The effect of posterior sub-Tenon's capsule triamcinolone acetonide injection to that of pars plana vitrectomy for diabetic macular edema
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Purpose: To compare the effect of posterior sub-Tenon's capsule triamcinolone acetonide (STTA) injection to that of pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) for diabetic macular edema (DME).

Patients and methods: The medical records of 50 patients (52 eyes) with DME were reviewed. Twenty-six eyes underwent STTA (20 mg) and the other 26 eyes underwent vitrectomy combined with cataract surgery. The central macular thickness (CMT), measured by optical coherence tomography, and best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) were determined before and 1, 3, and 6 months after treatment.

Results: The differences in the BCVA and the CMT between the STTA group and the PPV group were not significant before or at any time after the treatment. In both the STTA and PPV groups, there were significant differences between the pre-treatment CMT and BCVA at any time after treatment.

Conclusion: We recommend STTA injection for the treatment of DME.
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Introduction
Diabetic macular edema (DME) is one of the major causes of visual acuity decrease in diabetic patients at all stages of diabetic retinopathy (DR). Several treatments for DME have been used, eg, grid laser photocoagulation,1 intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide (IVTA),2 posterior sub-Tenon’s capsule triamcinolone acetonide (STTA) injection,4 pars plana vitrectomy (PPV), subthreshold micropulse diode laser photocoagulation,5 and intravitreal injection of anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) drugs.6–9 However, the most effective treatment for DME has not been determined.1

There have been studies comparing the effectiveness of IVTA to PPV,10 and IVTA to STTA injection,11 however a PubMed search did not extract any studies comparing the effectiveness of PPV to STTA injection. Thus, the purpose of this study was to compare the effectiveness of STTA injection to that of PPV in eyes with DME.

Patients and methods
The medical records of 52 eyes of 50 patients with DME who were examined in Chiba University Hospital between January 1, 2010 and December 31, 2010 were reviewed. Twenty-six eyes of 26 patients had 20 mg of triamcinolone acetonide (TA) injected...
into the sub-Tenon space alone. Five of these eyes were pseudophakic. Three eyes underwent STTA twice during the follow-up period. The outcomes of these 26 eyes that underwent STTA were compared to 26 naïve eyes of 24 patients who underwent 20-gauge or 23-gauge vitrectomy combined with cataract surgery between January 1, 2009 and December 31, 2010. Any remaining vitreous and posterior vitreous membrane was meticulously removed by using TA to visualize the vitreous. In addition, the peeling of the internal limiting membrane was performed in accordance with the operator’s decision. Five eyes were pseudophakic and underwent vitrectomy only. The remaining 21 eyes had mild to moderate cataracts. Vitrectomy was considered only if the patients agreed to the surgical treatments. The specific indication of vitrectomy for DME was not determined in our hospital but the patients without posterior vitreous detachment were usually recommended. Although the specific indication of STTA for DME was not determined, if the patients did not agree to vitrectomy, STTA was considered. However, vitrectomy and STTA were usually recommended for the patients with slightly thicker fovea than the patients treated with micropulse diode laser photocoagulation in our previous study.5

After a complete explanation of the procedures to be performed, a signed informed consent was obtained from all patients. All of the procedures conformed to the tenets of the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. Approval for this study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of Chiba University Hospital, Japan.

The central macular thickness (CMT) was measured by spectral domain-optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) before and 1, 3, and 6 months after the procedures. The best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was measured at the same times.

The data are expressed as the means ± standard deviations (SDs). The significance of differences was determined by Student’s t-tests, paired t-tests, chi-square tests, and repeated measured analysis of variance (ANOVA). A P<0.05 was considered significant.

Results

The clinical information and features of all the participants are shown in Table 1. No significant differences were detected in age, sex, glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), numbers of pseudophakic eyes, preoperative BCVA, and preoperative OCT between the PPV and STTA groups. In the PPV group, however, 21 eyes underwent pan retinal photocoagulation (PRP) which was significantly different from the 12 eyes that underwent PRP in the STTA group. DR stages of the remaining eyes in both groups were of non-proliferative DR. The significance of differences in age, HbA1c, BCVA, and CMT were determined by Student’s t-tests. Other significant differences were determined by chi-square test. The preoperative BCVA was 0.65±0.4 logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) units in the STTA group and 0.77±0.3 logMAR units in the PPV group (P=0.237; Student’s t-test; Figures 1 and 2). At 1, 3, and 6 months, the BCVAs in

Table 1 Clinical data and features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>STTA</th>
<th>P-values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years; mean ± SD)</td>
<td>62.65±1.55</td>
<td>61.92±2.27</td>
<td>P=0.793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>P=0.404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of diabetes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>P&gt;0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%; mean ± SD)</td>
<td>6.5±0.19</td>
<td>6.7±1.0</td>
<td>P=0.311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment of diabetes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral treatment</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>P=0.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>P&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic nephropathy</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>P=0.577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRP</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>P=0.0089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudophakic eyes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>P&gt;0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCVA (logMAR; mean ± SD)</td>
<td>0.77±0.31</td>
<td>0.57±0.43</td>
<td>P=0.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMT (μm; mean ± SD)</td>
<td>53±157</td>
<td>55±167</td>
<td>P=0.444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP (mmHg; mean ± SD)</td>
<td>16.0±16.04</td>
<td>14.39±7.21</td>
<td>P=0.0557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP (mmHg; mean ± SD)</td>
<td>15.13±14.66</td>
<td>16.78±8.18</td>
<td>P=0.1787</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: PPV, pars plana vitrectomy; STTA, sub-Tenon’s capsule triamcinolone acetonide; CMT, central macular thickness; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; PRP, pan retinal photocoagulation; BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity; IOP, intraocular pressure; SD, standard deviation.
logMAR units were 0.60±0.4, 0.59±0.5, and 0.64±0.5 respectively, in the STTA group and 0.68±0.4, 0.59±0.4, and 0.59±0.4 respectively in the PPV group (Figures 1 and 2). The differences in the BCVA between the two groups were not significant before and at any time after treatment (P=0.441, P=0.960, P=0.717; Student’s t-tests and P=0.5477 by repeated measured ANOVA). In the STTA group, there were no significant differences between the pre-treatment BCVA and that at any time after the STTA (P=0.226, P=0.340, P=0.775; paired t-tests). In the PPV group, there was no significant difference between the pre-treatment BCVA and that at 1 month after PPV, but there were significant differences between the pre-treatment BCVA and that at 3 and 6 months after PPV (P=0.389, P=0.0066, P=0.0169 respectively; paired t-tests).

The preoperative CMT was 569.2±167 μm in the STTA group and 534.4±157 μm in the PPV group (Figure 3). At 1, 3, and 6 months, the CMT was 356.1±116 μm, 326.7±111.7 μm, and 377.4±137.6 μm, respectively in the STTA group, and 386.8±175 μm, 354.2±101.7 μm and 354.2±156.4 μm, respectively in the PPV group (Figure 3). The differences in the CMT between the two groups were not significant before and at any time after the PPV (P=0.444, P=0.460, P=0.469, P=0.273 respectively by Student’s t-tests and P=0.2053 by repeated measured ANOVA). In both the STTA and PPV groups, there were significant differences between the pre-treatment CMT and that at 1, 3, and 6 months after treatment (STTA; P<0.0001, P<0.0001, P=0.0013, P<0.0001, P<0.0001 respectively by paired t-tests, PPV; P=0.0013, P<0.0001, P<0.0001 respectively by paired t-tests).

Three eyes in the STTA group had a temporary increase in the intraocular pressure (IOP), and topical anti-glaucoma drugs controlled the IOP during this period. One eye in the PPV group had a temporary increase in IOP, another eye developed wound infection but not endophthalmitis.

**Discussion**

Doi et al reported that IVTA in eyes with DME significantly reduced the CMT, and the CMT was not significantly...
PPV for DME and reported that iatrogenic tears developed IVTA or PPV in the short term. May be required, the effect of STTA is not inferior to that of repeated injections either regimen were no longer evident at 6 months. Effective than STTA in improving VA and reducing CMT treatment for refractory DME. After the first treatment. Thus, repeated treatment of IVTA in their study while it remained resolved after PPV. Our results indicate that although repeated injections difference from that in the PPV group at 3 months after the treatment. The results of their study are in accordance with our results. However, the DME recurred 6 months after IVTA in their study. In this study, three eyes were retreated with STTA 3–6 months after IVTA injection and the reduction of macular thickness seemed not to be paralleled to the improvement of BCVA in the STTA group. Further outcomes including retinal sensitivity may be required to assess visual function before and after DME treatment.

VEGF is one of the main factors involved in the development and the progression of DME. VEGF is upregulated in retinal cells because of inflammation, ischemia, and hyperglycemia in diabetic patients, and the upregulation causes an increase in vascular permeability in the retina. In addition, there is increasing evidence that inflammation induced by diabetic stress is involved in the pathogenesis of increased vascular permeability that may cause DME. In addition, an earlier study showed that steroids can upregulate the tight junction proteins, ZO-1, which occlude the endothelial cells under diabetic conditions and tighten the retinal blood barrier. Thus, IVTA and STTA can be used to treat DME by suppressing inflammation and reducing VEGF expression in the retina.

The major complications of IVTA are cataracts, IOP elevation and endophthalmitis. Hirano et al reported that IVTA led to higher elevations of IOP than STTA. According to the Japanese survey of TA for ocular diseases, endophthalmitis occurred in seven (0.12%) of 5,665 eyes administered with IVTA, and seven (0.026%) of 26,819 eyes with PPV that used TA as an adjunct to separate posterior hyaloids from the retina. After STTA, however, the incidence of endophthalmitis was only 0.008% (one eye) of 12,344 eyes. A recent study indicates that the incident risk...
of endophthalmitis after intravitreal injection of anti-VEGF drugs was 0.025% in the United Kingdom. Usually, however, repeated injection of anti-VEGF drugs is required for the treatment of DME. Thus, the risk of post-intravitreal anti-VEGF endophthalmitis is significantly increased. In fact, the pooled analysis of the RESOLVE and RESTORE studies showed that an incidence rate of endophthalmitis was 1.4% at 1 year. In the study of the Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research Network, endophthalmitis occurred in one eye (0.5%) of 187 participants in the ranibizumab prompt laser treatment group and two eyes (1%) of 188 participants in the ranibizumab plus deferred laser treatment groups. Taken together, STTA has a significantly lower risk of endophthalmitis than IVTA and intravitreal injection of anti-VEGF drugs.

Our study has a limitation because it was a retrospective study and the number of patients is small. In addition, the follow-up period of this study is not long. Thus, further randomized control studies with longer follow-up periods are needed to confirm the effectiveness of STTA compared to other treatments including PPV.

Conclusion
STTA and PPV significantly reduced CMT in patients with DME, and the differences in the BCVA and CMT between the two groups were not significant. Although the results of recent studies recommend combined therapies for the treatment of DME, STTA may be an alternative treatment for DME, especially when patients do not agree to undergo surgical treatments.
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