Self-expanding stents and aortoiliac occlusive disease: a review of the literature
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Abstract: The treatment of symptomatic aortoiliac occlusive disease has shifted from open to endovascular repair. Both short- and long-term outcomes after percutaneous angioplasty and stenting rival those after open repair and justify an endovascular-first approach. In this article, we review the current endovascular treatment strategies in patients with aortoiliac occlusive disease, indications for primary and selective stenting in the iliac artery, and physical properties and future perspectives of self-expanding stents.
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Introduction

The prevalence of peripheral arterial disease (PAD) in the US is more than 4% among adults aged 40 years and over. PAD increases dramatically with age and the prevalence exceeds 14% among those aged 70 years or over.¹ One subset of PAD is aortoiliac occlusive disease (AIOD), defined as any stenosis or occlusion from the distal aorta to the common femoral artery (CFA). Conventional surgical revascularization of AIOD is associated with excellent long-term patency rates.²,³ However, open repair is also associated with a significantly longer hospital stay and higher complication rates and inpatient costs, compared with endovascular treatment.⁴ The TransAtlantic Intersociety Consensus (TASC) II, published in 2007, recommends endovascular therapy for straightforward AIOD (TASC A lesions) and surgery for complex AIOD (TASC D lesions).⁵ However, due to the rapid development of endovascular techniques and improved competence, experienced centers advocate an “endovascular first” approach. In recent years, endovascular treatment has become widespread and is the preferred method of treatment nowadays for lower extremity arterial obstructions.⁶

Endovascular treatment of AIOD consists of percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) with or without stenting. In a meta-analysis of six PTA studies (1,300 patients) and eight PTA and stent studies (816 patients), additional stenting was associated with an increased technical success rate and improved long-term patency.⁷ The results of endovascular treatment of AIOD have been described in multiple publications. Technical success and both short- and long-term patency rates have been satisfactory, even in challenging lesions.⁸,⁹ These results justify an endovascular-first approach for symptomatic AIOD treatment.
Overview of current endovascular treatment for AIOD

Primary versus selective stenting

The Dutch Iliac Stent Trial enrolled 279 patients with intermittent claudication on the basis of iliac artery stenosis of >50%. The study randomly assigned 143 patients to direct stent placement (group I) and 136 to primary angioplasty, with selective stent placement in case of a residual mean pressure gradient >10 mmHg across the treated lesion (group II). The primary endpoint was clinical success, defined as improvement of at least one clinical category in the Fontaine classification. In group II, stents were selectively placed in 43% of the patients. Less than 10% of patients were treated for iliac artery occlusions and the stenosis length was <2 cm in 56% patients. Most lesions corresponded to TASC A and B lesions. Clinical success, cumulative patency, and reintervention rates at 2 years were similar between the groups. Long-term results (after 5–8 years) showed a better clinical outcome in patients with PTA and selective stenting in the iliac artery. Iliac patency, ankle–brachial index, and quality of life did not support a difference between groups.

More recent studies showed that primary stenting has significant benefits over angioplasty alone in TASC C and D aortoiliac lesions. In a nonrandomized series of 151 patients with iliac stenosis, a total of 110 consecutive patients (149 lesions) underwent primary stenting. The results were compared with 41 patients (41 lesions) who had PTA followed by selective stenting for suboptimal PTA. The overall early clinical success rate was superior for the primary stent group (Figure 1). For TASC A and B lesions, the initial and late clinical success rates were comparable but were inferior in selective stenting for TASC C and D lesions.

A recent meta-analysis of 16 reports including 958 patients with endovascular treatment of TASC C and D aortoiliac arterial lesions found better patency rates for primary stenting than for selective stenting.

The presence of two-vessel femoral runoff or at least two patent below-the-knee vessels, or both, is associated with improved iliac artery primary patency. Poor outflow requiring a bypass is associated with decreased iliac artery primary patency rates. In another study, iliac PTA and stenting, combined with an untreated superficial femoral artery stenosis >50% resulted in a decreased primary patency rate.

The presence of an iliac artery occlusion is considered an independent risk factor for patency loss. However, similar results after treatment of iliac stenoses and occlusions have been published. In a series of 73 patients including 76 occluded iliac arteries (33 common, 34 external, and nine both) the primary patency was 79% at 1 year and 69% at 3 years. In a prospective series of 223 patients with AIOD, endovascular treatment was performed for iliac occlusion in 109 patients and for iliac stenosis in 114 patients. No differences were observed in the complication rate or in short- and long-term patency rates.

Other predictors for decreased primary patency include diabetes mellitus, age <50 years, TASC C and D lesions, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, chronic renal insufficiency, external iliac artery (EIA) disease, female sex, and smoking history.

Differences between the common and external iliac artery

The iliac artery is subdivided as the common, external, and internal iliac or hypogastric artery. Most publications describe results after iliac artery stenting and do not differentiate between the common iliac artery (CIA) and EIA (Table 1). This may be the result of the TASC II classification. The TASC II classification defines aortoiliac lesions, potentially involving the distal aorta, CIA, EIA, and CFA. The limitations of this rather generic aortoiliac TASC II classification have been described previously.

We believe that in trials investigating stents in the iliac arteries, a distinction must be made between the straight and relative immobile CIA and the tortuous and mobile EIA. Subgroups should be created according to the anatomic characteristics of the target lesion rather than by the TASC II classification.

One of the rare studies comparing stents in the CIA and EIA showed no differences in primary patency after 1, 2, and 3 years. Two other studies, however, found EIA stenting was an independent predictor of decreased primary patency after iliac artery PTA and stenting. A more recent study evaluating a particular self-expandable stent showed no significant difference in the patency rates at 2 years among stents placed in the CIA, the EIA, and both the CIA and EIA.
**Table 1** An overview of recent studies presenting primary patency rates of percutaneous angioplasty and bare-metal balloon-expandable (BE) or self-expandable (SE) stent placement in the iliac artery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year of publication</th>
<th>Number of stented lesions</th>
<th>Type of stents</th>
<th>Iliac artery segment</th>
<th>TASC classification</th>
<th>Primary patency rate at 1 year</th>
<th>Primary patency rate at 3 years</th>
<th>Primary patency rate at 5 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yilmaz et al.16</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>BE + SE</td>
<td>CIA</td>
<td>A, B, C</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balzer et al.27</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>BE + SE</td>
<td>CIA + EIA</td>
<td>C, D</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>89.9%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levile et al.28</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>BE + SE</td>
<td>CIA + EIA</td>
<td>B, C, D</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Roeck et al.29</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>BE + SE</td>
<td>CIA + EIA</td>
<td>B, C, D</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AbuRahma et al.30</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>CIA + EIA</td>
<td>A, B, C, D</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carreira et al.31</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>CIA + EIA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gandini et al.32</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>BE + SE</td>
<td>CIA + EIA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sixt et al.33</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>BE + SE</td>
<td>CIA + EIA</td>
<td>A, B, C, D</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kashyap et al.34</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>CIA + EIA</td>
<td>A, B, C, D</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higashiura et al.35</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>BE + SE</td>
<td>CIA + EIA</td>
<td>A, B, C, D</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koizumi et al.36</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>BE + SE</td>
<td>EIA</td>
<td>A, B, C, D</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maurel et al.37</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>CIA + EIA</td>
<td>A, B, C, D</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozkan et al.38</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>BE + SE</td>
<td>CIA + EIA</td>
<td>B, C, D</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaff et al.39</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>CIA + EIA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ichihashi et al.40</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>BE + SE</td>
<td>CIA + EIA</td>
<td>A, B, C, D</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soga et al.41</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2,601</td>
<td>BE + SE</td>
<td>CIA + EIA</td>
<td>A, B, C, D</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>82.6%</td>
<td>77.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kordecki et al.42</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>CIA + EIA</td>
<td>A, B, C, D</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosiers et al.43</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>SE + EIA</td>
<td>CIA + EIA</td>
<td>A, B, C, D</td>
<td>93.1%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Araki et al.44</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>CIA + EIA</td>
<td>B, C, D</td>
<td>96.5%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: For publications considering both BE and SE stents, only data of SE stents were displayed, if available.

Abbreviations: CIA, common iliac artery; EIA, external iliac artery; NA, not available; TASC, TransAtlantic Intersociety Consensus.

---

**Self-expanding stents and AIOD**

**Self-expanding stent**

Most self-expanding stents are made of nitinol, an alloy of nickel and titanium. Eligiloy, a cobalt–chromium alloy, has also been used for self-expanding stents. An important feature of nitinol is its thermal shape memory and superelasticity, which means nitinol is able to return to its original shape after severe deformation.41,42 Besides being superelastic, nitinol is also biocompatible. The narrow temperature range within which nitinol’s superelasticity is exhibited includes body temperature.43 Therefore, nitinol is an excellent material for a self-expanding stent design. The ability to recover their original shape without clinically relevant loss of lumen diameter is an important distinction between nitinol and stainless steel stents.

Stents have to survive pulsatility, external forces, and bending fatigue. Balloon expandable stents are sufficiently rigid to prevent the native artery from stretching and expanding due to the pulse pressure. The fatigue lifetime of nitinol far exceeds that of ordinary metals. However, extreme bending and crushing, which may be experienced under the inguinal ligament and in the popliteal or subclavian artery, may exceed the limitations of both balloon-expandable and self-expandable stents.

Nitinol stents have very low forces acting on the vessel wall (chronic outward force), but the force generated by a nitinol stent to resist compression (stiffness) increases rapidly with deflection; thus, a nitinol stent unloads its outward force when it reaches its intended diameter. Nitinol stents are able to adapt to the tortuous path of a vessel rather than forcing the vessel to straighten. Using a stiffer balloon-expandable stent may result in vessel straightening and concomitant vessel trauma.44 The most important factor causing in-stent restenosis is the formation of neointimal tissue hyperplasia.45 The underlying causes of intimal hyperplasia are migration and proliferation of vascular smooth muscle cells provoked by injury, inflammation, and stretch.46

In a human cadaveric study, self-expanding stents in the CIA showed considerably lower radial expansion force than balloon-expandable stents. Moreover, precision and reproducibility of the achieved expansion was significantly lower in the self-expanding group.47 As the self-expansible stent begins to emerge from the constraint, there is a natural tendency for it to spring forward that results from several
stent properties, including bridge design, longitudinal stiffness, and friction. Although this tendency in a self-expandable stent can be reduced to a minimum nowadays, this potential source of inaccuracy does not exist in a balloon-expandable stent.\(^{41}\)

A stent is a compromise, and there is no single stent that is ideal for all indications.\(^{42,43}\) The physical properties of balloon-expandable and self-expandable stents both exhibit superior performance in different types of arteries. Comparative studies on the performance of self-expandable and balloon-expandable stents in a clearly defined arterial segment are scarce (Table 1). Based on results from mainly in vitro studies, most physicians will prefer a balloon-expandable stent in straight, focal, and calcified lesions or lesions adjacent to the aortic bifurcation; whereas, self-expanding stents are preferred in longer and tortuous lesions or for contralateral approaches. High-quality clinical data to support this practice are lacking, but this strategy has been advocated in many publications.\(^{3,15,20,27,29,31,35,36,39}\)

**Limitations and future prospects**

### Stent fracture

The iliac artery, particularly the distal EIA prior to the inguinal ligament, is exposed to flexion by bending the hip joint. This may lead to stent fracture. In a series of 165 patients, a total 305 self-expandable stents were implanted in 216 iliac arteries.\(^{33}\) Different stent types were used, according to the preferences of the physician. During follow-up, stent fracture was detected in eleven of 305 stents (3.6%). Stent fracture occurred in eleven of 222 nitinol stents (5.0%) but not in eligiloy stents. Multivariate analysis indicated stenting for chronic occlusion as a risk factor associated with stent fracture (hazard ratio: 6.09; \(P=0.008\)). No significant differences between stents in the CIA and EIA were observed. Reocclusion of the stented iliac artery was only detected in one of eleven iliac arteries with stent fracture.\(^{49}\) The primary patency rates in iliac arteries with and without fractured stents at 8 years were 90% and 91%, respectively. These results are in contrast with the considerable risk of stent fractures in the femoropopliteal artery, which is associated with a higher in-stent stenosis and reocclusion rate.\(^{50}\)

### Covered stents

As described previously, the additional primary or selective use of stents improves the clinical outcome. Unfortunately, stents also have limitations, such as subacute occlusion and restenosis. Neointimal hyperplasia may grow through the struts of the stent and cause in-stent restenosis. A covered stent or stent graft is a metal stent lined with polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) or Dacron. Covered stents may overcome this limitation by introducing a mechanical barrier between intimal hyperplasia and the arterial lumen. The covered stent potentially also prevents migration of macrophages in the vascular wall, which are attracted by proinflammatory mediators secreted by the damaged vessel wall. These macrophages release further cytokines, metalloproteinases, and growth factors that contribute to initiating the restenotic process.\(^{51}\)

This concept was tested using balloon-expandable stents that were covered with PTFE extending for one-half of the length of the stent. These grafts were used to treat 12 iliac artery occlusions in 12 high-risk patients. After 6 months of follow-up, the mean lumen diameter was significantly greater on the covered side than on the uncover side.\(^{52}\)

**Table 2** Conclusions and level of evidence according to the Oxford Centre for Evidence Based Medicine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conclusion</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients treated with PTA and selective stenting for iliac artery stenosis have a better outcome for symptomatic success compared with patients treated with primary stenting.</td>
<td>I b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary stent placement for iliac artery occlusion increases technical success compared with PTA.</td>
<td>I b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary stent placement for iliac artery occlusion reduces major procedural complications (predominantly distal embolization) compared with PTA.</td>
<td>I b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In straight, focal, and calcified iliac artery lesions or lesions adjacent to the aortic bifurcation, balloon-expandable stents are preferred.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In longer and tortuous iliac artery lesions or for contralateral approaches, self-expanding stents are preferred. Covered stents perform better for aortoiliac TASC C and D lesions than bare stents in long-term patency and clinical outcome.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Data from Phillips et al.\(^{39}\)

**Abbreviations:** PTA, percutaneous transluminal angioplasty; TASC, TransAtlantic Intersociety Consensus.

---

**Figure 1** Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty of the stenosis in the right common iliac artery and occluded external iliac artery (A) resulted in a significant residual stenosis and dissection, respectively (B). Additional stent placement resulted in technical success (C).

**Abbreviations:** BE, balloon-expanding; SE, self-expanding.
A prospective evaluation of the Hemobahn PTFE-nitinol self-expanding stent (WL Gore & Associates, Flagstaff, AZ, USA), in 61 iliac arteries and 80 femoral arteries provided primary patency rates for the iliac arteries of 98% at 6 months and 91% at 12 months. During follow-up, one early occlusion (within 30 days) of a Hemobahn stent occurred in an iliac artery. Late occlusions (30 days to 12 months) were observed in an additional five iliac arteries.53

The Cordis Covered Nitinol Stent (COVENT) study enrolled 98 patients, who received PTFE-covered nitinol stents in 60 iliac arteries and 47 superficial femoral arteries. The primary patency rates for the iliac arteries were 94.3% at 6 months and 90.7% at 12 months. Two iliac artery covered stents occluded, the first within 6 months and the second after 8 months. In-stent recurrent stenosis developed in two other iliac artery-covered stents.54

Other authors have suggested that transgraft migration of endothelial cells may result in in-stent neointimal formation, which may lead to in-stent recurrent stenosis.55,56 Another study demonstrated significantly higher 5-year primary patency rates of 87% for predominantly self-expandable covered stents compared with 53% for bare metal stents (BMS) in patients undergoing simultaneous common femoral artery endarterectomy and iliac revascularization.57 These results are promising, but long-term data are lacking. The explanation for the apparent benefit of covered stents in AIOD treatment is not completely clear. The decreased risk of iliac rupture may lead to improved dilatation with use of higher inflation pressure.57

Three other studies showed similar results with covered balloon-expandable stents.58–60 The Covered Versus Balloon-Expandable Stent Trial (COBEST) randomly assigned 168 iliac arteries in 125 patients to receive a covered balloon-expandable stent or BMS. After 18 months of follow-up, covered stents and BMSs performed similarly in TASC B lesions; however, covered stents performed better in TASC C and D lesions than BMSs.61 The Dutch Iliac Stent Trial: Covered Balloon-Expandable versus Uncovered Balloon-Expandable Stents in the Common Iliac Artery (DISCOVER) is currently enrolling patients with a CIA occlusion or stenosis >3 cm, who are randomized for a balloon-expandable covered stent or BMS.62

Future perspectives

A new self-expanding interwoven nitinol stent has shown encouraging results in the popliteal artery.63 This novel Superna stent (Abbott Vascular, Santa Clara, CA, USA), consists of woven nitinol wires braided in a tubular mesh configuration. This specific configuration results in a stent that is flexible, compliant, and self-expanding and that has a very high radial resistive force. This device may perform very well in complex iliac lesions; however, the largest currently available diameter is 8 mm.

Several promising stenting techniques are already available for noniliac arteries. In cardiology, the use of drug-eluting stents (DES) shows beneficial results. These coronary devices have been shown to be superior to BMS in tibial arteries too.64,65 A novel nitinol paclitaxel-eluting stent is available for the femoropopliteal artery. In a large randomized controlled trial, DESs showed superior 12-month event-free survival and primary patency rates compared with PTA. In the PTA group, 120 patients had acute PTA failure and underwent secondary random assignment to provisional DES or BMS. The provisional DES group exhibited superior 12-month primary patency and clinical benefit compared with the provisional BMS group.66 This particular device is not yet available for the iliac arteries, but another self-expanding everolimus-eluting stent has been analyzed in an animal model. The iliac arteries of 24 Yucatan mini-swine were treated with the 8×28 mm nitinol everolimus-eluting stent. Bare nitinol stents were implanted in the contralateral iliac arteries to serve as controls. During the first 6 months, local arterial stent-mediated delivery of everolimus inhibited the formation of neointimal hyperplasia.67

A drug-eluting bioresorbable vascular scaffold seems to be very promising in coronary arteries.68,69 This technology is currently being investigated in femoropopliteal lesions and may become available for the iliac artery in the future.

Conclusion

PTA and stenting is the preferred treatment modality in patients with AIOD and has been associated with satisfactory long-term results, even in challenging lesions. Primary stenting is indicated in iliac artery occlusions, while in iliac artery stenoses, selective stenting is preferred. Unfortunately, detailed information about the performance of different stent types in clearly defined iliac artery segments is limited. The unique properties of self-expanding stents make them particularly suitable for the treatment of long, tortuous, and mobile arteries, like the EIA. The most important limitation is in-stent restenosis resulting from neointimal hyperplasia. Use of covered or DESs seems promising, but more evidence is needed to finally prove these concepts (Table 2).
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