 nm</td>
<td>Transferrin</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Human fibroblast, cervical cancer, brain tumor cells</td>
<td>Nanorods with lower aspect ratios showed slower exocytosis. The exocytosis rate of rod-shaped NPs was higher than that of spherical NPs</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantum dot</td>
<td>8 nm</td>
<td>D-penicillamine</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Human cervical cancer cells</td>
<td>Half-life of exocytosed fraction was 21 minutes and reached saturation after 2 hours</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLGA NP</td>
<td>97 nm</td>
<td>Bovine serum albumin</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Human arterial vascular smooth muscle cells</td>
<td>Half-life of exocytosed fraction was 30 minutes</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polysaccharides NP</td>
<td>60 nm</td>
<td>Hydroxycholine</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Human bronchial epithelial cell</td>
<td>Cholesterol depletion blocked exocytosis of NPs</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPION</td>
<td>10 nm</td>
<td>PEGylated amine</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Murine macrophages</td>
<td>SPIONs released from carriers in the intracellular region were exocytosed by membrane vesicles</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AuNP, gold nanoparticle; dm, diameter; PEG, polyethylene glycol; PLGA, poly(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide); SPION, superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles; NPs, nanoparticles.
was generally higher than that of the spherical nanoparticles. The exocytosis of peptide-coated gold nanoparticles was also investigated in endothelial cells.41 Nanoparticles functionalized with KATWLPPR peptides have been known to bind to plasma membrane receptors on endothelial cells for endocytosis, while nanoparticles coated with KPRQPSLP peptides did not interact with the receptors for endocytosis. KATWLPPR peptide-coated nanoparticles taken up by cells were progressively exocytosed up until 6 hours. On the other hand, KPRQPSLP peptide-coated nanoparticles showed a more complex exocytosis profile. Interestingly, it was found that the exocytosed KPRQPSLP peptide-coated nanoparticles were re-taken up by the cells after 4 hours.

The exocytosis of poly(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) nanoparticles was also examined in vascular smooth muscle cells.42 The size and zeta potential of PLGA nanoparticles coated with bovine serum albumin (BSA) were around 97 nm and –20 mV, respectively. The cellular uptake of nanoparticles increased with incubation time. The exocytosis of nanoparticles increased up to 65% of the internalized fraction within 30 minutes when nanoparticles in the culture media were removed. In addition, the exocytosis of nanoparticles was found to be energy-dependent because it was significantly inhibited with sodium azide and deoxyglucose. Furthermore, authors demonstrated that the exocytosis pattern of nanoparticles was dependent on the proteins in the medium, because the proteins were carried into the cells along with the nanoparticles and interacted with biological systems inside the cells.

Exocytosis of polysaccharide cationic nanoparticles was also studied in airway epithelium cells.43 The cationic polymer hydroxycholine was used to coat nanoparticles. After the surface modification, the nanoparticles appeared to be an approximate size of 60 nm. For exocytosis experiments, human bronchial epithelial cells were treated with the nanoparticles for 30 minutes. The amount of exocytosed nanoparticles increased significantly after 1 hour. In addition, cholesterol depletion completely blocked the exocytosis of nanoparticles, indicating that their exocytosis is cholesterol-dependent.

The exocytosis patterns of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles and quantum dots were also studied in many research groups. Serda et al44 investigated the intracellular trafficking of iron oxide nanoparticles delivered into macrophage
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cells using porous silicon microcarriers (Figure 6). In the exocytosis process, the amine-functionalized nanoparticles enriched in the multivesicular bodies were incorporated into the membrane vesicles in the intracellular region and efficiently secreted from the cells 6 days after intracellular delivery. The exocytosis rate of 15 nm nanoparticles was faster than that of 30 nm nanoparticles, thus indicating that smaller nanoparticles are more favorable for exocytosis. Exocytosis of zwitterionic quantum dots was also examined in human cervical cancer cells (Figure 7). Quantum dots were coated with D-penicillamine to improve colloidal stability in biological solutions. The size of D-penicillamine-coated

Figure 6 (A) A scanning electron microscopy image of a macrophage showing endocytosis of porous silicon particles incorporated with iron oxide nanoparticles, intracellular partitioning of the particles, endosomal escape of the particles, and exocytosis of the incorporated iron oxide nanoparticles. (B) Exocytosis of iron oxide nanoparticles. Upper column shows transmission electron microscopy images of iron oxide nanoparticles released from the porous silicon carrier in a macrophage. Middle column shows transmission electron microscopy images of the released iron oxide nanoparticles located in the intracellular region of the macrophage 6 days after uptake of the porous silicon particles. Bottom column shows that graph displayed time-dependent iron content in the supernatant and transmission electron microscopy images showed the internalized iron oxide nanoparticles were exocytosed by membrane vesicles.

quantum dots was around 4 nm, which is much smaller than conventional nanoparticles studied for exocytosis. In the endocytosis process, most of these smaller nanoparticles were observed on the plasma membrane prior to internalization. In addition, the quantum dots were found to enter the cells via a clathrin-mediated endocytosis pathway and macropinocytosis. In the exocytosis process, some of the quantum dots trapped in endosomes were actively transported to the cell periphery and exocytosed to the media within 21 minutes after internalization.

**Summary and future prospects**

This review article summarizes the endocytosis and exocytosis of nanoparticles of different sizes, shapes, and surface chemistries in many types of cells. Generally, smaller nanoparticles seem to enter and exit the cell more efficiently. Spherical nanoparticles are more favorable to be internalized into the cell than cylindrical nanoparticles. Positively charged nanoparticles exhibited much higher rates of endocytosis than negatively or neutrally charged nanoparticles, while they seem to remain in the cell longer.

Although nanoparticle-based drug delivery has been actively developed to treat complex diseases, concerns regarding nanoparticle biosafety have still been raised, limiting their clinical translations. Understanding the endocytosis of nanoparticles enables us to develop more efficient intracellular drug-delivery nanosystems, while understating their exocytosis allow us to develop more clearable delivery nanosystems after drug delivery. However, the exocytosis patterns of nanoparticles remain not yet fully understood, although the cellular uptake of nanoparticles has been studied by many research groups. Thus, a considerable amount of effort to study the endocytosis and exocytosis of nanoparticles should be made in order to develop clinically translatable targeted nanoparticles in the drug-delivery field.
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